79

EG2

21:

MSC INTERNAL NOTE NO. 67-EG-6

PROJECT APOLLO

A STUDY OF LUNAR MODULE NAVIGATION SYSTEMS ACCURACIES FCR POWERED DESCENT, ASCENT, AND ABORTS

Prepared by: Charles R. Price Rudolph L. Saldana

John R. Henson John R. Henson

Approved: <u>Kenneth J. Cox</u> Kenneth J. Cox, Chief

Approved:

Kenneth J. Cox, Chief Systems Analysis Branch

R. G. Chilton, Deputy Chief Guidance and Control Division



NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER

HOUSTON, TEXAS

January 31, 1967



SUMMARY

A linearized analysis of the navigation accuracy for the Primary Navigation and Guidance System (PGNCS) and Abort Guidance System (AGS) has been made for the Lunar Module (LM) powered descent, aborts off the powered descent, and powered ascent. In this note, the uncertainties are given for (1) the estimated LM inertial state vector, (2) the estimated LM altitude and altitude rate, and (3) the estimated Lunar Module-Command Service Module (LM-CSM) relative range and range rate.

Unitized partial derivatives of the LM state vector errors with respect to each error source are presented for both systems at the end of each trajectory. These matrices can be used for hand calculations of the state vector errors caused by a particular error source (e.g., a gyro drift). Also included are the error models of the systems and the derivation of the equations used to analyze the navigation accuracy of a strapdown inertial navigation system.

INTRODUCTION

The guidance and navigation functions of the IM are performed by two systems - the PGNCS and AGS. An analysis of the guidance and navigation accuracies of these two systems is required to determine monitoring procedures and to estimate system performance. It is the purpose of this note to present the navigation accuracy of both these systems in determining the IM inertial state, IM altitude and altitude rate, and IM-CSM relative range and range rate information for the following trajectories:

- a. LM powered descent (50,000 ft. to 2,000 ft. altitude)
- b. LM aborts off the nominal descent
 - (1) At 25,000 ft. altitude
 - (2) At 10,000 ft. altitude
- c. LM powered ascent (800 ft. tc 50,000 ft. altitude)

Time histories of altitude, altitude rate, relative range, and range rate for these reference trajectories are given in figures 1 through 4. The analysis of the AGS errors required the development of the error equations given in Appendix A. The analysis of the PGNCS errors is available in reference 1.

Most of the results of this study are presented in the form of time histories of the errors considered, and effort is made to explain the underlying trends of these quantities and to indicate the major error contributors.

- 2 -

DISCUSSION

ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES

To perform the linearized analysis of the two onboard navigation systems, it was necessary to use reference trajectories for the lunar powered landings (nominal and two aborts), and the powered ascent. The trajectories were generated in a point mass digital simulation using the guidance equations of the primary system for both descent and ascent (see reference 2).

The a priori navigation uncertainties for the LM and CSM at the start of powered descent are taken to be those resulting from an onboard lunar orbit navigation (3 orbits, 2 landmarks, and 3 measurements) given in reference 3. This initial LM covariance matrix included the errors caused by a 45 second Hohmann descent injection maneuver and is given in figure 5a. All IMU alignments were performed 15 minutes prior to the thrusting maneuvers. The correlation between the LM and CSM errors was considered in determining the relative state errors.

The IM initial covariance matrix for the ascent phase is that resulting from the nominal powered descent for the PGNCS position errors and zero for the velocity errors (see figure 5b).

The specification values for the PGNCS hardware errors were obtained from reference 4; however, the accelerometer bias used in this analysis is one-fourth the specification value. The AGS hardware specification values used are given in Appendix A and reference 5.

A LM instantaneous locally level inertial coordinate system is defined at each point in the trajectory with z axis parallel to the radius vector, y parallel to the angular momentum vector, and x completing the right hand system (see figure 6). This coordinate system is used to express the error data in figures 7a through loc.

RESULTS

For all four reference trajectories, the AGS out-of-plane velocity error is approximately twice that of the PGNCS at thrust termination. The same ratio is true at termination for the downrange velocity error (except for the 25k abort) and for the altitude velocity error (except for both aborts). In these three excepted cases, the errors in the two systems are approximately equal. For the error sources and error source magnitudes used in this study, the initial misalignment and gyro drifts are the major contributors to the LM state vector errors.

ANALYSIS OF VELOCITY ERRORS

The velocity errors increase linearly (as expected) during IM powered ascent and descent figures (7a and 10a). However, for the abort trajectories, the LM y and z velocity errors (figures 8a and 9a) increase and then start decreasing at the abort point. Because the misalignment of the sensors about the y and z axes are the dominant error source, an explanation of the velocity error caused by misalignments is given.

For both systems, the acceleration error resulting from the misalignments is

$$Ax = |\underline{A}| \quad \oint y \sin \vartheta$$
$$Ay = -|\underline{A}| \quad (\oint x \sin \vartheta + \oint z \cos \vartheta)$$
$$Az = |\underline{A}| \quad \oint y \cos \vartheta$$

where

Ax, Ay, Az - are the accelerometer component errors
A - is the magnitude of the thrust acceleration
\$\overline{y}\$ x, \$\overline{y}\$ y, \$\overline{z}\$ z - are the misalignments abort, x, y, z, respectively
\$\overline{x}\$ - is the angle between the thrust vector and landing site downrange axis

During the powered descent and abort maneuvers, the pitch angle, \checkmark , varies from an initial value of -193 degrees to a final value of + 10 degrees. The thrust is essentially along the x inertial axis (inertial coordinate system is locally level at the landing site), and is approximately - 180 or 0 degrees for a large portion of the trajectory. Therefore, during the trajectory sin $\checkmark \approx 0$, cos \checkmark changes sign at the abort point, and

Ax is small

- Ay + A ϕ z
- Az $\pm |\underline{A}| \phi y$.

The last two expressions explain the negative slope of the y and z velocity error starting at the abort point.

- 3 -

- 4 -

ANALYSIS OF THE ALTITUDE RATE AND RANGE RATE ERRORS (see figures 7c, 8c, 9c, 10c).

