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This report presents the results of the Phase "B" Preliminary Definition Study (Contract NAS 9-4983) of the Lunar Excursion Module (LEM) and its modifications and additions, as necessary, for use in the Apollo Extension Systems (AES). This use includes a Laboratory for Earth and lunar orbital missions, and a Shelter, a Taxi and a Truck for extended-stay lunar surface missions. The overall objective of this study was to conduct sufficient analyses to provide a basis for selection by NASA of a single concept for each mission for final definition and development.

The study results are distributed in the volumes listed below in the following manner: Volume I contains a summary of the Preliminary Project Development Plan (PDP) with emphasis on estimates of the program costs and schedules. This volume was submitted on 30 October 1965, one month in advance of the remaining final documentation. Volume II is a brief summary of the overall study. Volumes III through XVI contain the design analyses, preliminary specifications, and operations analyses for each of the AES/LEM vehicle types. Volumes XVII through XXVI contain preliminary project planning data in the areas of management, manufacturing, development testing, and support.
It was necessary to base the preliminary project planning data, including estimatêd costs, on a single configuration for each of the AES/LEM vehicle types. Since these PDP data were required by the end of October, the configurations had to be selected at the mid-point of the study, before the configuration studies had been completed. These configurations have been called "baseline" configurations. The continuing design analyses in the second half of the study herie, resulted in recommended changes to the rbaseline configurations. Volumes III through VI describe the "recommended" configurations, the baseline configurations, and some additional alternates which were studied. It is anticipated that NASA will make a selection from these configurations, and that these selections will then be the new baseline configurations for the next phase of AES definition studies.

The scope of this study included integration of the experimental payloads with the Shelter and Taxi, but did not include study of the inte-
gration on individual LEM Laboratory flights. At approximately the mid-point of the study, an addendum was written with the objective of providing support to the NASA Mission Planning Task Force for study of the Phase I Laboratory flights. The schedule for the addendum calls for completion of these mission planning studies in January, 1966 Therefore, the addendum efforts are not described in this report.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This volume presents the results of the Taxi design analysis. The Taxi is a modified LEM which has the capability to land a crew of two on the lunar surface from a lunar orbit, survive the lunar environment for up to 14 days while the crewmen are supported by the LEM Shelter, and then allow the crew to ascend to lunar orbit and rendezvous with a Command and Service Module. The preliminary design data which are provided are intended to permit NASA to select a Taxi configuration.

The following vehicle-level ground rules were observed during this study. Additional subsystem-level ground rules and assumptions are included in this report where applicable.

- Minimum spacecraft modifications
- Maximum utilization of Apollo hardware
- Utilization of other qualified spacecraft hardware (Mercury, Gemini)
- Maximum utilization of and coordination with existing development studies
- Minimum modifications to Ground Support Equipment (GSE), Automatic Checkout Equipment (ACE), and Manned Spaceflight Network (MSFN)
- Where possible, qualification of modifications without flight testing
- Modifications and development to be compatible with spacecraft launch vehicle availability and launch schedules as defined in AES Reference Flight Schedule ML 65-1
- Mission time of up to 14 days lunar surface manned operations
- Consistency in design requirements and commonality of design approaches for the selected configurations for the Lab, Shelter and Taxi.

Because of the short performance period of this contract, it was necessary to select a single configuration at the mid-study point to form the basis for the preliminary Project Definition Plan (PDP). This configuration was described in the mid-study report and was called the "baseline" configuration. In the latter half of the study, this baseline configuration was modified as a result of NASA direction and/or continuing vehicle design studies. For this report, this more current configuration is called the "recommended" configuration.

The technical discussions in this volume are based upon the recommended configuration; however, any differences between the two configurations are described with appropriate explanations in the individual subsystem discussions. Also included, where applicable, are discussions of the "alternate" configurations considered and the potential modifications per flight.

## 2. CONFIGURATION SUMMARY

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

This section summarizes the recommended and baseline Taxi configurations, as well as the subsystem alternates which were studied during the contract period. The definition of these configurations is based on the following:

- NASA/MSC ground rules as defined in the Work Statement and Blue Book
- Analysis of NASA/MSFC mission descrıptions
- Results of Phase A studies, Contract NAS 9-3681
- Subsystem and system studies
- Vehicle design and integration analyses.

The vehicle level ground rules that were observed during the study are defined in Section l. The mission analysis and supporting studies are described in detail in Sections 3 through 6.

### 2.2 ASSUMPTIONS

Gross vehicle design assumptions used during the study are summarized by subsystem in Table 2.2-1. These assumptions are based upon the established ground rules and the following mission related requirements:

- Operate in conjunction with the Shelter
- Perform a day or night mission


### 2.3 RECOMMENDED CONFIGURATION

The recommended Taxi (Fig. 6.1-7) is a LEM with modifications needed to meet the extended staytime on the lunar surface. The specific subsystems requiring modification are environmental control, electrical power, and the structural changes associated with these modifications. Minor modifications have also been made to the micrometeoroid shielding, crew provisions and communications. All modifications, or changes, with reference to the present LEM configuration are listed in Table 2.3-1, and are described in detail in Sections 5 and 6. Summary descriptions are presented in the following paragraphs. A Level l functional block diagram of the recommended Taxi subsystem interfaces is shown in Fig. 2.3-1.

### 2.3.1 Environmental Control

During the 14 -day quiescent storage period, the temperature variations of the various components and subsystems within the vehicle will be controlled by the heat transport section, using both passive and active techniques capable of meeting the demands of either a day or night mission. The allowable ascent stage structural temperature limits, imposed by propellant considerations, are +40 to $+90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The internal structure is thermally coupled to the propellant tanks and the life support expendables to help stabilize the interior temperatures. The ascent stage is thermally decoupled from the environment by insulation and the use of exterior surfaces having the proper radiation properties.

Passive thermal control of the Taxi is the same as LEM with the addition of a blanket of insulation to cover the top docking tunnel and insulated reflectors applied to the interior of the windows. These will be positioned by the crew prior to the quiescent storage.

The active thermal control system consists of the LEM water boiler, plus additional water for day missions, and a RTG waste heat transfer system for night missions. The heat pipe, required for transferring the RTG waste heat to the cabin, is a part of the Taxi recommended configuration. The RTG, however, is carried on the Shelter for use during the 3-month storage period, and later is transported to the Taxi by the crew and connected into the heat pipe system.

### 2.3.2 Electrical Power

The night mission represents the critical requirement for the Taxi. The recommended design approach is to use three modified descent batteries each having a l2-kw-hr capacity and high rate capability, and a 50-w RTG for the quiescent lunar stay phase. Two ascent batteries are used for the ascent phase of the mission as in the present LEM configuration.

### 2.4 BASELINE CONFIGURATION

The baseline configuration is summarized in Table 2.3-1 and listed as changes to the LEM configuration. It is essentially identical to the recommended configuration.

### 2.5 ALTERNATE CONFIGRATIONS

In arriving at the recomended Taxi configuration, alternate methods of achieving the mission requirements were studied. The candidate systems studied are summarized by subsystem in Table 2.5-1.

