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ABSTRACT

This is a study of Navigation, Guidance, and Control for Advanced Manned Space Missions. It is divided into the areas of systems, computer subsystems, radiation subsystems, and inertial subsystems. From a system aspect a study is made of guidance and navigation requirements imposed by the different phases of interplanetary missions. A representative system is described as a design model. Detailed descriptions are provided of analytical and development work on advanced concepts in computer, radiation, and inertial subsystems.

It is shown that required system performance advances are well within reason but that the requirements for reliability will demand new standards in design concepts, quality assurance, maintainability, and quiescent failure rates.

Guidelines for further developments in this direction are set forth.

January 1968
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VOLUME II
MULTIPROCESSOR COMPUTER SUBSYSTEMS
FOR ADVANCED MANNED SPACE MISSIONS

INTRODUCTION

Volume II of R-600 is concerned with the development of computer subsystems for advanced manned space mission. The work reported in this volume was performed under Part II, Task IV, of contract NAS-9-6823 between the NASA Manned Spacecraft Center and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Instrumentation Laboratory.

General requirements for advanced manned missions upon Control, Guidance, and Navigation Systems are set forth in Volume I of R-600. In that volume, a report is made of a general study of the overall requirements for advanced manned missions involving a range of exploratory missions in the solar system following the Apollo and Apollo Applications Category of missions.

In this volume, there is set forth in greater detail the results of a ten-month's effort to investigate various aspects of advanced technology applicable to computer subsystems for advanced manned missions, etc.
1. COMPUTER DESIGN CONCEPT

1.1 Apollo Experience

1.1.1 General Goals

The design of a computer for the Advanced Guidance System forces a number of initial choices regarding goals, system capability, likely state of relevant technologies at the time of implementation, and broad character of the possible missions. Much of the initial design is choosing from among imponderables and agreeing, among the designers and with the program sponsors, upon general goals.

Several characteristics of an Advanced System can be identified for possible exploration or special consideration. The first of these is the fortunate independence of many of the tasks likely to be required simultaneously. Unlike a massive matrix inversion problem, in which every element affects every element of the answer, an Advanced System will require simultaneous maintenance of many control loops which are either independent or nested in each other. An accompanying characteristic is likely to be that the control loops to be served will not, on the whole, be of much greater speed than those of Apollo. What is likely to be true is that the number of such loops will be greater by an order of magnitude (or more) than is the case presently. The general trend is toward more functions to be performed by the computer, rather than very much faster functions.

A second characteristic of great importance is the lack of initial knowledge as to the true requirements of an Advanced System Computer. This can be translated into a desire for a system which can be either expanded or contracted at a late date in the project. Being able to change size is especially important if it is possible to gain reliability by adding equipment.

A number of other goals will be developed further on, as the various areas of choice are identified and narrowed down. It is difficult to categorize a computer both briefly and accurately. In this context it seems appropriate, if not wholly adequate, to speak of an advanced computer in terms of performance of the present Apollo Guidance Computer. The advanced computer is to be capable of improving upon AGC performance by a factor of ten (minimum), and it is this measure that we choose as a general goal.

1.1.2 Specific Characteristics

A number of lessons have been learned, some twice, which bear discussing. These lessons have less to do with what we should have done in Apollo than with identifying desirable characteristics.
1.1.2.1 Memory

One clear lesson is the impossibility of a priori sizing of the computer especially with regard to memory capacity. The original (1961) Apollo memory capacity estimate was 4,000 words, and it is felt by programmers to be barely adequate. Memory was increased primarily because of improvements in fixed memory technology, which allowed designs that placed necessarily larger capacity memories in the same volume.

The need of a larger capacity memory did not become obvious until long after Block II was designed, at which time it was felt that 36,000 words was ample by perhaps as much as 50%. As of this writing the AGC Block II logical structure makes it very difficult to add memory beyond 64,000 words, even if such memories become physically compact enough.

The lessons are clear. Program memory has been grossly underestimated in the past, and designers are only partly wiser now as to required sizes. This experience, together with uncertainties in missions for the proposed system leads us to expect that memory size will be underestimated again in the future. A second lesson is that it is essential to provide room (in the form of adequate address fields) for memory size far beyond those physically practical today.

One of the reasons for underestimating memory sizes is the need for varying degrees of automatic programming. It is not desirable, as was done in Apollo, to minimize equipment by requiring programmers to be clever and ingenious. As programs become large the need for standardization, clarity and ease of checking increases. Furthermore, it becomes impractical to generate hand coded programs; compilers as well as assemblers become necessary, which in turn increase further the need for memory because of compiler inefficiencies.

1.1.2.2 Speed

The AGC is, by now, some five to ten times slower than more recent equivalent earth bound computers. Speed of future designs will increase because of component improvement, without having to sacrifice much else. Speed has not been as serious a problem in Apollo as memory capacity, but it should increase considerably in the future for a number of reasons. Foremost is the partial equivalence of programs and special purpose hardware, such as for floating point arithmetic; having sufficient speed permits a choice of implementation not otherwise available. Another obvious reason for higher speed is the ability to handle more simultaneous tasks. Both of these reasons reflect Apollo experience. A third reason for expecting higher speed is that it may be difficult not to get it, given the improvement in performance of components and assembly techniques.
There is one aspect of speed, however, which discourages its choice as an unqualified goal, and that is power consumption. Higher speeds are obtained by overcoming reactance, (usually capacitive), which usually requires larger power levels for signals. Fortunately the expected increase in component speed comes about in great measure because of miniaturization and decrease in physical dimensions, so that we may expect the speed to power ratio to increase. Nevertheless, allowable power consumption will always be a consideration which influences the eventual system performance.

1.1.2.3 Programming

Programming aids are possibly even more important for tomorrow's ACGN system then improved memory technology. Scaling alone is said to account for one third of the time, effort and people required to program an Apollo sized mission, and it is clearly false economy to minimize hardware at the expense of programming ease.

The desire for programming does not stop at flight programs. A large body of auxiliary software for assembling, compiling, checking and simulating must exist, and be planned concurrently with flight software design; and here again designers are often faced with the choice of minimizing one at the expense of complicating another. Previous emphasis on minimization of flight hardware to the exclusion of other considerations is no longer appropriate, and, with advances in hardware technology, no longer as necessary.

1.1.2.4 Interface Flexibility

Using (or trying to use) the Apollo input-output structure in circumstances other than the initially intended ones has been a recurring problem. Those interfaces were designed with a very specific environment in mind (with the IMU, optics, DSKY) and when various parties explored the possibility of adding non-G&N functions to the list performed by the AGC, the stumbling block would usually prove to be input-output limitations. The input-output structure could have been generalized, by providing high speed channels similar to those of commercial machines. Once again, equipment minimization was obtained at the cost of generality of use. Because of the continuing dramatic decrease in equipment cost (in dollars, space, weight) and increase in performance, a more general solution to the input-output problem can be now considered.
One fact which stands out in relation to input-output is the high cost of cabling and connectors. Cable harnesses now account for a substantial portion of bulk and weight of equipment, and seriously detract from reliable performance. Minimizing cabling, as well as improving it, is desirable.

1.1.2.5 Reliability

Reliability in the Apollo Guidance Computer has been measured as a mean-time-between-failures of the order of thousands of hours, sufficient for the Apollo lunar mission. Measuring the MTBF becomes more difficult as it increases owing to the need for longer measurement times and/or larger sample sizes. Using the MTBF as a measure of reliability also becomes less clearly valid because of differences of opinion as to the relevance of certain failures, such as those caused by improper use and those suffered during factory test.

The MTBF required for extended missions far exceeds that required in Apollo. Beyond that, even if the Apollo MTBF were high enough, there is an intuitive feeling that a device in which all parts must work is insufficiently reliable in a mission where its function is critical to survival and success. A certain measure of failures must be allowable without causing the mission to end.

1.2 Requirements for an Advanced Computer

The major goal is to design a system capable of one hundred fold performance in AGC terms. This may be viewed as a maximum if the system is held to be variable in size, in which case the goal becomes one of a system which performs from ten fold to a hundred fold AGC performance. The lower limit is a reflection of the speed improvement due solely to faster components.

It has become necessary to elaborate on such a general goal in terms of the various relevant computer characteristics. A concrete goal, even if somewhat arbitrary, is the proper way to relate and compare alternatives that arise in the process of design.

1.2.1 Instruction Rate

The AGC executes 15 bit instructions at an average rate of one every 24 μsec, which is about 2/3 bit per μsec. Ignoring for the moment such questions as the relative efficiency of differing instruction sets, an Advanced System computer should be capable of "consuming" instructions at an average rate of 66 bits per μsec. As will be seen later, it may not be desirable to preserve a classical computer structure, which may in turn make less obvious what is meant by average consumption of program words, but it is nevertheless very useful to consider computer performance in those terms.
One test against reality is to compare the desired bit rate of 66 megabits per second with present memory technology. Commercial core memories are capable of cycle times well below 1 $\mu$ sec, with word lengths of up to 72 bits; MIT's own Braid memory, which is read-only, is capable of 256 bits every two or three $\mu$ sec. In either case, existing memory technology is up to our demands of it.

The effect of instructions more powerful than the relatively primitive ones of the AGC cannot be easily assessed, in the sense that we cannot readily estimate an equivalence between instruction bit rates and instruction power. The difficulty arises because we do not know the relative usage of instructions; we can estimate what a double precision, floating point vector cross product instruction requires when implemented as an AGC subroutine, and hence, if the advanced computer had such an instruction expressed as a 30 bit word, and the AGC equivalent were a 100 word program (at 15 bits per word) then the bit-flow ratio would be 30 to 1500, or 1 to 50. This would hardly mean that every instruction bit of the advanced computer is 50 times more powerful than an AGC instruction bit; to assess that ratio we must make the same calculation for every instruction of the new computer, and then obtain a weighted average which depends on instruction usage. All we can say is that the bit rates out of program memory are then minimized. To be safe in estimating advanced computer requirements we shall assume that all instruction bits have the same relative power.

1.2.2 Memory Size

As was discussed earlier, misestimation of required amounts of memory are the rule rather than the exception, and always on the deficit side. Using the one hundred-fold figure we may state that an advanced computer should have of the order of $60 \times 10^6$ bits of storage for programs and about $3.2 \times 10^6$ bits for data (the AGC has 600,000 and 32,000 respectively). It is certainly time that we provide addressing capability for even larger memories than those.

The 60 million program bits do not imply, fortunately, the very large volume that results were one to implement it with a random access store. If the braid were used (the densest form of random access memory we know of), and used exclusively, that much storage would require of the order of 6 cubic feet. But combinations such as fixed memory (for safety), core memory (for flexibility and speed) and tape (for bulk storage at high densities) can provide us with what we need.
It would not be sensible to require that an advanced computer have that much storage in its first implementation. We can start out very much smaller, but it is sensible to plan so as to be able to later implement and use such larger memories. Our past record of underestimating should not be forgotten.

1.2.3 Input - Output Bandwidth

Another critical estimate is that of the total input - output activity to be expected in a future system, measured as an overall bit rate. As an initial estimate we will hold to the hundred-fold AGC concept.

As an average bit rate (even during periods of activity) the total input - output activity of the AGC is surprisingly small, well below 5 Kpps. Under worst case conditions the bit rate could be 100 Kpps, but these conditions are not realizable because the overall system cannot respond to them. Worst case conditions would require that every Coupling Data Unit be slewed at maximum rate, and that maximum acceleration prevail in all axes. The Apollo system is based on incremental encoders which send the computer one pulse for every bit of change, a system which requires little bandwidth during normal conditions and much during maximum activity conditions. A whole number transfer system, in which devices are interrogated by the computer and answer with whole numbers is better for high activity conditions, worse for normal ones. At any rate, it is probably reasonable to argue for an output bandwidth of the order of 5 to 10 Mpps, which is both technically reasonable and consonant with the assumption of one hundred-fold the AGC observed rates.

There is another way of looking at input-output requirements, a way which is analogous to the telephone traffic grade of service concept. Briefly, this requirement is expressed as a reaction time of the computer to an external stimulus such as a request that an input be processed. The reaction time is not just a single number, since it will, in general, depend on both system load and device particulars, and hence it is expressed as a probability distribution.

The relation between reaction time and bandwidth is inclusive; a given reaction time requires at least a certain bandwidth, but a certain bandwidth does not guarantee a reaction time. We have no good way, at present, of estimating likely reaction time requirements in an advanced computer because these depend primarily on the specified environment rather than the computer. As an initial specification we shall call for a reaction time of the order of millisecond or less, with 90% probability. This requirement will obviously be modified as the system begins to fill and time requirements come forth, but it at least gives a starting point to the designers.
1.2.4 Reliability

Since the most likely missions for the ACGN system are very long compared to Apollo the reliability goals must be increased accordingly. The observed mean time between failure of the AGC is of the order of a few thousand hours, and we hence set as one goal an MTBF of hundreds of thousands of hours. We face the problem of confirming such an MTBF because of the very long time required to gather statistically significant data.

A more fruitful approach is to state reliability goals in terms of certain system qualities. We wish to reduce as much as possible the likelihood that a single device failure cause the computer to be disabled. We may even state this as an absolute requirement and have it that no single failure (of a device) disable the computer. Additionally, we would like a system in which successive device failures reduce, but not eliminate, system capability and performance. The general property is known as "graceful degradation" and, although difficult to state as a numerical requirement, it represents a substantial improvement over the present state of the art.

1.2.5 Sizing for Missions

As mentioned in the section which summarizes Apollo experience, the ability to change easily the amount of computer performance required by a mission is a most desirable property. We wish to avoid both the situation of system requirements which increased beyond original estimates and the converse. The future computer system should be such that addition or subtraction of equipment not have an effect upon programming, ground support equipment or interfaces, and as little effect as possible (although this is unlikely to ever be the case) upon physical installation problems. If graceful degradation is achievable, then reliability considerations enter in to the choice of size.

As a goal we require that the advanced system computer be capable of ten-fold expansion over the minimum possible. The one hundred-fold AGC goal represents the maximum size. Size is in this case both memory capacity and instruction execution rate.

1.2.6 Programming

The magnitude and difficulty of a major system programming task is well understood, and a major goal of the advanced computer design is that programmers for it should be unburdened by quirks and special rules, and that they have at their disposal a powerful set of instructions. The programmer should be unconcerned with details of computer operation or configuration.
The instruction set should include floating point, vector, matrix and possible list processing instructions and combinations of these. Micro-programming and advances in read-only memory technology make it reasonable to think in terms of tens or hundreds of thousands of bits for instruction micro-program stores, which means that extravagant (by Apollo standards) sets of instructions are reasonable engineering goals.

The design of the computer itself must be accompanied by the design of a compiler and assembler for it. Both designs will influence each other. Additional support in the form of simulation and testing programs must also be provided. These tasks are discussed at length elsewhere. They are recognized as being of the same magnitude and importance as the design of the computer itself.

1.2.7 Ground Support

There is need for integrating the design of ground support equipment with that of the computer itself. This need, although less pressing than the comparable one for software, should result in adequate planning of both the proper complement of ground support equipment and the times at which various pieces will be needed.

It may be possible to design the computer so that it can perform, on itself, a considerable amount of checking and testing. This trend is present to some degree in Apollo, and it is obviously desirable in that it may reduce drastically the amount of additional equipment required to support the computer. In long missions there will be need for performing most of the ground support functions away from Earth. It makes sense to set as a design goal that the computer be as self contained as possible with regards to functions normally considered as ground support.

1.2.8 Displays

General advancement in graphic displays together with their potential as a revolutionary instrument for human control of systems, makes it almost certain that some form of computer controlled graphic display, such as a CRT, be included in an advanced computer. At a minimum the display should act as a central CG&N control tool. We therefore make it a requirement that the advanced computer be compatible with some form of graphic display terminal.
1.3 **Fundamental Choices**

There are three basic approaches to the design of an advanced computer. Any implementation is likely to use elements of all three approaches, but it is convenient to polarize these choices and use the resulting definitions as a basis for judgement and evaluation of alternatives.

1.3.1 **Superbox**

The increased requirements for an advanced computer could be satisfied by one with a standard computer structure that used circuits one hundred times as fast as present AGC circuits, and had a memory capacity correspondingly as large. This approach could possibly be implemented, for new logic circuits and memories are already twenty times faster than AGC ones, but only at some indefinite time in the future when another factor of five has been gained. A more serious drawback is the inflexibility of the resulting computer. It could not be expanded or contracted (except for memory capacity) and would certainly not have the desirable property of graceful degradation.

1.3.2 **Job Box**

The Job Box approach has it that each job is done by a separate device. Navigation, guidance and control would be done by three separate computers, for example; furthermore, if there are several types of navigation (earth orbit, transplanetary, entry), there would be a computer for each of these. The advantage of such an approach is its compartmentalization. If any part of Superbox should fail, all of its functions fail, while in the Job Box approach only a single function is affected for each failure.

Implicit in the Job Box approach is the expectation that the total amount of hardware used is about the same as in the Superbox approach, which is unfortunately not true. Any of the functions to be performed use overlapping sources of information (radio links, inertial attitude and acceleration are used in navigation, guidance and control) and control overlapping sets of output devices. Multiple job boxes means elaborate multiple paths in and out of peripheral devices, which negates the original simple view of the computer system.

Nevertheless, fragmentation and isolation of parts of the overall system is an important and useful concept, even if not capable of implementation in the simplistic job box way. As a goal, we want an advanced computer to be capable of suffering failures without therefore becoming totally disabled. Ideally, we would like a situation in which failures of parts of the computer result in a degradation of performance, but not in cessation of service.
1.3.3 Multi Box

Modern ideas of computer structure involve the concept of multiprocessing. Multiprocessing means, in our case, an aggregate of similar devices each capable of doing any job, and all capable of doing jobs simultaneously. This, if possible, would achieve the fragmentation goal of the job box approach. It would provide a system where all the boxes are alike, and where no one box is essential.

Multiprocessing differs from the job-box approach in that the individual boxes are not differentiated as to function. There are two major kinds of boxes, processors and memories, and possible other specialized ones, but there is no a priori assignment of these two functions. The assignment occurs dynamically on the basis of functional need and resource amiability.

Multiprocessing is, to date, the only alternative to the Super box approach for achieving a large increase in computational capability. A successful multiprocessing structure promises to give facilities for an expanding (or contracting) system and, perhaps more importantly, offers a realistic approach to graceful degradation.

1.4 Collaborative Multiprocessor Concept

1.4.1 Multiprocessors

It should be clear from the tone of the preceding section that we believe a multiprocessor structure (multi box) to be the best choice.

Traditional computing systems try, by means of multiprocessing structures, to compute faster and to utilize equipment more efficiently, i.e., more fully. Secondly, they try to be more reliable by allowing operation at reduced capacity in the event of failure. Increased speed is achieved by exploiting parallelism within a problem, and the fundamental multiprocessor problem is finding mechanical ways of converting a single serial procedure into multiple simultaneous ones. High utilization is achieved by designing systems in which memories and processors are present in inverse proportion to their speed to prevent under-utilization of some of them. As a result the problem arises of making a system in which processors and memories are not matched one to one.

Real time control systems, on the other hand, have availability and reliability as primary goals, and 'efficiency' as a secondary one. Increased computing capacity is required not because any one computation must be done faster, but because physical systems are being designed with a great many control loops, many of which can be active simultaneously. In aerospace applications, the speed required of typical control loops is the same as (or at most double) what it was five years ago; but the number of such loops has increased.
Parallelism is an intrinsic property of complicated control systems because of the multiplicity of loops.

Availability in the case of a control system can be defined so as to include reliability. What matters is peak load performance and continuance of service in the event of failure or malfunction. Here a multiprocessing structure appeals because it provides additional reliability using considerably less added equipment than that required by a duplicated structure.

An interesting difference between a conventional and the proposed multiprocessor is the usage of the term 'job'. In standard systems a job has connotations of length; a job is akin to a single problem run on one computer, such as a payroll. In our multiprocessor a job is usually a single sampled data calculation, and the connotation is one of brevity. If one were to do a payroll with a control computer (which one should not, of course), a job would be something like the processing of a single individual's records.

Jobs, in a control environment, must have specified a time of execution in order to allow for periodic sampling. Job control statements must therefore carry that information, and the job assignment algorithm must see to it that a job execution is requested of any available processor when due. This is another difference between 'conventional' multiprocessors, as exemplified by the references, and the present proposed system.

A further property of jobs in a control environment is that they interrogate memory primarily for program access. Relatively few words of data are needed for each job. In Apollo, for example, the ratio of program memory to data memory is of the order of 20 to 1. We can exploit this property by physically separating data and program memories.

1.4.2 Structure

We propose a structure in which a number of subsystems are connected to a single common bus, called the data bus. The elements are: processors, which are like conventional computers, each with its own scratch pad memory, and each with access to a program memory system; a common data memory system, containing a number of memory units, from which processors draw the input information needed to do a job, and into which job results are placed; executive assignment units; and an input-output subsystem (which is functionally very like common data memory). Figure 1.1 illustrates the structure concept.

The data bus is time multiplexed so that only one subsystem can issue messages at any one time. When a message is finished, access to the bus for transmission purposes is passed on to the subsystem next in line. If a subsystem has nothing to send, control is passed on. There is no restriction on access to the bus for receiving purposes.
When a processor becomes free by virtue of having ended a job it looks into the executive memory, which may reside in an executive assignment unit, and takes (accepts) the next job to be done. "Looking into" means issuing a memory read message onto the bus, and receiving one or more words as a return message.

When a processor accepts a job it records this by storing a word in the executive memory. The latter thus holds a record of all jobs currently being done and all jobs requested for the future.

If the next job to be done is not due until some later time, the sending processor lapses into a dormant state. The memory will issue a "wake up" message when a job becomes due for execution.

Once a processor has accepted a job, it acquires the appropriate programs from the program memory system. Each job has a list in program memory of all the relevant information to be obtained from the data memory. The processor communicates with the latter over the same data bus; in fact, most of the data bus usage is expected to be common data traffic.

When a processor finishes a job it stores the results in common data memory and issues an 'end of job' message. This message cancels that processor's job acceptance message, which was kept in the executive memory. After sending an 'end of job' message, a processor considers itself free to accept other jobs.

The assignment of jobs to processors is not preordained, and the number of processors present can be reduced, to the extent that the total work load is satisfied, without catastrophic effects.

The last item in the multiprocessor structure is an input-output buffer unit, capable of relaying messages between multiprocessor units and external system data terminals. Although it is possible in principle simply to extend the data bus out to the external units, it is probably preferable to accommodate the external data transfers on a separate bus system. This not only isolates the multiprocessor from its environment for conceptual analysis, but as a practical matter permits the use of different sequencing techniques for the mutually distant remote multiplexers from those for the internal, closely packaged ones. Except for this, the remote systems may be considered to be specialized processors.
1.4.3 Elements

1.4.3.1 Processors

The processing elements of the system are small general purpose com-
puters with a limited amount of scratch pad memory, and a small buffer mem-
ory for instructions. Processors communicate with data and instruction buses
via multiplexer circuits whose prime requirement is not to fail in such a way
as to incapacitate the bus. The interface with the system is primarily through
these circuits, which permits wide latitude in the organization of the processor.

No extensive interrupt capability is contemplated owing to the interruptive
nature of the external job assignment structure. Cycle stealing and short inter-
rupts may, however, be used in the internal workings of the processor. The
possibility of a system organization which permits interruption of jobs in pro-
cess looks attractive until the pathology is considered, and it seems best at
this time not to consider such interrupts. Every job may be considered as an
interrupt, constrained only by processor availability and priority structure.

1.4.3.2 Common Data Memory

A common data memory facility is needed in order for the various pro-
grams in the machine to communicate with one another to permit any processor
\textit{.} to perform any assigned job. For the sake of reliability, words are stored
redundantly in electrically separate memory units using a paging scheme which
allows dynamic allocation of memory resources.

Each data memory unit is organized with a page table and control logic
to read or write a list of words from a specified page. A given page will be
assigned to one or more memory units. A processor accesses a page by sending
a data request message on the data bus, whereupon the memory units containing
that page perform the required accesses. Once the data is put on the data bus
by one of the memory units, its leading identifier message is interpreted by
the other memory units prepared to send the same data as a cancellation of their
obligation to do so.

Additional bits in the page table provide a capability for a flexible mem-
ory lockout arrangement. This permits data to be accessed asynchronously by
competing programs which would otherwise invalidate data which they jointly
generate and use.

Still more bits will be used for error detection purposes to reduce the
probability of accessing bad data.
1.4.3.3 Program Memory

Ideally, all processors have access to all programs without delays or complications, which can be done if each processor has its own copy of all programs. As an economy measure we assume that there is a program memory system which can be interrogated by the various processors one at a time. Clearly, bit rates out of the program memory must exceed the combined rate of consumption (in instruction words) of all processors together, for otherwise processors would idle while waiting for instructions. There would seem to be an advantage in making processors with extensive and elaborate instruction repertoires, so that there would be useful cases of instructions of relatively long duration. Additionally, processors could receive program information in block form. Loops within those blocks are advantageous; frequent transfers of control that result in wasting large parts of the block are disadvantageous.

Although functionally one system, the program memory would have to have both redundant storage and extensive error detection facilities. It would be desirable to have a number of identical program memory units with access to the instruction bus for the sake of reliability and bandwidth.

One useful property of a separate program store is the absence of the type of competing job conflicts present in the data memory. The program memory can be of the read-only type, and the only usage problem is the queuing of processor requests for program words.

1.4.3.4 Data and Instruction Buses

Of all the possible bus structures for generalized information flow the one with greatest appeal for a high reliability system is the simplest; that is a common bus which has direct two-way access to every subsystem which uses it. It has an additional advantage over a complicated switching arrangement such as a crossbar type of circuit in that it is readily expandable.

Each station on the bus requires a transmitter, a receiver, and a multiplexer to control transmission. Multiplexers of different stations communicate with one another to establish, by some algorithm, which one station is permitted to transmit. The simplest scheme is to arrange the stations in a closed string and let one station enable its successor when the former has finished its turn. Figure 1.1 illustrates this concept.

Buses and multiplexers must be "infallible" either by use of redundant circuitry or by having several bus and multiplexer complexes capable of independent operation and hence capable of graceful degradation.
1.4.3.5 Executive

The functions of the executive are to record every request for a job, recording the job name, when it is due for execution, the requesting processor or input-output unit, and possibly some priority information. The executive must order all such requests by time, so that the job request(s) due soonest are readily available to an inquiring processor. The executive must also issue a "wake up" message, in case processors are dormant. Some processor will then be first to gain access to the executive and accept a job, exercising whatever priority considerations might have been programmed.

The executive must also keep records of which processors are doing which jobs. This record is needed for automatic job restarting, in case of a transient failure.

The executive memory must be "infallible" in the same sense that the data bus must be "infallible". In either case, some combination of redundancy and isolation is counted on. A favorable circumstance is that several independent (but synchronous) units could be made with majority voting circuitry at the interface with the data bus, which represents very few signals. The actual implementation of the executive is either by an associative memory or by a list processing memory, a combination of both, or by ordinary program and memory. From the point of view of economy, it will be preferable to serve the executive functions by processors and data memories. Whether this can yield adequate performance is still at issue. If not, these functions will be implemented in separate Executive Units with memory and logic specially directed to their needs.

1.4.3.6 Degradation and Restarts

The system can degrade to the extent that the number of available processors can decrease. In the limit, a single processor can provide a functioning system. The executive and program memories must be 'infallible' as far as the processors can tell; i.e., various forms of redundancy and error correcting guard the overall system against failures in these subsystems. Data memory can be structured to degrade gracefully in the event of failure, both by providing duplication of critical common memory (the data storage to which all jobs may make reference), and by varying the number of pages which can be assigned to processors as extensions of their scratch pads. If a processor requests such a page and none is available, the processor waits until a page becomes free.
An interesting aspect of the proposed structure is the possibility of restarting failed jobs. Suppose a processor fails in the middle of a job, before issuing any results. If that failure can be made known to the executive, the job acceptance message bearing that processor's name can be reverted to a request, reissued, and accepted by a fresh processor. Various restarting strategies are possible, from those dealing with single failed jobs to those dealing with all jobs currently being executed. Many of the restart problems and procedures have already been successfully implemented in the Apollo guidance computer.

A key item in successful restarting is failure detection. The assumption is that, upon failure, the failed processor issues a signed message indicating it has failed. Error detection need not be any more prompt than necessary to avoid issuing bad results. If jobs are generally structured to issue results all at once at the job's end, it will be tolerable if failures are detected many instruction times after their occurrence but prior to the issuance of results. This relieves some of the demands on error detecting circuitry.

1.4.4 Implications

1.4.4.1 Software Considerations

Despite the fact that most of the calculations for a spacecraft are sampled by nature, there exists a substantial programming burden in sectioning programs into jobs of proper length and establishing the packages of data required to start and stop jobs. This burden cannot be placed on the programmer because, as a practical matter, computer users do not (and should not have to) know very much about the computers they use. The onus clearly falls upon a compiler. A program written as a single job must be segmented automatically so as to be able to restart and permit efficient interruption. Writing such a compiler probably represents a task of the same order of magnitude as the design of the multiprocessor itself, and also represents an advance over present compilers. The above multiprocessor design (and very likely, any other) would not be attractive without either the prior existence of a suitable compiler, or knowledge that one can be written.

1.4.4.2 Estimates of Performance

An order of magnitude estimate of performance requirements for this multiprocessor can be derived from an extrapolation of Apollo experience. Within a few years time we shall desire a machine which can handle on the order of a hundred programs at a time on a sampled basis, out of a total program assembly of hundreds of programs. Each program would periodically receive a sample update; an average rate of about 50 samples per second per program.
would probably be adequate. This means that some 5,000 samples or jobs, would be executed every second. The overall bit transfer rate for common memory, input-output, and messages is estimated as follows. An average of 25 words must be brought from common memory and 25 words stored there per job. This number is based on experience with the executive program structure of the Apollo Guidance Computer.

Assume 50 bits per word for address and data. Assume an average of one input and one output message and four job assignment messages of 50 bits per job. The minimum data bus bit rate which could possibly serve this system is

\[
\frac{5000 \text{ jobs/sec}}{} \times (50 \frac{\text{words}}{\text{job}} + 6 \frac{\text{messages}}{\text{job}}) \times 50 \frac{\text{bits}}{\text{word or message}} = 14 \text{ megabits/sec}
\]

This rate takes no account of delays occasioned by stacked up requests or other access times, but is well within reach of today's technology for memory and transmission systems.

The instruction execution rate is estimated by assuming an average number, again borrowing from Apollo experience, of the order of a thousand instructions executed per job, and an average job duration of a few milliseconds. The latter figure is chosen on the basis of wanting the multiprocessor to react to an input event or job request within that space of time. This yields a figure of a few microseconds per average instruction, and implies that at least five processors need to be on line to handle the 5000 jobs per second. It also gives a bandwidth figure for the program memory system of 50-100 megabits per second, assuming 20 bits per instruction. These figures seem reasonable in the light of our expectations of the technologies involved; indeed, we expect that the technologies will soon substantially surpass these levels.
2. **COMPUTER SYSTEM/LOGICAL DESIGN**

2.1 **System and Subsystem Communications**

2.1.1 **Introduction**

The multiprocessor control computer may be viewed as a collection of disparate modules all committed to the same general problem of data and environment management. The functions represented include environmental input sensors, energy expenditure and other output units, data processors and data storage devices. The maintenance of orderly communications among these devices is essential to a computer system organization. There are several ways that this can be done, including the brute-force point-to-point method of providing a physically separate channel between all pairs of devices that must interact. More elegant approaches include the well-known techniques of frequency, time, and spatial domain multiplexing. The merits of these approaches are compared using a number of criteria deemed vital to an advanced space mission computing system. The criteria are:

1. Cost
   a. Weight
   b. Power consumption
2. Reliability
3. Bandwidth

In a control system of any complexity the point-to-point approach leads to a maze of wires running between system modules. The mass of that wiring complex is its main drawback. If the connections need to be made more reliable by duplication, the problem is magnified even further. Note also that provision must be made within every unit to separate simultaneous or overlapping transmissions into that unit by different devices. Hence, a considerable amount of logic or buffering storage is needed in each unit in addition to the already unwieldy wiring mass. Thus sheer weight is the eliminating factor of the point-to-point approach.

The techniques of frequency domain multiplexing are well known to the electronics industry, and a reasonable frequency-multiplexed communication network for the computer is not an impossibility. Such a network would have one physical channel interfacing with all system units. Interdevice link separation would be achieved by bandpass filtration, since every unique linkage would be run at a different carrier frequency over the common channel hardware.
The primary deficiency of this approach is the enormous total bandwidth needed for this computer system. Every one of the scores of links needs upwards of 10 megahertz bandwidth to transmit the required high-speed data rates. As a result the channel must be ultralinear over a very wide frequency spread to avoid link crosstalk due to intersignal distortions. Power dissipation and reliability of the sophisticated kilomegahertz transceivers might also be a problem. In addition, those units which may receive simultaneous or overlapping transmissions from different sources must, as in the brute-force approach, be provided with logic or buffering storage to separate the responses to the different signals. Thus, although frequency multiplexing is possible, it is not considered feasible as the primary computer interdevice communication technique.

The remaining methods of time and spatial multiplexing must be considered in more detail. One of the two leading candidates for time multiplexing is a round-robin distribution of device access to a central data bus. Each device on the bus would be given one unique time interval in which to transmit or not. Every receiving device would be aware of which was the sending device by noting in which of the fixed-width time intervals the data arrived.

The second time-multiplexing technique, and the one used in the multiprocessor system proposed in this report, is a modified round-robin algorithm. Each device in a ring group would be interrogated in turn to grant it access to the central data bus. If a device being interrogated had no desire to transmit, it would immediately relinquish control of the bus, which would interrogate the next device in the ring group. One and only one device in the ring would be interrogated or transmission-enabled at any instant. When a device is interrogated and does have a data message to transmit, it must identify itself on the central bus in addition to delivering up its data message. It would also withhold the transmission enable from the next device in line until it had completed its message, thus preventing simultaneous data transmissions. The advantage of the second approach over the first is that the bus is never idle so long as some device must transmit.

The technique of spatial multiplexing to be considered is the crossbar switch array. This device allows several non-interfering simultaneous connections to be made between a number of devices. The switch array can be made cooperative so only connections among consenting devices are permitted. This feature eliminates the problem of separating simultaneous transmissions to a device by legislating against such competing transmissions. It is possible to do this because the switching array is centralized, hence segments of the switch array may interact.
The data transmission bandwidth provided by each of the possible connection paths in a crossbar array is comparable to that of the single-time-multiplexed bus system. Thus, the aggregate transmission rate of the crossbar system is better than the time-multiplexed system by a factor equal to the number of simultaneous connections possible.

One drawback to the crossbar array technique is that it is not gracefully expandable. The array must be designed for a particular maximum number of devices that will ever be in the computer system and, if the future demands on the system exceed the built-in growth potential, the array must be redesigned.

The advanced guidance and control computer system will not be an amorphous collection of devices and communications networks. Rather, it will be sectioned along natural and efficient partitioning lines as shown below.

The requirements placed on each of the main communications groups shown will now be explored.
2.1.2 Input-Output Group

2.1.2.1 Hardware Choice Rationale

The input-output communications group is the largest in size. There are many different types of I/O devices in it, and it physically extends over the entire vehicle. In addition, the complement of I/O devices for one mission are most likely different from those for another.

Therefore, special attention must be paid to flexibility in terms of expansion and device choice, and to channel weight minimization of this communications group. These would represent the principal selection factors provided adequate data bandwidth and link reliability can be obtained.

The point-to-point wiring and crossbar approaches are both penalized by lack of growth potential in this, the most likely-to-grow section. The frequency multiplexing approach is penalized by the large number of individual devices present in this group, and the resultant very wide bandwidth requirements. The logical choice for the I/O communications group is time-multiplexing.