The altitude rate and range rate error equations are derived in Appendix B. The mean-squared error for altitude rate can be written

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\dot{h}}^{2} = \boldsymbol{I}_{R}^{\underline{V}\underline{x}} , \boldsymbol{I} \qquad \overset{*}{\underline{M}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \underline{V}\underline{x} \\ R \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Where Vx/R is the angular rate of change of the unit radius vector (rad/sec)

$$\overset{*}{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{x}^2 & \mathbf{O} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{O} \mathbf{z}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 - covariance matrix for down range position error and radial velocity error

The range rate mean squared error is expressed similarly as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{2} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{P}} \\ 1 \end{array} \right], 1 \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{N} \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

where

 Vx/ρ is the relative line of sight rate $\stackrel{\times}{N}$ is the two by two covariance matrix for relative range error and velocity error along the in-plane normal to relative range.

The altitude rate error follows the general shape of the z velocity error, but is smaller in magnitude because the correlation terms reduce the total error.

POWERED FLIGHT PARTIAL DERIVATIVES

Figures 11 through 14 (PGNCS) and figures 15 through 18 (AGS) show the matrices of partial derivatives of the LM state vector with respect to each inertial sensor error. Each matrix element represents the contribution of a particular unit error source to the position and velocity error at thrust termination. The effect of changes in sensor performance or specification can be evaluated rapidly with these matrices.

COVARIANCE MATRICES

Figures 19a through 22b exhibit the IM state covariance matrix at thrust termination of each of the four reference trajectories. The PGNCS covariance matrix is shown in part "a" of each figure, while the AGS covariance matrix is shown in part "b". These covariance matrices are included merely to serve as initial conditions for future analysis of the orbital phasing and rendezvous navigation problem.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

A linearized error analysis for both an inertial platform system and a strapdown inertial system has been performed. Plots comparing the relative accuracy of the PGNCS and AGS are provided, and show that the standard deviation of the position and velocity errors of the two systems propagate similarly.

The misalignment of the inertial sensors with respect to the desired orientation is the dominant error source in the two systems. Gyro drift is also significant error source because the platform is aligned 15 minutes prior to the start of powered descent.

- 5 -

APPENDIX A

DERIVATION OF LM AGS NAVIGATION ERROR EQUATIONS

The basic equations required are those describing a thrusting vehicle in an inverse square central force field, and the matrix differential equation describing the instantaneous orientation of the vehicle with respect to some arbitrarily chosen inertial coordinate system.

These equations are

$$\ddot{\mathbf{R}} = -\frac{\mu}{|\mathbf{R}|^2} \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{T} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}$$
(A1)

 and

$$\dot{\tau} = \tau W$$
 (A2)

 \underline{R} - Position vector of the vehicle in computation coordinates

 $A_{\mbox{\scriptsize B}\mbox{\scriptsize -}}$ Thrust acceleration vector in body coordinates

T - Transformation matrix from body to computation coordinates

 $W = \begin{pmatrix} \circ & -\omega_z & \omega_y \\ \omega_z & \circ & -\omega_x \\ -\omega_y & \omega_x & \circ \end{pmatrix}$ - vehicular angular rates in body coordinates Equation (A2) is derived as follows:

The transformation from computational to body coordinates is

$$\Gamma^{-1} = \left[\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{1}, \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{2}, \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{3} \right]$$
(A3)

where \underline{U}_{i} are the unit vectors forming the basis for the computational coordinate system. The derivative of \underline{U}_{i} can be expressed as

$$\frac{d\underline{u}_{i}}{dt} = \frac{d\underline{u}_{i}}{dt} + \underline{\omega} \times \underline{u}_{i}$$
(A4)

Since $\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{::}$ is fixed with respect to the computational system,

.

$$\frac{d \underline{u}_i}{dt} \bigg|_c = 0 \tag{A5}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d \underline{u}_{i}}{dt} = -\underline{\omega} \times \underline{u}_{i}$$
(A6)

$$\frac{d \underline{u}_{i}}{dt}\Big|_{B} = -W \underline{u}_{i}$$
(A7)

where W is a cross-product matrix.

Substituting equation (A3) and its derivative

$$\dot{\mathsf{T}}^{-1} = -\mathsf{W}\mathsf{T}^{-1} \tag{A8}$$

so that

 \mathbf{or}

$$\dot{T} = TW$$

since T is orthogonal and W is skew symmetric.

To derive the error equations, equations (A1) and (A2) are perturbed so that

$$\Delta \underline{R} = \mu |\underline{R}|^{-5} (3\underline{R}\underline{R}^{T} - |\underline{R}|^{2}\underline{I}) \Delta \underline{R} + (\Delta \underline{T}) \underline{A}_{B} + \underline{T} \Delta \underline{A}_{B}$$
(A9)

and

$$\Delta \dot{T} = (\Delta T) W + T \Delta W$$
(AlO)

where

$$\Delta \underline{A}_{B} = \underline{K} \underline{e}_{a}$$
(All)

$$\Delta W = \sum_{i=1}^{N} D_i e_{g_i}$$
(A12)

C. - (n×1) accelerometer error vector

- egi it gyro error source
- K (3 x n) matrix of partials of acceleration with respect to accelerometer errors
- D: (3 x 3) matrices of partials of angular rate with respect to the *itb* gyro error.

The transformation error ΔT induced by angular rate errors at any time **t** is

$$\Delta T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i e_{ji} \qquad (A13)$$

where

$$M_{i} = M_{i}W + TD_{i}, \quad M_{i}(o) = 0 \quad (A14)$$

(3)

The homogeneous solution of equation (A13) can be written

$$\Delta T = T \Phi$$
(A15)

where $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is a skew symmetric matrix.

This can be obtained by considering $(\mathbf{i} + \Delta \mathbf{T})$ to be an orthogonal transformation; then .

$$(\tau + \Delta \tau)^{\tau} (\tau + \Delta \tau) = I$$
 (A16)

)^T denotes transpose. (where

Expanding

$$\mathbf{I} + \Delta \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{T} \Delta \mathbf{T}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{T} \Delta \mathbf{T}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{I}$$
 (A17)

 \mathbf{or}

$$\Delta T T^{T} = -T \Delta T^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} C$$

$$\Delta T = C T$$
(A18)

$$\Delta T \Delta T^{T} = C^{2} \approx 0 \tag{A19}$$

This solution satisfies the differential equation (AlO) if \dot{c} = 0, i.e. •

$$\Delta \dot{\tau} = \dot{c} \tau + c \dot{\tau}$$

$$\Delta \dot{\tau} = c \tau W$$

$$\Delta \dot{\tau} = \Delta \tau W \qquad (A20)$$

= 1 When T

$$\Delta T = \begin{bmatrix} \circ & -\phi_z & \phi_y \\ \phi_z & \circ & -\phi_x \\ -\phi_y & \phi_y & \circ \end{bmatrix}$$
(A21)

-

Since

$$\underline{A}_{B} \approx |\underline{A}_{B}| \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\overline{\Phi} T \underline{A}_{8} = \overline{\Phi} T |\underline{A}_{8}| \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = |\underline{A}_{8}| \overline{\Phi} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ t \\ t \\ t \end{bmatrix}$$
(A22)
where t_{i1} are the first column of T.