### 2.5.1 Electrical Power

As a means to reduce weight, zinc-oxygen (ZOX) batteries were considered as an alternate to the silver-zinc batteries of the recommended configuration. The ZOX batteries have the capability of delivering $50 \%$ higher energy per unit weight than the best silver-zinc batteries. By use of this new type of battery, a potential weight savings of 160 lb may be acheived. Although the ZOX batteries are in the early state of development, it appears that the Taxi schedule would be compatible with the time required for their development and qualification. Thus, it is felt that their use should be further investigated during the Phase C effort, when a decision could be made on whether to develop them as a significant weight saving alternate.

### 2.5.2 Environmental Control

As an alternate to the system of transferring the RTG waste heat to the cabin by an intermediate fluid heat transfer loop (heat pipe), a direct radiation system was studied. With this system, the RTG is mounted on top of the descent stage in an insulated enclosure, one side of which is the ascent stage pressure vessel. The amount of heat transferred to the cabin is controlled by a hinged panel.

The RTG heat pipe system of transferring waste heat to the cabin was recommended, because of the physical consideration involved in transferring the RTG from the Shelter to the Taxi. With the direct radiation system, the RIG must be installed at the top of the descent stage and will require an elaborate lifting mechanism. The heat pipe system requires the astronaut, standing on the lunar surface, to install the RTG into a side bay on the descent stage.

### 2.5.3 Guidance, Navigation and Control

The recomended method of initiating status transmissions during storage is with a time sequencer. Two alternates are suggested: use of LGC standard frequency output to time the transmissions, or use of an Earth uplink. The time sequencer was selected because of simplicity, light weight, low-power consumption, and no dependence upon any system other than power.

### 2.5.4 Reaction Control System

The RCS performance and propellant requirements for the Taxi are essentially the same as the present LEM. However, because of the extended quiescent stay period, the electrical energy required to maintain the cluster temperature by the present electrical heaters is excessive. The recommended configuration is to allow the thrusters to cool down; then during the pre-launch lunar checkout, large electrical heaters are turned on to thaw the propellant feed-lines and bring the thrusters up to operating temperature. This requires the addition of larger heaters as indicated in Table 2.3-1.

As an alternate to this system, cluster covers were investigated as a possible approach to maintaining the thruster temperature by reducing the amount of heat lost by radiation. These were not selected, because of the inaccessibility of the clusters for manual emplacement and the complexity of automatic devices. In addition, the weight of the covers is high when compared to the additional battery weight required for the recommended cluster heaters.

### 2.5.5 Communications

The recommended communication configuration includes a modification to change the operating frequency of the Taxi S-band transceiver, power amplifier, and diplexer. This is required to prevent interference between the Earth, L'axi, CSM, and Shelter. As an alternate to this concept, two approaches have been considered:

- Time sharing transmission
- Right and left circular polarization of Taxi and Shelter antennas.

The modification to change the operating frequency of the Taxi was recommended, because this allows for the most versatile operation of each spacecraft communication system and assures no mutual interference of transmission to the Earth.

### 2.6 POTENTIAL MODIFICATIONS PER FLIGHT

Two potential per-flight modifications exist which are dependent upon the time phasing of the mission; lunar day or night. For an all-day mission, it is possible
Table 2.2-1

TAXI SUBSYSTEM ASSUMPTIONS

| Subsystem | Assumptions |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 Structure | - IEM hardware components to be retained in their original locations. <br> - No change to LEM adapter (SLA). <br> - IEM/STAA pick-up structure to be preserved. |
| $2.0 / 3.0$ <br> Stabilization \& Control/Navigation \& Guidance | - Taxi will be able to land within l,000 ft of Shelter. <br> - IMU must be maintained at $135 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ during quiescent phase. <br> - ASA must be maintained between $105 \& 120^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ during quiescent phase. <br> - Status data transmissions controlled by a time sequencer. |
| 4.0 Crew Provisions | - Food required for three meals, 5.21 lb , from separation to rendezvous, based on 3,000 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{man} /$ day. <br> - No food consumed on lunar surface. <br> - Soft suits, liquid-cooled garments, and backpacks for each astronaut worn during lunar landing are used to support crew until they enter Shelter; and, where applicable, during Shelter mission. |
| 5.0 Environmental Control | - RTG waste heat transferred to Taxi cabin during night quiescent phase. <br> - Window and hatch covers required to reduce heat leak. <br> - Exterior surface will have a coating with radiation properties of 0.20 absorptance and 0.25 emittance. <br> - Life support Taxi provides 43 man-hr (incl. 9-hr orbital contingency \& approx. 4 hr of EVA). |
| 6.0 Landing Gear | - Landing velocity envelope identical to LEM. |
| 7.0 Instrumentation | - Status data are periodically transmitted to Earth on S-Band; abort warning relayed to Shelter. <br> - Taxi checkout in lunar orbit \& post-landing will be similar to LEMM. |
| 8.0 Electrical Power | - Shelter 50-watt RTG is available for use on Taxi during the $330-\mathrm{hr}$ quiescent period. |
| 9.0 Propulsion | - Descent stage propellant tanks are to be depressurized after landing. <br> - Descent trajectory identical to LEM. |
| 10.0 Reaction Control | - RCS requirements same as LEM except for extended stay time storage. <br> - Propellant lines can be allowed to freeze during quiescent storage. |

to off-load one descent stage battery because of the reduced energy requirements. However, this is not recomended, because of reliability considerations. For other mission times, approaching the all-night mission, the water quantity which has been sized for the most severe mission (all-day) can be off-loaded. The payload capability made available by this off-loading could be used for items such as film for the Shelter scientific missions.

Table 2.2-1 (cont.)

| Subsystem | Assumptions |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11.0 Communications | - Transmit vehicle status data only (no experiment <br> information). |
|  | No TV requirement. <br> - Taxi landing site within 1,000 ft of Shelter; therefore, <br> EVA communications will use in-flight VHF antenna. |
|  |  |
| Displays | - Pre-separation, post-landing \& prelaunch checkout are |
| assumed not to require any additional controls \& displays. |  |

Table 2.3-1
TAXI RECOMMENDED/BASELINE CONFIGURATIONS

| Vehacle | Recommended |  |  | PDP Baseline Configuration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Removed | Modified | Added | Removed | Modified | Added |
| 1.0 Structure |  | oIncrease Micrometeorate Shielding oWater Tank Mounting Supports (conductivity change) | oWindow Covers oTop Hatch Cover oBattery Supports |  | oIncrease Micro- <br> meteorite <br> Shielding <br> oWater Tank <br> Mounting Supports (conductivity change) | oWindow Covers oTop Hatch Cover oBettery Supports |
| 2.0 Stab Cont |  |  | oTime Programmer for Status Data Transmission |  |  | oTime Programmer for Status Data transmission |
| 30 Nav Guid |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0 Crew Prov | OTWO PLSS Batteries 04 1b Food oThree PLSS LiOH Cartridges |  |  | oPLSS Calibration <br> Unit <br> oTwo PLSS Batteries 04 lb Food oThree PLSS LiOH Cartridges |  |  |
| 50 Environ Cont | oOne LiOH Cartridge 024 lb O 2 from Descent Tank |  | oWater Added for Day <br> Mission <br> oHeat Pipe for use with <br> RTG <br> oBattery Cooling/IMU- <br> ASA Bypass. <br> oWater tank heaters | oOne LiOH Cartridge $024 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ from Descent Tank |  | 020 Ib Water Added for Day Mission oHeat Pipe for Use with RTG oBattery Cooling/IMUASA Bypass. oWater tank heaters |
| 6.0 Ldg Gear |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.0 Instrum | OLEM Scientific Equipment |  |  | oData Storage oLEM Scientific Equipment |  |  |
| 8.0 Elec Pwr | oDescent Batteries |  | oProvisions for RTG oThree Modifled Desc Batteries (12.0 kw-hr) | oDescent Batteries oThree Descent Electri cal Control Assys |  | oProvisions for RTG oThree Modified Desc Batteries |
| 9.0 Propulsion |  |  | oThree Vent Valves to Descent Plumbing |  |  | oThree Vent Valves to Descent Plumbing |
| 10.0 Reaction Cont |  |  | 0250 W Heater to each RCS Cluster |  |  | 0250 W Heater to each RCS Cluster |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 2.3-1 (cont。)