This choice minimizes the weight of the channel, since only a few wires need to be distributed throughout the vehicle. These wires and the necessary multiplexing hardware in each device can be duplicated for added reliability at what seems to be reasonable cost. This choice also maximizes the growth and change potential of the I/O network since additional devices can be easily inserted at any point along the channel. The only real question left is the available bandwidth of such a system compared to the required I/O data rate.

The Apollo Guidance Computer has about 250 interface signals. The fastest sample or control rate in the AGC is the basic interrupt clock rate of 100 times per second. Thus the AGC has a 25-KHz basic I/O data rate. In addition to the control loops which can be run at 100 pps, the AGC has a set of about 30 higher-speed counters some of which can run at 3.2 KHz. This represents an additional I/O data-rate requirement of about 100 KHz. The Apollo computer, therefore, has a peak worst-case aggregate I/O data rate of 125 KHz. Provision of a 5-MHz I/O time-multiplexed bus in the advanced computer system therefore provides for an increase by a factor of 40 over the Apollo data rates. Realization of a 5-MHz I/O bus is considered quite feasible.
There are two principal techniques by which the multiprocessor central computing facility can be informed of events by the I/O devices. They are by periodic I/O status interrogations as initiated by the central facility, or through "on demand" service by the central facility as initiated by the I/O devices.

In order to accomplish "on demand" service - the logical equivalent of I/O interrupt - some portion of the central facility must be permanently assigned to detection of all service requests. This task naturally falls to the I/O Controller group, which one would envision as a buffer and pre-processor between I/O devices and the central collection of data processors. It must, however, be capable of sensing and properly responding to simultaneous or over-lapping service demands. This would require either a separate request line for every device or some form of time division multiplexing for various devices to share the I/O controller's attention to one or a few common lines. Since the former alternative has already been shown to be impractical in a spaceborne computing system, there evidently is little choice as to how to schedule I/O interactions with the central processors.

It is proposed that the central processor group be able to initiate either single samples or periodic sampling of the I/O device group, but that control over the sampling procedure be vested in the I/O Controller. In this way the I/O bus can be efficiently shared by both the input and output functions.

The I/O Controller would perform input device status interrogations as scheduled by the central processors and, upon receiving coded responses from these units, would command data transfers as necessary. The output functions are readily served in the same way. The I/O bus thus operates in a two-phase cycle; with the I/O Controller interrogating or stimulating one or more of its satellite devices during the first phase, and receiving or transmitting data or status indications during the second.

The central data and control communications group includes the I/O controller, processors, data memories and the executive control hardware. The primary source of traffic in the group is the data interchange between processors and data memories. However, one basic assumption, based on Apollo experience, is that the typical program run on a spacecraft computer does not use large data segments. In particular, if a processor contains an internal scratchpad memory of reasonable size, it will spend a small fraction
of its time loading and unloading data, and most of its time processing data contained in its high-speed scratchpad memory. Proper segmentation of program further reduces the data bandwidth needed in the central data group by minimizing unnecessary data transfers.

If we assume certain average program parameter values for the space-borne multiprocessor, the communications bandwidth necessary to sustain a single processor can be derived. If the average duration of a job is 2 milliseconds, the average number of data words read from and subsequently rewritten into memory by a job is 25; and, if a memory word is 40-50 bits long, then the required bandwidth per processor is

$$\frac{2 \times 25 \times 50}{2 \times 10^{-3}} \text{ bits/sec} = 1.25 \text{ megabits/sec.}$$

In order to maintain N processors simultaneously active and computing, the aggregate bandwidth must exceed $(N \times 1.25)$ megabits/sec.

In addition to the data memory-to-processor interface, the central communications group must sustain I/O-to-processor, I/O-to-data memory, and executive hardware interactions. The I/O interactions which filter through the I/O controller are encountered at a rate considerably reduced from the total I/O bus rates. Certainly no more than infrequent sampling of I/O device conditions and occasional data transfers occur here. Hence, 1 megabit/second bandwidth is allotted for I/O interactions with processors and data memories.

The data-rate requirements of the executive control hardware depend strongly upon the nature of the hardware used. This hardware could range in complexity from a simple memory identical to the data memories up to a totally independent associative processor with its own redundant storage. The more autonomy that is granted to the executive control hardware, the lower is the interface data rate needed to maintain it.

The most sophisticated executive hardware requires at least two data message interchanges with a processor per job executed. These are used to insert a new job for current or future assignment to a processor, and to terminate one of the currently running jobs. Additional messages defined elsewhere in the report are used to facilitate program restart capability in the face of hardware failure or electromagnetic interference conditions. The minimum required bandwidth for the executive control function per processor is therefore

$$\frac{10 \text{ messages/Job} \times 50 \text{ bits/message}}{2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ seconds/job}} = 250 \text{ Kbits/sec.}$$
The maximum bandwidth necessary for the simple memory type of executive hardware depends strongly on the search algorithms used in the executive program, and on the lengths of job queues in the memory. It is nevertheless important to estimate the necessary bandwidth.

First, assume that each read or write access by a processor to the executive memory requires transmission of address and data across the interface. Second, assume some bound on the number of executive memory accesses required per job from its birth to its death. These are over and above the 50 data-word accesses previously allotted each job. If 60 executive memory cycles are required per job to perform the total executive function of job insertion, real time scheduling, dispatching and termination, then the necessary bandwidth per job is

\[
\frac{60 \text{ words/Job} \times 50 \text{ bits/word}}{2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec/job}} = 1.5 \text{ megabits/sec.}
\]

This is comparable to the per job (or per processor) bandwidth requirements for pure data communications. Since all this activity is directed at the executive memory hardware, this memory, in order to sustain \( N \) processors, must have a data rate of at least \( (N \times 1.5) \) megabits/second, equal to the data bus executive bandwidth.

The nature of executive hardware usage places an additional constraint on the communications system. One processor at a time reverts to the executive function while preventing all the other \( (N-1) \) processors in the computer from running the executive for an extended period of time. Thus, it is not possible to achieve bandwidth increases by having a fast memory servicing \( N \) slow channels to the \( N \) different processors, by interleaving accesses. The only possible solution to this communication problem is to provide a fast channel from the executive memory to each processor - i.e., a channel whose data rate is \( (N \times 1.5) \) megabits/second. Since interleaved (hence simultaneous) memory accesses are not allowed, the channels cannot simultaneously carry data to and from more than one processor and the executive memory hardware. Thus, no performance improvement over an adequate bandwidth time-multiplexed channel can be achieved through the use of a crossbar switch or frequency-multiplexing techniques.
A similar argument pertains to the processor-to-data-memory interface. The optimum situation in terms of communications efficiency is one in which all processors are simultaneously exchanging data with physically separate memory modules. This is the type of situation that a crossbar switch allows, provided that the data areas required by each processor are located in separate memory modules. Needless to say, that fortunate arrangement of data is not always achieved, particularly since many programs run in a spaceborne computer must access common data sets. Generally such common data must be declared private for the duration of its use by one processor and is unavailable to others for that time.

Two additional factors pertaining to data-memory usage are created by the need for ultrareliable data storage in the spaceborne multiprocessor. The first is the obvious need to duplicate storage of all nonrecoverable data and, in particular, to do so in physically separate memory modules. This must be done to guard against the failure of any one memory module preventing the execution of a vital program. The second factor is more subtle and involves protection of data in the event of processor failure. This problem and its solution are explored in depth elsewhere in the report; however, the results influence this section. The added factor regarding data transmission is the requirement that uninterrupted blocks of data be transmitted from the processor to a data memory. The entire block of data is validated by the processor at the end of the block thereby informing the memory to accept the whole block. The memory modules to which the data block is directed are unavailable to other processors during the block transmission. Therefore, interleaved writing memory cycles within one memory module for more than one processor are impossible. This is true for all memory modules which contain redundant copies of the data segment being updated, since all copies are simultaneously updated.

The net result of these restrictions on the use of memory is to reduce the number of possible independent simultaneous memory operations, and hence the number of possible independent simultaneous conversations between processors and memories. Thus, in neither the executive memory nor the data memory interface would the capabilities of a crossbar switch be fully utilized. For example, consider a system with 10 processors and 10 memories, and with triply redundant data storage randomly distributed in memory. The probability of being able to establish N simultaneous conversations is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>P(n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The total utilized bandwidth is therefore \( (1 \times 1 + 2 \times 0.21 + 3 \times 0.024) = 1.492 \) times the bandwidth of only one connection. Thus a crossbar switch which is theoretically capable of 10 times the bandwidth of one channel would yield only 1.5 times the performance of one channel. The money spent on the crossbar hardware would be better spent on raising the bandwidth of a time-multiplexed bus by the use of byte-parallel techniques. Our goal for the central data group is, therefore, a byte-parallel time-multiplexed bus with an aggregate bandwidth of at least 60 megabits/second.

2.1.3.2 Queuing Statistics

Given sufficient bandwidth, a time-multiplexed bus will adequately serve the average communication needs of the central data group. However, there are other aspects of the problem which have not yet been explored in this report.

In particular, one must examine the extent of system efficiency loss which is caused by time-multiplexing and also its effects upon system reaction speed. This has been done by creating a simplified model of processor-data memory interaction, and simulating the behavior of the central data bus with this model.

The model selected incorporates the basic characteristics of that time-multiplexed bus algorithm which grants access to processors (or memories) on demand. The transmission enable traverses a closed ring of devices, and only those which have need of the bus when they are enabled cause bus activity. So long as any device in the ring requires the bus, there is no idle bus time.

In the model used, a number of active processors (\( N_{\text{max}} \)) are given jobs selected from a random number generator. The characteristics of the jobs are:

1. A computation interval centered around 9 time units (arbitrary) with a rectangular distribution of widths between 0 and 3.
2. A transmission interval centered around 1 time unit with a rectangular distribution of widths between 0 and 1.

The \( N_{\text{max}} \) (parameter from run-to-run) processors are arranged in a ring, and a transmission-enable pulse is inserted into the ring. If a processor has completed its computation interval when it receives the transmission enable, it immediately begins its transmission interval and withholds the enable from the next processor until it has finished transmitting. The processor also pulls a new job from the random job source and begins executing it. If a processor receives the enable while still computing, it immediately passes the enable to the next processor in the ring. If a complete ring passes the enable without any transmission taking place, the simulation clock advances until some one processor is ready to transmit. That processor immediately receives the enable and the process continues.
The history of each of the enable cycles is recorded - how many processors transmitted and how long the enable took to traverse the ring. If no processors transmitted in a ring pass, the length of the pass is the delay until some one processor next wants to transmit. The system throughput is also recorded as the total number of jobs executed per unit time. The average length of time that a processor is idle is defined as the time between computation completion and receipt of the enable pulse. The idle time is recorded for each processor.

**The Data**

For each value of $N_{max}$ (3-15) the simulation ran for 1000 time units. In this length of time a single processor system would complete an average of 100 jobs selected from the random job generator. It would spend 90% of its time computing and 10% transmitting. It would never be idle because there is no competition for the data bus. The throughput curve of the system is given in Fig. 2.1. Note that the bus can handle a maximum of 10 simultaneous jobs each of which transmits 10% of the time. Hence, bus (or memory) bandwidth provides the asymptotic limit on system throughput.

As the bus load passes full bandwidth utilization, the average idle time per job goes up sharply as shown in Fig. 2.2.

**Interrupt Response Time**

Another important parameter of the multiprocessor behavior is the time required to respond either to an internally generated or to an externally generated immediate job request. The simulation study gives us some insight into the average interrupt response time.

Let us assume that there are $N_{max}$ processors actively computing and transmitting data to and from E-memory, and also assume that there is always an idle processor capable of handling the new interrupt job. If an external stimulus were to arrive at the I/O processor and be immediately recognized by the I/O processor as a job request, it would thus immediately appear in the I/O data-bus buffer as a Job Request message waiting for a transmission enable. The average length of time the I/O buffer has to wait for the enable to arrive is what is called the system access time.
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When the I/O buffer gets the enable, it transmits the Job Request to the executive, which immediately responds with an assignment message for the interrupt job. The idle processor hears the assignment and prepares a job acceptance in its own data bus buffer. It must now wait to receive the transmit enable before broadcasting its acceptance and acquiring any of the E-Memory data pertinent to the interrupt job. This average wait period is not exactly the same as the access time period that the I/O processor waited. The difference between the two waiting periods derives from the fact that the first (I/O waiting) begins asynchronous to the transmission spurts on the data bus, while the second (Processor waiting) begins synchronized to the data bus. The sum of these two curves is the average interrupt job response time (assuming that at least one processor is always idle to do the job). This sum is plotted in Fig. 2.4.

Conclusions

Additional simulation experiments remain to be done to verify these first results, but thus far the queuing statistics of the data bus are very encouraging. A system of 7-9 active processors using 70-90% of the bus bandwidth capacity is quite efficient both in terms of throughput and in terms of interrupt response time. The significant results of the study are that system throughput (computation efficiency) and reaction speed (interrupt response time) are not significantly impaired unless one attempts to press the bus capacity to its limits. An average utilization factor of 70-90% is a sound compromise between hardware utilization factors, and throughput and reaction time considerations.

2.1.4 Instruction Memory

The third major communications group is the Processor-to-Instruction Memory interface. This is quite different from the other two groups because of the nature of the data involved. In particular, whether or not the instruction memory is physically realized by a non-alterable storage, the content of the instruction memory will not normally be modified by running a program. The programs will be written as pure procedure to achieve re-entrant code. Because of this, interleaved memory accesses are not only possible but highly desirable. Since redundant copies of program memory are to be provided, but need not be updated, multiple simultaneous accesses can be made to different sections of the program memory. Thus, for the same reasons that a crossbar switch array or multiple bus system are undesirable in the other groups, they are quite desirable and even necessary here.
Fig. 2.4 Interrupt time vs number of processors.
The bandwidth requirement of the Instruction Memory-Processor interface is to supply the 66 megabit per second maximum instruction execution rate of the system, taking account of queuing interference. The current estimate is 100 megabits per second.

The instruction memory group and the communication link must both have the specified data rate. This is marginally realizable with existing memory devices, but not with existing links. For both reliability and bandwidth, it is clear that multiple memories and buses are required.

Considerable design effort must be expended on the tasks of designing a gracefully degradable multiple-bus system or multiple-crossbar array for this interface. The desired characteristics are that any processor may use any bus to interface with any instruction memory. Hence, the failure of one element only restricts the data flow, but it does not incapacitate any other unfailed elements.

This is more readily achieved by a multiple-bus system, since each bus has a total communications capability. A crossbar array has the same capability, but at a considerably higher component cost. In order to achieve the desired reliability of interconnection, at least as many crossbar arrays as time-multiplexed buses would be required. However, each crossbar provides a greater communications bandwidth than a single bus. The number of bits that a crossbar must convey in parallel (the width of a byte) is, therefore, less than the number a bus must convey in parallel. This has the effect of evening up the matching between these two high-speed communications systems; and additional study must be performed before a definite choice is possible.
2.2 Data Memory

2.2.1 General Structure

2.2.1.1 Modularity

The logical design of the multiprocessor data memory group is based upon several key requirements. They are:

1. Graceful capacity expansion.
2. Graceful capacity degradation.
3. Absolute data security.

The need for data memory capacity expansion is firmly rooted in the past history of computers in general and the Apollo computer in particular. As would be expected, the demands upon a computer system gradually rise to meet its physical limits. Hence the lesson learned is to be able to expand memory capacity with no impact upon existing programs or upon their data sets. This goal is most easily achieved by starting with individual separate memory modules, and with an addressing capability well in excess of initial needs.

Two avenues of capacity growth are open - more modules or larger modules. The former is greatly facilitated by the use of a time-multiplexed bus for device communications. The act of adding a new memory module to this bus consists essentially of tapping into a few existing lines. The latter approach is facilitated by the use of memory-space segmentation by either fixed or variable length associatively named pages. The memory space is then addressed by a page name and a relative address within the page. There is no direct relationship between a page name and the location of the page within the memory module. Thus, if the module is enlarged, pages which previously occupied segments of the smaller module can now just as easily occupy any segment of the larger module.

Graceful capacity degradation is the dual of expansion. In order to be able to compress the data set which existed prior to loss of a memory module into the remaining memory modules, the address space of data must be relocatable. In addition, this relocation should be transparent to the computer programmer. Again, this capability is greatly facilitated by segmenting memory into associatively named pages. When data are moved from one module to another, the relocation is handled automatically; and the programs which reference the data need not even be informed of its movement.
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The question of data-memory security is probably the most vital one relating to the advanced multiprocessor computer. Failures of other kinds of hardware can be easily circumvented by deleting the malfunctioning unit from the hardware pool, and reprocessing the supposedly secure data. Failure of a memory module, however, implies that some data are lost, or at least suspect. At best it is unwise to continue processing with such suspect data, so a way must be provided to eliminate the problem of data loss.

The obvious solution is to provide redundant storage in physically independent modules for vital data sets. No single memory failure can then destroy all good copies of a vital data set. It remains to be shown how the duplicate data are maintained and how error conditions are detected and corrected.

In order to achieve the functions of associative page addressing, error detection, and data validation and maintenance, the data memory modules must have a reasonable degree of autonomous logical capability. In order to retain the desired flexibility for future changes of this logic, the techniques of micro-programming will be applied to the data memory logic as well as to other sections of the multiprocessor computer.

2.2.1.2 Size and Speed

The basic data-memory cycle time would be on the order of one microsecond. With the provision of a 32-40-bit data word, the memory data rate is about 40 megabits/second. This is slightly less than the data channel capacity of 60 megabits/second, so that address information and necessary coding bits can be accommodated on the data bus; and memory will still be able to operate at its maximum bit rate.

The size of the total data-memory complement is a function of mission requirements, and can be readily varied. The increment of memory capacity is the individual module, so one would like to keep it fairly small to achieve optimum sizing. On the other hand, considerable circuitry must be invested per module for page addressing, error control, and message handling. This would suggest that larger modules would yield reduced per-bit costs. The current estimates of data-memory module size are 4-16 thousand words of 32-40 bits each. This is subject to further refinement as the cost parameters are more accurately assessed.
2.2.2 Page Structure

Each data-memory module is subdivided into a number of data segments called pages, each of which has a name and a range of physical addresses within the memory module associated with it. The name of the page can be associatively linked with the page address base so that the data within a page can be referenced by either of two addressing schemata. The first of these is by a module identification plus a base address plus a relative address. This references a particular word of the data memory regardless of its page name association.

The second schema utilizes only a page name plus a relative address within the page. Each data memory module must itself determine what base address (if any) properly associates with the given page name. This type of memory reference obtains data which is associated with a particular page name independent of its physical location within the memory. It is intended that both of these addressing mechanisms be available in the multiprocessor.

2.2.2.1 Page Table Addressing

Page name associative addressing is a very useful adjunct to the multiprocessor computer concept. With it, mission programmers are relieved of the burden of knowing the mapping of data memory. Some coordination of activity among programmers is still required to allot memory space efficiently. However, private data storage considerations within the constraints of these allotments need not be the concern of any but their user. This is true because variable names as defined by a programmer can have various scopes of definition. A variable name defined by a user and its equivalent page name-relative address pair can be private or global in scope. If private, then other users may adopt the same variable name for their own programs and will receive different page name-relative address pairs from the compiler or assembler for their own use. Hence, the difficult problems of managing a very large computer program, and the potentially disastrous one of accidental multiple variable definitions, are alleviated. Variables of global scope, properly identified as such by the programmer, are commonly translated for and equally available to all users. The use of this set of variables must be carefully managed to ensure compatibility among programmers.

However, the exact location of even globally defined data variables is not of concern to a programmer, since he may reference it with the page name associative addressing scheme. Therefore, relocation of any data (by the whole page) is feasible at any time, and is a function to be performed dynamically by the executive program. As previously indicated, this ability is very useful in guaranteeing both expansion and contraction of memory capacity.
2.2.2.2 Page Table Algorithm

The principal design considerations of an associatively paged data memory are the achievable characteristics of the association process. How much time the memory consumes in obtaining a proper page base address when given a page name is usually its most vital characteristic. This applies most strongly to systems wherein memory access is granted to a processor for one memory cycle at a time, with interleaved accesses granted to all requesting processors. In such a system, the average data access time is the sum of the association process time plus the normal memory cycle access time. Obviously there would be a great penalty paid for a slow association processor in a typical page-addressed memory system.

However, considering the assumptions of the spaceborne multiprocessor program structure, this penalty may not be directly applicable to the advanced computer design. As has been previously mentioned, data transfers to and from the multiprocessor Data Memories generally take the form of blocks of data rather than single words. In addition, those blocks are not interruptible for interleaved memory cycles. Therefore, since a page name-base address association need be made only for the first word of a block (up to one page in length), the average memory access time is not merely the sum of the two access times. It is instead a weighted sum, with the contribution due to page name lookup being reduced by the average data block length. This gives the designer of the multiprocessor data memory some latitude in the mechanization of the page table hardware. The approach favored for realizing the page name association process takes advantage of this favorable circumstance.

The storage capacity of each data memory is divided into four areas, not equal in size, three of which are related to page addressing. They are the main data section, which is itself subdivided into pages, a code entry table, and an available page list. The fourth section is a data staging area which is related to data protection and error control. Its use will be discussed later.

Initially, when the main data section is empty, the code entry table is also empty. The available page list is preloaded as a bidirectional linked list of cells, each pointing to a separate vacant main data page. The sequence of operations which occur when a new page is assigned to the memory illustrates the functions of the table and list. The memory logic circuits first transform the given page name by a pseudo-randomizing algorithm called hash-coding into an address within the code entry table. This cell is interrogated and, if empty, it is marked as now occupied. Next, one cell from the available page list is detached from that list and attached by pointer to this code entry cell.
The page name being processed (in its original form) is placed within the
detached list cell, thereby establishing a correspondence between the page
name and the page base address initially in that list cell. These two pieces
of data remain co-resident within the list cell.

Since there are more page names than there are code entry table cells,
there are bound to be occasional instances of different pages names wanting to
enter the memory through the same code entry cell. This situation is handled
by appending the new page name, in its newly acquired list cell, to the existing
list cells with the same hash-code entry. Thus, as the memory pages become
assigned, lists of page name-page base address pairs are formed from the
appropriate code table entry points. Deletion of a page from the memory
naturally follows the reverse procedure - returning the appropriate list cell
from a code entry list back to the available page list.

When such a memory is required to locate a named page within itself,
it applies the identical hash-coding process to the page name as it applied to
insert the page. It then need only search one code entry table list to find the
desired page name. This could be done with a compare-for-equals operation
until the page name match is found or until the list is exhausted unsuccessfully.
Alternatively, the individual lists can be kept ordered by page name, so that with
a comparison for page name greater than or equals, the search of a list can
be terminated sooner (on the average). Whichever of these is used, page-name
matching or denial thereof will generally occur in less than \((1 + N_1/N_2)\) or
\((1 + N_1/2 N_2)\) memory cycles - where \(N_1\) is the number of data pages stored
in the memory, and \(N_2\) is the number of different code entry table cells.
This, of course, requires the hash-coding algorithm to generate an even dis-
tribution of page names.

Advances in the art of LSI technology would enable the realization of
this circuitry and the storage for page name association as a separate assem-
bly from the main data memory. However, as has been shown, we can pro-
ceed with reasonable expectations from a system in which the storage-for-
page association is a part of the main memory, and has the same cycle time
characteristics.

2.2.2.3 Page Locking

When memory is accessible by several programs running independently,
it is vulnerable to interference not encountered in simplex operation. The
most obvious form of interference is the use of a single register by two pro-
grams to store two independent quantities, as can arise when programs
independently written are assembled together. This type of interference is made highly improbable by the paging mechanism just discussed. A second kind of interference arises when two independent programs manipulate the same quantity. It is extremely difficult for the two programs to be cognizant of each other's actions; and if they are not, then wrong answers can result. Paging is no help here, since the memory is legitimately accessed by both programs. A satisfactory solution to this problem is the technique of lockout, which is readily implemented in a paged structure.

The lockout principle is that one or more bits are set aside in the page location table to indicate the status of a page along with its physical location. These status bits are set to "lock" whenever the page is interrogated by a processor. There might be exceptions to this when the interrogation does not influence any results written back. A second processor trying to access the page will be informed of the lock condition by a message on the Data Bus. Whether or not the processor is allowed to override the lockout is an unresolved issue.

The simple lockout procedure just described is inadequate in case of processor failure, since a locked page which was supposed to be unlocked by the processor that locked it will remain locked. Without some identification of the locking processor, there is no way to tell which locks should be removed. For this reason, the lock status bits will identify the processor that locked it, which will require four or five bits per entry in the page table.

2.2.3 Data Bus Traffic

The enabling algorithm of the Data Bus will be such that a data memory access request is answered before any other bus traffic occurs. To implement the algorithm, the enable wires which pass between multiplexers form separate rings in the memory group and the processor group, as shown in Fig. 2.5.

The processor-enable ring includes the portion of the I/O buffer devoted to generating job requests from external activity. The memory-enable ring includes the remainder of the I/O buffer, which is concerned with data transmission to and from the environment. The ability to transmit is accorded to a multiplexer by the occurrence of both of two events. One is the arrival of an enable signal from the previous multiplexer in a ring, and the second is the arrival of another signal to all members of the ring which permits a member of the ring to have sending access to the bus. The mechanization of the second enable can be either by a second enable input in each multiplexer or else by means of transmissions on the bus itself.
Fig. 2.5 Enable groups.
With this arrangement it is easy to have memory requests answered promptly and to have job assignments made between any two processors' turns at transmitting. When a processor makes a memory request it withholds the enable signal from the next processor until its request has been answered either by data or by lockout denial or until it has been determined that the request is unanswerable. While the processor ring enable is thus immobilized, the memory ring enable is passed around so that all of the memory modules can be consulted for the purpose of answering the request. When a memory module is ready to answer the request with a data stream, and when it has received the enable signal, it withholds the enable from the next memory unit and proceeds to transmit the data stream uninterrupted. In the event of a detected error within the memory, the enable is passed on so that another memory can send the same data stream that the first one was unable to complete. This, of course, assumes multiple storage of data, which is what is anticipated. At the conclusion of the data stream or of any other response, the memory sends an enabling signal or message to all processors. The processor which had requested the data and which was withholding the processor ring enable now passes on the enable to the next processor.

To avoid having a master bus control to pass the ring enable from processors to memories and so around, the rings either pass the ring enable to one another by hard wire or else every processor and memory must issue an acknowledgement of its turn on the bus whether or not it has a message to issue at that time. The acknowledgement can be a short word and, in fact, this system is well suited to the use of variable-length messages for the sake of bus efficiency. The time required to issue acknowledgement messages for ten processors would presumably be under ten microseconds and would have a small impact on bus utilization as compared with the hard-wire system, which has no impact.

Under the acknowledgement scheme, no processor multiplexer would transmit until receiving a word indicating that a memory transmission is complete. After each memory transmission, therefore, an end-of-stream word would have to be sent by the memory unit making the transmission. Similarly, the processors would send their acknowledgement words after message and data transmissions to enable the memory ring.

The means by which memory pages are assigned under this regime is a message from a processor specifying that a page of a given name is requested to be established in a given memory unit. This message is answered by the specified memory unit, either confirming or denying the request. If the request is denied, or if redundant storage is desired, the processor can request a page
in another unit. The requests continue until either the need is satisfied or all units have been tried without success. In this degraded case some recuperative measure must be taken.

In operation, if an error develops in one memory unit, another memory unit supplies the desired data. When data are written back, the data go to both units. In this way a transient error is overcome. Alarm circuits will distinguish transient errors from hard failures and will disable defective pages or units. When this is done, it will be desirable to create new redundant pages to replace those lost. This is done by having each program periodically assess the status of its pages by interrogation messages, similar to the page assignment messages, which ask if a given page is in a given memory unit. In this way it can be determined if any pages have been lost; and if so, they can be reassigned.

2.2.4 Error Control

The design of the data memory units will be strongly influenced by the need to recover from any system failure. This implies redundant storage of data vital to continued operation, in fact, all data if smooth recovery operation is desired. It also implies that the memory units be able to detect erroneous operation within themselves and shut down partially or wholly, as appropriate. Beyond this, the memories should be immune to processor errors to the extent that, if a processor fails during the time it is writing into the data memory, the information being overwritten should not be lost.

2.2.4.1 Error Detection

Redundant bits for parity checks will be employed in the main memory and in any memory used for paging and locking. The relative cost of the memory cells themselves is low enough to permit generous redundancy; the question remains as to whether it is better to use a Hamming code on a 32- to 40-bit word or to use a separate parity bit for each byte. The latter is attractive from the point of view of byte-by-byte transmission on the Data Bus and of the cost of implementation. The former has single-error correcting properties which would reduce the incidence of transient memory output errors.

The remainder of the memory unit will use error detection methods similar to those used in the processor. These include replication of critical parts, microprogram parity checks, and reasonableness checks on sequencing.
2.2.4.2 Error Correction

Whether or not each memory unit contains error correcting circuits, the security of data depends on the ability of the data memory group to maintain at least two electrically independent copies of all data. However much error correction coding might be used in a single unit, the possibility of massive failure is too large to try to rely on such means of protection. Loss of power and broken wires are two modes of failure that are difficult to overcome except by replication. To achieve overall protection there must be a valid copy of each testable unit of data, which would be a byte or a word, and the facility for detecting bad data so it can be replaced. Thus error detection is a key to graceful degradation in the memory unit just as it is in the processor.

Given that one memory unit can detect its failure and issue a message to that effect, another memory unit can answer the call for data which the failed unit had been trying to answer. This system is single-error correcting, but breaks down if both of two copies are erroneous. The effectiveness would be much improved if two memory units are able to supplement one another on a word-by-word basis since it is less probable that the same words in each copy are in error than different words. One must always bear in mind that memory errors are not necessarily random, and that what causes an error in one memory can cause an error in another. Electromagnetic interference is an example of a means by which nonrandom error combinations are caused, and system design must take this into account. Thus, whereas it would favor the cause of error detection to have two memories read data simultaneously with one verifying the Data Bus transmissions of the other, this would be dangerous since the same electrical accident could easily destroy the same data in two memories. During writing into memory the danger is similar, yet both memories must respond to write commands. No adequate answer to this dilemma exists. The phasing of memory cycles in different units to occur at different times is clumsy and might reduce reliability rather than increase it. Probably the best that can be done is to buffer the information to be written securely with replicated registers and/or error correcting codes. Fortunately, if this information should be lost, it is not irrevocably lost since it can be regenerated by restarting the job that generated it, as described in the following section.

2.2.4.3 Over-Write Protection

Since processor errors and transmission errors might not be detected until after the fact, old data must not be over-written by new data until it can be verified that the new data transmission is complete and correct. To buffer
all such data and then move them to their proper locations later is unsatisfactory in that it is time consuming. Otherwise it is an acceptable solution, since the old data are not disturbed until after the new data have been transmitted to a buffer area in the data memory unit. When this transmission is declared valid, the data are moved into their proper storage areas independently in each of the memory units which are storing it.

To avoid having to move data within the memory unit, a slightly different strategy may be used. A buffer area is made electrically separate from the main memory area so that the two can operate simultaneously. Incoming data are written directly into the proper storage area. Immediately prior to each word write is a read of that word, as is routinely done in magnetic memories, and a transfer of the old word to the buffer area. This differs from the simple buffering scheme in that the old, not the new, information is put in the buffer, where it can be discarded if the transfer is successful, as is almost always true. In the infrequent event of a bad transfer, the information in the buffer is replaced into the storage area, and the job is restarted.

An even simpler storage protection scheme is possible if an entire page is being written. In this case the information is written into an empty page. When the transmission is complete and valid, the page table is changed so that the formerly empty page assumes the designation of the page in question, and the former page area is declared empty. A single message from the processor will both effect the page table swap and update the executive to advance the rerun indicator beyond the job segment thus completed.
2.3 Instruction Memory

2.3.1 Organization

The instruction memory group supplies processors with program information over a set of time-multiplexed buses. To meet the goal of 66 megabits of instructions executed per second, the bandwidth of the group will have to be of the order of 100 megabits per second. This can be achieved either by parallel transmission by bytes, or by using separate buses for each memory, or both.

The memory itself is of two classes. One is permanent; the other volatile, loaded from a bulk storage such as a magnetic tape recorder.

2.3.1.1 Read-Only Memory

It is anticipated that there will be a category of program information of the order of several million bits in quantity which will be prepared far enough in advance of a mission and be of such general utility as to be suitable for mechanizing as a read-only memory. Operational software, guidance system programs, display programs and parameters, and nominal mission programs are examples of such information.

The problem of making a read-only memory highly reliable is less complex than for a read-write memory because no write buffering is needed. Multiple copies are required because of susceptibility to component failures, and error detection techniques will be used to determine invalid memory contents and cause interrogation of alternate copies. MIT/IL is designing such a read-only memory for the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, and the results are applicable here using the braid memory concepts previously reported in MIT/IL Reports R-498 and E-2092. In addition to parity coding, information is checked by storing elements of the address in that addressed location and comparing to the address register. Sense amplifiers are also checked each cycle to see if each is capable of producing a zero and a one output for the appropriate input.

Densities of a few-million bits per cubic foot are now being obtained with experimental braid memories of half-million and one-million bit capacities. Work is in progress to increase this density several-fold to the order of 15 million bits per cubic foot. The circuit and mechanical design considerations are discussed in Chapter 4.
2.3.1.2 Bulk-Loaded Erasable Memory

Because of its high bit density, a bulk storage medium such as a tape is the favored candidate for containing most of the mission programs. By trading off density against redundancy and amplitude it is possible to achieve very high storage reliability provided a reliable transport mechanism is available. Mitigating against the very low cost of this medium is its very long access time. To use a bulk storage in a high-speed machine it is necessary to buffer its contents in a high-speed memory. The size of the buffer is limited by its relatively high cost per bit, but it must be at least large enough to contain all programs which will be simultaneously run, plus sufficient redundancy for reliability considerations. This results in a somewhat complicated cost function for program memory. The use of read-only memory, which is substantially more costly per bit than bulk storage but less than buffer memory, can reduce overall cost if it reduces the size of the buffer. The crossover point cannot be predicted short of system design, mission specification, and software development. For this reason it is especially important that the design allow physical trading-off between ROM and buffer memory.

Just as the ROM has the specific advantages of fast access and high dependability, the bulk storage has specific advantages of being open-ended and being capable of loading a short time before it is separated from its ground-support equipment. By open-ended is meant that there is no limit to the number of words which can be accessed other than the bulk memory volume. The job of allotting buffer space to various bulk memory segments at any particular mission phase is a challenge to the supporting software. It will be made more tractable than it otherwise might be by a paging scheme similar to the one used in the data memory units. Segments of bulk memory will be stored in pages of buffer memory assigned dynamically by the executive from an available page list in the buffer. Instructions are accessed by page number independent of where they may be located physically in the buffer. This scheme has the additional benefit of graceful degradation if electrically separate buffer modules are used in the same way as in the data memory group.

Bulk storage has a second function in the advanced computer, which is for long-term data storage and buffering. For various reasons, it is indicated that there should be a separate unit to serve this function. One is that data storage and buffering operations are incompatible with a short access time for programs in most media, and a second is that the reliability requirement is not as severe in the data application as in the program application due to the noncriticality of the data so treated. It is felt, for example, that tape transports...
of adequate reliability for this application are probably already in existence. Still another reason for separation is that it would be logically convenient to access the data bulk memory via the data memory group rather than the instruction memory group.

2.3.2 Transmission and Error Control

To meet bandwidth and reliability needs, several paths are needed between the instruction memory group and the processor group. The particular structure of these paths will influence the capabilities of the system with regard to latency and reliability.

Probably the least costly and most straightforward means of increasing bandwidth is to transmit groups of bits (bytes) simultaneously over parallel paths. Redundant paths may be added for Hamming-coded transmission for single-error correction as a means of reliability enhancement. This group of paths would be multiplexed just like a single bus.