The product of a skew symmetric matrix and a vector can be written as a vector cross product:

$$\Phi\begin{bmatrix}t_{i}\\t_{2i}\\t_{3i}\end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \Phi \underline{z} = \Phi \times \underline{z} \qquad (A23)$$

Hence

$$|\underline{A}_{e}| \overline{\Phi} \overline{z} = |\underline{A}_{e}| \overline{\Phi} \times \overline{z} = -|\underline{A}_{e}| \overline{z} \overline{\Phi} \quad (A24)$$

where Z is the cross product matrix associated with Z.

Equation (A9) can now be written as

$$\Delta \ddot{R} = \mu |R|^{5} (3RR^{T} - |R|^{2}I) \Delta R + TKe_{e} + Ge_{e} + He_{e} (A25)$$

where

$$G = |A_{8}| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -t_{31} & t_{21} \\ t_{31} & 0 & -t_{11} \\ -t_{21} & t_{11} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(A26)

$$H = I\underline{A}_{B}I \begin{bmatrix} M_{11}, M_{21}, \dots & M_{n_2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A27)

where M_{i1} denotes the first column of M_i from (Al4).

To write equation (A25) in state vector form, let

$$\underline{X} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \underline{R} \\ \Delta \underline{R} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A28)

Then

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{F} \, \mathbf{x} + \overset{*}{\mathbf{M}} \, \mathbf{e}$$
 (A29)

where

where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ \mu |\underline{R}|^{-5}(3\underline{R}\underline{R}^{T} - |\underline{R}|^{2}I) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\overset{K}{M} = [TK, H, G]$$

$$\underline{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{G} \\ \underline{G} \\ \underline{G} \end{bmatrix}$$
A solution of equation (A29) is

$$\underline{X} = \underline{\Psi} \underline{X}_{\circ} + P \underline{e}$$
 (A30)

where

$$\dot{\psi} = F\psi, \psi(o) = I$$

and
$$\dot{P} = FP + \dot{M}$$
, $P(o) = o$.

(6)

DERIVATION C. VEHICULAR ANGULAR RATE COMPUTATION

Let
$$\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a} \stackrel{\triangleq}{=} \frac{\underline{A}_{B}}{|\underline{A}_{B}|}$$
 (A31)

where $\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}$ is the unit

vector in the direction of the thrust acceleration. The rate of change of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{A}}$ in the computation coordinate system is

$$\frac{d \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}}{dt} \bigg|_{c} = \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{c} \times \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}$$
(A32)

Crossing $\underline{\mathcal{U}}_4$ into equation (A32),

$$\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a} \times \frac{d\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a}}{dt} = \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{c} - (\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{W}}) \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a} \qquad (A33)$$

The angular rate in computional coordinates is

$$\underline{\omega}_{c} = -\frac{d \underline{u}_{a}}{dt} \times \underline{u}_{a} \qquad (A34)$$

Numerically, the angular rates are computed by

$$\underline{\omega}_{c} = \frac{|\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{in}^{-1}||\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a_{n-1}} \times \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a_{n}}|}{\Delta t} \left(\frac{|\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a_{n-1}} \times \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a_{n}}|}{|\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a_{n-1}} \times \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{a_{n}}|}\right)$$
(A35)

where $U_{a_{n-1}}$ and U_{a_n} are the unit accelerations at times t_{i-1} and t_i respectively, and $\Delta t = t_i - t_{i-1}$.

The angular rate, $\underline{\omega}$,in body coordinates is

$$\omega = T' \omega_e$$
 (A36)

ERROR MODEL

Assumptions -

- (1) Acceleration is essentially along the $X_{\theta}(roll)$ axis.
- (2) The error coefficients are constant during a burn.
- (3) The error coefficients are statistically independent.

Accelerometer Error Model

	-						
1	٥	0	Ax	٨x²	0	٦	B _x
٥	I	٥	0	0	Ax	0	B ₁
0	٥	ł	٥	0	Ø	Ax	ĸ
 -						لہ	n C
	_						Cer
	De	fini	tion				Values Used

Error

Δ <u>Α</u> β	Acceleration error vector in body coordinates		
Bx, By, Ba	Accelerometer Bias	.00222	ft/sec
k	Accelerometer Scale factor	87	PPM
K.	Accelerometer Scale factor nonlinearity	58	PPM
Cyx, Cax	Accelerometer cross axis sensitivities	68	tt/sec

Note the omission of y axis and z axis scale factors and cross axis sensitivities. This is due to there being virtually no acceleration sensed along these axes.

Angular Rate Error Model

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \omega_{x} \\ \Delta \omega_{y} \\ \Delta \omega_{z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{x} \\ \epsilon_{y} \\ \epsilon_{z} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} T_{x} \omega_{x} \\ T_{y} \omega_{y} \\ T_{z} \omega_{z} \end{bmatrix} + (XSAU) A_{x} + (AN) A_{x}^{2} + \begin{bmatrix} \circ -\omega_{z} & \omega_{y} \\ \omega_{z} & \circ -\omega_{x} \\ -\omega_{y} & \omega_{x} & \circ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{x_{o}} \\ \phi_{y_{o}} \\ \phi_{z_{o}} \end{bmatrix}$$

(7)

Error	Definition	Values Used
Δωχ, Δωγ, Δωε	Angular rate error	
Ex , Ey , Ez	gyro constant drift	.28 deg/hr
T. T. T.	gyro torquer scale factor error	.38 PPM
XSAU	x gyro spin axis unbalance	.13 deg/hr/g
AN	x gyro anisoelasticity	0 deg/hr/g
φx., φy., φ.	initial misalignment	250 sec

(8)

As in the accelerometer model, the acceleration sensitive errors in the y and z gyros were dismissed as zero.