| vehicle | Recommended |  |  | PDP Baseline |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change w/re- spect to LEM Subsystem | Removed | Modıfied | Added | Removed | Modified | Added |
| 11.0 Comm | oEVA Antenna oTelevision |  |  | oEVA Antenna oTelevision |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \begin{array}{c} \text { Cont \& } \\ \text { D1sp } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | OOne Battery Display |  | oRTG displays |  | oSlight Modification |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.5-1
TAXI SUBSYSTEM ALTERNATIVES

| Subsystem |  | Candidates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | Structure | - Structural provisions to accommodate alternates. |
| 2.0 | Stab \& Control | - Status transmissions initiated by Time Sequencer vs. LGC time sequencing or Earth up-link. |
| 3.0 | Nav \& Guid |  |
| 4.0 | Crew Prov |  |
| 5.0 | Environ Cont | - Transfer RTG excess heat to cabin via heat pipe vs. direct radiation. |
| 6.0 | Ldg Gear |  |
| 7.0 | Instrum |  |
| 8.0 | Elec Pwr | - Modified LEM descent batteries \& RTG vs. $\mathrm{ZnO}_{2}$ batteries \& RTG. <br> - Transfer RTG from Shelter vs. carry own RTG. |
| 9.0 | Propul |  |
| 10.0 | Reaction Cont | - Thermal control of RCS clusters with 250watt heaters vs. adding thermal cluster covers. |
| 11.0 | Comm | - Non-interference of transmission by changing frequency of $S$-band equipt vs. right \& left circular polarization of S-band antennas on Taxi \& Shelter. |
| 12.0 | Cont \& Disp |  |




$$
2.3-12^{\mathrm{A}}
$$
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Fig. 2. 3-1 Taxi Level I Functional Block

## 3. MISSION ANALYSIS

### 3.1 GROUND RULES

The following NASA ground rules are unique to the mission analysis:

- Characteristics of the Shelter and Taxi missions shall be assembled and summarized in coordination with NASA/Marshall Space Center
- NASA will define the experiments which shall be integrated with the Shelter/ Taxi
- Taxi return payload design goal is 250 lb .
3.2 ASSUMPTIONS AND BACKGROUND DATA

The mission related assumptions used in the Taxi mission analysis are as follows:

- Shelter is landed and stored on the lunar surface for a period of up to 3 months before the Taxi is launched
- Shelter capability to support the lunar mission will be verified by Earth command before the Taxi is committed to a lunar landing
- Taxi will land within 1000 ft of the Shelter, aided by a transponder
- All Extra Vehicular Activity (EVA) is supported by the Apollo Portable Life Support System (PLSS)
- Only one crewman will be permitted on the lunar surface at a time, except for emergency situations
- All EVA will be monitored by the crewman remaining in the spacecraft (Taxi or Shelter)
- All critical Taxi subsystems will be monitored by MSFN during the quiescent storage
- Taxi mission profile from Earth countdown to lunar touchdown is the same as LEM.

The Taxi mission profile is similar to that of the LEM except for the requirement to remain up to 14 days on the lunar surface. During this 14 -day period, the Taxi will be in a quiescent storage mode for approximately 330 hr and in an operational mode for about 6 hr .

The Taxi/Shelter mission can be divided into five phases:

- Shelter prelaunch to lunar touchdown
- Shelter storage
- Taxi prelaunch to lunar touchdown
- Lunar exploration
- Taxi ascent to CSM rendezvous and Taxi jettison.

The Taxi is involved in the last three phases, i.e., starting with Taxi prelaunch up to jettison of the Taxi ascent stage in lunar orbit at the end of the mission. The sequence of events from Taxi prelaunch to lunar touchdown (Table 3.2-1) have been assumed to be the same as the LEM mission. Forty-eight hours prior to Taxi left-off, the Shelter is remotely activated to full operational status. A check
of all subsystems is made to arrive at some specified confidence level that the Shelter is operational before commiting the Taxi to the mission.

The lunar exploration phase is assumed to start after Taxi touchdown. The sequence of events for the Commander and Systems Engineer is shown in Table 3.2-2 for Day 1 of the exploration phase. Following the post-landing checkout and status check, a $20-\min$ rest period is provided during which the crew eats and performs personal hygiene. Preparation for Shelter inspection largely involves the checkout of the suits and PLSS units. The Systems Engineer leaves the Taxi and performs a walkaround inspection and erects the S-band antenna. He then walks to the Shelter in an estimated 20 min . This traverse is monitored visually by the Commander who remains in the repressurized Taxi. Therefore, the preferred landing orientation of the Taxi is with the windows facing the direction of the landed Shelter. After the Systems Engineer arrives at the Shelter, he completes activation of the ECS and connects his suit to the cabin suit circuit assembly. A l.25-hr interval has been allocated for a go/no-go decision by the Systems Engineer who performs the Shelter inspection.

Upon receipt of a go decision, the Commander secures the Taxi for quiescent storage and activates the time sequencing system for status monitoring. After egressing the Taxi, his walk to the Shelter is monitored by the Systems Engineer. The Commander then unloads, activates, and checks out the Local Scientific Survey Module (LSSM) with assistance from the systems Engineer, if needed. The RTG unit, requiring special handling and stowage provisions, is removed from the Shelter descent stage compartment and transported via LSSM to the Taxi, where it is installed. The RTG unit provides heat and electrical power required for the Taxi quiescent storage phase. After re-entering the Taxi to verify satisfactory RTG operation, the Commander drives the LSSM back to the Shelter where preparation is made for commencing the scientific program.

On the 14 th day of the exploration phase (Table 3.2-3), the Systems Engineer drives the LSSM and experiment return payload to the Taxi. After performing a visual walkaround inspection, he enters the Taxi and activates the ECS. The Systems Engineer monitors the Commander's return to the Taxi; they together transfer the return payload to the Taxi for stowage. One hr is allotted for systems activation and l hr for prelaunch checkout. Taxi lift-off occurs at the completion of the 14 th day. The last phase of the mission, Taxi ascent to Taxi jettison (Table 3.2-4), is the same as the LEM.