A second scheme would more nearly resemble a crossbar switch. Each unit of the instruction memory group would have its own bus, and each processor would have access, via a separate multiplexer, to each of these buses. This provides advantages of average latency reduction and backups for the failure of a multiplexer. The scheme has the drawbacks of being inflexible and possibly very costly for a large system.

A third scheme shares a group of buses among processors and memories by replicating several times the single wire bus with its multiplexers. When a processor interrogates the instruction memory group, it places its request message in all of its multiplexers. The first of these to receive an enable signal unloads the others. Each memory unit receives and buffers the request message until it gets a chance to service it, at which time it so notifies all other memory units by a message on the same bus. This is a flexible, gracefully degrading scheme in which bus failure and memory failure are independent.

Which, if any, of these schemes is appropriate is a subject for further study. To meet bandwidth requirements alone, from three to five paths will be needed. To meet reliability goals, two or three copies of the ROM and of the bulk storage and buffer will be needed.
2.4 Processor

2.4.1 Structure

2.4.1.1 General

The goal in processor logical design is to provide performance and flexibility within the limits prescribed by having a modest interface with other subsystems and by having a limited proliferation of logic and interconnections (affecting volume, weight, and power consumption).

The principal sections of the processor are:

1. Arithmetic Unit,
2. Scratchpad Memories,
3. Sequence Generator, and
4. Bus Traffic Processors. (See Fig. 2.6).

There are two Scratchpad Memories: one for data, and a smaller one for instructions. The Bus Traffic Processors are those sections which manage information transfers between the data and instruction buses and their respective Scratchpads.

Despite the establishment of performance goals for this study, the actual performance of the processor will be greater the later it is designed, owing to technological progress. What is done here is to base the processor's design upon generally-held forecasts of developments. This design is one which can meet the stated goals, and which can benefit directly from improvements in components with no major change in structure.

Two performance criteria are affected by processor design: instruction repertoire and speed. The latter criterion is the more comprehensive, as it involves every electronic component, and has a strong inter-relation with component count and power consumption. The former criterion is logarithmically related to word length and, in a microprogrammed machine, linearly related to the capacity of the sequence generator's read-only memory, an item of relatively low cost.

Reliability goals are difficult and controversial. Analytic reliability assessments do not yet reflect all that experience has shown and, therefore, the availability of a machine cannot be expected to be forecast with any precision. The graceful degradation concept presumes a statistical independence among failures, which presumption may not be warranted in a new technology.
Fig. 2.6 Block Diagram of Basic Processor
It is only by making a unit's mean-time-between-failures (as best it can be gauged) long compared to mission time, that the aggregate of units can achieve the extraordinary probability of survival that is required. This may require the use of masking redundancy. In any event, however long-lasting the unit may be, the multiprocessor concept still breaks down unless failure detection is present to a degree that makes the probability of leaving a faulty unit on line sufficiently small. This detection problem will have a substantial influence on processor design.

2.4.1.2 Scratchpads

The local data and program storage of the processor are in modestly sized, relatively fast memories of the type generally referred to as scratch-pad. The name is derived from the analogy with manual data manipulation. For instruction memory, it is a misnomer, but the name has an applicable physical connotation. This application is well-suited for integrated-circuit flip-flop memories, which are in wide-spread development. (This subject is enlarged upon in Chapter 3). The memory scratchpad will be supplemented by the sequence generator's read-only memory (see below), but most instructions will originate outside the processor and be executed from the instruction scratchpad.

The data scratchpad is organized in bytes, or short words. The processor structure is equipped to handle data in this form throughout, giving it the ability to handle numbers (words) of different lengths according to need. Furthermore, the variable-length instruction format proposed for the ACGN makes byte-addressable memory desirable.

Studies of Apollo guidance programs indicate that demand for data storage is polarized around two lengths, a floating-point word of about fifty bits (roughly 40 mantissa and 10 exponent) and a flag or single bit. To suit the demands of floating-point hardware, it is desirable to make the length of a byte an integral power of two bits; and to facilitate the packing of byte data, it is desirable to have an integral power of two bytes per word. The most desirable word lengths would then be 32 or 64 bits which could be arranged in four or eight bytes of eight bits. Unfortunately, thirty-two bits is insufficient to contain floating point numbers with any useful accuracy and sixty-four bits is somewhat extravagant.

Another factor in choosing byte length is the address range of the scratchpad itself. In this regard eight bits is marginal and ten bits generous if word addressing is used. In either case, the mantissa for floating point words would be 40 bits, i.e., five or four bytes respectively.
As in all memories, if a failure affects a single bit position and no others, an error-correcting code can mask the failure. Thus a scratchpad memory of eight or ten useful bits can be made to survive a component failure if it is so organized that memory cells in the same component occupy the same bit position in different words. Four additional bits would be needed for error correction of a single failure, and one more bit (parity) would provide detection of a second failure. Whether or not to employ this form of design is not an obvious choice. Its advantage is clear; it provides survival in the event of a single failure. Its disadvantage is cost; and in addition, the question arises as to whether a unit encumbered by a single failure would be desirable to have on line.

The number of bytes in the data memory must be sufficient to allow the assembly, dispatch and manipulation of data. It is therefore desirable that several pages of common memory, and preferably more, should fit in the processor's data scratchpad. Since there are physical constraints on scratchpad size and paging electronics in common memory, the page size and hence the scratchpad size will be dependent on implementation for several reasons. At a minimum, several thousand bits would be required.

The instruction scratchpad memory is considerably smaller than the data scratchpad. Instruction fetches from the common instruction memory are planned to be as many as 256 bits at a time, and the scratchpad must be large enough to contain two or more of these blocks. The memory is byte-organized, like the data scratchpad, permitting easy access to variable-length instructions.

### 2.4.1.3 Sequence Generator

A microprogram form of sequence generator is deemed best for this processor. It offers flexibility and compactness at a possible speed sacrifice. Instruction microprograms are stored in a read-only memory, highly efficient of size and cost per bit. There is virtually no limit to the instruction complexity that can be incorporated this way. Error control within this read-only memory is implemented by single- or double-error correction coding.

The organization of the sequency generator will be such that contents of the read-only memory can be interpreted as microprogram or as program; thus, each processor can have its own repository of certain frequently-used programs. Some complex operations generally handled by program subroutines in present computers may be handled in this processor either by subroutine, by long microprograms, or by a combination, according to demands on speed and storage efficiency. Such operations would include major arithmetic operations (vector and matrix arithmetic) and routines for fetching and storing data in the common memory.
2.4.1.4 Arithmetic Unit

The arithmetic unit will provide facilities for the standard combinatorial operations on words: addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, shifting, normalizing, logical product, logical sum, and logical inversion. These operations will be implemented a byte at a time with provision for multi-byte words to be processed within the microprogram structure. In addition, floating point arithmetic will be part of the instruction repertoire, and will use hardware in the arithmetic unit appropriate to its execution.

To achieve the goals discussed in Chapter 1, the speed of the arithmetic unit will be such as to permit a full-precision addition in one or two microseconds, and a full-precision multiplication in five to ten. To realize this with a parallel register organization is not difficult. On the other hand, since a serial organization minimizes interconnections and simplifies testing and checking, it is of some interest to determine whether sufficiently fast shifting and adding circuits are available. At present, the semiconductor industry produces high-density shift registers too slow for this purpose. The future holds much promise for higher speeds, however, and the advantages of serial operation make it attractive enough to use for the processor design. A serial arithmetic unit is further discussed in Chapter 3.

2.4.1.5 Bus Traffic Processing

An important facet of processor design is the means by which the exchange of information with other units is reconciled with normal operation. This is done by sections of logic which interface with the multiplexer, the sequence generator, and the scratchpad memories.

Since transmission rates of tens-of-megabits per second are expected, it follows that several bytes per microsecond may be transferred between scratchpad and multiplexer. Three possibilities for handling this transfer are to do it in normal mode, in interrupt mode, or by cycle stealing. For message and data memory transfer, one of the first two would be appropriate. As presently envisioned, the multiprocessor structure is such as to make it possible for each processor to idle and scan the multiplexer whenever a relevant message is expected. This being true, no interrupt is required. If greater flexibility is required, interruption of program for message handling would be called for. This would require either a very quick interrupt, with a response time of less than one instruction, or else a buffer store on the multiplexer. The present processor design concept has no interrupt, for the sake of design simplicity. This is not to deny the possibility of its eventual inclusion, however.
In the case of instruction traffic, the destination of the instruction information is not in the processor's addressable data area, and transfer occurs during general program execution. Cycle stealing is an appropriate means for moving information into the instruction scratchpad.

The bus traffic processing sections have the capability of recognizing bus information, i.e., distinguishing between relevant and irrelevant messages, data, and instruction. Moreover, they have the capability of verifying that a transmission from the processor appears correctly on the bus.

2.4.2 Instruction Execution

In this section, methods for minimizing the length of instructions are discussed. Although it is doubtless clear that such efficiency is worthwhile, perhaps it is worth emphasizing that, in addition to the saving of bits of physical memory, a reduction in the length of the average instruction increases execution speed both by increasing the average number of instructions per fetch and by increasing the probability that the target of a branch instruction is already contained in the program buffer.

2.4.2.1 Instruction Formats

Consider the two most common types of instruction, referred to as unary and binary, respectively, because of the number of operands utilized:

\[ f(B) \rightarrow A \]

\[ f(B, C) \rightarrow A \]

If one or more address fields could be deleted from these instructions, and if, on the average, the saving due to the deletion is greater than the extra space required to store indicator bits which describe the presence or absence of address fields, the result is a net improvement.

The two means proposed to effect this saving are:

1. Implementation of scratchpad memory in such a way that it acts as a pushdown stack; and

2. Omission of the (only) operand address field or first operand address field if that operand location is identical to the result or sink location.
Assume that with each instruction there is an associated group of bits called the instruction format code (IFC). Then, if the IFC specified the type of addressing, it is possible, for example, to have a binary instruction with no explicit address, or with 1, 2, or 3 addresses, as appropriate.

The functioning of the pushdown stack is as follows: When an item is "pushed down", the behavior is as though information already in the stack were moved to the next location down, and the new entry added at the top. When an item is taken from the stack, the top item is delivered as the operand, and the data remaining in the stack is effectively "pushed up" one location. Typical implementations of pushdown stacks do not actually move the stored information up and down, but function by using a register which contains the location, say, of the "top" entry in the stack, and perhaps other registers which specify stack boundaries, for checking purposes.

The logical efficiency of the pushdown mechanism may be demonstrated by an example. Consider first an algorithm to calculate one root of a quadratic equation:

\[
\frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} \rightarrow x
\]

Using T1 - T3 as temporary storage locations, this might be programmed as follows, using only explicit addresses:

1. A × TWO → T1 2A
2. A × FOUR → T2 4A
3. T2 × C → T2 4AC
4. SQUARE (B) → T3 B^2
5. T3 - T2 → T2 B^2 - 4AC
6. SQRT (T2) → T2 \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}
7. T2 - B → T2 numerator
8. T2 T1 → X done

In this example, note that addresses have been written in 22 places. Now examine the same algorithm coded with specification of pushup and pushdown (*) instead of actual addresses. The occurrence of * on the left side of the replacement arrow indicates a "pushup" operation, while its occurrence on the right side indicates a "pushdown". Each program step above proceeds from left to right.
The saving is evident, since only eight addresses are explicitly written.

The "implicit sink" instruction form can also save space, as in the coding for

\[ X + 1 \rightarrow X \]

which requires the address of X to be specified twice unless "implicit sink" is specified.

The number of bits required to specify the instruction format may be determined by listing the possible cases:

\[
\begin{align*}
&f(B) \rightarrow A & f(A) \rightarrow * \\
&f(A) \rightarrow A \\
&f(*) \rightarrow A & f(*) \rightarrow * \\
&f(B,A) \rightarrow A \\
&f(A,B) \rightarrow A \\
&f(A,B) \rightarrow A \\
&f(A,A) \rightarrow A & f(A,A) \rightarrow * \\
&f(A,A) \rightarrow A \\
&f(A,A) \rightarrow A \\
&f(A,A) \rightarrow A
\end{align*}
\]

Since there are only fifteen formats, a four-bit prefix is sufficient to specify which addresses are absent from the instruction, and why.

2.4.2.2 Addressing

Because of the three types of memory, three types or ranges of addresses are required. From the programming standpoint it would be desirable that the entire range of possible addresses be divided into three regions. The lowest-numbered addresses might refer to scratchpad, for example, the next region to general erasable, and the highest addresses to program memory. Note that low-range addresses do not uniquely
determine a location in storage in any sense, since the determination of
the memory to which the address refers requires, additionally, the specifica-
tion of the number of the processor to which it belongs. Of course, the mid-
range addresses are also ambiguous, although in a different way, because of
the 'page' concept implemented in the general erasable memory.

The heaviest uses of memory are those for which the memories are
designed: namely, fetching of instructions from program memory, transfer
variable data in both directions between scratchpad and general erasable, and
computational manipulation of data in scratchpad storage. However, other
uses of the memories are also important. These include fetching of constants
from program memory, execution of program steps of various sizes in both
types of erasable memory, and execution of list-processing instruction im-
plemented in general erasable memory.

To permit the generation of a wide range of addresses without expending
the number of bit positions necessary to contain such addresses in each operand
address field, a three-component address field similar to that used in commerical
computers would be appropriate. In particular, each operand address field
contains three subfields. Two of these subfields, of 4 or 5 bits in length,
specify base and index registers whose contents are added to the contents of
the third subfield, say 8-15 bits, to form the address. It would not appear to
be an important constraint to require that the type of memory addressed
by the sum of the three components must agree with the type of memory at
which the base register itself points, if this should prove to permit simplifica-
tion of the implementation. Such a constraint, for example, would allow issu-
ance of a request for the appropriate bus prior to the completion of the forma-
tion of the address.

Both the group of registers used in forming operand addresses and the in-
struction-location register contain enough bit positions to address the maximum
memory which the system is capable of containing, in spite of the absence of much
of this memory in most applications. Thus it is clear that formation of certain
addresses would be illegal, and suitable involuntary transfers need to be provided
to deal with this situation.

The instruction and addressing formats just described could be con-
veniently embodied in a 6-bit byte organization in which a 48-bit floating-point
word comprises eight bytes. This has the advantage of a power-of-two relation-
ship between bytes and words, but does not cover the scratchpad address field
in a single byte. Instruction formats would appear as in Fig. 2.7.
### Fig. 2.7 Instruction formats for six-bit byte, three-field address organization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>IFC</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>B₁</th>
<th>I₁</th>
<th>D₁</th>
<th>B₂</th>
<th>I₂</th>
<th>D₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Bits</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Byte No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
This format for addresses would permit either byte- or word-organized addressing. The disadvantage of byte addressing is that the 10-bit displacement can only reference within blocks of 128 words. By addressing words, blocks of 1024 words could be accessed without switching base registers. However, byte indications would have to be given separately where applicable (branches, logical operations with immediate data, etc.). To conveniently access data of varying length in a byte machine, floating index registers might be implemented. These would shift the value in the index register left according to the type of data implied by the instruction before actually adding it into the address. Thus, one might access successive words in a table merely by modifying an index register by 1 each time.

2.4.2.3 Processor-Local Addressing

An alternative addressing organization economizes on the length of instruction words at the expense of programming convenience, and possibly speed. In this approach, the processor can directly address only what is in its scratchpad memory. Access to the common data memory is made by first explicitly commanding a transfer of data to the processor's scratchpad. This somewhat unconventional scheme is explored in this section. No commitment is made herein as to whether it is more or less desirable than the scheme previously described, since it is felt that the subject needs further investigation before a choice can be made.

2.4.2.3.1 Program Memory Addressing and Instruction Handling by Processor

2.4.2.3.1.1 Review of Instruction Handling

Program words for the entire multiprocessor system are kept in a central Instruction Memory. Small blocks of program are transferred over the Instruction Bus from the Instruction Memory into the Program Store in individual processors. Actual execution of program takes place from the Processor Program Store. The transfer takes place in response to a Program Read Message issued by a processor.

A convenient approach involves transfer of a block of program words from the Main Instruction Memory to the Processor Program Store for each Program Read Message. The larger the number of words in the program block, the fewer the number of message words that must be put on the bus to obtain a given number of program words. On the other hand, the larger the number of words in the program block, the more likely that not all program words transferred will actually be executed before a transfer of control out of the block is required.
It would be advantageous to employ subaddresses in the instructions themselves wherever possible. This reduces the number of bits that must be provided in the Main Program Memory, that must be transferred over the bus, and that must be stored in the Processor Program Store.

2.4.2.3.1.2 Description of Proposed System

2.4.2.3.1.2.1 Addressing Scheme

Assume the Processor Program Store holds N bytes of program. A Program Read Message issued by the processor will cause a block of N bytes to be transferred from the Main Instruction Memory to the Processor Program Store. The Main Instruction Memory is divided into N-byte blocks. Groups of these N-byte blocks form Programs. Therefore, any program word in the Main Instruction Memory can be referenced by a Program Number, Block Number, and Relative Address within the Block. Addressing within a Block is relative to the first address in that Block. Block Numbers within a Program are relative to the first Block in that Program.

Program words consist of a variable number of bytes. A field at the beginning of each program word will be set aside to indicate the number of bytes making up that program word.

Associated with the Processor Program Store, there is an ID Register which contains the Program Number and the Block Number of the current contents of the Processor Program Store.

Reference parts of instructions that refer within their Block require only a Relative Address. Reference parts of instructions that refer within their Program but outside their Block require a Block Number and a Relative Address. (The ID Register contains the current Program Number.) Reference parts of instructions that refer outside their Program require a Program Number, Block Number, and Relative Address.

2.4.2.3.1.2.2 Out-of-Block References

At execution time, the basic unit of program is the Block. Before running, a Block of program is read from the Main Instruction Memory into the Processor Program Store. After execution of the last instruction in a Block, the processor composes and issues a Program Read Message for the next Block in sequence, causing it to be read into the Processor Program Store.

If there is a "transfer control" type of reference out of the current block, the processor composes and issues a Program Read Message for the new Block, causing it to be read into the Processor Program Store. Execution is begun at the referenced Relative Address.
If there is a single word "data fetch" type of reference to a block of Instruction Memory that is not currently in the Processor Program Store, the entire new block is not read. The processor composes and issues a Program Read Message only for the referenced word, causing it to be read into the Processor's Accumulator. (For block data fetch, see next section.)

2.4.2.3.1.2.3 Program Read Messages

Program Read Messages are of two types: those which cause a Block of Main Instruction Memory to be read, and those which cause a single word of Main Instruction Memory to be read. The former requires the Program Number and the Block Number of the desired block; the latter requires the Program Number, the Block Number, and the Relative Address of the desired word.

Program Read Messages can be written explicitly into the program or can be composed and issued by the processor because of an out-of-block reference, as discussed in the previous section. In the latter case, the Processor uses the Program Number found in the ID Register if the out-of-block reference is within the current Program. It would be useful to have a data-read message for transferring blocks of constants from the Main Instruction Memory directly into the Processor Data Scratchpad. This message would require the Program Number and Block Number of the desired block, and also the address of the first register in the Scratchpad into which the first word of data should be placed.

2.4.2.3.1.2.4 Addressing Within Processor

For any word in the Main Instruction Memory, the relative address (within the block) of the register from which the word is read is the same as the address of the register into which the word is placed in the Processor Program Store. Thus it is possible to transfer a block of program from the Main Instruction Memory to the Processor Program Store and execute it directly without performing address modification.

Addresses for the Processor Program Store (loaded from Main Instruction Memory) and for the Processor Data Scratchpad (loaded from Main Data Memory) do not overlap. Program instructions can refer to either uniquely.

The memory layout is illustrated in Fig. 2.8, and the four classes of address reference are shown in Fig. 2.9.
### Main Instruction Memory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rel Add</th>
<th>Prog #1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Rel Add</th>
<th>Prog #2</th>
<th></th>
<th>Rel Add</th>
<th>Prog #3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Block 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Block 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Block 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Block 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Block 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Block 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Block 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Block 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Block 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Block 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.8
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OP CODE</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OP 256 → N</td>
<td>Reference to Processor Data Scratchpad.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>OP 0 → 255</td>
<td>Reference within same Block.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OP BLOCK # 0 → 255</td>
<td>Reference out of Block, but within same program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>OP PROG # BLOCK # 0 → 255</td>
<td>Reference out of Program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2.9 Four classes of memory reference.
2.4.2.3.1.4 Indexing

Indexed instructions exist in the Main Instruction Memory with the shortest form of reference address as possible, just as non-indexed instructions. Since the indexing operation may cause the reference to cross block boundaries, a complete address (Program Number, Block Number, and Relative Address) is required at execution time for the reference address of indexed instructions. If the reference address is not complete, the processor will build up a complete address at execution time by referring to the current block ID Register for the absent fields.

After indexing, it is desirable to refer to the current block ID Register to decide if a new block must be read in or if the final reference is to the current block. This saves reading in a new block needlessly.

2.4.2.3.1.5 Program Modification

Since the Processor Program Store is an alterable memory, a program can modify itself at execution time. This is of limited use since any modifications made to program in the Processor Program Store will be lost for all time when a new block of program is read in. Any subsequent read of the block of program that was modified will obtain the unmodified copy from the Main Program Memory. Error control would be complicated by such operations.

2.4.2.3.1.6 Multi-Block Processor Program Store

2.4.2.3.1.6.1 Two-Block System

A useful extension of the proposed processor organization would be to add a second block to the Processor Program Store. One Program Store contains the block of program currently being executed; the other, the last block of program that was executed before the current block. An ID Register is needed for each block in the Processor Program Store. When reference is made outside the block currently being executed, the other block's ID Register is used to determine if the referenced block of program is already in the other section of the Processor Program Store. Only if the referenced block of program is not already present in the Processor Program Store would it be necessary to read from the Main Instruction Memory. Whenever a new block of program is read from the Main Instruction Memory, it is written over the block in the Processor Program Store from which program is not currently being executed.

Addressing for both blocks in the Processor Program Store is the same as the relative addressing within a block in the Main Instruction Memory. Thus, program can be executed from either block in the Processor Program Store without address modification.
The two-block system decreases the number of program block transfers from the Main Instruction Memory in situations in which program in one block calls program in another block which returns to the first. If this occurs in a loop, so much greater the saving.

2.4.2.3.1.6.2 Three-Block System

A further extension of the processor organization would be to add a third block to the Processor Program Store. One block contains the block of program currently being executed (current); another, the block of program that was executed just before the current block (last); the third, the block of program that was executed just before these two (oldest).

When a transfer of control is made to a new block of program, the block is read from the Main Instruction Memory into the oldest block and this block is marked as "current". The block from which the transfer of control was made is marked as "last". The block that was "last" becomes "oldest". Of course, whenever a reference is made outside the block currently being executed, the ID Registers for the other two blocks in the Processor Program Store are consulted to determine if the referenced block of program is already in the processor. If so, no new block is read. The block to which transfer of control is made is marked as "current". The block from which transfer of control is made is marked as "last". The third block is marked as "oldest" (it may already have this status).

Another approach for the three-block system would be to use one block for "current", one for "last", and the third for a guess of "next".

2.4.2.3.1.7 Alternative Considered to Proposed System

Another possible system has no predetermined, fixed blocks within the Main Instruction Memory. When a transfer of control is made out of the current contents of the Processor Program Store, a block of 256 bytes beginning with the referenced word is transferred from the Main Instruction Memory. If program is executed sequentially, all instructions in the transferred block will be executed. This resolves one problem of the predetermined, fixed-block system where transfer of control to a word near the end of a block causes many transferred words to be wasted.

Since the start of any block to be transferred from the Main Instruction Memory is not known in advance, it is not possible to supply addresses relative to the start of their block. Therefore, addresses must be modified at execution time by subtracting out the address of the first word in the block transferred to
the Processor Program Store. No such modification is required in the pre-
determined, fixed-block system. Also, more bits are required for address
references within current block then in the predetermined, fixed-block system.
In addition, a single predetermined byte for column parity checking cannot
be used. This alternative scheme is deemed to be less satisfactory than the
fixed-block scheme.

2.4.2.3.2 Erasable Data Handling by Processor

2.4.2.3.2.1 General Description

Data words for the entire multiprocessor system are kept in a central
Erasable Memory. Since the Main Data Memory is divided into pages of equal
length, a page number and relative address within the page are required to
reference any word in the memory. The page numbers used by all parts of
the system outside the Main Data Memory do not necessarily refer to the actual
physical pages in the memory. The transformation between the page numbers
used for communication with the Memory and the actual physical pages in the
Memory is performed by the Memory System. Data are transferred over the
Data Bus from the Main Data Memory into the Data Scratchpad in individual
processors. Actual manipulation of data takes place from the Processor Data
Scratchpad. The transfer takes place in response to a Data Read Message
issued by a processor.

2.4.2.3.2.2 Scratchpad Function

The Processor Data Scratchpad is used as a buffer for Main Data
Memory data, as well as storage for temporary results. A typical job issues
a Data Read Message to transfer a block of data from the Main Data Memory
(not necessarily all from the same page) into its Scratchpad, performs its
computations referring to Processor Scratchpad, and issues a Data Store
Message to transfer results back into the Main Data Memory. It is desirable
to transfer several words of data with a single read or store message, in
order to reduce the number of message words that must be put on the bus to
transfer a given number of data words. Notice that program instructions do not
refer to the Main Data Memory, but only to the Processor Scratchpad.

2.4.2.3.2.3 Erasable Data Read and Store Messages

Read and Store Messages involving transfer of data between the Main
Data Memory and an individual processor's Scratchpad Memory appear
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explicitly in the program executed by that processor. These messages contain the following information:

- Page Number in Main Data Memory.
- Relative Address within page of first referenced word.
- Number of consecutive words of data.
- Processor Scratchpad Address corresponding to the first word of data.

The Processor Scratchpad Address specifies into which Processor Scratchpad locations data will be placed by a Read Message or from which Processor Scratchpad locations data will be obtained by a Store Message.

2.4.2.3.2.4 Consequences of Read and Store Message Format
Since program instructions do not refer to the Main Data Memory but only to the Processor Scratchpad, the address references needed require fewer bits. This reduces the number of bits that must be provided in the Main Instruction Memory, that must be transferred over the bus, and that must be stored in the Processor Program Store.

For any word in the Main Data Memory, the relative address within the page of the register from which it is read need bear no relation to the address of the register into which it is placed in the Processor Scratchpad. A similar statement applies to storing from the Processor Scratchpad into the Main Data Memory. This flexibility is a result of being able to specify any Scratchpad Address in Read and Store Messages. Furthermore, data from different pages in the Main Data Memory can be mixed in the Processor Scratchpad.

Portions of the Processor Scratchpad containing data no longer required by the program running on the processor can be re-used to receive new data brought in by subsequent read messages.

2.4.2.3.2.5 Implication on Programming
2.4.2.3.2.5.1 Basic Language Programming
Symbolic names of pages in the Main Data Memory are declared to the assembler. The assembler assigns a page identifier number to the page name. These page numbers bear no relation to pages in the actual memory. They need only be distinguishable from all other page identifier numbers. Main Data Memory register symbols are declared to the assembler to be within specific pages already declared. The assembler assigns a relative address within the appropriate page to each of these register symbols in the order of declaration.
Processor Scratchpad register symbols are declared to the assembler. These are in effect only for the program for which they are declared. For each program, the assembler assigns a Scratchpad address to each of these register symbols in the order of declaration.

The typical form of Data Read and Store Messages as presented to the assembler is:

```
READ MAINSYMBOL N INTO LOCALSYMBOL
STORE MAINSYMBOL N FROM LOCALSYMBOL
```

The assembler supplies the Page Number and Relative Address within Page for MAINSYMBOL. It supplies the Scratchpad Address for LOCALSYMBOL.

Once an association between certain Main Data Memory Addresses and certain Processor Scratchpad Addresses has been established by the presence of a Read Message, it should be possible for the programmer to refer symbolically in subsequent program instructions to either the Main Erasable Memory register symbols or to the Processor Scratchpad symbols. In either case, the Processor Scratchpad Addresses will be assembled into program instructions.

2.4.2.3.2.6 Subroutine Use of Processor Scratchpad

Of the several programs that wish to call a given subroutine, each may have different parts of Processor Scratchpad available for use by the subroutine. Therefore, it seems advisable to construct subroutines with indexed references to Processor Scratchpad and to have the calling program pass the address to the subroutine of the first register of a block of Processor Scratchpad that the subroutine can use. A typical subroutine needs Processor Scratchpad registers for the following functions: obtaining data from the calling program; leaving results for calling program; direct reads by the subroutine of data from the Main Erasable Memory; temporary storage.
All four classes of program instructions are transferred from the Main Instruction Memory to the Processor Program Store without any address modification. Execution of classes 3 and 4 require the processor to read a new block into the Processor Program Store.

2.4.2.3.1.3 Some Consequences of Proposed System

2.4.2.3.1.3.1 Advantages

The proposed system makes use of predetermined, fixed blocks of program in the Main Instruction Memory and permits use of variable length addresses (Program Number, Block Number, Relative Address within Block). This requires fewer bits to store instructions from the Main Instruction Memory, in the Processor Program Store, and requires fewer bits to be transmitted over the Program Bus. Furthermore, instructions are run in the Processor Program Store without address modification.

When a block of program is transferred from the Main Instruction Memory to the Processor Program Store, some sort of column parity check is desirable. The proposed predetermined, fixed-block system allows the use of a single byte for column parity checking for each block. This checking byte is determined in advance and included with the program block in the Main Instruction Memory. A system in which the starting point for each block is variable or the block size is variable presents two alternatives: either a predetermined byte for column parity checking would have to be included for each word in the Main Instruction Memory; or, in order to get by with a single byte for column parity checking for each block, it would be necessary to compute this checking byte in the Memory System just before transfer.

2.4.2.3.1.3.2 Disadvantages

A system employing predetermined, fixed blocks of program in the Main Instruction Memory has the disadvantage that, if transfer of control is made to an instruction near the end of its block and if the program is executed sequentially, a new block must soon be read in. Most of the instructions transferred in the original block are not executed and their transfer was wasted. It might be possible for the compiler to prevent this situation from occurring by starting new program blocks at entry points.

A similar disadvantage occurs if a program loop of size sufficiently small to fit within a block is actually separated into two blocks. The Multi-Block System described below would alleviate this disadvantage.
2.4.3 Error Control

2.4.3.1 Masking Vs. Detection

High system reliability calls for two characteristics, not always compatible. One, high subunit reliability, is achieved either by component reliability alone or with the help of redundancy. The other characteristic is the ability to detect an error in the subunit which results in wrong behavior. The latter is essential to the graceful degradation concept. The enhancement of reliability within a subunit is called masking, and triple redundancy is a common example. Triply redundant logic suppresses a single error or failure; however, it does not detect cases in which it fails to suppress errors, i.e., multiple errors or failures.

It is generally true that error detection is distinct from masking, though there are certain interesting exceptions. One is the Manning code for single-error correction, which will detect double errors with the addition of a parity check. Another is a system which obviates error detection by replacement of faulty sections in a triply redundant system as they occur. It is also true that no masking or detection scheme is foolproof. The more simultaneous errors that can be handled, the more expensive and unwieldy the system. On the other hand, if errors are statistically independent, a double-error scheme is very much more effective than a single-error scheme.

The processor in question will use masking to the degree in which it is needed to achieve the requisite mean-time-to-failure. Error detection will be present to as large a degree as is feasible, in order to achieve graceful degradation. In general, it is no easier to detect errors in a masked system than in an unmasked system, and it may be more difficult. In our experience, however, error detection is essential, largely because of the difficulty in predicting the types of malfunctions that need to be masked. Some problems, moreover, such as faulty programs, can cause trouble that no amount of masking can alleviate but which error detection can.

The importance of error detection is to be emphasized strongly. For example, it has been pointed out that, for a given probability of error and a given probability of detecting the error, there is some number of on-line processors beyond which the system reliability is degraded by adding more on-line processors. This is so because of the possibility of using and trusting a processor which gives wrong answers. Therefore, a great deal of attention is paid to this problem in processor design.
2.4.3.2 Arithmetic Error Control

Considerable work has been done on the problem of economical arithmetic error control. Masking has been done by triple redundancy. Detection has been done by double redundancy and by the use of codes which survive arithmetic operations.

Coded redundancy has the disadvantage of requiring that numbers be encoded for arithmetic operations and decoded for logical operations. Its main advantage is its efficiency of error detection in a parallel arithmetic unit. Secondarily, the encoded form of the number is appropriate for error detection in transmissions from one subunit to another. Because of its complications, and because of the trend toward less expensive logic, coded redundancy does not appear to be best for this application. Because the arithmetic unit is a rather small part of the processor, its complete duplication would be a reasonable price to pay for simplicity in error detection. If serial arithmetic is used, the same degree of detection is obtained at an even lower expenditure by duplication of the arithmetic elements and coded redundancy in the central registers, using parity or Hamming coding.

2.4.3.3 Memory Error Control

The general problem considered here is the successful transmission of data between the arithmetic unit and the common data memory via scratchpad and multiplexer. Similarly, it concerns the successful transmission of instructions from the common instruction memory to the sequence generator via scratchpad and multiplexer. The difference between this and arithmetic error control is principally that this data does not undergo change, as does information which is processed by the arithmetic unit. Techniques used here are those commonly used in transmission systems. They involve the employment of extra bits as single- or multiple-error detection and correction codes, such as parity and Hamming codes. Masking by this technique is not effective, owing to its susceptibility to single component failure.

For all storage elements and parallel buses involved, the Hamming code requires an extra expenditure of equipment roughly proportional to the base 2 logarithm of the number of bits involved. Thus it is a more efficient code for long words than for short ones. If equipment efficiency were a stronger consideration than it is, this fact might preclude a Hamming-coded byte-organized memory system. For serial buses, the Hamming code imposes a time, rather than equipment, penalty. A more important advantage of a serial unit is the ease with which the code bits can be generated and checked. A simple sequential circuit does the work of the rather complex combinational circuit needed for parallel transfer.
2.4.3.4 General Error Control

No claim is made that the redundant circuit schemes just described are comprehensive. Their value is that they make the probability of undetected error remote. Even with perfect arithmetic and memory operations there are many susceptibilities to error, and the schemes described do not preclude imperfect arithmetic and memory operations or undetected errors.

Other error coverage within the processor is necessary to do two remaining jobs: to mask and/or detect errors in the sequence generator and remaining portions of the unit, and to provide an overall check that the processor as a whole is correctly functioning. The overall check must serve as backup for all of the circuit checks in the event of errors too massive or subtle to be detected by them.

Probably the most powerful means of overall error detection is the programmed check, in which every computed answer is verified by a second computation, sufficiently different in form so as not to repeat an erroneous step. This is a technique long familiar to numerical analysts confronted with imperfect computational tools. Two disadvantages of this method are that it increases the programmer's responsibility, and doubles the capability requirement of the computer. At this stage of design, it is too early to say whether it will be feasible to use program checks, but they are always available as a means of trading off performance and reliability.

Less expensive and less strong checks are what are often called "reasonableness" checks. Variables are tested to see if they are within limits set by program or hardware. An example of the latter is found in the Apollo Guidance Computer's checks on program sequencing. Limits are put on the duration of interrupt mode, the time between interrupts, on consecutive transfers of control, time between transfers of control, and time between runnings of the executive program. The programmer can arrange reasonableness checks with substantially less effort and computer usage than full program checks. These checks are powerful, nonetheless, and particularly if they are applied liberally enough to keep the computer from causing irreversible damage to its environment.

Finally, certain analog checks are necessary to monitor voltages, oscillators, scalers, and so forth.

As some writers have recently observed, the highly reliable computer will have to draw upon several of the approaches here enumerated. The degree to which any is applied will depend on the technology at the time the design is
executed. In the processor in question, the leaning is strongly toward single-error detection in the circuitry with a full complement of reasonableness checks to back them up. Program checks are to be implemented where feasible and needed as a matter of mission programming. The impact on processor design is, of course, in terms of speed and scratchpad capacity versus performance.