APPENDIX B

DERIVATION OF LM ALTITUDE AND ALTITUDE RATE ERRORS

Let the inertial coordinate system origin be at the center of the moon such that the altitude of the LM (including the mean lunar radius) is given by

$$h = \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{R}^{T} \underline{\mathcal{R}}$$
(B1)

where

 \mathbf{R} = LM radius vector $\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbf{R}}$ = unit vector of <u>R</u>

The differential for h is given by

$$\Delta h = \Delta \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{R}^{T} \underline{\mathcal{R}} + \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{R}^{T} \Delta \underline{\mathcal{R}} \qquad (B2)$$

where

$$\Delta \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{R}^{T} = \Delta \left(\frac{\underline{R}^{T}}{\underline{n}}\right) = \frac{\Delta \underline{R}^{T}}{\underline{h}} - \frac{\underline{R}^{T}}{\underline{h}^{2}} \Delta h \qquad (B3)$$

so that

$$\Delta h = \mathcal{U}_{R}^{T} \Delta \underline{R}$$
 (B4)

The mean squared error in h is given by

$$E\left\{ \Delta h^{2}\right\} = E\left\{ \mathcal{U}_{R}^{T} \Delta \mathbf{R} \Delta \mathbf{R}^{T} \mathcal{U}_{R} \right\}$$
(B5)

$$T_{L}^{2} = \mathcal{U}_{R} E \left\{ \Delta R \Delta R^{T} \right\} \mathcal{U}_{R}$$
(B6)

$$\sigma_{h}^{2} = \mathcal{U}_{R}^{T} \stackrel{*}{C}_{R} \mathcal{U}_{R}$$
(B7)

where C_{R}^{*} is the covariance matrix for LM position.

A simplification can be made for $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{t}}$ is the LM position vector is defined in an instantaneously local level system:

(2)

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}}}{\mathbf{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathsf{R}}^{\mathsf{T}}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R} \end{bmatrix} \\
\frac{\mathbf{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathsf{R}}^{\mathsf{T}}}{\mathbf{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathsf{R}}^{\mathsf{T}}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(B8)

Thus

$$\sigma_{k}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\tilde{x}}^{2} & \sigma_{\tilde{x}\gamma} & \sigma_{\tilde{x}z} \\ \sigma_{\tilde{x}\gamma} & \sigma_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{2} & \sigma_{\tilde{\gamma}z} \\ \sigma_{\tilde{x}z} & \sigma_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{2} & \sigma_{\tilde{z}}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (B9)$$

$$(B9)$$

$$(G_{k}^{2} = \sigma_{\tilde{z}}^{2} \qquad (B10)$$

Since altitude rate is the projection of IM velocity on the IM radius vector, it may be written as

$$\dot{h} = \mathcal{U}_{R}^{T} \dot{R}$$
 (B11)

The first order differential for h is given by

$$\Delta \dot{h} = \Delta \mathcal{U}_{R}^{T} \dot{R} + \mathcal{U}_{R}^{T} \Delta \dot{R} \qquad (B12)$$

and making the following substitutions,

$$\Delta \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{R}^{T} = \frac{\Delta \underline{R}^{T}}{h} - \frac{\underline{R}^{T}}{h^{2}} \Delta h$$

$$\Delta h = \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{R}^{T} \Delta \underline{R}$$

$$\left[\frac{\dot{R}}{h} - \frac{\underline{\mathcal{U}}_{R}^{T} \dot{\underline{R}}}{h} \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{R}\right]^{T} = (\dot{\underline{\mathcal{U}}}_{R})^{T}$$
(B13)

the final relation is

.

$$\Delta \dot{h} = \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{R}^{T} \Delta \underline{R} + \underline{\mathcal{U}}_{R}^{T} \Delta \underline{\dot{R}} \qquad (B14)$$

(3)

Hence the mean squared error for altitude rate is

$$E \left\{ \Delta \dot{h}^{2} \right\} = E \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\underline{u}}_{R}^{T}, \underline{u}_{R}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \underline{R} \\ \Delta \underline{\dot{R}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \underline{R} \\ \Delta \underline{\dot{R}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\dot{u}}_{R} \\ \underline{u}_{R} \end{bmatrix} \right\} (B15)$$

$$\sigma_{\underline{\dot{h}}}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\underline{u}}_{R}^{T}, \underline{u}_{R}^{T} \end{bmatrix} E \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \underline{R} \\ \Delta \underline{\dot{R}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \underline{R} \\ \Delta \underline{\dot{R}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\underline{u}}_{R} \\ \underline{u}_{R} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\underline{u}}_{R} \\ \underline{u}_{R} \end{bmatrix} (B16)$$

$$\sigma_{\vec{h}}^{\star} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_{\vec{R}}^{\mathsf{T}}, \vec{u}_{\vec{R}}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{\star} \\ C_{\mathbf{R}} \\ \underline{U}_{\mathbf{R}} \\ \underline{U}_{\mathbf{R}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (B17)$$

where C_{RV} is the LM state covariance.

In the instantaneously local level system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{u}_{R}^{T}, \dot{u}_{R}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{V_{K}}{R}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B18)

where $\frac{V_{k}}{R}$ is horizontal velocity divided by radius magnitude.

Thus
$$\sigma_{\tilde{h}}^2 = \left[\frac{V_x}{R}, 1\right] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\tilde{x}}^2 & \sigma_{\tilde{x}\tilde{z}} \\ \sigma_{\tilde{x}\tilde{z}} & \sigma_{\tilde{z}}^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_x \\ \overline{R} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (B19)

IM-CSM RELATIVE RANGE - RELATIVE RANGE RATE ERRORS

Since range and range rate between the IM and CSM are defined in an identical manner to IM altitude and altitude rate, respectively, the relative error equations are identical to the altitude equations:

$$\sigma_{\rho}^{2} = \mathcal{U}_{\rho}^{T} \mathcal{C}_{\rho} \mathcal{U}_{\rho}$$
(B20)

$$\sigma_{\vec{p}}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_{p}^{T}, \vec{u}_{p}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{*} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_{p} \\ \vec{u}_{p} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (B21)$$

where

$$\sigma_{\rho}^{2} = \text{mean-squared error in range}$$

 $\sigma_{\rho}^{2} = \text{mean-squared error in range-rate}$
 $\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\rho}}{\mathcal{U}_{\rho}} = \text{unit relative range vector}$
 $\frac{\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{\rho}}{\mathcal{U}_{\rho}} = \text{line-of-sight rate vector in the plane of motion}$
 $\overset{*}{\mathcal{C}}_{\rho} = \text{relative range covariance matrix}$
 $\overset{*}{\mathcal{C}}_{\rho\rho} = \text{relative state covariance matrix}$

A simplification analogous to the altitude locally level transformation can be made if a "relative locally level system" is defined with z along the relative range vector and y is perpendicular to the relative velocity vector.