### 3.3 RECOMMENDED CONFIGURATION MISSION REQUIREMENTS

### 3.3.1 Life Support Requirements

The ECS expendable requirements for the recommended configuration are reduced appreciably from the LEM because of the reduced life support time needed for the two crew men. Table 3.3.1 lists the cabin support time intervals, and Table 3.3-2 lists the portable life support time intervals for the entire mission as computed from the mission timeline. The total man hours of life support is arrived at as follows:

```
Life Support
    (man-hr)
20.8
43.1
```

    Cabin:
    PLSS: 4.3
    Orbital Contingency: 18.0*

### 3.3.2 Repressurization Requirements

Although only one repressurization of the Taxi cabin is essential (this on the l4th day for the return mission), the time interval of 2 hr 28 min between egresses requires a second cabin repressurization of the lst day. This permits a shirtsleeve envaronment for the Commander and reduces his energy expenditures. This reduction is important in view of the activities required of him upon leaving the Taxi. Two repressurizations are therefore recommended at this time.

### 3.4 BASELINE CONFIGURATION MISSION REQUIREMENTS

The mission requirements for the baseline configuration are the same as for the recommended configuration.

### 3.5 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

### 3.5.1 Meteoroid Hazard Evaluation

The meteoroid environment used is that defined by LEM Specification LSP-470-1. The sporadic flux is presented as an average isotropic flux that is constant throughout the regions of space applicable to the AES missions. It is modified only by the planetary shielding factor determined by each mission trajectory. Shower meteoroids are not included.

The secondary meteoroid flux ejected from the lunar surface by the sporadic meteoroids is defined only for vehicles resting on the surface. Vehicles in descent or ascent or in low lunar orbits do not encounter secondary meteoroids.

### 3.5.1.1 Approach

LEM Specification LSP-470-1 presents criteria for defining the meteoroid protection provided by single- and double-skin areas against both primary (sporadic) and secondary meteoroids. It does not contain criteria for handling multi-skinned configurations and double skins with small separation distances. Such situations were conservatively analyzed by omitting the thinnest skins until the configurations fit the LEM specification. The analysis was performed for the recommended Taxi configuration with no meteoroid shielding assumed in addition to that provided by LEM.

* Ref: Contract Technical Specification for LEM System, LSP-470-1A, 7 June 1965.


#### Abstract

Approximations were made to estimate the meteoroid protection provided by the Taxi structure that is composed of spherical and cylindrical shapes enclosed by flat surfaces. Since the penetration mechanics are very sensitive to the distance between the bumper skins, the critical areas exposed to meteoroids by spherical and cylindrical tanks were divided into two sections: the cap area which is an area of the tank closest to the bumper; and the doughnut area which is a section of the tank area adjoining the cap (Fig. 3.5-1). The distance from the bumper to the point of closest approach in each area was used to ensure conservative calculations. The critical areas were also sized by their cone of exposure to the isotropic flux. A very conservative 2 Pi solid angle was applied in most cases. A nomınal critical area was added to include the effect of such miscellaneous items as black boxes, wiring, and tubing. Though the ascent and descent stages were utilized for reciprocal shielding, the SM was not included in the calculations because of the long durations in the mission profile where the Taxi and CSM are separated.


### 3.5.1.2 Computer Program

The Taxi basic design was described in terms of critical areas, exposure periods, skin thicknesses, and skin separation distances, and the information fed into a computer program which contained the LEM protection criteria. When a particular combination of skin thickness and separation distance for a specified critical area resulted in a bumper skin too thin to melt the meteoroid, the size of the meteoroid was reduced to the melting point and the probability of such a particle impacting during the mission was determined.

The program evaluated both an existing vehicle structure for the probability that it will not be damaged by meteoroids during its mission, and determines those locations where shielding would be most profitably applied.

### 3.5.1.3 Micrometeoroid Hazard Results

The Taxi configuration was evaluated for a 14-day stay on the lunar surface. The results are listed below:

|  | Primaries | Secondaries | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew Safety | 0.999388 | 0.995512 | 0.994900 |
| Mission Success | 0.999327 | 0.994620 | 0.993947 |

Crew safety was defined as the probability that no fatality would occur among the crew, and mission success was defined as the probability that the mission will not be aborted by a micrometeoroid penetration. Events that are specified as causes of a crew fatality are:

- Puncture of a manned, pressurized cabin
- Penetration of more than $25 \%$ of a high-pressure tank wall thickness.

It was assumed that the descent stage propellant tanks would be vented after the landing is accomplished, and therefore they would not constitute an explosion hazard. The cabin was considered to be a crew safety item during the half day it is manned.

Events that are specified as cause for aborting the mission are:

- Puncture of the cabin while manned, whether pressurized or not
- Penetration of more than $25 \%$ of a pressure tank wall thickness.

A puncture of the Taxi cabin while the men are in the Shelter will not cause an abort, because the men will not be aware of the penetration. Therefore, the cabin is exposed for only a half day in the mission success considerations. Because the secondaries are the major cause of the low probability of mission success, considerable gains can be obtained for minimum shielding weight. The reason for this is the prescribed lack of any bumper effect for low-velocity particles. As a result, the penetrating capability of a secondary meteorold is mainly dependent upon the thickness of the back-up material.

About $20 \%$ of the probability of penetration by secondaries was contributed by the three water containers, because one-quarter of the tank thicknesses was used. If the full tank thickness can be used for this low-pressure container, the total reliability for mission success will reach 0.995 . If patches of additional shielding are placed on the small cap areas of the RCS fuel and oxidizer tanks, the mission auccess will reach 0.997 . The effects of the water tank thickness change on the primaries will be small, because of the large distance of the descent stage water tank from the bumper skin. By adding additional shielding of the patch type to the cap areas of the ascent stage propulsion tanks, the crew safety reliability could be raised to 0.996 .

### 3.5.2 Radiation Hazard Evaluation

The major radiation hazard for lunar missions comes from the high-intensity solar flare events that are encountered near solar maximum. The statistical model and spectrum used for AES analyses is the Apollo environmental model documented in LPS 470-1A.

The two potential radiation problems that have to be considered are:

- A biological dose to the crew in excess of the allowable emergency skin dose of 500 rad
- Degradation in equipment performance because of prolonged exposure to space radiation.

The Taxi mission was analyzed with respect to the potential radiation hazard. It is presently concluded that there is no requirement for additional radiation protection for the Taxi. It may be necessary to raise the Taxi requirement, if it were decided to thicken the Shelter cabin structure to provide additional radiation protection for the Shelter crew on the lunar surface. The Taxi requirement then changes, because the Shelter crew could now survive more intense solar flares without aborting, thus possibly necessitating additional protection for the Taxi electronic systems. In that event, a detailed analysis of possible equipment degradation in the Taxi during 14 days of lunar storage would have to be performed.

A situation that would result in crew exposure to excessive radiation arises from the following two concurrent events:

- Onset of a large solar flare
- A failure necessitating an abort which causes a significant delay in rendezvous.

Since this is a highly imporbable situation depicting two independent events, it does not impose a design requirement.