2.5 Job Control and Executive Services

2.5.1 Monitor Control Lists

Job dispatching activity in the executive is centered about three functional control structures in data memory - the dispatch list, the waitlist, and the eventlist - and a register in the I/O Buffer called NEWJOB. All jobs which are to be executed pass through the dispatch list, which comprises all jobs which are ready for execution but have not yet been assigned to a processor. An event is a "happening" whose occurrence may be posted in an event block and which, in turn, may cause other events (which have asked to wait on it) to be posted. A special class of event is specifically provided which, when posted, simply adds a job request to the dispatch list. The waitlist is a list of events, ordered by time, to be posted at specific "times" (defined by the mission clock). The eventlist is a list structure which represents the multiplicity of dependency relationships among the set of active events in the system. NEWJOB indicates pending "external" conditions as well as the highest priority job on the dispatch list. The remainder of this section will discuss the job-execution/dispatching function of the executive and describe the above control structures in more detail.

2.5.1.1 The Dispatch List and NEWJOB

The dispatch list orders jobs currently eligible for execution on the basis of their assigned priorities. Practically all requests for processing go through this list; it is, essentially, a buffer between execution requests and processor availability. Execution preference is assigned first by priority and then by age (oldest first, within each priority group). Jobs are added to the "bottoms" of their respective priority chains and are taken by free processors from the top of the list. The list is threaded in such a way (from an indexable priority table) that additions and deletions are practically direct, with no real searching or sorting.
The NEWJOB register consists of three distinct sections: L (for lock), which contains zero if NEWJOB is free for use by any processor, or, alternately, contains the number of the processor currently using it if it is not generally available; R (for rupts), each bit of which indicates an external condition that requires attention; and J (for job), which contains the priority and dispatch list address of the top job thereon, or zero if the dispatch list is empty. NEWJOB generates a general "processor wake-up" message (via the I/O Buffer) each time any one of the R bits is set to 1, thus requesting service for "external" events such as timer overflow, keyboard input, etc., and every time a "Write NEWJOB" command is executed which leaves or sets any bit non-zero.

A "Read NEWJOB into A" command does the following: L, R, and J are transmitted to A and, if L is zero, the contents of L are then replaced by the number of the requesting processor. A "Write NEWJOB from A" command is as follows: the "L" bits of A are ignored and L is set to zero; the one's complement of the "R" bits of A are logically AND'ed with the contents of R and the result replaces the contents of R; and "J" bits of A simply replace the contents of J. Diagramatically:

\[
\text{(READ) NEWJOB} \rightarrow A
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
L & \rightarrow A_L' \\
R & \rightarrow A_R' \\
J & \rightarrow A_J' \\
Pr# & \rightarrow L \iff L=0.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{(WRITE) A} \rightarrow \text{NEWJOB}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \rightarrow L \\
\overline{A_R} \cdot R & \rightarrow R' \\
A_J & \rightarrow J.
\end{align*}
\]

NOTE: \(\iff\) is read "if and only if"
The "L" bits of NEWJOB serve as the "lock" not only for NEWJOB, but for the entire dispatching function of the executive, which includes all maintenance of the dispatch list. Since waitlist and eventlist activity is more lengthy, those lists are "locked" separately in order not to prohibit normal dispatching activity during the greater part of their processing.

If a job-seeking processor reads NEWJOB and finds L non-zero, it simply "goes to sleep" i.e., goes dormant while awaiting a wake-up signal; if it finds L, R, and J all zero, it restores NEWJOB (zeroing L) and then goes to sleep. If L is zero but there are non-zero bits in R, it creates the necessary dispatch list entries to service all the indicated conditions, takes the highest priority job on the list for itself, and updates NEWJOB (zeroing the serviced "R" bits while preserving the present state of bits which contained zeroes when NEWJOB was read, and updating J). Finally, if L and R are both zero but J is not, it takes the job indicated by J from the list and updates J.

To add a job to the dispatch list, a processor reads NEWJOB to lock the dispatch list, puts the job onto the bottom of the appropriate priority queue, and restores (or updates the J portion of) NEWJOB.

The actual structure of the dispatch list itself is as follows: assume an n-priority system in which priority 1 is lowest; define n sequential cells, P_1, P_2, ..., P_n, containing two pointers each. The first pointer of cell P_i points "forward" at the oldest job request of priority i, and the second pointer points "backward" at the newest job request of priority i. All jobs of priority i are linked from oldest to newest, with the newest job's pointer being 0. Let F(P_i) be the forward pointer of P_i, B(P_i) the backward pointer of P_i, and F(T) the single pointer of job T. If there are no jobs of priority i, then B(P_i) = P_i and F(P_i) = 0.

To insert a job T of priority i in the dispatch list, then, find P_i (loc (P_i) = loc (P_i) + i - 1), set F(B(P_i)) = T, B(P_i) = T, and F(T) = 0. If i exceeds the priority found in NEWJOB, set NEWJOB_i = T. (Typical communications sequence: read NEWJOB; read B(P_i); write F(B(P_i)), B(P_i), F(T), NEWJOB.)

To extract a job from the dispatch list, examine NEWJOB. If there are rupts pending (if R > 0), do the rupt program. Following the rupt processing or if there are no rupts, if a job is ready for execution (J ≠ 0), execute the following procedure, where i is the priority contained in NEWJOB_i.
1. set $F(P_1) = F(J)$
2. if $F(J) \neq 0$, set $NEWJOB_j = F(J)$ and go to 7.
3. if $F(J) \neq 0$, set $B(P_j) = P_j$, set $j = i$, and go to 5.
4. if $F(P_j) \neq 0$, set $NEWJOB_j = F(P_j)$ and go to 7.
5. if $j > 1$, set $j = j - 1$ and go to 4.
6. all $F(P_j)$ must be zero, so set $NEWJOB_j = 0$ and
7. execute $J$.

If $NEWJOB$ is all zero, "go to sleep" since there is nothing to do. (Typical communication sequence: read $NEWJOB$; read $J$; write $P_i$; $NEWJOB$.)

The dispatch list may be viewed diagrammatically as follows:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P_1</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>J_1</th>
<th>J_2</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>J_1</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P_2</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>J_{i+1}+1</th>
<th>J_{i+2}</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>J_{i+1}</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P_n</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>J_{n-1}+1</th>
<th>J_{n}</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>J_{n}</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

where the $J_j$ are job requests, $i_1$ is the number of current job requests of priority 1, and $i_{j} - i_{j-1}$ is the number of job requests of priority $j$ (for $j > 1$). For the situation shown, $NEWJOB_j$ would contain $J_{n-1}+1$.

2.5.1.2 The Waitlist

The waitlist is used by the executive to facilitate the servicing of timer requests. It is typical of control loops that many jobs must be executed periodically; the command WAIT(J, t) is therefore provided by the executive, which will cause job request $J$ to be entered in the dispatch list at time $t$.

The waitlist is just the hopper in which timed job requests sit until their appointed times arrive. Instead of a job request, the command may specify a general event to be posted, and for this reason we choose to refer to the class of entities represented on the waitlist as *timer events*. 
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Timer events which have been requested are ordered, by time, in the waitlist. In order to reduce the number of memory accesses, the waitlist is indexed by a set of equally spaced time intervals of length $\Delta t$. For this purpose, $m$ contiguous words of storage are reserved, which at time $t$ have the (implicit) time values $[t/\Delta t + i] \Delta t$, where $0 < i < m$ and where $[x]$ denotes "integer part of $x$" or "the greatest integer less than or equal to $x$". These words are numbered from $0$ to $m - 1$, and word $w$ may assume (at various times) the time values $(im + 2) \Delta t$, for $i > 0$. Conversely, the word whose time value is $n \Delta t$ is word number $w = n - [n/m]$ $m$.

Each index word contains a pointer to a list of timer events $t$ such that $n \Delta t \leq t < (n + 1) \Delta t$, where $n \Delta t$ is the time value of the index word. The proper index word for an event with time $t$ is, then, word $w$, where $w = [t/\Delta t] - [[t/\Delta t]/m]$ $m$. The computation of $w$ reduces to one shift and one mask operation on a binary computer if both $m$ and $\Delta t$ are integral powers of $2$.

Within each index group, the timed events are ordered by $t' = t - [t/\Delta t] \Delta t$ in order to conserve storage and also to allow use of low-precision arithmetic (for $t'$) even though $t$ is large. An event whose associated time, at the moment of request, falls outside the range of the waitlist is added to an unsorted longcall list, which is periodically inspected and updated to incorporate now-allowable events into the waitlist.

The following diagram illustrates the structure of the waitlist at time $t$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word #</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$(n+n_0)\Delta t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$J_1 \rightarrow J_2 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$(n+n_0+1)\Delta t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$J_{i_0+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow J_i \rightarrow 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m-n_0$</td>
<td>$n\Delta t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$J_i \rightarrow J_{m-n_0-1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow J_i \rightarrow 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m-2$</td>
<td>$(n+n_0-2)\Delta t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$J_{i_{m-2+1}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow J_i \rightarrow 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m-1$</td>
<td>$(n+n_0-1)\Delta t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$J_{i_{m-1+1}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow J_i \rightarrow 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where the $J_i$ are timer events, $n = [t/\Delta t]$, and $m-n_0 = n - [n/m]$ $m$. 
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If the average number of events on the waitlist is N and if the number of events being added to the list of word \( (n+1)\Delta t \) per unit time is (nearly) independent of \( i \), then the average number of memory accesses required to add an event to the waitlist is (nearly) \( 3 + N/2m \). If the \( n\Delta t \) list is the only one which is sorted, and if it can all fit in scratchpad, then the average number of memory accesses per addition to the waitlist becomes \( 3 + 2 (m-1)/m + N/2m (m + 1) \), approximately, which is less than \( 3 + N/2m \) for \( N > 4 (m^2 - 1)/m \).

2.5.1.3 Eventlist

The eventlist is a list structure maintained by the executive which allows programs to specify dependency relationships among events. An event may have an almost arbitrary connotation, but would generally be used to indicate that a process has achieved a specified state, or that a specified change in environment has taken place. Executive calls are provided to post an event (i.e., inform the system that it has occurred), to depost an event (i.e., remove the indication that the event occurred), and to cause a process (job) to be initiated or an event to be posted when a given set of events have occurred. These executive calls take the forms \( \text{POST}(E) \), \( \text{DEPOST}(E) \), and \( \text{WAIT}(E \text{ or } J, n, E_1, E_2, ..., E_m) \), where \( E_i \) denote event blocks, \( J \) a job request (dispatch)block, and \( n \) an integer. The interpretation of the \( \text{WAIT} \) command is that the event represented by \( E \) will be posted (or the job represented by \( J \) will be dispatched) when any \( n \) of the \( m \) events represented by \( E_1 - E_m \) have occurred (been posted).

The event block associated with an event contains a flag, \( P \), indicating whether or not the event has occurred, and a pointer to a list of event control blocks which represents all the events which should be posted when this event occurs. Some events are inherently repetitive, so there is another flag, \( I \), in the event block, which indicates whether the system should force a DEPOST operation immediately after each POST to this event; events for which this happens could be termed instantaneous since the \( P \) flag is always off, indicating that the event has not yet occurred, so that a \( \text{WAIT} \) on the event will only pick up its next occurrence and will not be satisfied by a previous one.

When someone posts an event, the \( P \) flag in its event block is set on (unless the \( I \) flag is set), all the events indicated by the list of event control blocks are posted, and the list is destroyed. A depost of an event merely turns the \( P \) flag of its event block off. When the command \( \text{WAIT}(e, n, E_1, ..., E_m) \) is issued, where only \( n' < n \) of \( E_1 - E_m \) have occurred, a threshold event \( E/n' \), where \( n'' = n - n' \), is created with a pointer to \( E \) and an event control
block indicating $E/n''$ is added to the list of each member of the set $[E_1, \ldots, E_m]$ which has not yet occurred; $n''$ subsequent posts to $E/n''$ will cause $E$ to be posted and cause the deletion of $E/n''$ and all remaining event control blocks which indicate it. Of course, if $n$ or more of $E_1, \ldots, E_m$ have already occurred, then $E$ is posted immediately upon issuance of the WAIT and nothing is added to the eventlist structure. The following diagram indicates the structure which is added to the evenlist upon the issuance of a WAIT $(E, n, E_1', \ldots, E_m')$ command in which none of $E_1' - E_m'$ have occurred:

There are three sets of pointers in this structure: $A$, $B$, and $C$. The $A$ pointers are bidirectional and link together all the event control blocks which must be processed when the event is posted. The $B$ pointers link all event control blocks which indicated $E/n$. The $C$ pointers are the direct links from each event control block to $E/n$ and thence to $E$. $E_1$, $E_2$, $\ldots$, $E_m$ represent event blocks and are always present; the ecb and $E/n$ box numbers represent temporary blocks of storage which are allocated from free storage as needed. The special (and possibly most frequent) case WAIT $(E, 1, E_1')$ generates

where $B = 0$ indicates that no $E/n$ block was necessary.
2.5.2 Process Interlock

The multiprocessor environment is a competitive one; jobs compete for processor time and processors compete for jobs to do. For some processes in this environment, notably those concerned with resource (e.g., processor, memory) allocation, it is essential that at most one processor at a time be engaged in performing the function. A reliable mechanism to prevent multiple simultaneous allocation must, therefore, be an integral part of such a system. Common data memory is a likely locus for such a mechanism, since it is accessible to all processors and since access is synchronized (by the data bus) so that only one processor at a time has access to a particular memory.

The interlock scheme proposed here is flexible and simple to implement, but is completely dependent upon cooperative, "perfect" programs: i.e., it solves only the multiprocessor problem, not the multiprogrammer one. It is a purely software-implemented scheme, assisted by the hardware only to the extent that special instructions are provided to make the mechanization efficient. The basic element of the scheme is a read-and-lock instruction to memory, which reads out the addressed location and, if the high-order n bits (n being sufficient to represent any processor number) are zero in a control word unique to the set of words being accessed, replaces their contents with the number of the requesting processor. If some lock bit is non-zero (i.e., the lock is already locked), the processor "traps" to an executive routine which may either reissue the command (after relinquishing the bus) or enter a specified "user" routine for special action. If the current program is being restarted (due to processor failure, for example), the executive routine first compares the number of the (failed) processor with the lock bits, allowing the program to "pass" the lock, as if it had not been locked, if the numbers match. Since a basic lock involves a processor number, for restart protection, locked memory clearly cannot be allowed to exist across job (and therefore processor) boundaries. A process desiring to set an interjob lock can do so simply by using one of the remaining bits of the lock word as described below. For convenience, a routine could supply this service in a standard fashion.

To set an interjob lock, the routine first issues a read-and-lock instruction. If it passes (i.e., no other processor is testing the interjob bit), then the interjob bit is tested; if zero, it is set non-zero, the lock bits are cleared, the processor restart pointer is updated, and the lock "passes"; if, however, the interjob bit is non-zero, only the lock bits are reset and the locked-lock procedure (e.g., try again later or enter special routine) is executed.
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To reset an interjob lock, it is again necessary first to issue a read-and-lock instruction. Having passed the read-and-lock test, the routine then resets the lock bits and the interjob bit to zero, and updates the processor restart pointer.

It is pointed out that the read-and-lock instruction is used during both set and reset to prevent processor interference, and that successful sets and resets must be accompanied by simultaneous restart pointer updates (to allow restarts). It is possible to omit use of the read-and-lock instruction for interjob locks if the processor prevents interference by holding the bus (away from other processors) throughout the set and reset procedures; this obviates the need for a read during reset.

One alternative to the proposed basic scheme is locking by page number, where the lock is implemented by the memory's associative page table. The read-and-lock command now locks the whole page containing the referenced datum, if not already locked, by associating the requesting processor number with the page number in the page table. All accesses to a locked page cause "traps" if the lock number differs from the requesting processor number.

The main advantage of this alternative is that only programs which access data in order to update them need be concerned with locks. Inconsistent (i.e., only partially updated) data access is automatically prevented since all requests will be trapped if an update procedure is in progress. Its disadvantages, however, are (1) cost, due to increased size of the memory page tables, and (2) loss of flexibility since a page is the minimum lockable unit.

2.5.3 Error Control Function

Design goals of the ACGN multiprocessor computer demand that the system be resistant to the effects of component failure. In this section, we define certain classes of failures and describe more explicitly the goals of error control. We then describe a scheme designed to realize these goals.

We consider as "failures" only those component malfunctions which are detectable. For any finitely redundant coding scheme, it is possible to imagine some disaster sufficiently severe so as to preclude correct signaling of the error to the rest of the system. The case where lightning strikes a unit and fuses it is an example of undetectable "malfunction". Error detecting and correcting codes are classified as to the number of simultaneous failures they can detect or correct. Thus, a parity code detects only odd numbers of failures; a Hamming code corrects (masks) single failures and detects double failures.
failures, and so on. We define permanent failure as those failures which are detectable under repeated inquiry; transient failures as those which are not. One may question the advisability of using a component (say a processor) which has once failed, even if subsequent testing indicates correct behavior. It is, of course, possible to consider all failures as fatal and to remove their respective components from the system. However, one can imagine cases in which all or almost all of a particular component has at one time or another indicated failures and the choice becomes one of selecting the lesser of several evils. We shall, therefore, consider that once-failed components may be deemed useful; it is these failures which we call transient. Implementation of this philosophy requires that components which have indicated failures should be subjected to a validation test, and returned to operational status if the test result is satisfactory. Additionally, it is necessary to maintain a historical record of failures for each component, so that one which fails too often may be removed from the system even if it passes the validation test.

In the succeeding discussion, we shall have occasion to refer to some components as "infallible". This does not, of course, mean that the component cannot in fact fail, the lightning bolt case precludes this interpretation. Rather, we determine some acceptable probability of failure, say \( \epsilon \) and refer to as "infallible" any component in the system whose probability of failure is less than \( \epsilon \). External systems might be sensitive to such "impossible" failures; however, we consider internal failure of this component to be sufficiently unlikely that the cost of protection outweighs the probable loss from failure. In addition, there are some components, the failure of which is sufficient to render recovery impossible. Since we can do nothing to recover from such failures, we might as well ignore their possibility.

We may now restate the goals of the ACGN error control system:

1. The system should be resistant to the effects of transient failures; moreover, these should be transparent to mission programs. By "transparent" we mean that recovery from such failures will be handled automatically by the executive, provided that the mission program has obeyed the programming conventions required by the system.

2. Similarly, permanent failures should be handled transparently wherever possible. To handle cases of failures in which loss of data has occurred and in which no automatic recovery is possible, a mission-program-dependent routine may be specified to handle the error. Possible actions of this routine might include recreating the data, informing the astronaut, or performing a partial or total fresh start. The mechanism for specifying such routines might be similar to that discussed under Section 2.5.5.
3. Fresh start procedures will be implemented in the event of system lockup, erratic system behavior, and repeated restarts.

The scheme we propose to realize these goals makes use of hardware features, executive services and programming conventions. We shall, therefore, declare explicitly the assumptions of the ACGN's architecture upon which our scheme is based:

1. All components of the system are infallible under error detection. This means that the probability of the occurrence of an undetected failure can be made sufficiently small so as to be negligible.

2. Additionally, certain components of the system are infallible under error correction. Essentially, this means that the probability of an unmasked error in these components is at least as small as the probability of the occurrence of an undetected failure in a "fallible" component. Such (infallible) components include:
   a. the bus and its associated control logic
   b. program memory
   c. the I/O Buffer unit, which includes NEWJOB.

3. Pages in erasable memory may fail in a detectable manner; however, since critical data may be replicated in more than one memory module, we may consider erasable data infallible, even though any particular erasable module is not.

4. Fallible components may be isolated from the rest of the system. There are, therefore, two sources of errors which must be handled by the executive.
   1. Processor failure
   2. Data memory failure.

2.5.3.1 Processor Failure

In general, there will be two classes of error control programs, one for processor failure, the other for data memory failure. The major distinction is that the detection of memory failures will cause an involuntary transfer to the executive which would then attempt corrective action. In the case of a processor failure, however, a bit would be set in NEWJOB informing the rest of the system of the offending processor's condition. That processor would then be "put to sleep", a state in which it would not respond to generic signals asking for an interrogation of NEWJOB. Rather, a special message would
have to be issued to this processor by number, to "wake it up". This would
have the effect of isolating processors with known failures so that they would
not contaminate the rest of the system by generating bad data. When the
wakeup signal is sent to the failed processor it would presumably execute a
self-check program, the results of which would indicate whether the given
processor was capable of correct performance. If so, the failed job could
be restarted at the point indicated by its restart pointer. If not, it would go
back to sleep until a subsequent successful completion of self-check demon-
strated its usability.

In either case, the job active at the time of the failure must be restarted. In
the interests of completing the job as soon as possible, it would probably be desir-
able not to await the completion of self-check, but rather to restart the job on another
processor (presumably the one that discovered the first processor's failure by
investigating NEWJOB). To find the restart pointer, the restart program con-
sults an active job table which is indexed by processor number and which con-
tains the current restart address for every active job. The new processor then
updates its own entry in the active job table to include the current restart
point for the job being restarted, plus the number of the processor on which the
job was previously run. This will be necessary in the event that, previous to
the restart, the job had locked any data in memory. Any attempt to access
that data subsequent to restart would then cause an interrupt, at which point the
executive would consult the active job table to see if the current job is in
Restart, and if the number of the previous processor matches the number
recorded in the lock. If so, the access is allowed to take place. If not,
normal locking procedures would have to be followed. It is critical that, if
subsequent to Restart but prior to the termination of the restarted job the failed
processor successfully completes its self-check, it WAIT on the completion
of the restarted job before executing any other jobs. Otherwise the detection
of a lock code equal to the failed processor's number would be ambiguous.

Some conventions concerning the use of the restart pointer should now
be stated.

This pointer indicates the location where the program should be re-
entered should a restart occur. Data contained in scratchpad can not be
assumed valid across phase pointer changes. Therefore, a program may change
the restart pointer only when the scratchpad is not assumed to contain the
results of previous processing. Thus, an appropriate time to issue such a
phase change might be after a write which completed the update of some datum.
The staging area design of the memories has assured us that any update which can be contained in one transfer from scratchpad will be either successfully completed or completely ignored. In the latter case, the executive would take effect and restart the job from the most recently issued phase point. It is critical that the actual change of the phase pointer be concurrent with the update of erasable so that no restarts may occur between the end of the data transmission and the actual altering of the phase pointer. In practice, since we know that all transmissions must be completed, we could make the new phase pointer the last (or any) word of the data being sent to erasable.

Although this property of scratchpad-to-memory transfers assures us of consistant results in the case of short updates, it is not sufficient in cases where intermediate results must be stored in erasable. Here the technique involves creation of a new copy of the data and, when it is complete, either copying it to the original location(s), or renaming the new version with the old version's name. An example of this would be:

Set restart pointer

\[ f(X) \rightarrow X' \]

Exchange names X and X' and set restart pointer

In the case that X has multiple instances, consistency would be maintained by sending new copies to all memories or by swapping names in all memories.

There is a pathology in this scheme associated with data of extent not exactly one page in length. It is quite possible that, if a logical datum does not completely fill a page, the remaining space will be allocated to some logically independent data. If one is to use the renaming scheme described above, it is imperative that the "bottom" part of the page be copied to the new location and, moreover, that all attempts to update that data be proscribed until the renaming operation has been completed. Schematically, this would proceed as follows:
Set restart pointer

\[ f(X_1') \rightarrow X_1' \]

(a)

\[ X_2 \rightarrow X_2' \]

Exchange names \( X \) and \( X' \) and unlock \( X \) and set restart pointer

In the event that the system contains physical locks, either the copy of \( X_1 \) to \( X_1' \), or the copy of \( X_2 \) to \( X_2' \) followed by a rename would be safe, since the entire page \( X \) would be locked by the locking of \( X_1 \). The decision of which way to copy could be made on economic grounds - namely which datum \( X_1 \) or \( X_2 \) is shorter. In the event that logical rather than physical locks are employed, the operation would still be safe if we could insure that the bus would not be relinquished between the points indicated by (a) above.

2.5.3.2 Data Memory Failures

Data memory failures will presumably be detected during attempts to read or write. These failures will cause error messages to be sent to the requesting processor which will then "trap" to an executive routine to handle the failure. If the failure were detected during a read operation, the executive would consult a weight table which contains the relative importance of different data for various phases of the mission. These weights would then be considered in the light of available system resources (total number of remaining usable pages) and a decision would be made whether to re-allocate the failed instance of the page or whether to merely delete it, thereby reducing the number of copies of that page. In any case, the actual failed page would be renamed to the "failed" name and an attempt would be made to read another copy. When this procedure is successfully completed, the program is re-entered at the trap point and allowed to proceed. Subsequent activity could determine the extent of the failure (whether it was limited to one block or general to the memory) or else normal attempts to read from that module would cause elimination of failed blocks. Write failure could be handled in the same manner.
Unfortunately, the only way to determine whether a page has failed is by attempting to read or write it. If a particular datum is used infrequently, it is possible that all extant copies might independently fail before the datum's use. It might, therefore, be useful to have a program which takes advantage of periods of low system activity by attempting to read critical data and correcting failures before all copies of a page have failed.

2.5.4 Program-Related Errors

The class of program-related errors includes those abnormal conditions arising during program execution which do not necessarily imply hardware failure. Events such as arithmetic overflow, zero divisor, memory lock violation, and invalid address are in this category. Each processor will itself handle such conditions generated by its program by saving the current instruction address in a standard scratchpad location and then taking its next instruction from a memory location determined by the condition which arose.

This behavior has already been referred to in this document as trapping. For each possible condition, a standard action is supplied by the executive unless special action is requested by the current program.

A register of scratchpad (called TRAP) is reserved to contain the address of a vector of transfer addresses, each of which serves to specify a processing routine for a particular condition; to service condition A, a processor takes as its next instruction address C (C(\text{TRAP}) + A) where C(X) denotes the contents of register X. Each job desiring a nonstandard TRAP pointer must assume the responsibility for initializing it and protecting it across restarts; if TRAP is standard, it need not be explicitly initialized or protected by the job, since both job initiation and restart will initialize TRAP to the standard value.

2.5.5 Hardware Executive Aids

The executive functions performed by each central processor in the system are done by interrogating or manipulating data in the common data memory. This convergence of traffic is a potential system bottleneck. We must at least plan for an alternate approach which eliminates the bottleneck for computer systems at the very high end of the capabilities scale.

The desired executive hardware, here called Job Stack, would require little processor time to function, and would itself require little time to execute its functions. Such a system is possible and, at least in the not too distant future, may in fact be feasible. The system envisioned is a self-contained associative processor realized by LSI techniques not readily available today. The procurement of such a device would raise the computing ability of a large multiprocessor system substantially, since it would alleviate two problems at once.
The operating speed of the total executive function of one job would improve by roughly an order of magnitude. Likewise, the availability of the Executive Hardware would improve to a like degree; hence the delays introduced by processors queuing up for Executive activity would similarly decrease. These savings are significant in those systems where 10 or more processors are still required to handle peak computing load after allowances are made for system degradation due to the in-flight processor failures.

A job stack is inherently a "hard core" element, that is, its function is essential to computer operation. It does not lend itself to being replicated in a gracefully degrading structure, although it is conceivable that it can be implemented this way. If not, it will have to employ error-correcting redundancy throughout.

The remainder of this section describes a study of a possible job stack structure irrespective of its hardware error control.

2.5.5.1 General Description

The Job Stack described in this section is a special purpose unit which facilitates job management. Communication between the Job Stack and the rest of the system is accomplished by transmission of messages over the bus. Job request messages are issued either by processors or the I/O Buffer. Included in a job request is the priority of the job and the time at which it should be run. The Job Stack receives these messages, maintains them in a time-threaded list, and transmits an indication when any job or group of jobs has come due to be run. Actual dispatching of jobs is performed according to an algorithm by an executive program run on any processor (2.5.1.1). The Job Stack also receives job acceptance messages and failure messages from processors, and maintains a list indicating the current activity of each processor.

2.5.5.2 Advantage of Separate Job Stack

An advantage of the separate Job Stack is that processors can issue job requests, indicate job acceptance, or indicate processor failure by simply placing a message on the bus. Incorporation of the information in these messages into the appropriate list within the Job Stack is performed by the Job Stack itself, without further involvement of the issuing processor. In order for these functions to be performed by a purely software executive system using the simple, passive Main Data Memory, it would be necessary for processors to spend time running program and possibly to wait for Main Data Memory accessibility. Also, the Main Data Memory and the bus would not be available...
to other processors during the time required to manipulate the executive lists. Providing the Main Data Memory with list manipulating capabilities would reduce the bus traffic, but would not make the Main Data Memory itself available to other users while the executive lists are being manipulated.

2.5.5.3 Job Stack Organization

The Job Stack consists of an Outstanding Request List, an Accept List, a Staging Area associated with the Accept List, a Free Storage List, and a Stack Status Register and Bell.

2.5.5.3.1 Outstanding Request List

This list contains already-issued requests for jobs that have not yet been run. Each entry contains the information that was present in the message that issued the request. Included in each entry is the priority of the job and the time at which it should be run. The list is threaded, i.e. ranked, by this time value.

When a group of jobs becomes due, a message is sent on the bus (bell is rung) indicating that jobs are ready to be executed. Any ordering on priority or other criterion is performed by the executive program run on any processor. It reads a portion of the Outstanding Request List, orders it as appropriate, and sends it back to the Job Stack. Now job requests may be taken off the top of the list one at a time by individual processors which then run the appropriate job.

2.5.5.3.2 Accept List and Staging Area

2.5.5.3.2.1 Normal Uses

This is a simple, unthreaded list containing one entry per processor and indicating what job each processor is currently running and in what phase. Each entry contains the information that was present in the corresponding Accept Message. An Accept Message is issued by a processor when it first takes on a job, and each time it is necessary to change the phase of a running job. Notice that, since the Accept List is not threaded, the entry corresponding to a given processor can be found directly without list thread chasing.

If a job running on a processor issues a request for a new job, the request is placed into a register taken from free storage and linked to the issuing processor's entry in the Accept List. A staging area may be built up in this manner as needed. At the next phase change for a given job, all job requests that may have accumulated in the staging area associated with the appropriate processor's entry in the Accept List during the current phase are threaded into the Outstanding Request List by time due. Requests for new jobs are not threaded directly into the Outstanding Request List to prevent possible duplication of the request for the new job in the event that the issuing job should fail and be restarted.
2.5.5.3.2.2 Executive Uses

The executive program also makes use of the staging area associated with the Accept List. It reads the group of entries that are due now from the top of the Outstanding Request List, re-orders them (probably based on priority), and replaces them into the Outstanding Request List via the staging area associated with the processor running the executive program. A new list of request messages is threaded in the staging area in the order sent by the processor. The processor then sends an Incorporate-List-and-Accept Message which replaces a specified number of entries at the top of the Outstanding Request List with the re-ordered list of requests built up in the staging area of the processor running the executive program. The replaced portion of the Outstanding Request List is returned to free storage.

The processor running the executive program may keep one job request of the list it read, not send it back to the stack, and run the job itself. The job it keeps is obviously the one it determines should be run first. The Accept part of the Incorporate-List-and-Accept Message would accept the new job.

Once the group of entries in the Outstanding Request List that are due now is ordered, a free processor will take the top job and run it. It reads the top request from the list, and sends nothing back into its staging area. The processor then sends an Incorporate-List-and-Accept Message which eliminates the top job request from the Outstanding Request List and accepts the new job.

2.5.5.3.2.3 Processor Failure Messages

When a processor fails, a Failure Message indicating which processor has failed is sent to the Job Stack. This failure indication is placed into the entry in the Accept List corresponding to the failed processor. The previous information about what job was running is not destroyed. Thus the Accept List maintains a record of which processors have failed, which jobs they were running prior to processor failure, and what phases these jobs were in. This information permits restarting these jobs.

2.5.5.3.3 Free Storage

Free Storage is a threaded list linking all available registers in the Job Stack. It serves both the Outstanding Request List and the staging area associated with the Accept List. Registers are obtained from this list when needed, and returned to it when available.
2.5.5.3.4 Bells

When the Job Stack determines from the Stack Status Register that the executive program should be run, it sends the DO EXECUTIVE Message on the bus. This is known as the bell. The Stack Status Bits are read by the processor running the executive program to determine what function needs to be performed. These status bits indicate the following conditions:

1. The Outstanding Request List has an unordered group of requests for jobs needing to be run.
2. The Outstanding Request List has an ordered group of requests for jobs needing to be run.
3. The Accept List has a processor Failure Message that needs attention.

The Stack Status Bits are modified both by the stack itself and by program action.

When a group of requests in the Outstanding Request List becomes due, the Stack Status Bits are set to indicate that an unordered group of requests need to be run, and the DO EXECUTIVE message is sent on the bus. The DO EXECUTIVE is sent repeatedly until the executive program responds by reading the Stack Status Bits and locking the Bell and Stack.

After the executive program has reordered the top group of the Outstanding Request List and returned it to the Job Stack, the executive program changes the Stack Status Register to indicate that an ordered group of requests is ready to be run, and unlocks the Bell and Stack. The DO EXECUTIVE message is sent repeatedly until the executive program responds by reading the Stack Status Bits and by locking the Bell and Stack. After the executive program has taken the top job from the Outstanding Request List and accepted it, it unlocks the Bell and Stack. The executive program is called repeatedly in this way until the ordered group at the top of the Outstanding Request List is exhausted.

Once the top group of the Outstanding Request List has been ordered, if it becomes necessary to insert a new request into this group, the Job Stack changes the Stack Status Bits to unordered. This occurs when either a new request is issued that belongs in the top group or when the next group of requests in the Outstanding Request List becomes due before the ordered top group is exhausted.

When the Job Stack receives a Failure Message, the Stack Status Bits are set to indicate that a processor failure needs attention, and the DO EXECUTIVE message is sent on the bus. The DO EXECUTIVE is sent repeatedly until the executive program responds by reading the Stack Status Bits and locking the Bell and Stack.
2.5.5.3.5 **Bell and Stack Lock**

Locking the Bell and Stack affects the bell (DO EXECUTIVE message), the Stack Status Register, and the Outstanding Request List. The Bell and Stack is locked with a record of the processor that locked it. If this processor fails, the Bell and Stack is unlocked. Locking the Bell and Stack does not lock the Accept List, which is always available.

When the Bell and Stack is locked, the Job Stack is inhibited from sending any DO EXECUTIVE messages on the bus. This prevents the Job Stack from issuing subsequent DO EXECUTIVE messages once the executive program has responded to a DO EXECUTIVE message. There should be only one occurrence of the executive program at a time.

Locking the Bell and Stack causes the Stack Status Register to be available only to the processor in whose name the lock was performed. During this situation, any attempted changes to the Stack Status Bits by a processor other than the locking one or by the Job Stack itself are accumulated in a buffer (not the staging area associated with the Accept List) and are 'OR'd with the current Stack Status Bits when the Bell and Stack is unlocked.

When the Bell and Stack is locked, the Outstanding Request List is unavailable to any processor other than the locking one. Any attempt to thread a new request into the Outstanding Request List during this period will cause the request to be placed in a buffer. (This is not the same as the staging area associated with the Accept List.) When the Bell and Stack is unlocked, any requests in the buffer will be threaded into the Outstanding Request List by time.

2.5.5.3.6 **Processor Failures**

When a processor fails, a Failure Message indicating which processor has failed is sent on the bus. The Job Stack changes the Accept Message for that processor into a Failure Message without destroying the information in the Accept Message, eliminates any Job Requests found in that processor's staging area, and sets the Failure Bit in the Stack Status Register. If the Bell and Stack was locked in the name of the failed processor, it is unlocked. Any buffered Job Requests are threaded into the Outstanding Request List by time; any buffered information for the Stack Status Register is 'OR'd with the current Stack Status Bits.