(4)

REFERENCES

1. MSC Internal Note IN65-EG-12,"Position and Velocity Error Covariance Matrices at Thrust Termination for the Apollo LEM," by J. R. Henson and R. H. Kidd, III.

2. MSC Memorandum EG23-77-66, dated June 27, 1966, "Digital computer simulation program for IM trajectory operations."

3. MSC Internal Note IN66-EG-46, "A Study Of Lunar Orbit Navigation and Landing Accuracy," by R. L. Saldana, C. F. Lively, Jr., and R. H. Kidd, III.

4. TRW Systems Interoffice Correspondence 7222.6-45, "Revised Error Budget, by R. E. Pientka, dated September 15, 1966.

5. MSC Master End Item Specification, CEI 2015.000.





LM ALTITUDE RATE

FIGURE 2



LIN-CSM RELATIVE RANGE



5600101.	-19857.	-514152.	264.	43.	-5964.
	169367.	- 20108.	19.	-75.	18.
		482981.	-403.	-25.	518:
			0.	0.	0.
(SYMME)RIC	:)			1.	0.
					7.
6200008	(A) NOMINAL	DESCENT	0	٥	0
0200090.	2257287	0.	0.	0.	0.
	2371201.	3910636 .	0. 0.	0. 0.	0. 0.
(SYMMETRIC	2)			0.	0.
					0.

(B) NOMINAL ASCENT

FIGURE 5 LM INITIAL COVARIANCE MATRICES



FIGURE 6 LOCALLY LEVEL COORDINATE SYSTEM



























ERROR SOURCES:						
INITIAL MISALIGNMENT	x(ft)	<u>y(ft)</u>		x(ft/sec)	y(ft/sec)	z(ft/ser)
	0.0	2.15	. 0.0	Q.(3	1.18/-2	(),t+
$\phi_{\rm v}$ = 1 arc sec	-9.17	0.0	-7.29	-6.02/-3	0.0	83/:
$\phi_z = 1 \text{ arc sec}$	0.0	6.55	0.0	().()	2.29/-2	0.0
GYRO ERRORS						
PRIFT						_
$E_x = 1 meru$	0.0	36.9	0.0	0.0	.218	0.0
$E_{\gamma} = 1 meru$	-10.9	0.0	-116.	- <u>.</u> 11''	0.0	- •470
$E_2 = 1 meru$	°•0	104.	0.0	0 . 0	•388	0.0
MAGG UNBALANCE	•					
x-Spin = 1 meru/g	0.0	- 2.80	0.0	ġ•6	-1.98/-2	•0.0
y-Input= 1 meru/g	•475	0.0	1.48	4.97/-3	0.0	9.97/-3
z-Spin = 1 meru/g	0.0	1.29	0.0	0.0	8.09/-3	0.0
CROSS AXIS SENSITIVITY						
x to $z = 1$ arc sec	2.08	0.0	. 522	1.15/-2	0.0	2.36/-3
y to $x = 1$ arc sec	0.0	-0.55	0.0	0.0	-2.29/-2	0.0
y to $z = 1$ arc sec	0.0	2.15	0.0	0.0	1.18/-2	0.0
z to $x = 1$ arc sec	1.57	0.0	-6.77	5.48/-3	0.0	- ~•54/-2
ACCELEROMETER ERRORS						
$x - Bias = .1 cm/sec^2$	384.	o.e	-52,6	1.41	0.0	181
$y - Bias = .1 cm/sec^2$	0.0	362.	0.0	0,0	1.34	0.0
$z - Bias = .1 cm/sec^2$	-97.3	0.0	419.	379	0.0	1.73
x - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	-131.	0.0	-33.6	459	0.0	L.*
z - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	- 10.5	0.0	44.6	-5,80/-2	0.0	•253
x - Non Linearity = $10^{-5}/g$	4.53	0.0	1.10	1.50/-2	0.0	4.14/-3
z - Non Linearity = $10^{-5}/g$	170	0.0	•716	-1.14/-3	0.0	4.89/-3

FIGURE 11 PGNCS POWERED FLIGHT PARTIALS (NOMINAL DESCENT)

.

ERROR SOURCES:						
INITIAL MISALIGNMENT	x(ft)	y(ft)	z(ft)	x(it/sec)	y(ft/sec)	z(ft/ser)
	0.0	2.63	0.0	0.0	4.65/-3	0.0
$\phi_{\rm v}$ = larc sec	328	0.0	-6.62	-4.53/-3	0.0	-4.63/-3
ϕ_{z} = l arc sec	0.0	5.17	0.0	0.0	-2.14/-3	0.0
GYRO ERRORS						
DRIFT						
$E_x = 1 meru$	0.0 ·	44.5	0.0	0.0	6.77/-2	0.0
$E_y = 1 meru$	-12.3	0.0	-94.6	-9.94/-2	0.0	1.12/-2
$E_{z} = 1 meru$	0.0	70.4	0.0	0.0	119	0.0
MASS UNBALANCE						
x-Spin = 1 meru/g	0.0	-3.18	0.0	U. 0	-8.82/-3	0.0
y-Input= 1 meru/g	1.011	0.0	237	4.71/-3	0.0	-8.28/-3
z-Spin = 1 meru/g	0.0	661	0.0	0.0	-9.71/-3	0.0
CROSS AXIS SENSITIVITY						
x to $z = 1$ arc sec	2.43	0.0	1.05	4.23/03	0.0	2 . 18/-3
y to $x = 1$ arc sec	- 0.0	-5.1 7	0.0	0.0	2.14/-3	0.0
y to $z = 1$ arc sec	0.0	2.03	0.0	0,0	4.62/-3	0.0
z to x = l arc sec	2.09	0.0	- 5.57	-2.95/-4	0.0	-2.45/-3
ACCELEROMETER ERRORS						
$x - Bias = .1 cm/sec^2$	562.	0.0	245.	1.76	0.0	.828
$y - Bias = .1 cm/sec^2$	0.0	609.	0.0	0.0	1.91	0.0
$z - Bias = .1 cm/sec^2$	-241.	0.0	617.	704	0.0	2.11
x - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	- 98.2	0.0	- կկ,կ	4.34/-2	0.0	-8.34/-3
z - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	• 21.2	0.0	54.2	-3.91/-2	0.0	.117
x - Non Linearity = $10^{-5}/g$	6.82	0.0	2.90	2 . 38/ - 2	0.0	1.10/-2
$z - Non Linearity = 10^{-5}/g$	331	0.0	.836	-1.38/-3	0.0	3.65/-3