### 3.6 FUNCTIONAL FLOW DIAGRAMS

This paragraph presents functional flow diagrams for the Taxi mission (Figs. 3.6-1 through 3.6-22). These diagrams define the operations and functions to be mechanized to achieve the mission objectives. They also serve as a ready reference, identifying all the operations and functions associated with a success oriented flight mission; future work will consider contingencies.

The functional flow diagrams for the Taxi mission have been structured in four levels. The top level has been structured on an overall program basis and calls out the hardware, facilities, ground support, and amnufacturing requirements to fulfill the program activities. The numbering system essentialiy corresponds to the LEM Design Reference Mission phase numbering system. The Taxi portion of the entire AES Program has been numbered from 4.0 through 18.0 in the Top Level functional diagram.

The first-level diagram describes the Taxi mission phases starting with Earth launch and ending with Taxi-CSM docking and subsequent Taxi jettison. These phases are represented in the first-level diagram by the operational functions 4.0 through 4.11. It is to be noted that operational functions 4.0 through 4.11 cover the total lunar landing mission. Since the Taxi is active only during operational functions 4.7, 4.9, 4.10, and 4.11, only these functions are expanded into Second- and third-level diagrams.

For each box identified in the first-level diagrams, second-level diagrams are provided for the necessary operations and functions. For most of the operations and functions listed on the second-level diagrams, third-level diagrams are provided which generally detail the operations and functions required of the Taxi major subsystems to acoomplish the mission objectives. Specific hardware is not called out, but rather vehicle functional requirements such as "Provide Taxi - CSM Telecommunication Functions," "Provide Required Thrust Duration and Levels," etc.

Functions and operations identified on the diagrams at each level are numbered in a manner which preserves the continuity of functions and provides information with respect to function origin throughout the system. Functions which further subdivide these top functions, contain the same parent identifier and are coded at the next decimal level for each indenture. For example, the first subdivision function of function 4.0 is 4.1, the second 4.1.1, the third 4.1.1.1, etc. Each diagram contains a reference block. For example, function 4.7 is shown as a reference block in the case where the functions $4.7 .1,4.7 .2$, and $4.7 . n$, are used to expand function 4.7. Reference blocks are also used to indicate interfacing functions as appropriate.

Each separate function is presented in a single box enclosed by a solid line. Blocks used for reference to other flows are indicated as dotted boxes labeled "Ref."

The level of detail of each function corresponds to the level of functional Diagram on which it appears. Lines connecting functions indicate the order in which the functions must be performed. In indicating the flow with vertical and horizontal lines between blocks, all functions so interrelated are performed in either a parallel or series sequence as indicated.

The functional diagrams are laid out so that the functions are performed from left to right. Primary input lines enter the function. block from the left side; the primary output or "go" line exists from the right. In some cases of special interest, a "no go" line from the bottom of the box is shown.

A circle is used to depict a logic gate. The logic gate is used to indicate the convergence of parallel or alternate functional paths and is annotated with the terms "AND or "OR", respectively. The term "AND" is used to indicate that all parallel functions leading into the gate must be accomplished before proceeding into the next function. The term "OR" is used to indicate that any one of several alternate paths may initiate a succeeding function.

## TAXI PRE-LAUNCH TO LUNAR TD TIMELINE

| Time |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{hr}: \mathrm{min}$ |  |
| -48:00 | Remote Activation of Shelter |
| -10 : 00 | Prelaunch Checkout of Taxi |
| 00 : 00 | Taxi Lift-off SIC Thrusting |
| 00 : 025 | Commence S-II Thrusting |
| $00: 03$ | Jettison Launch Escape System |
| 00 : 09 | Commence S-IVB Thrusting |
| $00: 12$ | Earth Orbit Injection |
| 03 : 01 | Commence Translunar Injection on Second Orbit |
| 03 : 06 | Commence Initial Coast to Transposition and Docking |
| 03 : 21 | Commence Transposition and Docking |
| $03: 48$ | CSM Docked - Begin Coast Through S-IVB Jettison |
| 03 : 51 | Jettison S-IVB - Begin Coast to Lunar Orbit Insertion |
| 05: 6 | Perform First Midcourse Correction |
| 55 : 30 | Perform Second Midcourse Correction |
| 63 : 15 | Perform Third Midcourse Correction |
| 64 : 15 | Commence Lunar Orbit Injection |
| 64 : 21 | Commence Lunar Orbit Coast |
| $65: 49$ | Begin Crew Transfer into Taxi, Commence Preseparation Checkout |
| 68 : 4 | Taxi Separates from CSM |
| 68 : 24 | Commence Transfer Orbit Insertion |
| 68 : 25 | Commence Coast |
| $69: 23$ | Commence Powered Descent |
| 69 : 31 | Commence Hover to Touchdown |
| 69 : 32 | Touchdown |

Table 3.2-2
LUNAR EXPLORATION - DAY I

| Time, hr:min <br> From To | Systems Engineer | Commander |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Taxi Touchdown | Taxi Touchdown |
| 00:00-00:15 | Post Landing Checkout | Status Check |
| 00:15-00:35 | Eat and Hygiene | Eat and Hygiene |
| 00:35-01:15 | Prepare fon Shelter Inspection | Assist Systems Engineer |
| 00:15-01:28 | Egress Taxı | Monitor Systems Engineer |
| 01:28-01:33 | Perform Walk-Around Inspection | Monitor Systems Engineer |
| 01:33-01:53 | Erect \& Align, S-band Dish Antenna | Checkout of S-band Comm. |
| 01:53-02:13 | Walk to Shelter | Monitor Systems Engineer |
| 02:13-03:33 | Checkout and Inspection, Deploy Airlock - Make go/no go decision | Monitor Systems Engineer |
| 03:33-03:43 | Monitor Commander | Secure Taxi for Quiescent Storage; Activate Status Communıcation Link* |
| 03:43-03:56 | Monztor Commander | Egress Taxi |
| 03:56-04:16 | Monitor Commander | Walk to Shelter |
| 04:15-05:16 | Replace PLSS \& Recharge | Unload LSSM, Activate and Checkout |
| 05:16-05:31 | Monitor Commander | Remove RTG and Flace On LSSM |
| 05:31-05:36 | Monitor Commander | Drive LSSM to Taxi |
| 05:36-05:56 | Monitor Commander | Install RTG Unit in Taxi |
| 05:56-06:06 | Monitor Commander | Ingress Taxi \& Switch Power to RTG. Check |
| 05:06-06:16 | Monitor Commander | Egress Taxi |
| 06:16-06:21 | Monitor Commander | Drive LSSM to Shelter |
| 06:21-06:31 | Monitor Commander | Ingress Shelter |
| 06:31-07:01 | Establish Earth Communications | Recharge PLSS |
| 07:01-07:30 | Eat and Hygiene | Eat and Hygiene |
| 07:30-10:00 | General Familiarization Position Fix and Internal Checkout | Same, Photograph Surrounding Terrain from Shelter |
| 10:00-12:00 | Mission Planning for 2nd Day | Mission Planning for and Day |
| 12:00-13:00 | Eat and Hygiene | Eat and Hygiene |
| 13:00-24:00 | Rest | Rest |

*Transmission to MSFN every 2 hr for 7 -min, interval until Taxl activation on the $14^{\text {th }}$ day.