The Job Stack now sends the DO EXECUTIVE message on the bus. A free processor responds by running the executive program, reads the Stack Status Bits, locks the Bell and Stack, and determines from the Stack Status Bits that it should run the failure portion of the executive program.
2.5.5.3.6.1 Failures of Normal Programs

The failure program reads the Accept List and takes note of all Failure Messages. Any Failure Message found with phase 77 has already been attended to by a previous execution of the failure program. For all other failure messages, the corresponding failed programs will be restarted. A Job Request is issued for each failed job at the phase found in the Failure Message. Then the phase in the Failure Message is changed to 77, to indicate that this failed job has been restarted. Note that the Failure Message is not destroyed and leaves a record of which processor has failed. After attending to all Failure Messages, the failure program removes the Failure Bit from the Stack Status Register and unlocks the Bell and Stack.

In restarting failed jobs, care must be exercised to prevent duplicating or losing the request for the failed job in case the failure program itself should fail. The failure program issues a request for the failed job as though it had been requested by the failed processor. Note that the request enters the staging area of the failed processor, not that of the processor running the failure program. This strategy is used so that a single Accept Message causes the phase of the Failure Message to be changed to 77 and the request for the failed job to be incorporated into the Outstanding Request List. Before issuing the request for the failed job, it is necessary for the failure program to unlink (that is, return to free storage) the staging area of the failed processor, thereby deleting any unincorporated request for the failed job that may have been issued by a previous partial execution of the failure program.

2.5.5.3.6.2 Failures of the Executive Program (Ordered or Unordered)

Failures of the executive program (ordered or unordered) are probably handled differently from failures of normal jobs. Since the Job Stack may be in a different state from that which was in effect when the executive program began to run, it seems desirable not to restart the executive program at the phase at which it failed. Any contamination caused by partial executions of the executive program is removed if necessary, and the execution program is allowed to run from the beginning corresponding to the latest state of the Job Stack. If the executive program is self-cleansing, removal of this contamination by the failure program is not necessary. After the failure program has finished, the bell will ring again, indicating the latest state of the Job Stack. Note that partial executions of the executive program do not alter the Stack Status Bits. If the Job Stack Status does not change, the bell ringing again will cause the same portion of the executive program as had failed to be executed from the beginning.

2-75
2.5.5.3.6.3 Failures of the Failure Program

Failures of the failure program are probably handled differently from failures of normal jobs. Of course, a failure of the failure program itself sets the Failure Bit just as any other failure does, and causes the failure program to be run. An unambiguous record is maintained in the Accept List of which Failure Messages have not yet successfully been attended to. Any complete execution of the failure program can attend to all outstanding failures. Therefore, it is unnecessary to restart failed occurrences of the failure program. Any contamination caused by partial executions of the failure program must be removed if necessary. After having attended to all outstanding Failure Messages, the failure program removes the Failure Bit from the Stack Status Register.

2.5.5.4 Applicability to Other Approaches

The Job Stack system for job management described above is by no means the only approach. For example, a pure software implementation using passive memory as previously discussed, or an implementation using memories with list manipulating properties, are other approaches. The problems uncovered in this study should be similar to those encountered by other mechanizations. Many of the concepts proposed should apply in general to the other approaches, even though specific details might differ.

2.5.5.5 Messages

The messages described in this section are used for communication between processors and the Job Stack. They are included to illustrate the principles described above and are not necessarily the most compact set.

In general a processor issuing a message may not be able to, or may choose not to, send information in every field within the message. Some particular code in a field will be interpreted by the Job Stack as an order to continue to use information it already has for that field. Some other code in a field will be used to indicate that the Job Stack should blank that field.

1. JOB REQUEST \( (Y, T, J_1, P_j, J_k, \phi) \)

This is a request for phase \( \phi \) of Job \( J_1 \) to be run at time \( T \), with priority \( Y \), requested by job \( J_k \) from processor \( P_j \).

\( P_j \) is needed for later incorporation or deletion of this job request, depending on whether \( P_j \) reaches a phase change point or fails.

\( J_k \) may be useful for tracing job history.
2. ACCEPT (Y, \(J'_1, P_m, J'_k\), \(\phi\))

Accept phase \(\phi\) of Job \(J'_1\) running on processor \(P_m\), with priority \(Y\). (This job was originally requested by job \(J'_k\).)

Changing phase performs a validation/incorporation function on job request messages and data transfers issued by processor \(P_m\) since its last phase change. To incorporate the job requests, it threads them into the Outstanding Request List. To validate data transferred to the Main Erasable Memory, it declares the data good and discards the old information.

End-of-Job is a special case of changing phase. Phase 77 could be used.

3. FAILURE (\(P_m\))

Processor \(P_m\) has failed. Change the appropriate Accept Message to a Failure Message in the Accept List, keeping all the information that was present in the Accept Message. Job request messages and data transfers issued by processor \(P_m\) since its last phase change (and therefore not yet validated/incorporated) are flushed.

Receipt of a Failure Message sets the Failure Bit in the Stack Status Register and rings the bell.

A Failure Message with phase 77 in the Accept List indicates that the specified failure has been attended to.

4. DO EXECUTIVE JOB

This is the bell by which the Job Stack indicates that the executive program should be run.

5. ACCEPT, LOCK BELL AND STACK, READ STACK STATUS
   (Y, \(J'_1, P_m, J'_k, \phi\))

This is similar to the simple Accept Message, but it also locks the Bell and Stack and reads the Stack Status Register. The Job Stack sends back the Stack Status Bits together with the name of the processor having locked the Bell and Stack.

6. ACCEPT AND WRITE STACK STATUS (Y, \(J'_1, P_m, J'_k, \phi\), STACK STATUS)

This is similar to the simple Accept Message, but it also writes new Stack Status information into the Stack Status Register. If the Job Stack is locked by another processor, message \#8 is sent back by the Job Stack. (Used by failure program to remove the Failure Bit from the Stack Status Register.)
7. READ OUTSTANDING REQUEST LIST (G, Pm)

G is the number of groups to be read beginning at the top of the Outstanding Request List. A group is a collection of job requests to be done at the same time. Pm is the processor issuing this message. If the Job Stack is unlocked or locked by Pm', the requested information is sent and the Job Stack is locked in the name of Pm'. If the Job Stack is already locked by another processor, the requested information is not sent and message #8 is sent.

Note G = 0 is a special case for reading the top job request message only.

8. JOB STACK IS LOCKED (Pm', Pt)

This message is sent by the Job Stack in response to an attempted access of the Job Stack by processor Pm' if the Job Stack is already locked by some other processor Pt.

9. ACCEPT, INCORPORATE INTO OUTSTANDING REQUEST LIST, UNLOCK BELL AND STACK (N, Ji, Pm, Ji', φ, N)

N is the number of entries in the Outstanding Request List that are being replaced by a new list. This new list has been sent to the Job Stack by a series of job request messages preceding this message. Pm is the processor that sent the new list. The entries making up the new list are in processor Pm's staging area in the Job Stack.

This message unlocks the Bell and Stack. It also declares that the group of requests that are due now in the Outstanding Request List is ordered.

If Pm decides to run one of the jobs whose request it read, the accept information included in this message is pertinent to that job. If not, the accept information in this message causes a simple phase change for Ji, the job that read and is storing the list.

N equals 1 is used when the top job only is read from the Outstanding Request List. No job request messages are sent to the Job Stack. This message removes the top job from the Outstanding Request List and accepts the top job.

If the Job Stack is locked by another processor, message #8 is sent back by the Job Stack.
10. **ACCEPT; UNLOCK BELL AND STACK** \((Y, J', P_m', J_k, \phi)\)

This is similar to the simple Accept Message, but is also unlocks the Bell and Stack. It does not alter the Stack Status Register. If the Job Stack is locked by another processor, Message #8 is sent back by the Job Stack. (Used by the failure program to conclude).

11. **READ ACCEPT LIST** \((P_x)\)

The Job Stack sends the Accept List to processor \(P_x\). (Used by failure program.)

12. **CHANGE PHASE OF EXISTING MESSAGE** \((P_{x'}, P_{t'}, \phi)\)

The processor issuing this message \((P_{x'})\) changes the phase of some other processor \((P_{t'})\) to \(\phi\). Any job request messages issued by, or in the name of, \(P_{t'}\) since its last phase change are incorporated into the Outstanding Request List. This message does not change the phase of \(P_{x'}\). It does not change the message name of the message whose phase it changes in the Accept List. (Used by the failure program to mark a Failure Message as attended to.)

13. **UNLINK** \((P_x, P_{t'})\)

The processor issuing this message \((P_x)\) unlinks the staging area associated with the Accept List entry of another processor \((P_{t'})\). (Used by the failure program to prevent possible duplication of a request for a failed job.)

14. **ECHO** \((P_x)\)

The Job Stack acknowledges receipt of the message sent by processor \(P_x\) to the Job Stack. This message is used in cases where there would be no other response.

2.6 **Input-Output Buffer**

2.6.1 **Messages**

Communication between the multiprocessor and its environment is via a serial time-multiplexed bus common to many systems. To avert a chaotic situation in which several systems are simultaneously trying to transmit, there must be either a channel for scheduling, or else a master-slave hierarchy among the systems. The latter is what is proposed here, with the master role played by the input-output unit, called I/O Buffer, of the multiprocessor.
The computer is the natural focus of data passed among systems. This is not to say that all system data transfer is necessarily pertinent to computer operation. Notable exceptions are temperature and voltage measurements and other similar data which are ordinarily destined for telemetry. New generation systems, however, will use the computer to compress some of this data prior to transmission. In most cases this will impose a negligible computational load, involving a sampling rate of the order of once every few seconds.

2.6.1.1 External Messages

Information will be placed on the I/O bus in the form of messages which are either directly generated by the I/O Buffer or elicited responses from external stations on the bus. Information needed by the computer is requested and obtained in this way. Information needed by an external device from a second external device must be put on the bus at the direction of the I/O Buffer. This puts an additional burden on the computer program unless the Buffer itself is made sophisticated enough to call forth the required transmission upon receipt of an appropriate interrupt message.

Interrupting messages cannot be handled in this system in the same sense as they are in present systems, where a hard-wire link allows a remote system to "wake up" the computer to its demand. Here, the computer must periodically interrogate each station to determine if an interrupt condition exists. Responsibility for this interrogation will be vested in the Buffer itself, so that programs need not have to be concerned with this function other than to be able to respond to the Buffer's notification that an interrupt condition exists.

The efficiency of this system depends upon the number of stations which must be interrogated as well as the frequency of interrogation, which determines response time. Estimates suggest that a separate interrogation of every possible interrupt source would overload the bus. For this and other reasons having to do with buffering, conditioning, and conversion of data, the bus will connect to a limited number of remote stations, each of which will be an information collection and dispatch center. The resultant input/output structure is illustrated in Fig. 2.10. The stations would be physically located in such a way as to optimize wiring. Each station serves several systems in its vicinity, with a number of data interfaces (as distinct from number of wires) of the order of a hundred.
Fig. 2.10 Input-Output Structure
Though it is premature to define message formats, some general remarks can be made about them. Input and output messages vary considerably in length and frequency of occurrence, and advantage should be taken of this wherever feasible. Interrupt interrogations occur particularly frequently, and should be as brief as possible. The minimum length of such a message would be the number of bits required to specify a station (probably five) plus a special operation code for the interrupt interrogation function (possibly as few as two). Thus the byte concept might well be extended to the external bus. Error detection bits would, of course, be added to the byte in transmission. For operation codes other than interrupt interrogate, a second byte would be sent which would specify a particular data interface to be activated. Succeeding bytes would contain data, if any, being sent out of the computer. Responses would be sent at the conclusion of the computer's transmission by the station involved, the format being essentially the same. For example, an interrupt response would be a single byte identifying the station and giving a yes-no indication. If yes, succeeding bytes identify the interrupt. If no, the response is ended. Terminal bytes might be identified by a bit in each byte reserved for the purpose.

2.6.1.2 Internal Messages

The messages which commute between the I/O Buffer and the external stations are related to information which is transferred on the computer's internal data bus. Input and output data, for example, have destinations and origins, respectively, in the processors. Interrupt responses reaching the I/O Buffer are translated into executive commands and sent on to the computer.

Reformatting and resynchronizing are inevitable tasks in the transfer of data between the external I/O bus and the internal computer data bus. This is one of the principal functions of the I/O Buffer, and is a sufficiently sophisticated operation to demand a versatile organization. The Buffer will bear some resemblance to a processor, having a sequence generator, a local buffer (scratchpad) memory, and an arithmetic unit, or sorts, to edit and manipulate the data.

Information directed to the Buffer on either bus is recognized and stored, and processed according to a microprogram elicited by control bits in the message. When transmission is called for, the Buffer puts the translated messages into a queue to wait for access to the appropriate bus. Sufficient storage must be provided to queue as much information as may accumulate between bus accesses.
2.6.2 Error Control

The I/O Buffer, I/O bus, and the remote stations will have to be either fully masked or else replicated for substitution in order to achieve high reliability. Although a gracefully degrading organization is conceptually possible, it would be awkward in this particular application.

Modular redundancy is an attractive means of error control, particularly since the I/O system is highly serial, which minimizes the number of voting circuits required.

For remote stations, triple redundancy with voted outputs are recommended. Depending on actual reliability assessments, switchable spares may have to be furnished at each station. If they are not, then either manual replacement will be made, or else reversion to simplex mode effected when a failure occurs.

For the bus, error detection by coded redundancy, such as parity or Hamming codes, will be sufficient to supplement a triply redundant voting structure. In this way, error detection is still provided in the event of a multiple bus error or failure, and voter reconfiguration is easily made so as to use one good bus out of three.

For the I/O Buffer, a selection of various error control methods is to be used. Two or three extra Buffer units will be on-line or in a standby mode for redundant or simplex operation with substitution for failed units. Masking and error detection schemes may be applied internally to each Buffer unit as described for the Processor, but whether or not and how much are questions to be answered later.

To summarize, error control for the input/output system of the processor is going to be costly compared to the cost of the bare input/output system above. It should be remembered, though, that this will still be a minor fraction of the total multiprocessor cost.

2.7 Programming Aids

2.7.1 General

There are certain programming hardships intrinsic to a system in which parallel control paths occur as a matter of course. These were present to a degree in the AGC because of the relative timing independence of some control loops and will certainly become somewhat more serious in the ACGN due to the addition of a multiprocessor structure. In particular, the proper use of locks (2.5.2), restart pointers (2.5.3), and erasable memory sharing requires more
attention to detail than was previously necessary. Much of this burden has been assumed by the executive, by extending its function from that of a pure job-dispatcher to that of a resident monitor, but it remains for the programmer to ensure consistent use of the executive and to allocate use of scratch-pad and common erasable accesses properly.

To aid the programmer in this task, to simplify the conversion from experimental to working models, to reduce the probability of undetected coding and communication error, and to facilitate a high degree of program modularity and interchangeability, extensive use of a "high-level" algebraic compiler language is strongly recommended. For those situations in which the compiler language is not readily applicable, and there will certainly be some, an assembler whose macro facilities and other features allow assembly programs to mesh easily with compiler-language programs and with the executive should be provided. Finally, a program is required that collects and integrates compiler and assembler output, accomplishing the final phases of storage allocation, implementing a symbolic "patch" capability, and producing final output in simulatable or executable form.

The primary function of the collector is to allow partial revision of the computer's program load without complete re-compilation/assembly. It has been demonstrated on commercial systems that the time savings due to this technique can be considerable. Certain symbolic information is preserved by the compiler and assembler, and passed along with the compiled/assembled output to the collector. In this way, symbolic references between separately compiled/assembled pieces of coding can be resolved as actual memory locations are assigned to programs and blocks of data storage.

As far as the development plan for support software is concerned, the following observations are offered. Since the executive must exist before checkout of higher-level programs can proceed very far, the assembler should be completed early, and the collector and simulator soon thereafter. Simulator input requirements must be defined before the collector can be completed; and similarly, collector input requirements will affect compiler/assembler design. Since the final phases of the compiler and those of the assembler are very similar in function and must produce identically formatted output, it may be desirable to merge the design processes of the compiler and the assembler in such a way that the final phases may be common to both, thus reducing cost and improving reliability.
2.7.2 The Compiler

It has long been the goal of computer users to place the burden of man-machine communications on the machine. To this end there has been a trend toward the use of application-oriented higher-level ("compiler") languages which are automatically translated into absolute machine code. The traditional reasons for writing in a higher-level language is that, ideally, source code is more concise and easy to write than it would be if expressed in machine or symbolic assembly language. Thus, the application expert may communicate with the machine in a form familiar to him - he does not have to worry unduly about details of machine construction.

Unfortunately, compiler-produced code is traditionally wasteful of storage and execution time, and attempts to make it better have resulted in the exploitation of vagaries of the compiler's implementation. While such attempts cannot be categorically denounced as bad practice (they have often made compiler language coding possible where more straightforward coding would have resulted in object programs which would not fit into the machine), they nonetheless detract from the accessibility of the resulting program. Furthermore, the sophisticated compiler-language programmer must be aware not only of his problem and the language of the computer, but also of details of the compiler's operation. As an example consider the Fortran expression for a polynomial

\[ y = ax^2 + bx + c \]

which would be expressed as

\[ Y = C + X \times (B + A \times X) \]

to avoid redundant multiplications. Obviously, a compiler capable of optimizing its own code to the extent that it is nearly as good as that produced by human coders is desired. While it is not clear that this goal is realizable, compilers capable of highly efficient code have already been produced and it is our feeling that any loss of efficiency will be more than overcome by gains in uniformity, quality, and accessibility of the resulting source coding. Additionally, programming conventions (use of locks, etc.) which would normally be enforceable only by the programmer's discretion can be built into the compiler and, if all code is compiler-produced, the resulting programs will contain the desired characteristics as infallibly as though they had been
built into the machine. In a system as highly dependent upon synchronization as a multiprocessor, this feature is a strong argument for the use of compiler-level languages.

Optimizing compilers are frequently very slow at compilation time. For this reason we recommend either a rapid "pre-compiler" to eliminate keypunch and elementary syntax errors inexpensively or, alternatively, a compiler with an optional optimization phase which would be invoked after programs have been partially debugged. In cases where it is absolutely necessary, critical programs could be coded in assembly language but, for those reasons stated above, this is to be avoided if possible.

Additional features of a compiler for the ACGN would include:

1. A language capable of expressing easily and concisely problems in dynamics and control.

2. Output (object code) compatible with that produced by the ACGN assembler. This would be directly readable by the collector described in Section 2.7.1.

3. A symbolic pseudo-assembly language listing of the resulting object code would be produced to facilitate program debugging - particularly the reading of dumps.

4. The computer would produce instructions to the collector concerning communication between programs, erasable allocations, requests for generation of unique names, etc.
3. LOGICAL/ELECTRICAL DESIGN

3.1 Processor Design

3.1.1 Component Trends

The strongest influence in the logical/electrical design of the computer subsystem has been the promise of large scale integrated circuitry (LSI) to come in future years. Not only will it render logic a more expendable design commodity than wires and other connections, but it will force its users to conform to its inconveniently small ratio of pins to active elements. This can lead to some radical departures in design. One contemplates the idea of an entire processor on a single piece of semiconductor material with all interconnections internally made, and asks how such devices could be used in quantity to obtain high performance. Such a device, if it could be made, would have a suitably low pin to gate ratio, but it remains to be seen whether and how it could be employed in the desired way.

In the more immediate future, LSI will appear as scatchpad storages, full adders, shift registers, and various specialized items such as D/A and A/D converters and multiplexers. At the present time many of these devices are obtainable in abbreviated sizes using evolving microcircuit technology said to be at the stage of "medium scale integration", or MSI. Where early microcircuits had a few gates per package, present MSI has many, and can yield a substantial increase in component density over computers of the AGC's generation. High density is advantageous in the speed/power trade-off due to reduced stray reactances.

More important, it has now become feasible to use flip-flop memories whose access time is lower than the magnetic memories used before. This is of particular value in microprogram execution, where the storage of auxiliary and temporary quantities has been at a premium limiting the scope of instructions. This speed can also be traded off against complexity, in that a byte-organized memory and arithmetic unit uses less equipment and fewer connections and is easier to check than a long-word processor. The expense is in terms of number of memory cycles and microprogram complexity. Cycle speed and high-density microprogram storage minimizes this expense.

3.1.2 Scatchpads

The organization of a scatchpad data memory, feasible in present technology and appropriate for the processor in question, is next discussed.
The basis for the design is a proposed monolithic $8 \times 8$ storage cell array with address decoding, a circuit whose feasibility has been demonstrated in research, although not yet in production. Each bit is individually addressable, so the device is equivalent to a 64-word $\times$ one-bit fragment of memory. Ten devices would be needed to make a 64-byte segment with ten-bit bytes. Sixteen such segments, or 160 devices would make up the full scratchpad complement of 1024 bytes. As discussed earlier, this is the number of bytes that can be indexed or addressed by a single byte of ten bits, and is therefore a convenient size to work with for the present.

A concept of the array structure is shown in Fig. 3.1. Using the notation of Fig. 3.2, Fig. 3.3 illustrates the scratchpad organization. It is a $16 \times 10$ rectangular grid of array devices, with address lines common to members of a row and data lines common to members of a column. The total address is ten bits long. The low order six bits are common to all of the arrays and decoded therein. The high order four bits are decoded externally into sixteen read and write enable lines to serve the sixteen rows. Data exchange is with a buffer register in this construct, whereas in practice it may be with multiple buffers in a serial organization, or directly with central and arithmetic registers in a parallel organization.

Multiple address registers are incorporated in this memory in order to resolve the problems which arise from the byte organization of the processor. Storage of result bytes will normally alternate with fetching of operand bytes, which generates a good deal of address changing and address indexing. Information transfer activity is greatly reduced by furnishing separate base addresses for operands and result, and providing indexing capability for each. The memory responds to whichever address register is enabled by its gate signal. Each index register is capable of incrementing its contents by $\pm 1$, and may be arranged so as to initiate an increment at the trailing edge of the gate signal.

Physically, the scratchpad would fit on a ten-inch-square board if conventional dual in-line packages were used, and would be smaller using flat packs. Thickness would be a small fraction of an inch using a multilayer board.

Although circuit speed is certainly a consideration, the performance required of this memory is not extreme. An access time of about 200 nanoseconds is probably adequate. This is easily met with bipolar transistors, and there are good prospects for field-effect devices and hybrids to do a satisfactory job.
Fig. 3.1 Structure of a 64 Word × 1 Bit Storage Array

Fig. 3.2 Notation for array.
Fig. 3.3 $2^{10}$-byte x 10-bit data scratchpad using 160 arrays.
3.1.3. Arithmetic Section

The first design issue to be resolved in the arithmetic unit is serial vs. parallel organization. The trade-off is speed vs. simplicity, and unless simplicity is particularly important, the higher performance of the parallel unit is generally the deciding factor in present day aerospace computers. In a triple-modular redundant machine, simplicity is sufficiently important to dictate a serial organization. The number of voting circuits would otherwise be excessive. In the study at hand, the issue has not as yet been settled. High performance is sought, and it would be difficult for existing serial components to meet the goal of ten times AGC speed. On the other hand, the number of connections would be substantially fewer in a serial organization, and the application of error detecting logic far easier.

Since the serial organization presents special problems with respect to speed, it has been studied in some depth to see whether or not it will be feasible in the near future for this processor.

3.1.3.1 Serial Addition

The possible use of a serial structure for the multiprocessor defines the method of addition. The use of floating point arithmetic further defines the addition process.

These two constraints require that at least two operations be performed during addition. In the first step, before the actual summation, the exponents of both of the operands used in the addition must be adjusted so that they are equal. This implies that either the addend or the augend must be serially shifted. This can require as much as a shift of 40 bits. An alternative method of adjusting the exponents is shown in figure 3.4. This method requires a total shift time of 15 bits, or less. For purposes of addition, each 40 bit operand can be considered to be composed of five eight-bit bytes. The bytes of each operand are stored in shift registers which are serially connected. Initially, the eight-bit exponents of the operands are compared and the difference stored in a counter. The operand with the lesser magnitude is then shifted by up to seven bits, according to the value of the three least significant bits of the counter. At this time, any remaining shift requirement must be some multiple of eight. Instead of performing the shift, the value of the most significant digits of the counter is decoded so as to select the particular byte of the operand which is to be first used in the addition. The addition is then completed after a 40 bit shift of the operands. Obviously, if the exponents are equal, no shifting is required. Also, if the exponent
Fig. 3.4 Addition logic block diagram.
difference is greater than 40 the sum is simply the greater of the two operands. In the above discussion of addition no mention was made of a choice for the representation of a negative number. Three choices are sign and magnitude notation, one's-complement, and two's-complement. Use of sign and magnitude notation has the advantage that data is in a form that can be used directly in multiplication and division. An additional advantage is that data dumped from computer memory can be more easily comprehended. This is useful during program verification. A disadvantage of sign and magnitude notation is that operands cannot be directly added when their signs disagree. This problem can effectively be overcome by comparing the signs of the operands before adding them. In the event of the signs disagreeing, the complement of the negative operand is applied to the full adder. This is feasible because the operands are stored in shift registers where the complement of a bit may be selected. At the beginning of the addition the complement of the first bit of the negative operand is presented to the full adder along with an initial carry input. This is equivalent to serially introducing the two's complement of the negative operand to the adder. The summation is thus performed using two's-complement arithmetic. A remaining problem is that the result of the addition, when negative, will be in two's complement form. This must be then converted to sign and magnitude representation. In the proposed serial machine this would require an additional 40 bit shift time. Another possibility is to form both the sum and its complement. One of these results would have the magnitude in correct form. This technique requires that each sum be stored in an individual register as it is formed. Since it is desirable that the final result appears in a particular register, an additional 40 bit shift may be required. In any case sign and magnitude notation will require one additional 40 bit shift during the addition. This additional time requirement is not compatible with the performance goals of the processor. For this reason some other choice must be made for negative number notation.

Another possibility for negative number representation is the one's complement form. This notation has the advantage that a positive number may be negated by taking its logical complement. This is an advantage only if the negation can be performed in a parallel operation. This will probably not be possible in a serial machine. A major disadvantage is that an end around carry into the least significant order is required if a carry is propagated through the sign bit during addition. With a serial logic structure this would require an additional 40 bit shift time. Thus the one's-complement representation is eliminated from consideration for the same reason as for...
the sign and magnitude notation.

The remaining choice is the two's complement notation. With this representation the adder inputs are in a directly usable form. Also, the sum is in correct form. The only disadvantage is that a 40 bit shift time is definitely required for the negation of a positive number. For these reasons the two's complement notation is the most likely candidate for negative number representation.

3.1.3.2. Subtraction

The subtraction process has the same constraints as addition. A possible implementation would be to provide a full subtractor to form the difference. A more economical approach would be to complement the subtrahend and perform an addition with the minuend. This could be done with no cost in time if the two's complement of the subtrahend is formed as it is introduced to the adder circuit. As mentioned previously this can be accomplished by selecting the logical complement of the subtrahend and applying a carry input to the adder at the first bit time.

3.1.3.3 Serial Multiplier

A straightforward multiplication algorithm would be to conditionally add the shifted multiplicand into a partial product sum according to whether or not the corresponding order of the multiplier is a one. Since both the multiplicand and the multiplier are 40 bits long, full serial operation would require a 40 shift addition repeated 40 times for a total of 1600 shift times. This method, while inexpensive in hardware, is prohibitively long.

Instead, an algorithm was chosen which forms a partial product sum while using eight bit bytes of the multiplier. The method of multiplication can be understood by considering the tabular multiplication process, where offset rows of partial products are added together to obtain the product. In the case under consideration, binary arithmetic with 40 bit words are used. This would result in 40 rows of one's and zero's. The method chosen is to serially add eight rows of partial products together during one 48 bit shift of the multiplicand. It is seen that this is equivalent to forming the sum of the partial products using one eight-bit byte of the multiplier. This is accomplished by shifting the multiplicand serially, least significant digit first, through an eight bit shift register. Each bit of the multiplicand in the register is combined with a corresponding bit in the first byte of the multiplier using the logical AND function. The eight resulting AND outputs are then added together simultan-
eously. This forms the sum of one column of the eight partial products of the first byte of the multiplier. The process continues until the entire 48 bit partial product sum is serially formed and then stored. The least significant eight bits are part of the final product. The other 40 bits must be added into the appropriate columns of the partial product sum. This is accomplished by entering the 40-bit partial product sum serially into the eight-bit sums formed using succeeding multiplier bytes. In order to perform this sum a nine-input simultaneous binary adder is needed. After processing each byte of the multiplier eight new bits of the final product are formed, and 40 bits, representing the partial product sum, are stored temporarily. At the end of five 48-bit shifts of the multiplicand the complete 80-bit product is formed. A block diagram of the multiplication circuitry is shown in figure 3.5. The multiplier and the multiplicand are each initially stored in shift registers. Floating point arithmetic is assumed with each factor normalized so that the most significant digit is a one. Also both factors are assumed to be in true, unimplemented form. For simplicity, the logic blocks required for normalization, product sign determination, and product exponent formation are not shown.

A total of 17 eight-bit shift registers are required. The design of a typical stage of a shift register is discussed in a later section. The 40-bit multiplicand, stored in five registers, is restored as it is used during the multiplication process. The 40-bit multiplier is initially stored in five eight-bit registers. After the use of each byte of the multiplier, that byte is destroyed by an eight-bit shift. The register space freed by this shift is used to store the final eight-bit product bytes as they are formed. Six additional eight-bit registers are used to hold the intermediate partial product sums. One additional eight-bit register is used to hold part of the multiplicand during the formation of the nine-bit product sum with the adder.

At the beginning of a multiplication cycle, the first byte of the multiplier is present at the bit product gates. A 48-bit shift is performed on the multiplicand in order to form the partial product sum corresponding to the first multiplier byte. The eight-bit products are entered into the adder and the resulting sum is entered serially into the partial product register. The partial product sum formation is done under the control logic during the 48-bit shift phase A. During the eight-bit shift phase B the first multiplier byte is destroyed, and the second multiplier byte is presented to the bit product gate. At the same time the least significant eight bits of the final product are entered in the vacated multiplier register. After shift phase B the forty-bit partial product sum is available to be presented serially to the adder during the
Fig. 3.5 Multiplication logic block diagram.
formation of the partial product sum for the next multiplier byte. Shift phases A and B are repeated a total of five times, forming the final 80-bit product.

3.1.3.4. Nine-input Adder

With the exception of the bit product gating and the nine-input adder all information flow during the multiplication process is performed serially through shift registers. Since the bit product function may be performed during the addition time, the limitation on the system shift rate is the time required to perform the nine-input addition. Consequently, in order to investigate the speed capabilities of the multiplication circuitry, the adder was designed in detail. A block diagram of the logical implementation of the circuit is shown in figure 3.6.

The addition function is implemented by a chain of elementary half and full adders. Each of these circuits can be constructed economically with two gate delays between input and output. In order to speed the flow of information through the system a "pipelining" approach is used. With this method successive inputs are applied to the system before an output is obtained. Intermediate results are held in banks of simple two-gate memory elements, which require two gate delays to set. The eight-bit products, labelled $P_0$ through $P_7$, plus the accumulated partial product sum $PP_i$ are applied to the adder at the fundamental shift rate of the multiplier. Within the adder, digits are moved during two sub-phases of the fundamental shift cycle. During the delta sub-phase the memory elements marked with a D hold the inputs which are applied to the elementary adder circuits and then entered in the memory elements marked with a delta symbol. During the D sub-phase the memory elements marked with a delta are used to hold information while it travels through the elementary adders to the D memory elements.

Inputs applied to the bit product gates are delayed two fundamental shift cycles before reaching the output, $PP_0$, of the adder. This imposes the requirement that after each circulation of the multiplicand, digits must run out of the adder for two shift cycles. From the construction of the adder it is seen that inputs may be applied to the circuit with a period of eight gate delays. This sets the fundamental shift rate of the multiplier. Implementation of the adder circuit requires approximately 150 gates.

A complete multiplication cycle requires 2200 gate delays. Using five nanosecond logic a multiplication time of 11 $\mu$sec. may be achieved.
Fig. 3.6 Nine-Input Adder
3.1.3.5. **Bidirectional Shift Register**

In the operation of the serial multiplier previously described it is implied that information in the shift registers must be able to move in both directions. This is necessary because during the formation of the partial product sum the multiplicand was operated on serially using the least significant digits first. This requires a shift to the right. However, normalization of both the multiplicand and the multiplier is most conveniently done by shifting to the left. Thus the need arises for a bidirectional shift register.

A possible design of a typical stage of such a shift register is shown in figure 3.7. Its implementation uses a single logical element, the NOR gate, which could be used in a preliminary construction using discrete components. As compared with the cost of implementing the corresponding single-direction shift register, one additional gate and two gate inputs are required. Also, the bidirectional shift register will operate at the same speed as the corresponding single direction shift register. In addition to the inputs required to shift information into the shift register stage, inputs are shown which may be used to externally load the stage by the application of a logical one in the absence of a shift pulse.

During normal operation, in the absence of a shift pulse, information is held in gates B and C, and F and G. A right or left shift enable applied to gates D or E respectively selects the output of the stage to either the left or right of the stage in question. The arrival of a shift pulse clears gates B and C. During this time gates F and G hold the input to the next stage. On the decay of the shift pulse the input is stored in gates B and C, and then F and G.

The operation of the shift register stage is hazard free if uniform gate delays are assumed. If gates B and C have exceptionally long delays, the input to the stage may not be stored before it disappears. This should not be the case if the shift register is formed from gates on the same solid gate chip. The shift register operates with a theoretical minimum period of five gate delays. Two delays are required to apply the input, and three gate delays are required to store the input after the decay of the shift pulse.

3.1.3.6. **Division**

As was the case with multiplication, a hardware implementation of division using a simple serial approach would be excessively time consuming. For binary arithmetic using 40-bit operands a total of 40 serial additions and/or subtractions must be made, consuming 1600 shift times. A variable division
Fig. 3.7 One stage of a bidirectional shift register.
time algorithm exists* which would reduce the divide time by a factor of about 2.5 on the average. This still does not reduce the longest possible division time.

Unfortunately, the serial multiplication algorithm discussed in a previous section has no known inverse which could be applied to division. Consequently, in order to decrease division time some parallel information handling may have to be introduced. One possibility is to use a parallel byte structure, where the eight bits in a single byte are transmitted in parallel and successive bytes in a word are processed serially. With such a machine organization a divide time about twice as long as the multiplication time could be achieved.

Without considering the gating needed for parallel byte handling, the amount of logic required is comparable to that needed for multiplication.

3.1.3.7. Logic Functions

In addition to the arithmetic operations described above, the multiprocessor will be able to perform the logical OR, AND, and EXCLUSIVE OR functions for two variables. In addition the NOT function, or the logical complementation of one variable will be available. These functions are implemented by shifting the desired operand(s) serially into a switching network providing the desired functions. During the shifting time the appropriate logical function in the network is selected, and its output is stored serially in a shift register. Each logical operation would require one 40-bit shift time.

3.1.4 Sequence Generator

The heart of the sequence generator is a read-only memory for microprograms and certain program routines which would be implemented by a braid memory containing on the order of $10^5$ bits. The sizing of the braid would be such as to deliver 256 or 512 bits per memory cycle. Taking the smallest number, and a conservative estimate of a one-microsecond cycle time, we arrive at a minimum microprogram execution rate of 256 megabits per second per processor. Now if the arithmetic unit and scratch pad speed is such as to allow five byte transfers per microsecond, it follows that at least 51 bits are available per average byte transfer as control pulse generators.

In all likelihood, the total number of control pulses will be about fifty, so that an organization appears possible wherein a simple correspondence is made between memory bits and control pulses. Parity or other redundant bits provide memory error detection. Indeed, by testing the amplified control pulses instead of the raw memory outputs, the error detection coverage is extended that far.