FIGURE 12 PGNCS POWERED FLIGHT PARTIALS (25 K ABORT)

ERROR SOURCES:						
INITIAL MISALIGNMENT	x(ft)	y(ft)	<u>z(ft)</u>	x(ft/sec)	y(ft/sec)	
$\phi_{\rm x}$ = 1 arc sec	0.0	9.70	0.0	0.0	7.49/-3	0.0
$\phi_{\rm v}$ = l arc sec	4.37	0.0	-27.0	-6.65/-3	0.0	-3.86/-2
$\phi_z = 1 \text{ arc sec}$	0.0	9 .7 2	0.0	0.0	-1.09/-2	C.0
GYRO ERRORS						
DRIFT		col:	0.0	0.0	150	
$E_x = 1 meru$	0.0	204.	0.0	0.0	•7.15	0.0
E _y = 1 meru	20.6	0.0	446.	312	0.0	496
E _z = 1 meru	0.0	140.	0.0	0.0	406	0.0
MASS UNBALANCE						
x-Spin = 1 meru/g	0.0	-14.8	0.0	0.0	-1.77/-2	0.0
y-Input= 1 meru/g	7.48	0.0	.872	2.36/-2	0.0	-1.37/-2
z-Spin = 1 meru/g	0.0	-4.73	0.0	0.0	- 2.70/-2	0.0
CROSS AXIS SENSITIVITY						
x to $z = 1$ arc sec	6.06	0.0	9.86	3.67/-3	0.0	1 .71/- 2
y to $x = 1$ are sec	0.0	-9.71	0.0	0.0	1.09 /- 2	0.0
y to $z = 1$ arc sec	0.0	9.70	0.0	0.0	7.49/-3	0.0
z to $x = 1$ arc sec	10.4	0.0	-1.71	-2.97/-3	0.0	-2 . 15/-2
ልሮርፑፒፑፑርርሙምፑዮ ፍዮዮርዮዊ						
$x = \text{Biss} = -1 \text{ cm/sec}^2$	1645.	0.0	2684.	1.62	0.0	5,33
$x = \text{Bias} = .1 \text{ cm/sec}^2$	0.0	2631	0.0	0.0	2 oh	0.0
$\sim - \text{Bias} = .1 \text{ cm/sec}$	-2271	0.0	3200	-2.81	0.0	6.60
z - Blas = .1 cm/sec	-22128	0.0		-2.01	0.0	102
x - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	- 112.	0.0	= 441.	•200	0.0	- •193
z - Scale F:ctor = 100 PPM	- 173.	0.0	244.	164	0.0	•459
x - Non Linearity = $10^{-5}/g$	21.4	0.0	34.4	2.47/-2	0.0	7 . 19/ - 2
$z - Non Linearity = 10^{-5}/g$	- 3.93	0.0	5.29	-5.90/-3	0.0	1.19/-2

FIGURE 13 PGNCS POWERED FLIGHT PARTIALS (10 K ABORT)

ERROR BOURCED:						
INTTIAL MISALIGNMENT	<u>x(ft)</u>	y(ft)	<u>z(ft)</u>	x(ft/sec)	y(ft/sec)	z(ft/sec)
ψ_{x} = larc sec	0.0	-1.75	0.0	0.0	-5.39/-3	0.0
$\phi_y = 1 \text{ arc sec}$	2.49	0.0	-4.37	9 . 62 /- 3	0.0	-2.60/-2
	0.0	-4.57	0.0	0.0	-2. 57/-2	0.0
GYRO ERRORS						
DRIFT						
E _x = 1 meru	0.0	-2.62	0.0	0.0	-7.99/-2	0.0
E _y = 1 meru	37.9	0.0	-69.2	.152	0.0	440
E _z = 1 meru	0.0	-72.3	0.0	0.0	436	0.0
MASS UNBALANCE						
x-Spin = 1 meru/g	0.0	827	0.0	0.0	2 . 03 /- 3	0.0
y-Input= 1 meru/g	724	0.0	1,61	-3.48/2 3	0.0	1.22/-2
z-Spin = 1 meru/g	0.0	-1.67	0.0	0.0	- 1.22/-2	0.0
CROSS AXIS SENSITIVITY						
x to $z = 1$ arc sec	-1.73	0.0	290	-5.31/-3	0.0	-9.46/-4
y to $x = 1$ arc sec	0.0	4.57	0.0	0.0	2 .57/- 2	0.0
y to $z = 1$ arc sec	0.0	-1.75	0.0	0.0	-5.39/-3	0.0
z to $x = 1$ arc sec	7.61/-	1 0.0	-4.66	4131 /- 3	• 0.0	-2.69/-2
ACCELEROMETER ERRORS						
$x - Bias = .1 cm/sec^2$	-259.	0.0	-43.5	-1.27	0.0	219
$y - Bias = .1 cm/sec^2$	0.0	-263.	0.0	0.0	-1.289	0.0
$z - Bias = .1 cm/sec^2$	-43.9	0.0	269.	217	0.0	1,37
x - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	93.0	0.0	15.6	•524	0.0	8.99/-2
z - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	-6.08	0.0	37.3	-1.93/-2	0.0	.125
x - Non Linearity = $10^{-5}/g$	- 3.53	0.0	591	-2.31/-2	0.0	-3.93/-3
z - Non Linearity = $10^{-5}/g$	100	0.0	.615	-3.54/-4	0.0	2 . 29 /- 3