Table 3.2-3
LINAR EXPLORATION - DAY 14

| Time, hr:min | Systems Engineer | Commander |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From To |  |  |
| 0-01:00 | Eat and Hygiene | Eat and Hygiene |
| 01:00-02:00 | Report and Preparation | Report and Preparation |
| 02:00-06:00 | Final Reporting and Sample Preparation | Final Reporting and Sample Preparation |
| 06:00-07:00 | Eat and Hygiene | Eat and Hygiene |
| 07:00-09:00 | Sample Preparation | Sample Preparation |
| 09:00-16:00 | Rest | Rest |
| 16:00-17:00 | Eat and Hygiene | Eat and Hygiene |
| 17:00-17:30 | Monitor Commander | Egress |
| 17:30-19:30 | Final Preparation of Shelter Interior | Final Surface Examination and Equipment Storage |
| 19:30-20:00 | Egress | Ingress |
| 20:00-20:48 | Load Return Payload into LSSM | Monitor Systems Engineer |
| 20:48-20:53 | Return to Taxi | Prepare to Depart Shelter |
| 20:53-20:54 | Walk around Taxi for Visual Inspection |  |
| 20:54-20:58 | Ingress Taxi and Activate ECS | Monitor Systems Engineer |
| 20:58-21:08 | Monitor Commander | Egress Shelter \& Secure |
| 21:08-21:28 | Monitor Commander | Walk to Taxi |
| 21:28-21:57 | Assist Commander | Load Experiment Payload into Taxi and Stow |
| 21:57-22:00 | Monitor Commander | Ingress Taxi |
| 22:00-23:00 | Activate Taxi | Activate Taxi |
| 23:00-24:00 | Prelaunch Checkout | Prelaunch Checkout |
| 24:00 | Ascent Ignition | Ascent Ignition |

Table 3.2-4
TAXI ASCENT TO JEITISON TIMELTNE

| $\frac{\text { Time }}{\text { hr }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $00: 00$ | Taxi Ascent - Thrusting |
| $00: 07$ | Commence Coast |
| $00: 16$ | Perform First Midcourse Correction |
| $00: 30$ | Perform Second Midcourse Correction |
| $00: 40$ | Perform Third Midcourse Correction - Coast to Therminal Rendezvous |
| $00: 54$ | Perform First Rendezvous Thrusting |
| 00 : 58 | Perform Second Rendezvous Thrusting |
| 01: 2 | Perform Third Rendezvous Thrusting |
| 01 : 6 | Commence Manual Docking Maneuver |
| Ol : 17 | Hard Dock with CSM |
| 01 : 27 | Coast |
| Ol : 35 | Begin Transfer of Payload and Crew to CSM |
| 02 : 07 | Jettison Taxi |

Table 3.3-1
CABIN LIFE SUPPORT REQUIREMENTS

| Phase | $\mathrm{hr}: \min$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sys Engr | Cmdr |
| Taxi prelaunch to lunar TD | $03: 41$ | $03: 16$ |
| Lunar exploration (Day 1) | $01: 15$ | $03: 43$ |
| Lunar exploration (Day 14) | $03: 02$ | $02: 00$ |
| Taxi ascent to jettison | $02: 02$ | $01: 37$ |
| Subtotal | $10: 00$ | $10: 46$ |
| Total |  |  |

Table 3.3-2
PORTABLE LIFE SUPPORT REQUIREMENTS

| Lunar <br> Explorat'n <br> Phase | $\mathrm{hr}: \min$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sys Engr | Cmdr |
| Day 1 | $01: 28$ | $02: 48$ |
| Day 14 | $00: 58^{*}$ | $00: 52^{*}$ |
| Subtotal | $01: 28$ | $02: 48$ |
| Total | 4.3 man-hr |  |

*Chargeable to Shelter Requirements


Fig. 3.5-1 Micrometeoriod Hazard - Critical Tank Area


Fig. 3.6-1 Top Level Functional Flow Diagram


Fig. 3.6-2 First Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Basic Lunar Flight Mission Operations


Fig. 3.6-3 Second Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Lunar Orbit Coast\& Separation Operations


Fig. 3.6-4 Second Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Taxi Descent Operations


Fig. 3.6-5 Second Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Lunar Surface Operations


Fig. 3.6-6 Second Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Taxi Ascent Operations


Fig. 3.6-7 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Taxi Checkout


Fig. 3.6-8 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform IMU Coarse Alignment


Fig. 3.6-9 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform IMU Fine Alignment


Fig. 3.6-10 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Taxi/MSFN Telecommunication Functions


Fig. 3.6-11 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Transfer Orbit Insertion


Fig. 3.6-12 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Coast to Initiation of Powered Descent Operations


Fig. 3.6-13 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Powered Descent to Start of Visibility Operations


Fig. 3.6-14 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Start of Visibility to Hover Operations


Fig. 3.6-15 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Taxi Post Landing Checkout


Fig. 3.6-16 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Shelter Inspection Operations


Fig. 3.6-17 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Taxi Reactivation Operations


Fig. 3.6-18 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Taxi Lunar Surface Prelaunch Operation


Fig. 3.6-19 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Powered Ascent Thru Transfer Orbit Insertion


Fig. 3.6-20 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Coast to Terminal Rendezvous Operations


Fig. 3.6-21 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Terminal Rendezvous Operations


Fig. 3.6-22 Third Level Functional Flow Diagram-Perform Docking Operations

## 4. SYSTEM ENGINEERING

### 4.1 THERMODYNAMICS

4.1.1 Ground Rules

There were no NASA ground rules unique to this portion of the study
4.1.2 Assumptions and Background Data

It is assumed that the Taxi thermal control requirements for all mission phases, exclusive of the lunar surface mission, are identical with the requirements of the LFM. Therefore, all differences between the LFM and the Taxi are a direct result of the different lunar surface missions for the two vehicles. The passive thermal design includes the following features:

- External Surface Radiation Properties

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\circ & \text { Ascent Stage: } & \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{S}} / \epsilon \\
0 & =0.20 / 0.25 \\
0 & \text { Descent Stage: } & \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{S}} / \epsilon
\end{array}
$$

- External Surface Insulation Thickness .
- Ascent Stage: 25 layers
- Descent Stage: 25 layers

The active thermal control system is designed to supplement the passive control system to maintain the desired thermal environment within the vehicle. During unmanned portions of the mission, the minimum cabin temperature is $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and the maximum temperature is $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The cabin temperature during manned phases of the mission will be between 70 and $80^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The general objectives of the Taxi thermal control are to provide all vehicle components with a suitable thermal environment for all mission phases with minimum weight penalty and with minimum design changes from the IEM.

The allowable temperature for the various subsystem components are as follows:

| Item | $\frac{\text { Temperature }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)}{\text { Minimum }}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{}$ |  |
| Ascent Propellant | 40 | 90 |
| RCS Propellant | 40 | 100 |
| Ascent Engine (Casing) | -65 | 165 |
| Ascent Engine (Ablative Liner) | To be determined |  |


| Item | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minimum | Maximum |
| RCS Engine (Propellant Valves) | 35 | 175 |
| Battery Surface (Operating) | 40 | 80 |
| Battery Surface (Storage) | -30 | 40 |
| Water Tanks | 40 | 165 |
| Abort Sensor Assembly (Operating) | 115 | 125 |
| Abort Sensor Assembly (Storage) | 105 | 120 |
| Inertial Measuring Unit (Operating) | 135 | 135 |
| Inertial Measuring Unit (Storage) | 130 | 140 |
| Antennas | -65 | 160 |

These allowable temperature limits show that specific thermal control attention must be given to such items as the IMU, ASA, batteries, and descent stage water tanks.