Parity checking for fifty bits at a time is quite expensive, requiring 52 exclusive-OR operations in six levels. It would be more economical to check in smaller groups of bits at the expense of storage capacity. Such a structure would also increase the likelihood of multiple error detection.

A second attractive format is to encode the control pulses. Assume that no more than five control pulses are simultaneously generated, which is a perfectly reasonable assumption. Further assume that there are fewer than 64 control pulses. Thus 6 bits uniquely specify a control pulse and $5 \times 6 = 30$ bits are sufficient to specify all five. Thirty bits do the work of 50 this way, and further economies are realized if some code positions are restricted to subsets of the control pulse set. Parity can be employed within each code, and a one-of-n checking circuit can verify the output of each decoder.

A simple arithmetic operation (add, subtract) on two five-byte quantities would require about ten byte transfer times, or about 500 bits of microprograms in the absence of any effort to economize on such bits. Using this as a representative operation among the various transfers, fetches, and complicated operations, and assuming the order of one hundred instructions, we estimate about 50,000 bits of microprogram. It is conjectured that a like number of bits will be devoted to local programs.

3.1.5 Multiplexer

The multiplexers which interface with the data and instruction buses can be considered in two pieces, the output synchronizer, which is the multiplexer proper, and the buffer, which couples the bus and the processor, particularly with respect to input information.

3.1.5.1 Output Synchronizer

This circuit receives an enable signal from another circuit like it in another unit. Some time later this circuit transmits an enable signal to a like circuit in still another unit. If there is a transmission to be made, the outgoing enable is withheld until the transmission is concluded. Otherwise the enable is passed on with a minimum of delay, i.e. sufficient to prevent positive feedback latching around the enabling ring. These are particularly vulnerable parts of
the multiprocessor, since an unmasked failure in one of these circuits defeats an entire bus. One possibility is to have multiple independent buses for bandwidth and/or reliability enhancement. The use of such a scheme seems quite likely, though its impact on processor design is not discussed in this report.

The enable logic is not particularly complex. It does have to handle asynchronous inputs, which means that it is not utterly trivial; nevertheless it is readily amenable to triplication and voting. The circuit is responsible for generating the outgoing enable, for initiating the transmit sequence in the processor, and for blocking outputs to the bus unless the enable is properly present. Its inputs are the incoming enable, a signal expressing the processor's desire to transmit, and a signal indicating processor failure.

3.1.5.2 Buffer

The basic elements of the decoder are shown in Fig. 3.8. Information on the bus is shifted into a staticizing register denoted INREG, and immediately transferred to INBUF so that the next word can follow directly into INREG. Since the destination of information depends on the nature of the transmission, i.e., message vs address vs data, etc., the contents of INBUF are examined to derive commands to the sequence generator when appropriate. The decoder serves this function.

Information to be transmitted is sent to OUTBUF to wait for OUTREG to be available. After transfer to the latter register, the information is shifted onto the bus under control of the sequence generator and the output synchronizer. The information appears simultaneously at the receiver, and is compared to verify the transmitter and receiver circuits. The buffer will employ integrated parallel - serial registers capable of 20 to 30 megabits per second speeds.

3.2 Memory Design

3.2.1 Memory Elements

Common memory units will have memories containing the order of $10^5$ bits each plus paging and other logic to enable autonomous and asynchronous operation. Three candidates for memory cells are to be considered: semiconductors, magnetic films, and magnetic cores.

The state of the semiconductor art and its immediate prospects are such that the order of $10^2$ bits is about as much as may be expected to be available in a single device. The order of $10^3$ devices would be required in each unit, therefore, which is within reason. Equally reasonable is the power consumption of these devices. The lowest power figures which have been reported from experimental work have about 10 microwatts per bit using MOS technology and standby
Fig. 3.8 Buffer structure.
switching. At this rate, the power consumption of $10^5$ bits is ten watts, which is competitive with magnetics. Essentially the only disadvantage of semiconductor memories is that they are still a bird-in-the-bush compared to the more available magnetics.

Two types of magnetic film memories have been developed; flat film memories, whose storage cells are spots of permalloy on thin glass substrates, and cylindrical film memories, whose cells are magnetized regions on permalloy-plated wires. The latter shows the greater promise of utility in terms of density, and also offers non-destructive readout capability which offers advantages in reliability. Design work toward a plated wire memory is reported in the next chapter. Although memories of this type do exist, their development is not yet considered suitable for the applications discussed here.

The coincident-current core memory is the outstanding candidate for this application, though the emergence of either the semiconductor memory or the plated wire memory could alter the situation. The core memory has very high density and reliability stemming from vast experience. It is fast enough for the common memory application, is low in cost, and economical of power.

A variant of the core memory called the laminated ferrite memory is worth mentioning. Its properties combine good features of the core and plated wire memories. It is not fully developed, but bears watching.

3.2.2 Page Name Translation

The greatest challenge in memory design for the multiprocessor is in creating a mechanism for translating from page name to physical address in a space of time which avoids degradation of performance. The use of an exhaustive list of possible pages is a means of accomplishing this end without any specialized electronics. It is fast, requiring a single extra memory cycle, but expensive in storage. Its feasibility is a function of the number of pages which will be defined in a complete program assembly, a number which it is not desirable to restrict in that so doing would inhibit programming ease. This technique would be useful in research, however, as it is the most flexible and easiest to implement. As an example of the potential of the technique, consider a 16,384 word x 40 bit memory in each memory unit. Set aside one-fourth of this capacity for paging, and assume that ten bits are sufficient to locate a physical page within a unit and identify lockout. This gives a page handling capability of 16,384 page names, a very substantial number for developmental purposes.
A more complicated algorithm, still requiring no specialized electronics and with a much larger page name capacity, is the one described in Chapter 2. This method uses more than one extra cycle at times to locate data, but on a statistical basis, the average is very little in excess of one cycle.

The alternative to using such schemes is to have a separate associative memory in each unit with as many words as there are pages in the main memory and as many bits as are required to contain all page names, probably 15 to 20. For example, if it is desired to divide the main memory into 256 pages, the size of the associative memory would be \(256 \times 15\) (or larger) and would output an eight-bit address code. The cost of such a memory would be high. Various LSI approaches are possible, but have not yet been implemented. The size of the memory using the technology of the near future would also be considerable.

The most attractive scheme is the one discussed in Chapter 2. Using a scratch pad memory the size of the one proposed for the processor or slightly larger, a page table could be implemented which would handle 512 pages per memory and a 20-bit-long page name and lockout field. The translation time would be well under a microsecond in nearly all cases, which would be of little significance in comparison to the latency of the data bus.

3.3 Bus Design

3.3.1 I/O Bus

The I/O bus is the interface between the computer and all input and output equipment. Older designs were usually realized by assigning a cable set to each piece of input and output equipment and often a separate set for each of the two directions of signal travel. Thus each wire had a preassigned and definite input end and output end. The problems of power level, bandwidth, and reflections were localized.

The present application requires a single bus of arbitrary length whose signal input points are arbitrary and whose signal output points are arbitrary. Hence the bus may be driven from any single point at any given time and may be loaded at any number of points at that time.

This distributed network must be driven by drivers which assure that pulses of optimum shape enter the line and that the pulse exhibit minimum droop and negligible overshoot and no pre-or post-pulse reflections.
Transformer selection and turns ratio is based more on the total network as a distribution system than upon the ability of the transformer to drive the load. In fact, any of the transformers evaluated would drive much heavier loads than those imposed here.

Transformer coupling allows the voltage across inactive driver transistors to be a maximum, which makes the collector capacitance a minimum, and in turn reflects a minimum of capacity into the line, (Fig. 3.13). Minimizing the shunt capacity minimizes the component of shunt current drawn from the line. Effectively, the loaded line propagation constant and characteristic impedance are similar to those of an unloaded line. The characteristic impedance $Z_o$ is given by

$$Z_o = \sqrt{\frac{Z}{y}} = \sqrt{\frac{R + jWL}{G + jWC}}$$

Since $R$ is negligible

$$Z_o = \sqrt{\frac{jWL}{G + jWC}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{L/C}{1 + jWC}}$$

which is exactly the impedance of the unloaded line if $\sqrt{\frac{G}{jWC}} << 1$.

The complete specification of a transformer is very lengthy and usually is completed by acceptance testing in the end use circuit.

A group of pulse transformers manufactured by Sprague were selected for testing. Their characteristics are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sprague Type #</th>
<th>Breadboard Designation</th>
<th>$L_p$ (µH)</th>
<th>Max. $L$</th>
<th>Max $C_c$ (pf)</th>
<th>Rise Time (nsec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35Z2021</td>
<td>b, e, f</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35Z2022</td>
<td>d, g, h</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35Z2023</td>
<td>a, c</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two impedance levels of line were tested. They were RG58 C/U and RG62 A/U. Their characteristics are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MIL No.</th>
<th>V. P. %</th>
<th>Capacity (pf/ft)</th>
<th>Nom. Imped. (Ohms)</th>
<th>Jacket O. D.</th>
<th>Dielectric O. D.</th>
<th>Center Conductor</th>
<th>db/100 ft at 10mc</th>
<th>db/100 ft at 30mc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RG58C/U</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>.195</td>
<td>.116</td>
<td>19X.0071TC</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG62A/U</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>.242</td>
<td>.146</td>
<td>1X.0253CW</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The loads on this line must not deteriorate this pulse below a level which is usable to each of an arbitrary number of other users. Of course, no load should introduce reflections or remove more than its share of energy from any pulse.

The total length of the line will be up to fifty feet. Data rate will be up to 5 megabits per second. Up to twenty drivers and up to twenty receivers will load the line.

3.3.1.1 Goals
In addition to the above criteria, the bus must be amenable to an arbitrary increase or decrease in (a) length, (b) number of drivers, (c) number of receivers, (d) position assignment along the line of drivers and receivers.

The pulses at the input to the driver and at the input to each receiver shall be standard 0.1-microsecond duration, positive, 50% duty cycle trains.

The driver circuits, the receiver circuits, and the physical bus itself shall be constructed of available and proven parts. Monolithic circuits will be used where applicable.

The design shall be evaluated for its performance under component degradation. It shall be a further design goal to realize graceful degradation through the use of redundancy techniques.

3.3.1.2 Design Candidates
The transmission system must meet all of the above criteria. Pulse pattern sensitivity and reflections are to be avoided. This means that a non-resonant, wideband, base-band transmission medium operating at its characteristic impedance is required. This terminated transmission line should not be a radiator nor should it be a receiver of extraneous fields; thus coaxial cable is chosen.

Conducted interference is also to be avoided. This implies that all drivers and all receivers interfacing with the line shall exhibit balanced coupling for three-terminal active devices, e.g. transistors, or that true four-terminal devices will be used. Thus, transistors or IC's with coupling transformers will satisfy this requirement for design with conventional components.

Other appealing four-terminal networks are the electro-optical devices. It is felt that the use of conventional components at this time is more effective, as they have higher and computable reliability, and have transfer value in that they can be used in other parts of the system.
3.3.1.3 Transformer Design

We have determined that a terminated system is necessary. The system is also center driven, which means that each driver looks into $Z_0/2$ and furnishes a current of $(\text{peak pulse voltage})/Z_0/2$. However, the pulse current in the line is $(\text{peak pulse voltage})/Z_0$. The pulse energy in the line is determined by the product of the line voltage and line current. See Fig. 3.9, Test Layout.

To a first approximation, we may neglect the coupling reactances. The line then looks like a pulse source which is loaded by a number of current sinks. Each inactive driver and each receiver constitutes a current sink, $i_{Dj}$ and $i_{Rk}$ respectively. For this simple model, the load on an active driver depends only on the population along the line. The worst received waveform is received by the receiver at the far end of the line when the line is driven at the near end of the line. See Fig. 3.13: First-order approximation to loaded line.

We now use the principle that the generation of reflections is dependent upon the amount of energy removed at each point in the line. Thus the current removed at each tap is limited to prevent local generation of reflections and the total energy removed is limited to prevent severe taper. If nearly 100% of the voltage and nearly 100% of the current reach the resistive terminations at each end of the line, the line will be quiet and well behaved.

Note that a transistor driver is used for testing. The transistor could be the output collector in an integrated circuit that utilizes an open collector output. We do not use any of the totem pole outputs because we want to switch from the full supply voltage across the load to an open circuit. Our test configuration uses a pnp transistor as shown in Fig. 3.10: Isolated pulse line driver for Coax. Fig. 3.11: Receiver Schematic shows the SG132 monolithic gate and its associated transformer.

The 2:1 turns ratio of the transformers together with the nearly two to one characteristic impedance levels of the coaxial lines allow a great many combinations to be evaluated.

The RG62A/U system with transformers wired 1:2 stepup from driver to line and 2:1 step down from line to receiver has the following characteristics for 3.5-volt peak pulse into the receiver. Peak pulse voltage on line is 7 volts and peak line current is .0753 amp. The driver must furnish 3.5 volts peak at 0.3 amp. The same system implemented with RG58C/U coaxial requires 0.55 amp from the drivers. For all systems the standby power is negligible.
Fig. 3.9 Test layout.
Fig. 3.10 pnp isolated pulse line driver for COAX.
Fig. 3.14 Receiver schematic.
Fig. 3.12 Transformer ratios to minimize the capacitance seen at the transmission line discontinuities.
Fig. 3.13 First-order approximation to loaded line.
A sequence of measurements were made with RG58 C/U coaxial cable. The driver transformers were wired 2:1 step down from driver to line. This case requires the minimum driver current but reflects the maximum capacitance into the line tap point. The receiver transformers are wired 2:1 step down from line to receiver. Amplitude degradation is up to 50% for this case. Transformers b and e performed best.

A series of tests were performed with RG62A/U coaxial. By doubling the impedance level (compared to RG58C/U) we can reduce the line power by a factor of two.

For the case of 2:1 step down transformers from the driver to the line and 2:1 step down transformers from the line to the receivers amplitude degradation with distance is again 50%.

Another series of tests was performed with RCC2A/U coaxial driven by transformers which are wired 1:2 step up from driver to line. The receiver transformers are wired 2:1 step down from line to receiver. This was by far the best case. Transformers c and e yielded the best pulse shape everywhere in the line. No pulse degradation with distance was measurable for this case of five drivers, three receivers, and 22 feet of coax. See Figs. 3.14 and 3.15.

In general, the voltage and current waveforms that are inserted into the line as square pulses suffer degradation with distance down a loaded line. Rise time degrades until the pulse becomes triangular and further attenuation yields a lower amplitude triangular pulse.

The best results were obtained with RG62A/U 93-ohm coax with all driver transformers wired 1:2 step up from driver to line and all receivers wired 2:1 step down line to receiver. Transformers c and e were the best coupling units although the setup was the least sensitive to the particular transformer used.

A full scale breadboard with all drivers and all receivers in place should be evaluated. A more accurate transmission model should also be evaluated. A complex value for the characteristic impedance and propagation function should be derived. Finally, some of the several other possible ways of driving the line should be studied.

3.3.2 Data Bus

3.3.2.1 Goals

The data bus will handle 20 megabits per second RZ data, and will be about four feet in length. It may be loaded by up to 30 drivers and up to 30 receivers. Thus tap points will be separated by less than an inch on the
Fig. 3.14 Total system schematic - driver transformers step-up.
Fig. 3.15 Waveforms of all points along line with driver transformers used as step-up transformers.
3. Receivers A, D, H
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4. Receivers A, D, H
Drivers B, C, E, F, G
Cable Voltage at CC
Cable Voltage at BB
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Fig. 3.15 Waveforms of all points along line with driver transformers used as step-up transformers.
average. The physical tightness of the line and the distributed nature of the constants imply that the total transmission system including drivers and receivers must be designed as a unit. (For the I/O bus, driver and receiver parameters were chosen so that they had a minimum effect upon the line parameters. This simplification will not be possible for the data bus).

3.3.2.2 Design Candidates

The bus itself is likely to have to satisfy a peculiar form factor that satisfies input and output point locations that are determined by system considerations other than bus design. It will be located in a very active electrical environment so RFI will be a strong consideration. Ground reference will probably be within a 1/2-volt differential throughout the path. Again, power and size will not be heavy trade-off items. The pulse performance of the line will be the dominant design criterion. The electrical requirements will determine the constants and dimensions of the system, upon which the physical constants of the materials are specified. Finally, the electrical design must consider the variations to be expected in production, e.g., thickness and loss factors. Harmonic analysis of the system will be required.

3.3.2.3 Instruction Bus

The instruction bus will have a bit rate of about 25 megabits per second, and will be about 4 feet long. There will be up to 15 drivers and 15 receivers.

These requirements will be satisfied by the Data Bus design. Thus the Instruction Bus will be identical in nature to the data bus.
4. ELECTRICAL/MECHANICAL DESIGN

4.1 Braid Memory

4.1.1 Review

For several years work has been carried on towards the development of a read-only memory suitable for spaceborne applications. This development is called the Braid memory, and progress has been reported in MIT Report B-498 and E-2092. During the contract period covered herein, the effort has been to gain experience with a larger Braid memory than has previously been built, and also to begin designing a new Braid memory with greater bit density and structural integrity than before.

4.1.1.1 Advantages of the Braid Memory

The Braid is a wired-in memory whose contents are determined at manufacture and unchangeable thereafter. High density and low cost can be achieved this way, and the potential for turn-around time, i.e., the time required to generate a Braid once the desired contents are known, is of the order of a few days. The property of inalterability is a desirable one in some applications, notably certain programs and most microprograms, where security of data is of the utmost importance.

Alternatives to a read-only memory (ROM) are read-write memories (RWM) and non-destructive readout (NDRO) memories. The NDRO memory is attractive from the viewpoint of turn-around, as it can be loaded from the computer or the GSE. Its principal disadvantages are its low density and high cost. The future prospects of the NDRO memory are good, first with plated wire and later with semiconductors. For the present and immediate future, however, the ROM is a more satisfactory device in many applications. The RWM is a compromise in size and cost, but its security is low, and it is often unsatisfactory for that reason alone.

4.1.1.2 Fabrication

The reason for the fast turn-around potential of the Braid memory is the method of manufacture in which information is stored by configuring wires with a loom, potting the wires, and adding transformer cores, sense amplifiers and drive circuits. Over four thousand wires are used in the latest Braid memory. Drive circuits select one of these by passing a current of tens-of milliamperes through it. The wire optionally threads or does not thread each of 256 transformers whose secondary windings are sensed. The primary current causes the subset of the 256 sense circuits whose transformers are threaded by this wire to turn on.

4-1
The loom handles 256 wires, and makes one transformer option selection for all 256 in about 16 seconds. The weaving rate can thus be said to be 16 bits per second. Extra time is required to terminate the wires at the beginning and end of every "pass" of 256 selections, resulting in a lower bit writing rate. Both the weaving and the terminating speeds stand to be improved such that an overall rate of about ten bits per second is feasible. At this rate, a million-bit Braid can be fabricated in a little over a day.

4.1.1.3 Electrical Properties

Driving a current through a selected wire and sensing whether the wire passes through a transformer core has various pitfalls owing to the presence of parasitic circuits in memories of large size. Capacitance from one wire to another is the principal source of trouble, and because of it there is a limitation on speed that becomes more severe with increasing size.

The most economical driving circuits, according to conventional cost assessments, are double-ended drivers with diode isolation of each wire. This proves to be disadvantageous with respect to speed, and single-ended drivers, of which one per line is required, are being considered for the next design. Since termination is a relatively large part of Braid fabrication, it may well develop that the cost of a transistor per line is proportionally very little more than the cost of a diode per line, thus permitting a large speed improvement to be made at little cost.

Sensing in Braid memories has been done by RTL NOR gates driven by 30-turn secondary windings. This report describes two new sense techniques, one using a 60-turn secondary winding, the other using a secondary of just a few turns. The remainder of section 4.1 treats our experience with weaving and testing a $2^{20}$-bit Braid (1,048,576 bits) and our studies for a new high-speed, high-density Braid memory design.

4.1.2 Design of the Megabit Braid Memory

The megabit braid memory was conceived and designed for two basic reasons. The first was to test the feasibility of packaging over one million bits in a single package. The second was to facilitate more rapid construction and to test the manufacturing techniques by which such a package could be built. One of the main problems in the manufacture of such a braid was the further development of termination techniques, mainly at the diode end of the word lines, which previously had constituted the largest time-consuming part of braid manufacture. Other reasons for the development of such a braid were to lower the total power consumption of the associated electronics and to test new sensing circuits.
A new "boat" (section that contains the potted braid) and a matching electronics frame were designed for the megabit braid. The actual braiding area remained the same in length and width but increased 50% in thickness to accommodate the 100% increase in number of wires. The overall dimensions of the new package were governed by the area necessitated by the new diode board design; the complete package measures 15-7/8" × 14-1/2" × 2". No specific effort was made to increase the storage density; however, the density of the new package increased slightly over that of the previous package.

4.1.2.1 Termination

Since the initial termination of wires to separate diodes took the majority of time in the manufacture of a braid, considerable time and effort were spent investigating mass termination procedures.

Previously, wires were terminated to diodes manually, one at a time. The loom selected the wires to be terminated to a specific diode board and the operator would pick one wire at a time, in order, make a single turn around the corresponding diode lead, then solder. This was a rather long and tedious process. Termination of the 256 wires necessary for a single pass took over two hours.

In the megabit braid a mass termination method was tried with very good results. The average terminating time per pass dropped to approximately forty minutes. For this procedure a new diode board was designed and new reeds were installed on the loom. The new diode boards are slotted on .100" centers, and the pitch on the new reeds is .025". Every fourth wire is selected for terminations. A high-temperature solder pot was installed on a lift table in the appropriate area under the loom.

The mass soldering method proceeds as follows: the loom makes a "pick" of the wires to be terminated, and these wires are then "combed" into their respective slots of the diode board. The diode board is then placed in a holding fixture and the solder pot control circuit is activated. The solder pot rises to a predetermined height and remains there for a controlled length of time while soldering the wires to pads on the diode board, and then returns to its original position. Each diode board contains 64 diodes; therefore, four diode boards are used per pass, and a total of 64 boards are necessary for the complete megabit braid.
Probably the biggest problem of this mass termination method lies in the expense and manufacturing problems associated with the diode boards. The boards are double-sided printed circuit boards with a series of plated-through slots .030" wide on .100" centers. It is believed that a one-sided printed circuit board would suffice, and that, with some more refinement and development of combing and terminating techniques, even the slots may be eliminated.

4.1.2.2 Weaving the Braid

The actual weaving was performed in the same manner as in the previous 2048-wire braid. Since the capacity of the braid was to be doubled, there were 16 instead of 8 passes made with the loom. The average time per pass for the actual weaving process including removal of the temporary storage rods was approximately 1-1/4 hours.

In the preliminary continuity check 130 word-lines were found to be open. An analysis of these reduced the number of actual faults (broken wires) to 68. The remaining errors proved to be due to poor solder termination, primarily at the receiver end of the braid, and diodes having been inserted in the diode boards with the wrong polarity. A closer check of the broken wires revealed that the breakage had occurred mainly in a particular area of the braid where only the first "pass" contained information with a few "ones". All other wires were "zeros" in this bit position. The actual breakage was caused by the dropping of the temporary separator rods onto these few wires and literally chopping them up. Normally these separator rods are cushioned by the build-up of wires from successive passes.

A correction tape was made and a correction pass woven into the braid. Plugs were inserted into the separator rods to keep them from falling to the bottom of the boat when the bars were removed. (The bars are temporary fixtures which fit between the rows of nails, and are used to keep the weaving of the braid above the nails.)

4.1.2.3 Driving

In the previous 2048-wire braids both a discrete electronics package and an integrated-circuit electronics package had been used with very good results. In the interest of power consumption and to gain better control over the drive current it was decided to use a combination of these packages in the megabit braid.

Integrated circuits such as those used in the previous braid were used as receivers or bottom switches. These were paralleled two gates to a receiver, with a total of 128 receivers.
Discrete components were used as transmitters or top switches. There are 32 such gates, all of which are driven by a common-current driver. This was done to gain better control of the current wave forms, which in turn should aid in lowering the noise mechanisms within the braid as seen at the sense amplifiers.

Since the number of word lines in the megabit braid was twice that of previous braids, it was expected that the receiver bundle capacitance of the wire would increase proportionally. This would make it more difficult for a selected receiver to discharge its word lines. However, by making the organization such that the number of transmitters remained the same and only the number of receivers doubled, no receiver would have to discharge more than 32 lines, thus minimizing the problem of discharging selected bundles.

The greatest power saving was made in the electronics package by using low-power DTL to decode the address lines. The total power consumption for the megabit braid is 12 watts.

4.1.2.4 Sensing

The sensing circuitry involved the greatest electronic change in the megabit braid. In previous braids a multilayer board provided the sense windings and low-power RTL gates were used as sense amplifiers and inhibit switches.

In the megabit braid discrete components are used for sensing and inhibiting. A 60-turn winding on a bobbin is recessed into the sense board. The output of the sense windings is terminated directly to the base of the amplifier transistor and an inhibiting transistor is placed across the winding as a means of shorting the sense output. Bit outputs of the sense amplifiers are connected together to perform the wired-OR function, and drive an output register of sixteen J-K flip-flops. The register clock input is used to strobe the amplifier outputs into the flip-flops. Thus, a buffer and means of storage is incorporated into the sensing package.

4.1.2.5 Testing

In testing the braid several problems were found. The first was a single word line which had, by yet undetermined means, become shorted to the frame. This wire was isolated and a separate wire run through the parity positions so that other checks might be made.

In cycling through the 65,536 word braid and testing for parity, 10 errors were found. This is an error percentage of .015%. It was also found that these 10 errors occurred on only three word lines.
The first three of these errors appeared on one word line and were due to omissions on the correction tape.

The next errors, in four successive words, were also attributed to a single word line that was woven during the correction pass. However, unlike the first errors, the information was found to be correct on the tape. In weaving the correction pass only one-fourth of the wires were used and the remaining wires were left in the "zero" position. It is probable that the wire containing the errors was caught in the unused wires such that wire separation was effected after the error-detection switches. These errors are not attributable to the loom and probably would not have occurred had the unused wires been kept in the "one" position (as is done when terminations are made).

The last errors were a dropped "one" in three successive words on the same word line. These errors occurred during the initial weaving, and, since the information on the tape was found to be correct, they were attributed to the loom. Thus, it might be said that the loom had an undetected-error rate of 3 in 1,048,576 bits or less than 0.0003%.

Although an error-checking system is incorporated in the loom electronics, a mechanical mode of failure has been found that might deceive this check. The check switches provide positive indications for the "zero" position of each wire; that is, the switch is activated by a wire in the full zero position. Thus the switches do not distinguish between partially-raised and fully-raised wires, although a partially-raised wire may be below the shuttle and will ultimately be separated, undetected, into the zero group.

There are two possible methods of eliminating undetected loom errors: first, by eliminating the mechanism by which a mechanical "hang-up" or partial separation may occur; and second, by installing another set of error-detection switches to provide indication of the fully-raised ("one") position.

It should be noted that it is possible that the 4 errors in the second group were caused by the same mechanism that caused the last group of errors. It is also possible that all errors not due to tape errors could have been caused by the operator missing a wire when inserting the temporary rods. However, this is quite unlikely as the operator would have had to miss the same wire on three or four successive picks. This type of error should appear as an individual error.

Previously developed techniques provide a means of correcting these errors. The offending word lines are disconnected at the transmitter and receiver ends of the braid and are replaced by new wires woven with the correct information. If the number of wires to be corrected or changed is small, the...
new wires are run by hand; if a significant number of wires is to be changed, a correction tape is made up and the new wires are installed with the help of the loom.

4.1.3 Design of a High Density Braid Memory

The design of a Braid of improved performance and density is currently in progress. It is based upon the results of some analytical and breadboard experimental studies of sensing, driving, and density, which are described in this section.

4.1.3.1 Sensing

4.1.3.1.1 Model

The word-line-core-sense-winding configuration is shown in Fig. 4.1 with its lumped-element circuit model; the model is shown in expanded form in Fig. 4.2. The flux components of interest are the primary leakage flux $\phi_1$, the secondary leakage flux $\phi_2$, and the mutual flux $\phi_m$. $\phi_m$ consists of all flux that links both the word-line and the sense coil.

If $\phi_m$ is assumed to be confined to the core,

$$\phi_m = \frac{\mu_R \mu_0 A_{cs}}{(l)} (I_{ab} - N_{i_0})$$

where

$$\mu_0 = \text{permeability of free space} = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ H/m} = 31.9 \text{ nH/in.}$$

$$\mu_R = \text{initial permeability of core material.}$$

$$A_{cs} = \text{cross-sectional area of magnetic path.}$$

$$l = \text{mean magnetic path length.}$$

Setting $i_0 = 0$, the magnetizing inductance $L_m$ is given by

$$L_m = \frac{\phi_m}{I_{ab}} = \frac{\mu_0 \mu_R A_{cs}}{(l)}$$

and is hence the inductance that would be measured if a single turn were placed on the core with perfect coupling.
Fig. 4.1 Sense position transformer.
The primary leakage inductance $L_{p1}$ accounts for flux that links only
the word-line $ab$ ($\phi_{p1}$) and is somewhat higher than the inductance of segment
ab in the absence of the core. Note that if the word line is driven by a current
source, $L_{p1}$ has no effect whatsoever on sensing.

$L_{p2}$ is the secondary (or primary-to-secondary) leakage inductance and
is somewhat greater than the inductance of the sensing coil in free space because
of partial flux linkage with the core. $L_{p2}$ is strongly dependent upon winding
geometry and number of turns. If altering the number of turns does not substantial-
ly affect the geometry of the coil, $L_{p2}$ varies as $N^2$; if the winding geometry is
such that each added turn encloses a larger area than the previous turn (e.g.,
a spiral), $L_{p2}$ varies with a power of $N$ greater than 2.

The resistance $r_c$ accounts for losses within the core and to some extent
for the variation of losses with frequency and peak flux density. The value of $r_c$
for a given application can be computed from manufacturer's data of core loss
(typically in mw/cm$^3$ or watts/lb) versus peak flux density and frequency.

For a single-turn perfectly coupled winding driven by a voltage source
$e(t) = E \sin \omega t$, the flux density is given by

$$B(t) = -\frac{1}{A_{cs}} \int e(t) \, dt = -\frac{E \cos \omega t}{\omega A_{cs}} = -\hat{B} \cos \omega t$$

and the power dissipated is

$$P = \frac{E^2}{2 r_c} = \frac{(\hat{B} \omega A_{cs})^2}{2 r_c}$$

The core-loss resistance is then

$$r_c = \frac{\hat{B}^2 \omega^2 A_{cs}}{2 (P/V) (\ell)} \text{ ohms}$$

where

$\hat{B}$ = peak flux density, webers/m$^2$

$\omega$ = angular frequency

$A_{cs}$ = cross-sectional area, m$^2$

$(\ell)$ = mean magnetic path length, m.

$(P/V)$ = core loss, watts/m$^3$. 
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The core loss represented by the model (Eq. 4-2) is seen to increase as the square of both the peak flux density and frequency. Since this is not strictly the case with realistic ferrites, the core-loss resistance for the same core may vary an order of magnitude depending upon application. Ferrite cores having shapes and characteristics useful for braid application exhibit a single-turn $r_c$ of about 2-5 ohms, which can often be shown to have negligible effect.

4.1.3.1.2 Sensing Devices

4.1.3.1.2.1 Resistive Load

The equivalent circuit is made manageable for the following analyses by assuming zero core loss ($r_c \to \infty$) and negligible capacitance across the sense winding. Capacitance is treated as part of the load. It is also assumed that secondary leakage inductance $L_{\ell 2}$ varies as $N^2$ such that $L_{\ell 2} = N^2 L_{\ell 0}$. All components of the model are referred to the sense-coil output in Fig. 4.3. Clearly, if the magnetizing current $i_m$ is kept small by some means, an ideal "current" transformer results with $i_o = \frac{i_{ab}}{N}$.

If a step drive current of magnitude $I$ is applied, the output voltage and current are given by

$$i_o(t) = \frac{I}{N} \exp \left( -\frac{t_{RL}}{N^2 L_m \left( 1 + \frac{L_{\ell 0}}{L_m} \right)} \right) \quad (4-4)$$

and

$$V_o(t) = R_L i_o(t) \quad (4-4a)$$

At a time $t_s$ after the start of the drive current step, the output of the sense amplifier is strobed with a zero-width pulse, and the sense winding output must not have decayed below a threshold ($V_{oT}$ or $i_{oT}$) at this time. Practically, $t_s$ is chosen as the time of the trailing edge of a real strobe pulse. The strobe time is determined by noise mechanisms in the braid harness itself; in typical braid configurations the output should hold up for more than 100 nanoseconds. The drive current magnitude necessary to produce $i_{oT}$ at $t_s$ is, from equation 4-4,

$$I = N \left( 1 + \frac{L_{\ell 0}}{L_m} \right) i_{oT} \exp \left[ \frac{t_s R_L}{N^2 (L_m + L_{\ell 0})} \right]$$
Fig. 4.2 Expanded model of sense position transformer.
Differentiating with respect to \( N \) and setting the result equal to zero, it is found that the required word-line current is minimized for a specific number of coil turns \( N_0 \):

\[
N_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2 t_s R_L}{L_m \left( 1 + \frac{L_{\ell 0}}{L_m} \right)}} \tag{4-5}
\]

for which

\[
I_o = i_o T \sqrt{2 \epsilon \frac{t_s R_L}{L_m} \left( 1 + \frac{L_{\ell 0}}{L_m} \right)} \tag{4-6}
\]

or

\[
I_o = V_o T \sqrt{2 \epsilon \left( 1 + \frac{L_{\ell 0}}{L_m} \right) \frac{t_s}{R_L L_m}} \tag{4-6a}
\]

Maximum sensitivity is hence achieved by using as an amplifier either a voltage-sensitive device with high input impedance or a current-sensitive device with low input impedance.

The best sensitivity that can be achieved using a voltage-sensitive device is limited by the maximum number of sense-winding turns that can be tolerated considering density and winding capacitance. Practical sense windings are presently limited by both considerations to a few-hundred turns. If the sensing turns are thus fixed it is found that there exists an optimum value of load impedance \( R_{L_o} \):

\[
R_{L_o} = \frac{N^2 (L_m + L_{\ell 0})}{t_s} \tag{4-12}
\]

for which

\[
I_o = \frac{V_o T t_s}{N L_m} \epsilon
\]
A value of $R_L$ lower than $R_{Lo}$ decreases the overall amplitude of the output voltage, while the output collapses too quickly if $R_L$ is greater than $R_{Lo}$. If practical values are assumed ($N_{\text{max}} = 150\, \text{T}, \, L_m = 0.2\, \mu\, \text{h}, \, L_{f0} = 30\, \text{nH}, \, V_{\text{oT}} = 50\, \text{mV}, \, t_s = 0.3\, \mu\, \text{sec}$), it appears that the word-line current need be only 1.36 milliamperes if the sense amplifier input impedance is adjusted to about 17 kΩ. However, the response will be extremely sluggish due to winding and parasitic capacitances. If 5 pF is assumed to appear at the sense-winding terminals, the natural resonant frequency is about one megahertz. Recovery time is, at best, on the order of a microsecond.

The most attractive sensing device for this application is an amplifier which produces an output proportional to input current and has essentially zero input impedance. It is apparent from equations 4-5 and 4-6 that such a device allows the use of small drive currents while using sense windings of but a few turns, hence keeping reflected reactances small and allowing higher speeds.

The implementation of such a device using a high-gain high-speed amplifier with negative feedback is shown in Fig. 4.4. The input impedance approaches zero since node a is a virtual ground, and the gain of the amplifier ($-r_m = V_{\text{out}}/I_0$) is equal to the feedback resistor $R_F$. However, the cost of powering a few hundred such amplifiers in conventional form is large; a braid memory utilizing 256 amplifiers in commercially available form will dissipate 15 to 30 watts in the sensing circuitry alone unless some form of power switching is employed.