FIGURE 14 PGNCS POWERED FLIGHT PARTIALS (NOMINAL ASCENT)

ERROR BOURCES:						
Y THE CONTRACTOR	x(ft)	<u>y(ft)</u>	<u>z(ft)</u>	i(ft/sec)	<u>ý(ft/sec)</u>	<u>ż(ft/sec</u>)
$\vec{r}_{\mathbf{x}} = 100$ are set	0	-4.40/-1	0	0	-5.96/-3	υ
$\phi_{y} = 100 \text{ arc sec}$	4.22/-1	0	7.15	5 .89/-3	0	2 . 81/ - 2
$\phi_z = 100 \text{ arc sec}$	0	-6.76	0	0	-2.49/-2	0
CYNO ERRORS						
DRIFT						
E _x = 1 meru	0	3.26/1	0	0	1.95/-1	0
E _y = 1 meru	9.42	0	1.14/2	1.17/-1	0	4.73/-1
E = 1 meru	0	1.04/2	0	0	3.96/-1	0
CYRO SCALE FACTOR						
$T_{x} = 100 PPM$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$T_y = 100 PPM$	1.01/1	0	4.48/1	1.10/-1	0	.2.50/-1
$T_z = 100 PPM$	0	0	0	0	0	0
MASS UNBALANCE						
x-Spin = 1 meru/g	0	4.51 /- 2	0	0	3.71/-4	0
x-Anisoelasticity = 1 meru/g^2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CROSS AXIS SENSITIVITY						
x to $y = 1$ arc sec	0	5.21/-1	0	0	4.31/-3	0
x to $z = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
y to $x = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
y to $z = 1$ arc sec	θ	0	0	0	0	0
z to $x = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	.0	0
z to y = l arc sec	0	2.12	0	0	1.20/-2	0
ACCELEROMETER ERRORS						
$x - Bias = 10^{-7} g$.	-3.79/2	0	2.20/1	-1.40	0	3.69/-1
$y - Bias = 10^{-5}$.g	0	3.91/2	0	0	1.54	0
z - Bias = 10 ⁻⁵ g	-2.15/1	0	-4.01/2	-3.65/-1	0	-1.58
x - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	-1.39/2	0	8.93	-5.14/-1	0	1.23/ - 1
x - Nonlinearity = $10^{-5}/g$	-5.15	0	3.79/-1	-1.92/-2	0	4.36/-3
x to y Cross Axis = 1 arc sec	0	6.95	0	о	2.70/-2	0
x to z Cross Axis = 1 arc sec	-4.22/-1	0	-7.15	-5.89/-3	0	-2.81/-2

.

FIGURE 15 AGS POWERED FLIGHT PARTIALS (NOMINAL DESCENT)

•

INITIAL MUSALIGNMENT	<u>x(ft)</u>	<u>y(ft)</u>	_2(ft)	x(ft/sec)	<u>y(ft/3ec)</u>	z(ft/sec)
$\beta_{\rm x}$ = 100 arc sec	0	-9.52/-1	0	0	-4.35/-3	0
$\phi_y = 100 \text{ arc sec}$	2.26	0	5.30	5.25/-3	0	2.69/-3
$\phi_z = 100 \text{ arc sec}$	0	-5.04	0	0	1.01/-4	0
GYRO ERRORS						
DRIFT						
E _x = 1 meru	0	3.71/1	0	0	9 . 51/ - 2	0
E = 1 meru	3.74/1	0	7.33/1	7.80/-2	0	-3.24/-2
E _z = 1 meru	0	7.13/1	0	о	-1.60/-2	0
GYRO SCALE FACTOR						
$T_{x} = 100 PPM$	0	0	0	0	0	0
T _v = 100 PPM	3.13/1	0	-9.10/1	-2.74/-2	0	-9.69/-1
$T_z = 1.00 PPM$. o	0	0	0	0	0
MASS UNBALANCE						
x-Spin = 1 meru/g	0	9 .11/- 2	0	0	4.55/-4	0 ·
x-Anisoelasticity = 1 meru/g^2	0	1.05/-3	0	0	5.99/-6	0
CROSS AXIS SENSITIVITY						
x to $y = 1$ arc sec	0	4.67	0	0	3.42/-2	0
x to $z = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
y to $x = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	o
y to $z = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
z to x = l arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
z to $y = 1$ arc sec	0	2.19	0	0	4.18/-3	0
ACCELEROMETER ERRORS						
$x - Bias = 10^{-5} g$.	-2,72/2	0	1.15/2	-1.63/-2	0	2.44/-1
$y = Bias = 10^{-5}, g$	0	5.21/2	0	0	1.76	0
$z - Bias = 10^{-5} g$	- 1.24/2	0	-3.08	-3.04/-1	0	-2.36/-1
x - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	-9.63/1	0	4.36/1	2.37/ - 2	0	8.77/-2
x - Nonlinearity = $10^{-5}/g$	-3.40	0	1.65	2 .1 4/-3	0	3.11/-3
x to y Cross Axis = 1 arc sec	0	9.32	0	0	3.30/-2	0
x to z Cross Axis = 1 arc sec	-2.26	0	-5.30	-5.25/-3	0	-2.69/-3

FIGURE 16 AGS POWERED FLIGHT PARTIALS (25 K ABORT)