The results of the Phase $A$ analysis concluded that a close thermal coupling between the propellant tanks, ascent stage water tanks, and cabin structure, while keeping the cabin temperature between 40 and $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, was the preferred approach. The major thermal control objective is then reduced to controlling the cabin temperature within these allowable limits with a minimum weight thermal control system.

### 4.1.3 Recommended Configuration

During the 14-day quiescent storage period, the temperature variation of the various components and subsystems within the vehicle are controlled by both passive and active techniques, and are capable of meeting the demands of either a day or night mission. The allowable ascent stage structural temperature limits, imposed by propellant and equipment considerations, are 40 to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The ascent stage structure is well coupled to the propellant tanks and life support expendables to help stabilize the interior temperatures. The ascent stage is thermally decoupled from the environment by insulation and the use of exterior surfaces having the proper radiation properties.

### 4.1.3.1 Passive Thermal Control

Passive thermal control of the Taxi is the same as LEM with the addition of a blanket of insulation to cover the top docking tunnel and insulated reflectors applied to the interior of the windows. These are placed in position by the astronaut prior to the quiescent stay.

Figure $4.1-1$ shows the passive heat loss or gain from the ascent stage cabin during the lunar surface mission. The heat transfer rate is a function of solar angle and is shown for various cabin structural temperatures ranging from 40 to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The Taxi is located on the lunar equator and the adjacent lunar terrain is assumed to be a flat, black body radiator which is in equilibrium with the Sun during the day and at a constant temperature of $-250^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at night.

The heat transfer rate shown in Fig. 4.l-1 is the steady state rate at which heat is passively transferred between the cabin and the lunar environment. An active thermal control system, which adds or removes heat from the interior of the cabin at the same rate as the passive loss or gain, is required to maintain a particular cabin temperature whenever there is a net exchange of heat with the environment. Maintaining the cabin at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at sub-solar ( $0-\mathrm{deg}$ solar angle) requires the removal of $840 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{hr}$ from the cabin. During the night mission phase, heat addition at the rate of $740 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{hr}$ is required to keep the cabin at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

The important heat transfer paths thermally coupling the recommended Taxi configuration with the external environment include: exterior insulated surfaces, top docking tunnel (with its insulated cover deployed), and RCS clusters. Thermal coupling, assumed equivalent to an additional $10 \%$, occurs through the ascent engine, forward hatch, and antenna.

### 4.1.3.2 Actıve Thermal Control

During the lunar surface phase of the Taxi mission, an active heat rejection system is required to maintain the desired vehicle thermal balance. This thermal balance is influenced by:

- Electrical equipment heat dissipation
- Structural heat transfer
- Crew metabolic heat (manned mission phases only).

During a day mission, all loads must be dissipated by the active thermal control system. During a night mission, the negative structural load (heat loss) is partially reduced by the electrical equipment load.

Figure 4.l-1 is used to determine the quantity of boiloff water required for cooling the vehicle during a daylight mission lasting 14 days. The maximum allowable cabin temperature during quiescent storage is $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$; therefore, the positive area between the $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ temperature line and the zero ordinate is proportional to the quantity of water required to dissipate the structural load. This area is calculated by numerical integration to be $150,000 \mathrm{BTU}$, which corresponds to 150 lb of water. The night heating energy required for a 14 -day mission with the minimum cabin temperature at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ is $205,000 \mathrm{BTU}$. This corresponds to an electrical energy expenditure of $60 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$.
4.1.3.2.1 Day Mission Cooling. The active thermal control system for a day mission uses a slightly modified version of the LEM glycol loop and water boiler. The glycol loop modification consists of bypassing the IMU during storage to eliminate the need for simultaneous heating and cooling. Additional water is carried in the descent stage water tanks, because of the greater cooling requirement of the Taxi during its lunar surface mission.

The maximum cabin temperature of $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ is maintained during the day storage massion by circulating glycol through the electronic equipment cold plates. It is assumed that the structural heat leakage into the cabin and the power dissipation from the electrical equipment can be effectively rejected to the water boiler by operating the glycol coolant loop on a $25 \%$ duty cycle.
4.1.3.2.2 Night Mission Heating. Active thermal control of the Taxi cabin during a night storage mission is accomplished using the thermal energy rejected by a RTG as a heat source and using a heat pipe distribution system. The RTG is carried to the lunar surface by the Shelter; an astronaut transfers the RTG from the Shelter to the Taxi. A discussion of the heat pipe distribution system is given in Paragraph 5.2. In this system, heat is transferred by radiation from the RTG to a heat exchanger containing a volatile liquid. The heat vaporizes the liquid which rises in a pipe to a condensing section in the ascent stage. The vapor then condenses on the cold surface giving up its latent heat to the ascent stage and returning by gravity to the descent stage boiler. The cold condensing surface could consist of tubes having good thermal contact with the cabin pressure vessel. This system requires the presence of the lunar gravity field to insure proper circulation of the fluid.

Preliminary calculations have been made on the use of water as the fluid in the heat pipe system. Typical operating pressures and temperatures for the system are: 30 psi and $250^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ throughout the system when there is a demand for heat from the ascent stage, and 300 psi and $600^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in the boiler and descent stage piping when no heat is required. The maximum operating temperature is determined by the surface temperature of the RTG, and the maximum pressure is a function of the total quantity of water and the volume of the system.

A parametric study has been conducted to determine the suitability of maintaining the temperature of the entire ascent stage pressure vessel by heating a relatively small area of the pressure shell. The ability of this small heated surface to then transfer heat to a larger enclosure depends primarily on the temperature of the enclosure and the area and emittance of the small heated surface. Figure 4.1-2 shows the mean radiant temperature of a surface transferring a net rate of 500 , 750 and $1,000 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{hr}$ to a $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ enclosure, as a function of the radiating surface area. The analysis of the ascent stage pressure vessel was performed using a numerical relaxation procedure that accounted for both radiation and two-dimensional conductive effects. The effect of thermal conduction is to increase the effective radiating area, thereby reducing the mean radiant temperature required to transfer a given rate of heat from a fixed area to its enclosing surfaces. The analysis used a conductive coupling based only on the 0.03 in pressure vessel thickness, and neglected any advantage afforded by the conductive coupling of the structural reinforcing members. The actual conduction tends to lower the required mean radiating temperature. The small radiating surface area of the cabin is assumed to have a 0.9 emittance and can be considered as the area to which the condenser tubes of the heat pipe system are attached.