A low impedance amplifier may be realized more economically by loading the sense coil with a common base transistor stage as shown in Fig. 4.5. The winding current is reproduced at the collector(s), with almost complete isolation, while an impedance on the order of 25-50 ohms is presented to the winding. The differential configuration (with its attendant balance problems if unipolar signals are to be sensed) eliminates the coupling or bypass capacitor necessary with the single-ended configuration. The stage is biased so that the quiescent collector (output) voltage is nominally 0 VDC; and the winding polarity is such that positive word-line current produces a positive output voltage excursion. The stage is capable of driving logic directly, although performance is improved considerably by the addition of an emitter-follower output stage.

If bias current is set properly and a sufficiently fast transistor is used, the input impedance is primarily a resistance of $h_{ib}$ ohms. The sense-winding output current is then given by equation 4-4 with $R_L = h_{ib}$. The speed of the sense amplifier is taken into account by assuming a lumped capacitance $C_0$ from the collector of the common base stage to ground as shown in Fig. 4.5a.
Fig. 4.3 Simplified equivalent circuit.

Fig. 4.4 Ideal implementation of amplifier with zero input impedance.

Fig. 4.5 Common base sense amplifiers: (a) single-ended and (b) differential.
Fig. 4.6 Amplifier output.

Fig. 4.7 Saturating-transistor amplifier.
The time-domain impulse response of the amplifier is

\[ h(t) = \frac{V_o(t)}{I_{Eo}(t)} = \frac{\alpha_o}{\tau_c} \frac{R_o}{\tau_c} \]

(4-7)

where

\[ \alpha_o = \text{low-frequency common-base short-circuit current gain.} \]

\[ \tau_c = \text{collector time constant} = C_o R_o \]

The overall output voltage produced is then the convolution of the emitter current given by equation 4-4 and the amplifier impulse response \( h(t) \):

\[ \mu_o(t) = i_E(t) \times h(t) = \int_0^t i_E(\tau) h(t - \tau) d\tau \]

Then

\[ V_o(t) = \frac{I_o R_o}{N \left(1 + \frac{L_0}{I_m}\right)} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau_c - 1} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{t}{\tau_c} & -\frac{t}{\tau_E} \\ \epsilon & -\epsilon \end{array} \right] \right] \]

where

\[ \tau_E = \text{input (emitter) time constant} = N^2 \left(\frac{L_m + L_0}{h_{ib}}\right) \]

The output voltage is most easily computed by assuming that the emitter time constant \( \tau_E \) is considerably longer than \( \tau_c \), as will be the case if the amplifier is to operate well. For the leading edge of the output, with step drive current,

\[ V_o(t) = \frac{I_o R_o}{N \left(1 + \frac{L_0}{I_m}\right)} \left[ 1 - \frac{-t}{\tau_c} \right] \]

and the output reaches the threshold \( V_{oT} \) at

\[ t_1 = \frac{V_{oT} N \left(1 + \frac{L_0}{I_m}\right)}{I_o R_o C_o} \quad (t_1 \ll T_c) \]
if the leading edge consumes a negligible portion of output pulse width, the top of the pulse is described by

\[ V_o(t) = \frac{I_o R_o}{N \left(1 + \frac{f_0}{L_m}\right)} \frac{e^{-t/\tau}}{\tau} \]

which is merely the winding output current (Eq. 4-4) multiplied by the transresistance (gain) of the stage \( \alpha R_o \).

For maximum voltage gain and output slewing rate the largest possible value of \( R_o \) should be used. The maximum usable value of \( R_o \) is limited by bias stability requirements. Resistance and supply voltage values must be fairly well controlled to maintain dc output voltage within a predictable band. The effects of nonprecise supply voltage may be minimized by using a tracking regulator that sets \( V_{EE} \) to maintain dc outputs near a chosen nominal value \( V_{o DC} \). The maximum collector resistance \( R_o \), and hence circuit gain, are then limited mainly by tolerable output offset voltage and resistor accuracies. These considerations limit the maximum allowable collector resistance to less than approximately 6000 ohms, limiting the maximum stage gain to approximately 6 output volts per milliampere of winding current. With a 6-turn winding, an initial peak of somewhat less than one volt is obtained for a word-line current step of 1 mA. In practice, sensitivities of 0.6 output volts per milliampere of word-line current have been realized with a power consumption of five to ten milliwatts per amplifier. The power consumption is an order of magnitude less than that of typical video or memory sense-amplifiers that are capable of similar speeds in this application.

### 4.1.3.1.2.2 Saturating-transistor Amplifier

The use of a single transistor or RTL nor gate as a sense amplifier is still attractive in terms of cost and simplicity. A convenient means of sense-position selection is obtained by adding an inhibiting transistor or gate to each amplifier (Fig. 4.7). The winding is effectively shorted by the inhibiting transistor when the sense position is not selected, keeping the voltage drop along the driven word line small.

The voltage and current relationships in this circuit are shown in Fig. 4.8. Note that four major functions are performed by the circuit:

1. **Sensing**

   When \( Q2 \) is "off" \((V_{INH} = 0V)\), a drive current of sufficient magnitude produces a positive winding output current and saturates \( Q1 \).
Fig. 4.8 V-I relationships in amplifier of Fig. 4.7.

Fig. 4.9 Undamped sense amplifier response.
2. **Inhibiting**
   When Q2 is saturated (V_{\text{INH}} \approx 3V), it acts as a current sink for winding output current, preventing Q1 from responding.

3. **Thresholding**
   \( V_{\text{INH}} \) is high at all times except when the sense position is selected, and is set to 0V at the same time the drive current pulse is started.
   During the time \( V_{\text{INH}} \) is high, a fraction of the base current to Q2 flows out of the collector lead and through the winding in a reverse direction.
   If the driven word line does not thread the core and \( V_{\text{INH}} \) is set to zero, the base of the sensing transistor Q1 will be driven negative by the inductive sense winding; the current through the core window must exceed \( N \) times the reverse current before the winding output voltage will become positive.

4. **Damping**
   The sense circuit is a resonant circuit which has energy stored in it at the end of the drive current pulse; the energy is stored in the form of voltage on the effective capacitance and in the form of core flux caused by the magnetizing current that was allowed to build up during the drive sequence. In the absence of Q3, an underdamped sinusoidal voltage waveform appears across the sense winding terminals and, in extreme cases, causes a number of false outputs (Fig. 4.9). \( R_1 \) serves as a parallel damping resistor. At the end of the drive pulse, the collector of Q2 is driven negative, and a resistance of \((R_1/\beta_{R2} + 1))\) is connected across the winding terminals (\(\beta_{R2} = \text{reverse } \beta \text{ of } Q2 \ll 1\)). \( R_1 \) is chosen to approximate the resistance necessary to critically damp the sense circuit.

The basic response of the circuit is computed by using a simplification of the sense-transformer model and assuming that the sensing and inhibiting functions may be treated separately. Capacitance is assumed to affect only the leading edge and the recovery. The winding output current at time \( t_s \) for a drive current step of magnitude \( I \) is

\[
i_o(t_s) = \frac{I}{N} - \frac{V_{\text{BE}} t_s}{N^2 L_m}
\]
For a given minimum sense output current of $i_o$ at $t_s$, I must be greater than

$$I = N i_o + \frac{V_{BE} t_s}{N L_m}$$

$I$ is minimized for

$$N_o = \sqrt{\frac{V_{BE} t_s}{i_o L_m}}$$

and is

$$I_o = 2 \sqrt{\frac{V_{BE} t_s i_o}{L_m}}$$

Choosing $i_o = 0.1 \text{ mA, } t_s = 0.2 \mu\text{sec, } V_{BE} = 0.7\text{V, and } L_m = 0.3 \mu\text{H}$, we find that word-line current is minimized for a sense winding of 68 turns and that 13.7 milliamperes of word-line current is necessary to hold the sensing transistor in saturation. The effect of core loss, which was previously neglected, may be estimated by assuming $r_c = 2 \Omega$ and adding to $I_o$ a current $i_{CL} = V_{BE}/N r_c = 5 \text{mA}$. The effective parallel resistance necessary to critically damp the circuit is found to be approximately 6K ohms, while the core loss presents an effective resistance of approximately 9K ohms; hence the resistor to the base of Q2 should be approximately 18K.

A lower bound is set on the value of $V_{INH}$ to preserve the inhibiting function of Q2. If the worst-case discharge current that may occur is 100 mA, then Q2 must accept a collector current of 1.47 mA while remaining saturated; if $\beta_2 = 20$, then the minimum base current is 73.5 $\mu$A, implying that $V_{INH}$ must be larger than approximately 2 volts. Setting $V_{INH}$ at 3 volts results in a base current of 123 $\mu$A of which, say, 60 $\mu$A will flow as reverse current through the sense winding, producing the thresholding effect described above. This raises the required minimum word-line current to 22.5 mA.

The delay time associated with a "one" output is computed to be approximately 50 nsec, while the recovery time is approximately 380 nsec.

4.1.3.1.2.3 FET Multiplexer

A sense-signal multiplexer using field effect transistors as high-speed analog switches is illustrated in Fig. 4.10. Here, some of the speed and sensitivity achievable with low impedance amplifiers is traded for a substantial improvement in sense-position simplicity and overall power consumption while
Fig. 4.10 Sense signal multiplexer using P-channel MOSFETS.
retaining small (8-turn) windings. Since only a single FET switch and a single resistor is used at each sense position, the electronics for a number of sense positions may be included in a single package. Six-channel FET switches are presently commercially available in TO-84 flat packages.

Current responsive amplifiers having low input impedance similar to those described previously are used as sense amplifiers. Typical amplifier input current is shown in Fig. 4.11.

4.1.3.2 Driving

The use of the double-ended selection technique used in previous braid memory designs imposes limitations on the minimum achievable access and cycle times. The necessity of charging and discharging large bundle capacitances (approximately .01 μF) to accomplish selection requires the allotment of approximately one microsecond of the cycle to receiver bundle selection.

The receiver selection time may be eliminated by using a single-ended selection technique where each word line is driven by a transistor or current source. The transistor matrix (Fig. 4.12) is an economical means of implementing such a system; a single current source is formed when one X-input is grounded and one Y-input raised to a positive voltage. Word-line current pulse characteristics may be controlled by steering a shaping pulse to the selected base bus.

The matrix should be built with no more than 32 transistors per base bus and should operate at a drive-current level of more than 15 mA. Selection signals are coupled through transistor capacitances to the braid bundle and may induce currents of 10 to 20 mA during selection. If all base buses are driven by circuits approximating voltage sources, currents induced when an X input is grounded are small and the major effect occurs when the selected base bus is pulsed. (See Fig. 4.13)

Optimum speed at low current levels is obtained by using a complete driver per word line where the word line is effectively isolated from the selection signals. Typical drivers for such a system are shown in Fig. 4.14. Multiple inputs are desirable to keep the amount of decoding logic small.

The capabilities of braid memories using combinations of the techniques previously described are listed in Table 4.1. The highest speed per watt dissipated is achieved using the FET multiplexer for sensing and single-ended word-line selection. Since speed is limited by the speed of the multiplexer, the use of the simpler transistor matrix is dictated for word-line driving.
Fig. 4.11 Current and voltage relationships in FET multiplexes.
Fig. 4.12 Transistor matrix.
Fig. 4.13 Parasitic currents due to transistor capacitances.
Fig. 4.14 Current drivers for driver-per-line system.

Fig. 4.15 A braid sense position.
4.1.3.3 Density

A sense position with core and sense device is defined in Fig. 4.15.

The problem is to determine how bit density varies with the geometry of the sense position and the space allotted for sensing, while the parameters of the core are held constant. To simplify the computations, the following assumptions are made:

1. The sense coil, and circuit board if used, occupy space within that allotted for the word-line bundle.

2. Space between core caps (shown dotted) is assumed to be wasted.

3. The sense-device volume takes into account packaging inefficiencies and the spacing dictated by the resultant sense-position size; where the sense device may actually be placed between core caps, the sense-device volume may be assumed to be zero.

A high-permeability core of arbitrary shape may be described simply by its single-turn magnetizing inductance $L_m$:

$$L_m = \frac{\mu_o \mu_R A_{cs}}{(l)}$$

where

$\mu_o$ = permeability of free space = 31.9 nH/in.

$\mu_R$ = relative permeability of core material

$A_{cs}$ = cross-sectional area of magnetic path, in$^2$

(l) = mean magnetic path length, in.

$L_m$ is determined by sensing considerations and usually lies between 0.1 and 0.5 μH. Density plots are generated by choosing core volume $V$ as an independent variable and computing, for specific values of $(L_m/\mu_R)$, core aspect-ratio $\gamma$, and sense-device volume $V_s$, the total volume of a bit position $V_{TOT}$ and the core window area $A_W$. For a given minimum workable wire size, then, the number of wires is proportional to the window area and the bit density is proportional to $(A_W/V_{TOT})$. The core geometry factor $G$ is defined as

$$G \Delta = \frac{A_{cs}}{(l)} = \frac{L_n}{\mu_o \mu_R} \text{ in.}$$
Since the core volume is

\[ V = A_{cs} (t) \text{ in}^3, \]

the dimensions of the core may be determined once \( V \) is chosen:

\[ A_{cs} = \sqrt[3]{GV} \text{ in}^2, \]

\[ (t) = \sqrt{\frac{V}{G}} \text{ in}, \]

\[ t = \sqrt{A_{cs} + F}, \text{ where } F = \text{tolerance allowed for fitting core}. \]

\[ P_I = \text{core inside perimeter} = (t) - 4t \text{ in}. \]

\[ w = \frac{P_I}{2(1 + \gamma)} \text{ in}. \]

\[ A_w = \gamma w^2 \text{ in}^2, \]

and

\[ V_{TOT} = V_s + (2w + 2t) (\frac{w}{2} + t) (\gamma w + 2t) \text{ in}^3. \]

The density factor

\[ K_D = \frac{A_w}{V_{TOT}} \text{ in}^{-1} \]

is then plotted versus \( A_w \). Representative curves are contained on the following pages. It is evident that once sense-device volume and core parameters are defined, there is a window area (hence number of word lines) that will result in optimum density. The number of word lines of outside diameter \( d \) that may pass through the core window is

\[ N = \frac{A_w}{K_s d^2} \]

and the bit density is

\[ D = K_D \frac{1}{K_s d^2}. \]

where the factor \( K_s \) accounts for wire-stacking inefficiencies. \( K_s \) is affected directly by the measures taken during manufacture to avoid undesired crossovers.
**Fig. 4.16**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kp VERSUS Aw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G = 0.002 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_s = 0.040 in^3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
within the word-line bundle; the density scales on the curves shown assume a stacking factor of 4. For wire sizes of AWG38 to 42, optimum density is achieved when 500 to 2000 word lines are used. The length of the word-line bundle must be limited to prevent excessive signal degradation, and a braid of more than 512 cores is presently felt to be impractical. Since the capacity of a high-density braid is thus set at 0.25 to 1.0-million bits, a larger storage capacity is best obtained by using a number of 0.5 to 1.0-million-bit modules.

It is to be stressed that the density figures obtained by the preceding sense-position analysis must be reduced by a factor of three to five to obtain realistic density figures for a complete braid memory. A major goal of final packaging is to reduce the volume consumed by driving/decoding electronics, bundle potting, and necessary hardware. Densities of 6000 to 12,000 bits per cubic inch are attainable if the volume consumed by peripheral hardware is kept within a factor of two or three of the volume of the information field.

4.2 Plated-Wire Memory

As noted earlier, the advanced computer requires increased speed, capacity, and flexibility of erasable memories. In view of these requirements, a study was initiated to determine the suitability of Permalloy plated wire for a main erasable and/or scratchpad memory subsystem. The MIT study has pursued three major lines of inquiry:

- Exploratory production of plated wire to determine optimal fabrication and quality control techniques.
- Memory-stack mechanical design for high density, good electrical performance and tolerance for the spaceborne environment.
- Memory electronics development suitable for microminiaturization and incorporation with the memory stack into a unified assembly.

4.2.1 Wire Production

4.2.1.1 Review of Wire Development at MIT

4.2.1.1.1 Purpose of Wire Development

Advanced memory systems for aerospace applications must take advantage of improvements in electronic circuitry and packaging techniques now under development.
A memory of this type should employ a highly reliable scheme of nondestructive readout and be electrically alterable. Improvements in the following areas are needed:

- Weight and volume reduction
- Cost
- Reliability
- Reduced Power Consumption

The prime requisite in a memory system in this application is high reliability under the environmental conditions which it will meet in space applications.

Magnetic films hold great promise in being able to fulfill the requirements of the next generation of aerospace memories. The plated-wire memory in particular appears to be the most attractive as a result of its high output compared to planar thin-film memories, along with high speed and simplicity.

Basically, the device (shown in Fig. 4.22) consists of 5-mil Be-Cu wire plated with an 81% Ni-19% Fe layer of Permalloy about 10,000-angstroms thick crossed orthogonally by word lines. The distinguishing feature of the plated-wire device is that the easy axis is oriented along the circumference of the wire in a closed-flux configuration. The resultant low demagnetization value in the remanent state permits relatively thick films to be used. Since the amplitude of the output is directly proportional to the volume of the material and thus to the thickness of the element, a relatively thick-plated wire device is capable of outputs of 15 to 50 millivolts in the destructive mode and on the order of 3 to 10 millivolts in the nondestructive mode.

The operation of a plated-wire device is similar to the operation of a single flat film in many respects. As in a flat film, the application of a word current $I_w$ causes the magnetization vector to rotate toward the hard direction with the polarity of the output signal identifying the stored bit, and the central copper wire serving as the sense line. The closed-flux configuration of the plated-wire device enables its magnetization to be rotated to an angle smaller than 90 degrees. Upon termination of the read current, the magnetization returns to the original state. This represents a nondestructive readout (NDRO).

Writing is accomplished by driving a small write current, $I_w$ of the appropriate polarity through the copper wire prior to terminating the "Read" current, in a manner identical to the steering of flat films. Since the plated wire is capable of both writing and reading speeds in the submicrosecond region, it can be used with equal ease in scratchpad as well as in program-store applications.
Fig. 4.22 Basic film device.
The high shape anisotropy of the plated wire required for reliable reversible rotation requires rather large drive currents. However, since in the absence of writing the transverse field can be terminated immediately upon readout, the read current pulses can be extremely short in duration, and the average power dissipation is low. The high value of anisotropy aids the return of the magnetization vector to the original position, and as a result very low digit currents (typically 25 to 30 ma) are required for steering. A write sequence, describing a nondestructive read with its associated timing diagram, is shown in Fig. 4.23.

4.2.1.1.2 Process

Experiments in wire plating are being conducted with a plating system which has been described in MIT Report E-2128.

A schematic of the overall plating system is shown in Fig. 4.25. The substrate is a .005-inch-diameter Be-Cu wire, critically stress-relieved, gold-plated and carefully drawn to minimize extrusion scratches. A cross section of the wire is shown in Fig. 4.24.

Rubber rollers driven by a constant-speed motor push the wire through a series of polyethylene cells, where the wire is cleaned electrolytically, rinsed and Permalloy-plated. Mechanical stresses on the wire are minimized by the "push" method of wire feed.

Nickel-iron sulfamate plating solution is pumped from a storage reservoir through a cotton filter (to remove impurities) to three plating cells in parallel and then returned to the solution reservoir. Three cells in parallel triple the wire feed rate thus increasing the volume of wire production. A motor-driven propeller stirs the solution in the reservoir. Plating-solution temperature is stabilized to within ± 2°C by a thermistor control of nichrome heating elements imbedded in a quartz tube. Argon gas is injected into the reservoir, serving as a inert blanket to minimize solution oxidation. Solution is bypassed into a separate reservoir where pH is monitored. A basic solution is titrated into the reservoir for pH stabilization and the injection amount is controlled by a pH controller. pH is held to a tolerance of ± 0.03. Flow rate is manually controlled by flow meters in series with the intake lines to the plating cells. Since flow rate is one of the contributing factors in solution composition control, an automatic flow-rate system will be used in the near future. Current density is precisely set by a constant-current generator feeding the three plating cells. A 1.0-ampere dc current fed through the wire along the axis provides a magnetic field which forces the magnetization vector in an alignment orthogonal to the wire axis. The wire is then pulse-tested in the DRO and NDRO modes by passing the wire through an on-line fixture.
a. Word drive current $I_w$ produces magnetization in hard direction.

b. Digit drive current $I_d$ produces magnetization in the easy direction.

c. Assume original state of bit magnetized in direction shown.

d. Apply word drive to "C"; rotation being effected. (Word line not shown): Time interval $= t_1$.

e. Apply digit drive on "d"; $(I_w + I_d)$; Time interval $= t_2$.

f. Remove word drive; bit is state of magnetization shown; Time interval $= t_3$.

g. Remove digit drive; magnetization is fixed.

h. Read "1" nondestructively; $I_{w1}$ applied at time interval $t_5$.

---

Fig. 4.23 Magnetization vector rotation in Write-Read mode.
Fig. 4-24 Cross Section of Plated Wire.
Fig. 4.25 Present plating system.
4.2.1.2 Experimental Results with Existing System

4.2.1.2.1 Use of a Spectrophotometer "on-line" for Detecting Plating Solution-Composition Changes

Initial experiments with a spectrophotometer revealed a rapid reduction of Fe in the plating solution (0.1%/hr). To minimize this oxidation loss an inert gas was bubbled into the plating solution reservoir. Measurements indicated a reduction of Fe of 0.002% Fe/hr after inert-gas injection. This was a decided improvement. Figure 4.26 is a plot of % Fe as a function of "Elapsed running time" in hours. Plating solution was sampled at specific time intervals and Fe content was then measured at the MIT Metallurgy Dept. Percent transmittance as a function of "Elapsed running time" in hours is shown in Fig. 4.27.

A final plot of % Fe as a function of percent transmittance (in Fig. 4.28) resulted from the correlation of the previous two curves. The final curve is very useful for detecting on-line "solution" composition changes as function of transmittance. A sensitivity of 0.1% Fe/10% T is revealed from this plot. 0.01% changes in Fe content should therefore be detectable with this device.

The spectrophotometer was then incorporated into a control system for automatically controlling Fe content within predetermined set limits. This system will be in operation soon as a control device. The system should stabilize Fe content over long periods of running time (possibly hundreds of hours).

4.2.1.2.2 Use of a Spectrophotometer to Detect Composition Changes in Plated Wires

Feasibility of using a spectrophotometer with a reflectence attachment to measure composition of plated wires was investigated. A number of wire samples of known composition (average composition in a length of wire approximately four inches in length) were sent to Bausch & Lomb to determine reflectance of various wires as a function of composition.

Results revealed a change of 0.1% Fe/1.0% R as shown in Fig. 4.29. Measurement sensitivity is adequate but repeatability has not been determined by Bausch & Lomb to date. The method is promising, however, and will be further investigated.

4.2.1.2.3 Wire Substrate Studies

A randomly oriented crystal structure is desirable as a preplate prior to plating Permalloy. Anisotropy is randomized because of this, and magnetic vector skew and dispersion is minimized.
Fig. 4.26 Time variation of Fe percentage.
Fig. 4.27 Transmittance variation.
Fig. 4.28 Spectrophotometer calibration plot.
Fig. 4.29 Plated-wire reflectance variation with composition.
A Nickel-phosphorous preplate has been experimented with and results to date are promising but not conclusive. On-line sense-voltage tests revealed an improvement in sense-voltage uniformity with the preplate of approximately 50%. A reduction in easy-direction ($H_c$) coercive force also occurred (noted by a reduction in digit current with word-current constant). $H_c$ was compared with a vendor's wire using a copper preplate. A 75% reduction in $H_c$ was noted with the Ni-P substrate. However, the digit-current disturb margin of the vendor's wire was 5% wider. Copper preplates will also be studied by MIT in the near future.

4.2.1.2.4 Pulse Measurements of Plated Wires

A fixture was designed to partially evaluate a "Plated-Through Hole" memory plane. Three "word" lines were cut from the memory plane, lined-up adjacent to one another and insulated. This geometry simulated three word coils in three separate planes as shown in Fig. 4.30. Center-to-center coil spacing is 36.3 mils. This is not an exact simulation, however, in that ground planes between coils were not included. The newer design will include ground planes for shielding purposes. A program was set up to determine the effect of adjacent word disturbing. The program is shown in Fig. 4.31. Table 4-2 shows the percentage change in voltage output with increasing adjacent-word current. If $I_{T2} = I_{T3}$, a drastic change in output occurs as evidenced by the table. The bit, however, retains its stored information. Coincidence of $I_{T2}$ and $I_{T3}$ is a logical case that would not occur in actual memory operation, however.

A more realistic evaluation of "adjacent-word line" disturbing has word-current pulse $I_{T3}$ occurring after $I_{T2}$ and not in coincidence with it.

The pulse-test fixture, converted as shown in Fig. 4.32, is also used on-and-off-line for detection of sense-amplitude changes. On-line it is used in conjunction with a series of sense amplifiers and discriminators. Its function is to detect changes in sense voltage and to feed back the change either to current generators supplying current between the plating anodes and the wire or to a titrator supplying either iron or nickel sulfamate to the plating solution reservoir, thus stabilizing wire composition (assuming of course that other parameters are held constant). The former method is not feasible with the present setup mainly because the drive-sense coils must be incorporated into the plating cell. At present they are six inches from the cell. The latter method can be used with the present setup and will be compared with the spectrophotometer method of controlling wire composition.
Fig. 4.30 Diagram of pulse test fixture.
Fig. 4.31 Pulse program for adjacent word disturb test.

Current Parameters

\[ I_{T1} = 0.720 \text{ NI} \]
\[ I_{T2} = 0.480 \text{ NI} \]
\[ I_{L1} = I_{L2} = 10 \times 10^{-3} \text{ amp} \]
### Table 4-2

**Effect on Output Voltage of Adjacent-Word Disturb Current**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$I_{T3(NI)}$</th>
<th>$\Delta %e_{out}$</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.120</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.420</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Complete change of state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** $I_{T2} = K = .420NI.$
Fig. 4.32 Diagram of Sense Output Amplitude Discrimination Technique.
4.2.1.2.5 Measurement Devices for Studying Skew, Dispersion, \( H_C \) and \( H_K \)

The plated-wire study is in a stage of development at present whereby further work will require that skew, dispersion, magnetostriction, \( H_C \) and \( H_K \) be measured accurately. Pulse measurements are very important (simulating actual memory conditions). However, to study the effects of substrate roughness, composition changes etc., the aforementioned measurements are necessary.

Two fixtures are in the development stage. One fixture, shown in Fig. 4.33, is a device for measuring \( H_K \) skew and dispersion of plated wires. \( H_K \) is measured by applying a sine wave of current through the solenoid "C" creating a varying amplitude field along the wire axis, switching flux in the hard direction of magnetization. The flux is sensed across the wire and either the differentiated or integrated wave shape is observed with an oscilloscope. The ensuing lissajous pattern is a measure of \( H_K \) measured at the saturation point of the curve at "a".

To measure skew and dispersion two fields are applied to the cylindrical magnetic plating. The first \( H_L \) is circumferential and is the result of a current along the wire. The second \( H_T \) is axial and is a result of the current in the solenoid whose axis coincides with the wire. The axial field \( H_T \) is a pulse with rise time of 20-40 nanoseconds and duration of 1 microsecond. The repetition rate and magnitude of the pulse is varied, but the direction is not. At the same time, \( H_L \) is slowly varied from negative to positive. The flux is sensed circumferentially.

A small bias field \( H_L \) causes the magnetization to relax completely to that direction after a large \( H_T \) pulse. Sense pulses opposite in sign occur at the leading and trailing edges of the \( H_T \) pulse. A synchronizing pulse is applied to the oscilloscope so that only the leading edge sense pulse is observed. If the time scale is compressed, an envelope of pulses is observed.

If \( H_L \) is slowly varied from negative to positive, and the horizontal displacement of the oscilloscope is adjusted to be proportional to \( H_L \), an envelope of pulses shown in Fig. 4.34 is obtained.

The slope of the envelope at the crossing point near the center is a measure of dispersion and the position of the crossing point is a measure of skew. This technique is patterned after the Belson design (Univac).

The fixture for measuring \( H_C \) is shown in Fig. 4.35. A bridge circuit is used in this device for balancing air flux. A plated wire is inserted at point "X" and the differentiated signal sensed in the circumferential direction. The signal is integrated and fed to the vertical input of an oscilloscope. The ensuing lissajous pattern is the B-H curve of the sample in the easy-direction of magnetization.
Fig. 4.33 $H_K$ skew and dispersion measurement.
Fig. 4.34 Pulse envelope of skew-dispersion test.
Fig. 4.35 $H_C$ Measurement circuit.
4.2.2 Stack Design

4.2.2.1 Reasons for Study

Assuming the desirability of a plated-wire memory subsystem it is necessary to guarantee that such a memory can meet the electrical and mechanical requirements of the spaceborne regimen. Examination of plated wire memory stacks currently under development in industry indicates that two major design philosophies are being pursued: single-turn word line (strap) and multiple-turn word line (solenoid, coil). Representative samples of each type are shown in Fig. 4.36 and their characteristics are qualitatively compared in Table 4.3.

It is apparent that neither type of plated-wire memory stack would be acceptable for a spaceborne system at its current rate of development. The purpose of the MIT study was, therefore, to develop a memory stack structure combining the best of both approaches and incorporating new features leading to higher density, greater ease of fabrication, and better structural integrity.

4.2.2.2 Foil-Coil Principle

Recognizing the advantages of the multiple-turn word line for field shaping and reduction of word current, we attempted to construct an equivalent solenoid by forming printed circuit arrays of half-turns and stacking them. An early embodiment of this technique consisted of many of the printed arrays formed simultaneously on a long thin sheet of Mylar, as shown in Fig. 4.37. This allowed the interconnections of consecutive half turns to be formed in the same process. The Mylar sheet was then accordion pleated as in Fig. 4.38, and laminated to form a memory stack subset. Holes were drilled through the centers of the solenoids thus created and plated wires could be inserted. A stack subset of the type shown contains 32 complete words of 32 bits each, and a memory stack of 32n words can be formed by stacking n subsets (all sharing the same group of plated wires). This technique of word-line fabrication was termed "foil coil".

The advantages of the foil-coil structure are:

**Increased bit density** - Bit spacing of 50 mils can be achieved in the stack subset plane with typical subset thicknesses of 80 mils, resulting in a density of roughly 5000 bits/in³.

**Interconnection simplification** - Only two external connections must be made for each word line, since the intermediate connections between consecutive half-turns are automatically made.
Fig. 4.36 Word Line Diagrams
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WORD CURRENT</th>
<th>WORD FIELD AXIAL SHAPING</th>
<th>WORD FIELD CIRCUMFERENTIAL UNIFORMITY</th>
<th>RELATIVE EASE OF FABRICATION</th>
<th>INTER CONNECTIONS PER WORD LINE</th>
<th>WORD-LINE IMPEDANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COIL-WRAPPED</td>
<td>1/&quot; turns</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>good (by coil geometry)</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COIL-WOVEN</td>
<td>1/&quot; turns</td>
<td>bucking turns</td>
<td></td>
<td>difficult</td>
<td>2 (1/&quot; turns)</td>
<td>R high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRAP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>keepers</td>
<td>grooved ground plane</td>
<td>easy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WORD-WORD NOISE</th>
<th>WORD-DIGIT NOISE</th>
<th>NOISE SUPPRESSION</th>
<th>TECHNIQUES</th>
<th>MECHANICAL CHARACTERISTICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COIL-WRAPPED</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>high (capacitive)</td>
<td>ground planes</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COIL-WOVEN</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>ground planes</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRAP</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>ground plane</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4.38 Pleated Array
Ease of fabrication - Stack subsets may be tested on an individual basis and plated wires need not be inserted until a complete stack assembly has been made.

Structural integrity - A complete foil-coil stack is a rigid rectangular block requiring no further processing in order to tolerate severe environments.

4.2.2.3 Electrical Considerations

Preliminary investigation of the foil-coil stack subset uncovered several noise-coupling mechanisms which could affect memory performance.

A large scale model simulating a single-word coil was constructed and probed with a gaussmeter to determine magnetic field strength variation along the axis of the coil for various configurations of normal direction turns and opposed direction (bucking) turns. As expected, the addition of bucking turns at the ends of the coil resulted in more rapid fall-off of field strength beyond the ends of the coil with only a small reduction in field strength with the coil. On the basis of this experiment, it was decided to construct the word coils of the stack subset with single bucking turns at their ends. The resulting improvement in field shape would allow for the desired high density of bits along the plated wire while reducing unwanted bit-to-bit coupling. It was also noted that ground planes could be inserted between stack subsets to further reduce coupling should this prove necessary.

The mutual inductance of two adjacent word lines in a stack subset was measured and found to be approximately 0.1 times the self inductance of a single word line. Consideration of the proposed stack configuration leads to the conclusion that current coupling from a selected word line into the adjacent lines can produce noise signals on the sense line. The polarities of these noise voltages will be dependent on the information stored in the adjacent bits, and therefore they will generally not cancel one another. However, the nonlinearity of the relationship between drive current and rotation angle of the magnetic induction vector (and therefore between drive current and output voltage) indicates that the magnitude of such a noise voltage should be less than (0.1)^2 of the desired output amplitude. This noise level should be insignificant.

Initial attempts to functionally operate a plated-wire bit in a stack subset revealed a more serious problem. Since the word coil has non-zero impedance and is distributed along the axis of the plated wire, an applied drive current develops a voltage drop along the coil, and this voltage difference couples into the plated wire through parasitic capacitance. The problem is aggravated by
the word coil not being a true solenoid, but rather a succession of half-turns with long electrical paths between them. Capacitively coupled noise of 15 to 20-mV amplitude was observed and effectively masked the expected NDRO output of approximately 3 to 5 mV. Available solutions to this difficulty were cancellation of the capacitive noise by an external loop in the sense line or the use of two word/sense intersections per bit, neither of which would be acceptable for this application. A better solution is to electrically halve the word line by providing a center connection and drive the two halves symmetrically. Correct construction of this center-driven coil will ensure that the magnetic fields of the two halves will add, while the capacitively coupled voltages will cancel. A comparison of capacitive effects for the two types of coils is given by Figs. 4.39 and 4.40.

4.2.2.4 Mechanical Considerations

Mechanical difficulties were also encountered with the foil coil, primarily due to the flexibility of the Mylar substrate.

Poor adhesion of the copper word lines to the substrate occurred in the area where the substrate was pleated, and word lines were often found to crack and peel away from the Mylar due to the extremely small radius of curvature at the pleats.

Dimensional instability and slippage of the substrate often resulted in misregistration of successive layers of the stack subset during the lamination process. Misregistration was sufficient to obstruct the area through which the plated wires were to pass.

Drilling of holes through the stack subset for the plated wires gave poor results due to the tendency of the drill to push the bottom layers of Mylar aside rather than cut through them. Considerable tearing and delamination of these lower layers was observed.

These problems led to the realization that a more rigid substrate was required, even though this would preclude construction of word lines with no intermediate connections. It would still be possible, however, to make the intermediate connections by some automatic means, and the resulting structure would have most of the advantages of the original foil coil and none (hopefully) of the disadvantages.

The structure decided upon had as its basic element a single-turn laminate corresponding to two layers of the foil coil. It consisted of a 0.003"-thick glass epoxy board with double-sided printed-circuit lines similar to those of the foil coil, each line constituting a half-turn of one word coil. Each half-turn is connected to the
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Fig. 4-39 End fed Laminated Word Line Assembly
Fig. 4.40 Center Driven Laminated Word Line Assembly
corresponding half-turn on the other side of the board by means of a plated-through hole at one end. The other ends of the half-turns are tinned. Plan and section views of a single-turn laminate are shown in Fig. 4.41.