					Manha and an and a	2(+0/000)
$\beta_{\rm c}$ = 100 are sec	0	-2.27	0	о	-7.16/-3	.0
$\phi_{\rm v} = 100 {\rm arc \ sec}$	4.48	0	8.77	8.95/-3	0	4.71/-3
$\phi_z = 100 \text{ arc sec}$	0	-7.95	0	0	1.43/-3	0
GYRO ERRORS						
DRIFT						
$E_x = 1 meru$	0	7.46	0	0	1.61/01	0
E = 1 meru	7.73/1	0	1.22/2	1.39/ - 1	0	-4.91/-2
E = 1 meru z	0	1.14/2	0	0	-4,19/-2	0
GYRO SCALE FACTOR					·	
$T_{x} = 100 PPM$	0	Θ.	θ	0	Ο.	0
$T_{y} = 100 PPM$	6,46/1	0	-1.34/2	-2.48/-3	0	-1.25
$T_z = 100 PPM$	0	о	0	. 0	0	0
MASS UNBALANCE						
x-Spin = 1 meru/g	0	2.14/-1	0	0	8.88/-4	Ο.
x-Anisoelasticity = 1 meru/g^2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CROSS AXIS SENSITIVITY						
x to $y = 1$ arc sec	0	7.80	0	0	4.53/-2	0
x to $z = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
y to $x = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
y to $z = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
z to $x = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
z to y = 1 arc sec	0	4,19	0	0	6 . 57/-3`	0
ACCELEROMETER ERRORS						
$x - Bias = 10^{-5} g$,	-4.07/2	о	2.15/2	5.31/-2	0	3.85/-1
$y - Bias = 10^{-5}, g$	0	8.07/2	0	0	2.14	0
$z - Bias = 10^{-5} g$	-2.36/2	0	-4.99/2	-4.99/-1	0	-3.90/-1
x - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	-1.48/2	0	8.51/1	6.67/-2	0	1.46/-1
x - Nonlinearity = $10^{-5}/g$	-5.35	0	3.38	4.69/-3	0	5.43/-3
x to y Cross Axis = 1 arc sec	0	1.49/1	0	0	4.21/-2	0
x to z Cross Axis = 1 arc sec	-4.48	0	-8.77	-8.95/-3	0	-4,71/-3

FIGURE 17 AGS POWERED FLIGHT PARTIALS (10 K ABORT)

ERROR SOURCES:						
INITIAL MISALIGNMENT	y(ft)	y (ft)	<u>s(ft)</u>	x(ft/sec)	y(ft/sec)	z(ft/sec)
$\phi_x = 100 \text{ arc sec}$	0	1,80	0	0	5.69/-3	0
$\phi_y = 100 \text{ arc sec}$	-1.79	0	-4.71	-5.54/-3	.0	-2.72/-2
$\phi_z = 100 \text{ arc sec}$	0	-4.56	0	0	-2.57/-2	0
		• .				
GYRO ERRORS						
DRIFT						
E _x = 1 meru	0	-1.70/1	0	0	-1.59/-1	0
E = 1 meru	-2.68/1	۰.	-7.41/1	-8.24/-2	0	-4.56/-1
E _z = 1 meru	0	-7.50/1	0	0	-4.14/-1	0
GYRO SCALE FACTOR						
$T_x = 100 PFM$	0	ο.	0	0	0	0
$T_{y} = 100 PPM$	-4.95	0	-2.27	-1.26/-2	0	-2.16/-1
$T_z = 100 PPM$. 0	0	0	0	0	0
MASS UNBALANCE						
x-Spin = 1 meru/g	0	0	0	0	0	0
x-Anisoelasticity = 1 meru/g^2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CROSS AXIS SENSITIVITY						
x to $y = 1$ arc sec	0	-2.12/-1	0	0	-2.72/-3	0.
x to $z = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
y to $x = 1$ arc sec	0	0	0	0	0	0
y to $z = 1$ arc sec	0	0	Q	0	Ö	0
z to $x = 1$ arc sec	о	0	0	0	0	0
z to $y = 1$ arc sec	0	-1.10	0	0	-9.89/-3	0
$x - Bias = 10^{-5} g$.	-2.34/2	0	1.04/2	-1.19	0	3.63/-1
y - Bias = 10^{-5} .g	0	-2.57/2	0	0	-1.26	0
$z - Bias = 10^{-5} g$	9.8 /1	0	2,42/2	3.05/-1	0	1.27
x - Scale Factor = 100 PPM	-9.4 /1	ο	3.90/1	-5.32/-1	0	1.36/-1
						-

x - Nonlinearity = $10^{-5}/g$ -3.8501.47-2.44/-205.03/-3' x to y Cross Axis = 1 arc sec0-4.9900-2.72/-20x to z Cross Axis = 1 arc sec1.7904.715.5 /-302.72/-2

•

FIGURE 18 AGS POWERED FLIGHT PARTIALS (NOMINAL ASCENT)

6211394	23268	-2447789	-305	52	-6839
	2049248	- 35779	26	7321	- 30
		3430849	1568	- 32	11.451 `
			3	0	7
(SYMMETRIC)				29	0
					43

A. PGNCS

7038398	228359	-2171995	3194	56	-6251
	6420632	- 35483	26	25726	- 29
		8157123	5892	- 32	32109
			20	0	24
(SYMMETRIC)				108	0
					134

.

B. AGS

FIGURE 19 LM FINAL COVARIANCE MATRICES (NOMINAL DESCENT)

6974139	40370	- 2769528	156	57	- 8353
	1828700	- 39941	27	518	44
		3484641	1 11 6	- 28	520 8`
			2	0	1
(SYMMETRIC)				3	0
					13
	A. PGNCS				
7380860	36349	-2340524	127	58	-8679
	4800286	- 37437	28	3898	- 38
		5857795	3653	- 27	493
			4	0	l
(SYMMETRIC)				17	0
					13

B. AGS

.

FIGURE 20 LM FINAL COVARIANCE MATRICES (25 K ABORT)

			-	/0
4147226	- 51315	24	855	- 69
	81619.7	3562	-32	10548.
		4	0	4
(SYMMETRIC)			5	0
				19
A. PGNCS				
49977	-2 471448	504	54	-10736
12788644	- 47773	25	8576	- 61
	16037641	11600	-31	11363
		ш	0	4
(SYMETRIC)			34	0
				21
B. AGS				
	4147226 CC) A. PGNCS 49977 12788644 RIC) B. AGS	4147226 - 51315 81619.7 CO) A. PGNCS 49977 -2471448 12788644 - 47773 16037641 RIC) B. AGS	4147226 - 51315 24 81619.7 3562 4 10 10 10 12788644 - 47773 25 16037641 11600 11 RIC) B. AGS	4147226 - 51315 24 855 81619.7 3562 -32 4 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1

FIGURE 21 LM FINAL COVARIANCE MATRICES (10 K ABORT)

560023 3	0	-383365	-815	0	-3313
	3063747	0	c	4764	0
		6040307	-2416	0	8398
			6	0	- 11
(SYMMETRIC)				31	0
					32
	A. PGNCS				
6688517	0	-1281533	4682	0	-9505
	5621477	о	0	19249	0
		8204857	-5441	0	21781
			35	0	- 3
(SYMMETRIC)				114	0
					1 1 6

B. AGS

FIGURE 22 LM FINAL COVARIANCE MATRICES (NOMINAL ASCENT)