A laminated word-line assembly is formed by laminating together as many single-turn laminates as desired, using a "B" stage epoxy for insulation between laminates except in the areas previously tinned. The tinned areas of adjoining laminates fuse into one another, and the entire assembly becomes the equivalent of a stack subset, with electrical continuity through the assembly as shown in Fig. 4.42.

These laminated assemblies are then drilled and stacked in the same way that the foil-coil subsets were to form a memory stack. An actual laminated assembly is shown in Fig. 4.43, and a proposed 1024 x 32 stack with integral electronics is shown in Fig. 4.44.

Artwork has been generated for, and some double-sided and laminated boards fabricated to the coil configuration with bucking turns. Sylvania, Needham, has been accomplishing these tasks. Two problems were apparent. Sylvania has been encountering serious difficulty in maintaining layer-to-layer registration and has suggested that it would be unrealistic to hope for any level of production of the laminates with the existing tolerance requirements, although some boards with acceptable registration have been produced. (Close examination of the holes in the assembly of Fig. 4.43 reveals misregistration in that board.)

In addition, the reliability of the solder-plated layer-to-layer interconnects was questionable as there were major variations in the resistances of the word lines within a board.

For these reasons, it was decided to stop work on the existing configuration and consider a redesign based on more realistic estimates of the tolerances that could be met in a laminate of this complexity.

A vendor was selected, General Components, Inc., St. Petersburg, Florida, who had demonstrated good performance in the generation of complex multilayer boards requiring accurate etching and laminate registration. This vendor has been contracted to generate new artwork for a center-driven coil (six turns plus two bucking turns) and may subsequently be chosen to manufacture a pair of boards. The new artwork will also incorporate changes allowing for a loosening of the registration tolerances.
Fig. 4.41 Single-Turn Laminate (Part of Laminated Word Line Assembly)
Fig. 4-42  Laminated Word-Line Assembly (Section Through Assembly)
Fig. 4-44 Proposed 1024 × 32 Stack with Integral Electronics.
Serious thought is also being given to the two other major aspects of assembly of a functional memory stack: interconnection of the plated wires once they have been inserted into the stack, and mechanical design for those portions of the memory electronics which could advantageously be incorporated with the stack. One approach to the first aspect (thin kapton-copper etched circuits) has been tried with limited success. For the second aspect, it appears that a sufficient portion of the memory address selection electronics to greatly reduce the number of external stack connections can be integrated with the stack, and that this circuitry, in currently available microcircuit flat packs, would be mechanically compatible with present or proposed stack configurations.

Further activity is contingent upon the receipt of the new configuration board and its testing.

4.2.3 Circuit Design

4.2.3.1 Driving Circuits

In the absence of a functional stack of MIT design, circuit development has been conducted with a memory stack of similar electrical properties manufactured by Toko, Inc. and the Librascope Division of General Precision, Inc. The same general guidelines of using integrated circuits as much as possible and incorporating portions of the electronics into the stack assembly were followed even though the Toko-GPL stack was several times the size of the proposed MIT design.

Speed considerations dictate the use of an all-transistor memory address selection scheme consisting of a square \(2^5 \times 2^5\) array of NPN transistors with bases bussed by rows and emitters bussed by columns. Collectors connect to individual word-drive lines in the stack. Address selection is performed by activating a current source connected to one base bus and a current sink connected to one emitter bus. The single transistor at the intersection of these two orthogonal busses will be turned on and current will flow through the associated word line, causing each bit of that word to be read onto the corresponding digit/sense line.

A survey of available integrated circuits resulted in the choice of SUHL (Sylvania TTL) as capable of performing all needed functions with the exception of acting as emitter-bus current sinks (insufficient current capability). For convenience in breadboard circuit design they were procured from Sylvania on small printed-circuit boards called Syl-Pac cards.
A block diagram of the complete memory drive system is given in Figs. 4.45 and 4.46, the former showing all of the SUHL circuitry and the discrete transistor emitter switches, while the latter illustrates a portion of the square array of selection transistors (also discrete for the breadboard).

The memory address register (MAR) consists of two Syl-Pac cards, each containing two 4-bit counters. All of these are cascaded to form a single 16-bit counter, which can be pulsed to run the selection system sequentially through all memory addresses. Alternatively, a control switch exists which is capable of causing a bank of sixteen data switches to be strobed into the MAR for loading a preset address. The MAR contents are displayed by a bank of sixteen indicator lamps driven from the MAR through the MAR display drivers.

The lowest five bits of the MAR are used for emitter-bus selection. Bits 1-3 and their complements go to two Syl-Pac cards containing a total of four independent 8-line decoders, called emitter decode II. Bits 4-5 go to one-half of a similar card, emitter decode I, which does a one-out-of-four selection to determine which of the II-level decoders is activated. All emitter decode-II outputs are inverted by the emitter switch drivers and 32 discrete transistors (emitter Switches) are driven by the inverters and act as emitter-bus current sinks.

Bits 6-10 of the MAR are used in an identical manner for base bus selection with one difference. Here the base bus drivers are high-power line drivers rather than low-power inverters and the base busses are driven directly from the integrated circuits.

The unused inputs at the decode-I level are used for timing to ensure coincidence of base and emitter bus pulses and eliminate logic noise.

The SUHL circuitry has performed satisfactorily and has sufficient speed capability for any anticipated memory requirements. Difficulty has been encountered in the selection transistor array due to operation of these transistors close to their maximum ratings and due to unexpectedly high capacitances of the base and emitter busses. Work is in progress to eliminate these difficulties.

In anticipation of the needs of the MIT design stack, conferences with semiconductor vendors have indicated no apparent problems with integrating the selection transistor matrix, and conventional flat packs containing groups of eight transistors suitably interconnected have been ordered. These would be incorporated with the MIT stack as shown in Fig. 4.44.
Fig. 4.45 Memory Drive Logic
Fig. 4.46 Memory Drive Configuration
4.2.3.2 Sense and Write Electronics

4.2.3.2.1 System Requirements

4.2.3.2.1.1 Sense Electronics

The problems associated with extracting information from the DIGIT lines of the Plated-Wire Memory place stringent requirements on the Sense Electronics used in this application. The electronics must be capable of detecting the high speed-low level output of the memory stack and amplifying it to a level compatible with the digital electronics. An additional requirement is to detect no output from the memory, during the READ memory cycle, and to designate it as an error condition. The specific requirements for the Sense Electronics as defined by the memory output, are as follows:

1. Sensitivity - 3 millivolts
2. Bandwidth - greater than 30 MHz
3. Overall Gain - 60 db minimum

4.2.3.2.1.2 Digit Write Electronics

In order to WRITE into the Plated-Wire Memory two coincident current pulses must be generated; a WORD current pulse and a DIGIT current pulse. Based on experimental results of tests using plated wire produced here at MIT Instrumentation Laboratory, a DIGIT current pulse of approximately thirty to forty milliamperes and a WORD current pulse of approximately nine-hundred (900) milliampere-turns were required to switch the wire. As a further result of these tests, specific requirements for the DIGIT current source were developed. These requirements are as follows:

2. Pulse Rise Time - 50 nanoseconds
3. Pulse Duration - 150 nanoseconds
4. Pulse Fall Time - 50 nanoseconds

4.2.3.2.2 Circuit Description

The Plated-Wire Memory is an erasable memory system capable of performing both destructive and non-destructive READ operations (DRO and NDRO). The memory is a two-wire system containing WORD selection lines and DIGIT WRITE/SENSE lines. Since the DIGIT WRITE and SENSE functions must be combined into a single line the most logical method to accomplish this combination of functions is to use a transformer. In addition to providing additional common mode noise rejection, the transformer also affords the opportunity to step-up the memory output voltage from the DIGIT to the SENSE line and to step-up the current from the WRITE to the DIGIT line. Figure 4.47 presents a block diagram of a possible configuration for the WRITE/SENSE electronics.
Fig. 4.47 Block diagram of sense-write electronics.
4.2.3.2.2.1 Sense Electronics

The Sense Electronics contains a Gating or Limiting network, a Linear Wide-Band Amplifier and a Threshold Detection and Level Conversion circuit. Two designs currently exist for the Sense Electronics. These designs differ in the technique used to prevent amplifier saturation and the selection of the linear amplifier used to amplify the output signal. Because the merits of each design have not been fully evaluated, a recommendation as to which will provide the best performance cannot be given at this time. However, in order to make this discussion as complete as possible, both designs will be presented although no trade-off of their relative advantages will be undertaken. Figure 4.48 shows both design alternatives.

4.2.3.2.2.1.1 Limiting Circuit/Gating Circuit

The following two subsections describe two alternate methods for protecting the linear amplifier from saturating during the WRITE memory cycle and increasing the total memory cycle time. The designs are shown in Fig. 4.48. However, it should be noted that either of the designs may be used with either linear amplifier design.

4.2.3.2.2.1.1 Limiting Circuit

The Limiting Circuit shown in Fig. 4.48A protects the amplifier from saturating by limiting the input-signal excursion of the WRITE current pulse to plus or minus the forward voltage drop of the diode. During the READ memory cycle, the output voltage from the stack is substantially less than the voltage necessary for the diode to conduct, and therefore the load on the SENSE line is the two current-limiting resistors in series with the parallel combination of the amplifier input impedance and the junction capacities of the diodes.

4.2.3.2.2.1.2 Gating Circuit

The Gating Circuit prevents the linear amplifier from saturating by having reversed-biased diodes in each of the differential inputs during the WRITE memory cycle. During the READ cycle the diode bridge networks are forward-biased by enabling the bias supplies, and the input signal is transmitted to the amplifier with no differential error in amplitude resulting (i.e. since it is a balanced network, by Kirchhoff's law the sum of the voltages around all three of the closed loops must equal zero.)

4.2.3.2.2.1.2 Linear Amplifier

The function of the linear amplifier is to detect the differential input signal and amplify it to a level compatible with that of the MECL current-mode logic gate. The amplifier, to perform the required task, must have a minimum differential gain of 33 db and a minimum bandwidth of 30 MHz.
Fig. 4.48 Possible sensing configurations.
4.2,3.2.2.1.2.1 SN 5510 Wide Band Video Amplifier

The Texas Instruments SN-5510 device is a wide-band video amplifier having differential outputs and inputs. This unit features a flat frequency response from dc to 40 MHz and a typical midband gain of 40 db. This amplifier has a permissible gain variation of 38.2 db to 44.1 db and, while the amplifier provides the necessary amplification, it is desirable to reduce the gain variation for this application. Attempts to reduce the gain variation of this device by application of a feedback network proved unsuccessful. The unsymmetrical gain characteristics of each half side of the double-ended amplifier, the inability to provide interstage frequency compensation, and the large dc off-set voltages existing between the outputs and inputs were the reasons for the amplifier instability with the application of the feedback network. However, a suitable gain adjustment can be instituted into another portion of the design to compensate for gain variations.

4.2,3.2.2.1.2.2 SN-5511 Wide-Band Differential Amplifier

The SN-5511 device is a wide-band amplifier with differential outputs and inputs and featuring low dc off-set voltages and the ability to provide interstage frequency compensation making this device useful in closed-loop configurations. In a closed-loop configuration, a differential voltage gain of 36 db will result in a 30 MHz bandwidth. In addition, through the use of reactive components in the feedback networks, an active bandpass filter can be formed to limit extraneous noise and make the circuit more selective.

Construction of a breadboard circuit which would satisfy the system requirements has not been completed. However, the amplifier has been operated in a closed-loop configuration (resistive networks only) with the approximate gain and bandwidth required. Since this is a newly announced circuit, information on gain and bandwidth variations which could be expected with this device is not presently available.

4.2,3.2.2.1.3 Threshold Detect and Level Converter

The function of the Threshold Detect and Level Converter is to establish a reference level for comparison with the memory output signal, and upon the reference being exceeded, during the READ command time, to convert the memory output signal ("1" or "0") to a level compatible with the digital logic levels of the system. The circuit contains a single-pole high-pass RC filter network and a MECL gate. The RC network provides dc isolation between the amplifier output and the MECL gate inputs. In addition, this circuit in conjunction with the roll-off of the amplifier forms a bandpass filter around
the frequency of interest. The type MC-353 MECL gate is an integrated circuit half-adder. Reference potentials (positive and negative) are applied to two of the input terminals. When either of these levels is exceeded during the READ command time an output is transmitted to the Memory Operand Register (MOR). Since the memory output signal is symmetrical, if during the READ command time neither reference level is exceeded, then an error condition exists and this circuit will transmit an error indication. Figure 4.49 presents the signal waveforms and the truth table and logic equations for the MECL gate.

4.2.3.2.2.2 Digit WRITE Electronics

Figure 4.50 presents the Digit Write Electronics. The function of this circuit is to generate the appropriate current pulses for storage of the contents of the Memory Operand Register (MOR) in the Plated-Wire Memory. This function is accomplished in the following manner.

The WRITE command enables one of the two integrated-circuit gates, which in turns enables one of the two saturating transistor amplifiers. Depending on which of the two amplifiers is enabled and its polarity with respect to that of the transformer, a positive or negative current will be induced into the Digit winding of the memory.

4.2.3.3 Testing Equipment Design

The following two pieces of major test equipment have been built for use in plated wire memory stack development.

4.2.3.3.1 Memory Exerciser

The main feature of the memory exerciser is a multifunction 12-bit address register (AR) with an octal display, which has the following modes of operation:

A. Counting
   1. Up/down
   2. Continuous/single step
   3. Normal/disturb

B. Special
   1. Preset
   2. Compare
Fig. 4.49 Truth table for sense gate.
Fig. 4.50 Digit write electronics.
The counting modes are non-exclusive and are self-explanatory with the exception of disturb. Disturb is an oscillatory mode about an arbitrary address, A, such that the time sequence of addresses in the AR is A, A-1, A, A+1, A, A-1, etc. This mode is used for worst-case disturb tests of plated-wire memory bits.

The preset mode loads an address manually entered by a toggle-switch register into the AR. This address will either remain in the AR or be used as the starting location for a counting mode at the user's discretion. The compare mode is a counting mode which automatically terminates at an address set into another toggle-switch register. The compare-mode toggle switches allow bits of the address to be "don't cares", i.e., setting the switches to ddd ddd 011 101 (d=don't care) will cause the AR to stop at 35₈ or 135₈ or 235₈ etc., whichever is first encountered.

In addition to the AR, the memory exerciser contains read, write, and digit-enable generators, allowing the exerciser to control memory drive currents in synchronization with address selection.

A further feature is a bank of 32 data lights for display of the contents of the selected address, and a data-switch register for altering the contents.

4.2.3.2 Programmable Pulse Sequencer

The sequencer consists of a 10-stage ring counter driven by a variable-frequency clock. Each of the ten stages drives a vertical line of a ten-by-ten diode plug board. Ten output channels consisting of two cascaded monostable multivibrators are provided. A channel is activated by inserting a plug at the required pulse time. The cascaded monostable multivibrators are provided so that a pulse of variable width, displaced a variable time from the clock pulse, may be obtained. Sufficient logic is provided so that any step in the ring counter may be repeated an arbitrary number of times under the control of analog timers. This feature is useful in disturb testing. The range of operation is 100 msec per step to 100 nanosec per step.

4.3 Integrated Circuits

4.3.1 Introduction

The task for integrated circuit development is a follow-on effort to the work performed on integrated circuits for the Apollo Block I and Block II Computers. The effort consists of a review of the state-of-the-art technology and of the industry's ability to produce new devices. The investigation of the new integrated-circuit technologies includes the study of the new MSI & LSI logic functions plus the new fabrication technology required to produce these complex devices.
4.3.2 Bipolar Vs MOS Technology for LSI

As expected, both bipolar and MOS technologies exhibit their respective advantages and disadvantages.

MOS devices have several inherent drawbacks:

1. The MOS transistor is a field-effect device whose electrical characteristic is dependent on surface oxides. The problem of producing a stable oxide because of mobile ion contamination in the gate oxide and oxide surface charge requires sophisticated process control. Silicon nitride for gate oxides (MIS technology) may present a future solution, but continuing long-term stability investigations are still presently required for both MOS and MIS technology.

2. MOS transistors exhibit low transconductance and high stray capacitance which cause them to be slow compared to bipolar devices. This disadvantage is partially overcome by
   a. 4-phase clocking
   b. increasing operating power
   c. decreasing the voltage swings
   d. diffusing complementary transistors on the same chip.
   e. creative circuit design.

   However, until a basic technology breakthrough occurs, MOS transistors will exhibit longer switching times than bipolar devices.

3. The MOS transistor is highly susceptible to stray voltage. This has been partially overcome by the thick-gate oxide (MTOS) transistors and by sophisticated process control.

The advantages of MOS devices are:

1. The basic simplicity of MOS processing readily lends itself to large scale integration (LSI). The most promising MOS LSI appears to be in the memory area. Vendors are presently building both read-write and read-only memories using MOS LSI.

2. Potential low cost because of the basic simplicity of the manufacturing process.

3. Low power which enables high circuit density on one chip without the power limitations of high-speed bipolar devices.
The disadvantages of bipolar LSI are:

1. Does not as readily lend itself to large scale integration as MOS. Several insulated layers of metal for interconnects have to be used for the same complexity as a MOS circuit.
2. Bipolar manufacturing process is more complex than MOS.
3. Higher power operation is required.
4. Exhibits low input impedance.

The advantages of bipolar LSI are:

1. Bipolar transistors are faster than MOS.
2. Bipolar transistors are not as susceptible to surface state changes.

4.3.3 Failure Analysis & Failure Modes

The fabrication techniques of LSI will introduce new failure modes not previously detected. The multilayer metal system will be thinner and narrower and more subject to corrosion, metal migration and local heating. Multi-metal systems have not been thoroughly evaluated. Smaller geometries will increase etching problems aggravating contact resistance problems and metal-thinning at oxide steps. Over-etching of the pyrolitic oxides will damage the lower-level metal layer. The long term stability of pyrolitic oxides must be investigated. Failure analysis must become increasingly more sophisticated. X-Ray, thermal and electron-probe techniques may become routine analytical procedures. Analysis of failed parts will become a more time-consuming procedure to locate faults and determine failure modes so that corrective procedures in the device processing can be instituted.

4.3.4 Testing Electrical

The testing of LSI is still one of the unsolved problems; that is, effective verification that a large number of gates (100 or more) are all operating functionally and with sufficient noise and electrical margins. This is further complicated by the consideration of the type of logic used, such as \( T^2 L \), DTL, ECL, RTL, etc., each of which must be tested and evaluated differently. This is compounded by the diversification of the circuit elements coupled with the non-standardization of the circuit arrangement and fabrication technology being used by the different vendors. The number of tests required to test a device is \( 2^{m+n} \) where \( n \) is the number of inputs and \( m \) is the number of states that the circuit can assume. From this formula, it is seen that an astronomical number of tests can be required. To resolve this problem, versatile, high-speed, easily programmable testers coupled with well-conceived testing programs are required.
4.3.5 Environmental Testing

4.3.5.1 Marginal
Marginal testing - to detect marginal devices in a complicated circuit array will require the versatility of the electrical test described above coupled with an environment known to differentiate between aspects of the logic circuit used. The most common type of environmental changes will be voltage, temperature, input and output loading and noise. Unfortunately, each logic type behaves differently under these environmental extremes. These environmental tests will still not likely detect marginal devices degrading with time.

4.3.5.2 Environmental Evaluation Testing
An environmental test to destruction procedure will be required to detect degrading parameters and latent faults. Since failure modes unique to LSI are expected, completely new studies for environmental, thermal and operational test to destruction are essential so that effective and non-destructive screening and/or quality assurance procedures may evolve.

4.3.5.3 Screening
Because of the complexity of LSI, a radically new approach to screening and quality assurance is necessary. Present screening procedures depend on component parameter measurements which will no longer be available for LSI testing. For example, variables data, a technique used to detect degrading components, will be meaningless. The ratio of the cost of assuring the quality and reliability of each LSI part to the procurement cost must increase if only because of the extensive electrical tests required.

4.3.6 Packaging & Interconnects
Any packaging and interconnect scheme must maintain the speed advantages inherent in LSI. The principal advantage of LSI to military and space programs is low coupling capacitance between gates, which creates higher speed-power ratios. The lower power at speed aids high packing densities and economy of deep-space operation.

Package standardization has not been resolved for LSI circuitry. Depending on the circuit complexity and the computer organization, the gate-to-pin ratio can vary from about .2 to 10. The industry today varies from a 14-lead to a 156-lead package, with many variations in between. Standardization will most probably result in a 16-, 32-, and 40-lead package with some packages in the 100 range. MSI is presently coming out mostly in the dual-in-line package with lead spacing of 100 mils which is acceptable for commercial applications. For the packing density and speed required of military and space systems the 50-mil-lead-spacing flat package will still be required.
The LSI package must also be evaluated with respect to speed. The capacitance added by the package to the output leads of the LSI is many times greater than the chip output capacitance. The problem of inter-package transmission must be studied to insure high speeds at high speed-power ratios.

4.4 Interconnections and Packaging

4.4.1 Multilayer Boards for Unpackaged Microcircuits

There is no doubt that large-scale integration (LSI) will be a reality in the not-too-distant future. However, just what will be available, just when it will be available, and how large the "large" will be, are questions which cannot be accurately answered. From a packaging point of view we must ask ourselves what LSI will offer, and how similar results may be achieved using other techniques. In making such a comparison one must look at such things as volume efficiency, reliability, cost, and interconnection-induced delay times. For the moment we will consider size efficiency from the point of view of the ultimate effect on the volume of a finished piece of equipment. For this purpose we have considered how much circuitry might be placed on, and interconnected by, a printed circuit board 10 inches square.

Figure 4.51 shows ten-leaded flat packs (which we will assume contain two three-input NOR gates) placed on a board. The placement allows 2 parallel connectors (15-mil lines and spaces) to be run between the rows, and 8 parallel conductors to be run per column on each layer of wiring. Pads around the entire periphery of the board on 50-mil centers would allow a maximum total of 644 inputs and outputs. This figure demonstrates that 651 flat packs containing 1302 gates can be interconnected on a 10" × 10" board.

Figure 4.52 shows 4 LSI packages interconnected on a 10" × 10" board. We have assumed 1000 gates per package and a 256-leaded package. These assumptions are optimistic, as achieving 1000 gates per package is no easy task and, when it is possible, 256 leads will not be adequate in many cases. If the LSI package leads are spaced at 50 mils to keep the total LSI package size small, normal through-hole multilayer board technology will not permit interconnection wiring to be run between the lead pads on the multilayer board. Therefore, the LSI packages must be spaced to allow their interconnection outside the periphery of the lead pads. It seems reasonable to assume that the best that could be done with LSI mounted on plated-through-hole multilayer boards for some time would be 4000 gates per 10" × 10" board.
SEE NOTE #2 ON FIGURE 4.52

31 Rows of 21 Packages
FOR A TOTAL OF
651 PACKAGES

Flat-Pack Dimensions 0.275 max

NOT TO SCALE
ALL DIMENSIONS IN INCHES

Fig. 4.51 Flat-pack mounting configuration.
ALL DIMENSIONS IN INCHES

*NOTE #1
256 Leads Per Flat-Pack
64 Leads Per Side
Leads 0.05 Center-to-Center
Leads 0.15 Long

*NOTE #2
161 Lead Pads Per Side
Lead Pads 0.05 Center-to-Center
Lead Pads 0.035 Wide By 0.15 Long

Fig. 4.52  LSI mounting configuration.
Ever since the advent of the transistor, people have pointed out the terrific volume advantages to be gained if the package could be eliminated. This is also true for microcircuits and even true for LSI. For example (considering relative areas) a 2-gate NOR chip is about 40 mils square whereas the flat pack and leads necessary to attach it into the circuit are about 275 x 400 mils or about 70 times as big. The ratio for LSI is not as bad, but still not good, at something like 10:1. The inability to properly passivate semiconductor surfaces and difficulties in handling of small chips seemed to be the prime deterrents to this scheme for a long time. Good passivation is now a reality. Chip handling is greatly improved. But, from a system point of view, there is still the large deterrent of adequate handling and interconnection of chips in large numbers on a wiring board of minute dimensions. The present state of the art for multilayer circuit boards of ceramic or plastic is about 5-mil lines and spaces. Using such a wiring board would allow dual-gate chips to be placed on 100-mil centers, or 200 gates per square inch of board. Just how many gates could be economically interconnected is dependent upon several factors, some of which are listed below:

1. The quality of chips being attached,
2. The yield of the attachment process.
3. The ability to remove chips and attach new ones.
4. The factors involved in testing the completed circuit.

If we assumed for the sake of argument that we could fill the entire LSI package (about 10 in² of usable area) with dual chips interconnected on 100-mil centers, we find we could get 2000 gates into it. Whether we would have enough package leads would depend on the system design and partitioning. Of course, handling so many chips using present techniques is impractical from a yield point of view (as is LSI). If we scale our goal down to 1- or 2-hundred chips per package, the system packing density is still attractive, and the technology to achieve it is close at hand.

The packing density achieved using a scheme of interconnecting unpackaged circuits offers other potential advantages of LSI, such as shorter propagation delays because of shorter paths, lower cost because the number of hermetic packages is greatly reduced, and increased reliability because the number of bonds made is reduced. It also is a versatile scheme and, therefore, attractive for a small number of networks.

Development efforts in interconnecting unpackaged devices and circuits will be of lasting value because, as medium-scale and large-scale integration evolves, the same techniques developed for interconnection using high-density...
multilayer boards can be used to interconnect the larger scales of integration. We believe this effort should be stimulated in view of its long-term future potential. To this end we have started an investigation into the use of multilayer boards for this purpose. The short-term goal of this investigation is to interconnect about 200 gates into an arithmetic unit in a hermetic flat pack about 1.5 inches square.

4.4.2 System Packaging and Interconnections

The designer of a large computer package suitable for space system use must simultaneously take into consideration electrical interconnections, mechanical integrity and heat transfer. Failure to consider these items and their interrelations as a whole will result in an inferior design.

Interconnections have required a larger proportion of system volume as components have been reduced in size. Connectors and intermodule wiring panels account for about 60% of the total volume on the Apollo Guidance Computer. Printed circuit boards offer a volume advantage over wire-wrap panels and advantage should be taken of this technology where possible. Another reason for considering the use of printed circuit board over wire-wrap panels is that one may be tempted to make wiring changes on existing wire-wrap panels and in the process possibly decrease their reliability. This temptation does not exist with printed circuit boards.

There are at least three ways to reduce connector volume in a system. The first, and most important, is to design out as many connectors as possible. This can be done by thoughtful partitioning of the system and by making the partitional sections as large as possible consistent with test and repair problems. There may be times when one is limited in section-size design because the area available for making connections decreases, in ratio to the volume, as the section increases in size. This problem can be alleviated if one designs a connection system capable of making connections on more than one surface or edge of the section. A second way is to miniaturize the connector as much as possible. Of course there are limits as to how far such miniaturization can be carried without jeopardizing the connector reliability. A third way to reduce connector volume is to make parts of the system package serve also as parts of the connector. Before attempting this, it is worthwhile to ask just what a connector is.

A connector is basically two mating surfaces and a system for making and maintaining their contact. (For purposes of design it is instructive to note that almost all the connector volume is devoted to maintaining surface contact,
a miniscule amount is devoted to mating surfaces. The requirement for a mating force makes plugging and unplugging connectors with many contacts so difficult that jacking screws are often required. These screws might be eliminated if the plugging force could be applied by other existing members of the computer package.

Connector failure occurs if the mating surfaces are rendered non-conductive, or if contact breaks. Properly-designed connectors are quite reliable; however, if a large increase in reliability is required, redundant connections should be seriously considered.

Present system-design concepts call for some high-frequency connections between different machines in the system, such as between processors. These connections will probably require the use of a strip line or something comparable. Our prototype package design accounts for this possibility by allowing adequate connector area for this purpose.

The heat transfer paths though connectors and structural members should be considered as a whole in the design stage. If the connectors can be arranged to transfer heat as well as electricity without undue design compromise, a definite advantage can be gained. In addition, if the structure of the connector is used also as part of the package structure, or from the opposite point of view if the package structure is used as part of the connector, an advantage is gained.

Of course, the structural members of the system package must be designed and arranged to withstand mechanical stresses such as shock and vibration. In addition, since the structural members are usually made from excellent thermal conductors such as aluminum or magnesium, they should be designed to provide adequate thermal paths from areas of heat generation to the surface of the system. This requires that the thermal resistance from all heat generators to structural members be small, and that the thermal path through the structural member to the cooling surfaces be short and unimpeded by structural joints with high thermal impedance. One possibility is a design where all main structural members extend to four sides of the system package. They could then easily be connected to cold rails of radiators on one or more sides as necessary.

On the basis of the preceding, a prototype model for the structure of a processor was designed and is being built. The design consists simply of four plates 10" × 10" × 1/8" which have 8 raised pillars around the periphery to space the plates. Bolts through the pillars hold the stack together. Each plate
provides a mechanical support and heat-transfer path for circuitry mounted on it. Printed circuit boards can be attached to both sides of the plates (except the top and bottom plates). Connections between the boards (all four edges) are provided by special connectors. These connectors are not plugged in; they are stacked between the plates and compressed when the assembly is bolted together. In addition, a connector is provided on one side of the assembly to connect the processor into the system. On the same side, tapped holes are provided in the pillars to bolt the assembly against cold rails for cooling.

This packaging scheme is simple and yet versatile. Such things as rope memories, discrete component assemblies, etc., can be potted in, or stacked on a plate (which of course can vary in thickness and in spacing to the next plate). The connector takes up a relatively small part of the volume. Simple heat-transfer paths will make thermal computations simple, and the results cool.

4.4.3 Soft Metal Connector Contacts

High-density (0.050"-center or less) connectors for the interconnection of printed wiring boards are necessary for improved airborne-systems packaging. Because prior experiments using spectrographic analysis techniques indicated that indium in high-pressure contact with nickel diffuses across the surface contact, one approach might make use of this mechanism to create low-resistivity contacts.

The vehicle used to test this concept consisted of sets of flat 25-mil-wide indium-plated fingers placed on 50-mil centers between nickel printed circuit boards with corresponding pad areas on the boards. A piece of elastomer was laid over one of the boards and the assembly was put under pressure with metal plates pulled together with screws. Similar assemblies were made using gold-plated fingers and pad areas for comparison. The fingers were considered expendable, and new ones were used every time connection was broke.

Storage tests of the indium-nickel system for over 10,000 hours at room temperature indicate a slight increase in resistance probably due to the elastomer taking a permanent set and thereby reducing contact pressure. There was no evidence of alloying, which is attributed to too-low contact pressure. Connectors were mounted and demounted more than 100 times without excessive damage. The gold-gold system had lower initial resistance than the indium-nickel system and it has remained lower for the duration of the test. Tentative conclusions are that unless contact pressure can be increased and/or elevated temperature is applied to the connector to start the process, diffusion will not occur, and the expected advantage of the system will not be realized.
5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 The Role of Computer Research and Development

The ACGN System, and indeed many, if not all, exploratory spacecraft systems for the coming decade, will have data processing requirements beyond the reach of hardware available today for reasons of size, power consumption, performance, and/or reliability. The forthcoming technology offers the possibility not only of making improvements with respect to all of these characteristics, but of doing it in such a way as to trade one off against another within the same family of computers.

We have shown that a multiprocessor structure is feasible for an important class of applications, and provides an unprecedented measure of flexibility in adaptations to specific missions by means of its capacity to expand and contract. Processors, memories, I/O Buffers, and in fact programs are items which can carry over from one system to another, large or small.

The spaceborne multiprocessor is as yet an untried and untested machine. Numerous workers have proposed various embodiments of the basic modular notion, none of which has been realized. Ground-based multiprocessors have been built, however, and are operational in both data processing and control environments. The difficult part of developing the spaceborne multiprocessor lies in making it capable of transient and permanent fault recovery in a way that is transparent to the mission programmer. This can surely be done, though not without substantial effort. The rewards in terms of potential spacecraft system performance, commonality, and reliability are so great, however, as to amply justify the effort.

A combined hardware and software development is needed to realize the multiprocessor which we propose. Elements of this development effort include simulations of the structure, prototype fabrication, advanced hardware design, and programming. These elements are taken up in the following sections.

5.2 Simulations

The first task in continued development of the multiprocessor is to model the proposed system and exercise it to find its weaknesses. Using data-processing facilities available through MIT's OLLS (On-Line Logical Simulation) effort, a computer-aided computer design program, it will be possible to test such vital functions as the executive structure, bus traffic statistics, program execution statistics, and error control techniques.

As the design is further refined, it is proposed that the individual subunits of the multiprocessor, such as processors, memories, and the I/O Buffer, be modeled separately on small digital computers, which can be interconnected via a bus to more closely resemble the proposed system. Not only would
this allow a more detailed study than OLLS would efficiently furnish, but it
would allow prototype hardware to be exercised by direct replacement of its digital
computer model.

5.3 Prototype Fabrication

In addition to the software simulations just described, hardware simulations
are also required before the design can be completed. Most notable in this
regard is the bus system which not only is new and untried, but which is also a
requirement for the individual unit simulation scheme of the preceding paragraph.
Other facets of the system which require preliminary exploration in hardware form are
hardware error control, memory paging circuits, arithmetic unit, sequence
generators, and numerous other aspects of logical/electrical design.

Prototype fabrication would preferably begin right away at a low level, and
increase later on when subunit designs begin to be made in detail. Fast turn-
around is desired so that hardware can be exercised and redesigned as necessary.
Computer-aided design enhances the turn-around speed, as do such techniques
as wire wrapping, which may in some cases be used in preference to more ad-
vanced but less expeditious interconnection techniques such as multilayer boards.
As designs advance in their maturity, prototype efforts must more closely resemble
the final configuration.

All final designs, of course, need to be proven in prototype form before they
can be committed to production. Because of the particularly long-turn - around
times experienced on production lines, an in-house capability to produce final-
configuration hardware on a small scale would greatly enhance design verification
and early production quality. Experience with Apollo indicates that a greater
investment in prototype facilities would have been more than repaid in reduction
of retrofit costs.

5.4 Advanced Circuit Development

Substantial progress in semiconductors and their interconnection is desired
for the advanced computer in order to realize high speed, small size, and low power
consumption along with high reliability. These are traditionally very long lead-
time matters, and the importance of an early start is clear.

The effort should consist of continued scrutiny of the semiconductor market
plus the experimental implementation of interconnections using newly developed
thin- and thick-film deposition techniques, applied to small-, medium-, and
large-scale integrated circuits alike. This effort will merge with the prototype
fabrications at the earliest possible time.
Memory research is another aspect of advanced circuit development. Braid, plated wire, ferrite core, tape, and semiconductor scratchpad memory development are all indicated. Much of this development is already being carried on, both here and elsewhere, independent of the multiprocessor design. The Braid memory is more nearly unique to MIT/IL, and, since it is a prime candidate for both sequence generators and program memories, merits a certain degree of emphasis.

5.5 Software Development

The design of a software system for a multiprocessor computer is a challenge which has not yet been broadly met. The programming conventions required and means for their implementation have been described to a limited extent elsewhere in this report. It is apparent that attempting to implement programming conventions in the hardware (storage protection, restart protection, etc.) would be expensive and, further, would not be readily subject to modification should deficiencies in the design appear later than in the initial development stages. The most attractive solution to the convention-enforcement problem is the use of a sophisticated compiler, whose input language is one which permits the programmer to express his program in a form least subject to error. The conversion of this input language to code for the multiprocessor would be designed so that programming conventions would be automatically applied. In this way, the possibility of errors and violation of conventions would be minimized, while the flexibility of having the rules implemented in software would be achieved.

Thus, the development of a suitable language and compiler represents the major software support task. Even though this approach tends to reduce the number of opportunities for error in the preparation of mission programs, development effort will also be required to design and write programs to aid in mission program checkout. Initiation of design effort in these areas could occur in parallel with the completion of the formulation of program conventions and solidification of those aspects of logical design of the hardware which fundamentally affect the software, such as word size, page size, instruction repertoire, addressing method, etc.