### 4.1.3.3 Special Thermal Control System

Varıous subsystem components cannot be kept within their desired temperature range only by passive means; therefore, special active thermal control systems are required. These components are either located outside the ascent stage insulating blanket, or have limited allowable temperature varlations. A tabulation of the components requiring special active heating systems and their heat or power requirements are presented in Table 4.1-1.
4.1.3.3.1 RCS Cluster Heaters. The RCS cluster assembly must be kept above a minimum temperature during the translunar mission phase to prevent the propellant lines from freezing. Part of this heat is passively transferred from the cabin structure, and the remainder must be supplied by electric heaters at each cluster. The amount of RCS heater energy required for the Taxi night mission is excessive; therefore, the recommended procedure is to allow the propellant lines to freeze during night storage and to supply sufficient electrical power to heaters, during the prelaunch phase, to thaw the frozen propellant lines in approximately l hr . The energy required for thawing the clusters is $1 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$.
4.1.3.3.2 Rendezvous Radar Antenna. The rendezvous radar antenna is located externally on the top of the ascent stage and requires heater power to survive a nıght storage mission. Many electronic components, such as resistors, capacitors, and transistors, have limited temperature ranges. Beyond these limits, their performance may alter significantly and preclude proper system operation. Therefore, heaters are provided by the vendor to keep the components above their survival temperatures. The total heater power needed to maintain allowable temperatures during the night mission storage phase is 25 w , assuming that the antenna is thermally isolated from the cabin structure.
4.1.3.3.3 S-Band Steerable Antenna. The S-band Antenna requires a heater power of 6 w , not in use, to maintain the antenna steering components above their lowtemperature survival limits. Components such as servo-motors, electronic equipment, and gimbal mechanisms must be maintained above $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
4.1.3.3.4 Navigational Equipment. The IMU and the ASA navigational equipment are both located in the navigation bay above the cabin. Although they are not exposed to space, they require precise thermal control ( $\pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) when in use and must be maintained at 130 to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (IMU) and 105 to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (ASA) during the storage period. At present, temperature control of the IMU and ASA (non operative) is achieved in the LEM by utilizing a cooling loop and heaters. This mode of operation requires an excess of heater power, since the proportional heater has to over-drive the cooling loop. The Taxi incorporates a by-pass valve in the cooling loop to bypass the IMU and ASA coolant when the units are not operating. The heater power required by the IMU and ASA to maintain temperature, during the night storage mıssion is 13 and 7 w , respectively.
4.1.3.3.5 Descent Stage Water Tanks. Transient analysis has established that the water in the descent stage tanks will freeze unless adequate protection is provided. Based on the tank support system and the insulation level recommended in the Phase A Report, 5 w of continuous heating is required. It is assumed that waste heat rejected by the RTG heat source can be put to this use.
4.1.3.3.6 Descent Stage Batteries. Special thermal control for the descent stage batteries is required to prevent excess degradation while in storage. The internal inefficiency of the battery is assumed adequate to compensate for the heat loss during a night mission; however, it is necessary to supply glycol coolant to the battery during a day storage mission. It 1 s estimated that 4 lb of boil-off water is required during a day storage mission with the structure at $135^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and the battery at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

### 4.1.4 Baseline Configuration

There are no differences between the Taxi baseline conflguration and the recommended configuration with respect to the thermal control system.

### 4.1.5 Alternate Configurations

The alternate configurations considered for the Taxi thernal control system include both passive and active modifications. In general, passive control modifications will reduce the passive rate of heat transfer between the vehicle and its thermal environment, while active thermal control modifications influence the manner in which heat is added, removed from, or distributed within the vehicle.

### 4.1.5.1 Passive Thermal Control

The alternate passive control devices under consideration include the following:

- Manually deployed insulation covers on the RCS clusters
- Additional 75 layers of insulation on the ascent stage.

A further discussion of these passive design changes is presented in Paragraph 4.1.6.

### 4.1.5.2 Active Thermal Control

The alternate active control system under consideration consists of an RTG waste heat system using direct-radiation heat transfer for cabin heating. In this configuration, the RTG is mounted on top of the descent stage in an insulated enclosure which has one side open to space and another side formed by an exposed portion of the ascent stage pressure vessel. An insulated hinged panel is placed in the enclosure between the RTG and the pressure vessel, and the amount of heat transferred to the cabin is controlled by varying the position of this panel.

The analysis in Paragraph 4.1.3.2 on the use of the pressure vessel for transferring heat from the RTG to the ascent stage cabin using the recommended heat pipe system is also valid for the alternate direct-radiation system. In the performance curves presented in Fig. 4.1-2, the cabin surface area can be considered to be the exposed pressure vessel area viewing the RTG.
4.1.6 Discussion of Configuration Choices

### 4.1.6.1 Passive Design Tradeoff

Studies conducted during Phase A established that quiescent storage during lunar night represented the most severe of all the Taxi missions considered. This results because the electrical equipment power dissipated within the cabin during the storage mission is not enough to replace the passive heat loss from the structure.

The two approaches to solving the night heating problem of the Taxi during storage are to either add insulation to the structure thereby reducing the heat leak, or to have larger power supplies to replace the passive heat loss. Therefore, a basic tradeoff exists between the addition of insulation to the Taxi structure and the addition of thermal energy sources for night mission heating.

The following changes to the insulation level of the LEM are considered for the Taxi:

- Change 1: LEM insulation level plus an automatically deployed insulation cover over the top docking tunnel. Weight estimate of tunnel cover is 10 lb .
- Change 2: Change 1 plus manually deployed insulation covers on the cabin side of the windows. Weight estimate for window covers is 10 lb .
- Change 3: Change 2 plus manually deployed insulation covers for each RCS cluster. Weight estimate of RCS covers is 40 lb .
- Change 4: Change 3 plus the addition of 75 layers of NRC-2 insulation to the existing 25 layers on the ascent stage external skins. Weight estimate of 75 layers of insulation is 87 lb .

Three sources of thermal energy are considered for heating the Taxi during the night mission. These sources and an estimate of their power/weight ratio for the 14 -day storage phase are:

- Batteries: $0.24 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{lb}$
- RTG - electrical energy: $1.0 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{lb}$
- RTG - thermal energy (waste heat): $5.0 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{Ib}$.

It is recognized that the power output of the thermal energy sources does not increase linearly with weight, but rather in stepwise manner; however, for this analysis the linear relation will be used.

Figure 4.1 .3 shows the weight of added insulation and also the total weight of added insulation and power supply for the three power systems considered, as a function of required heater power. This figure shows that using waste heat from the RTG for night mission heating results in the lightest total weight. Moreover, for a particular power supply, the optimum weight of added insulation increases as the weight of the power supply increases.

Since the recommended configuration Taxi uses RIG thermal energy for night heating, a unique optimum insulation level is not determined. This is shown in Fig. 4.l-3 where it can be seen that the total weight of added insulation and power supply is the same for the LEM insulation level as it is for the recommended Taxi insulation levels with added docking tunnel cover and with added window covers.

There are other factors which influence the tradeoff between extra insulation and additional active heat sources, which have not been included in the analysis to date. These are the staging penalties applied to the weight of insulation added to the ascent stage, and the reduction in the weight of boiloff water required for cabin cooling during a day mission resulting from the addition of insulation.

Based on the evaluation to date of the factors influencing the insulation level weight tradeoff, it is recommended that the top tunnel insulation and the window shades be used for the recommended Taxi configuration. As better estimates of the weight of both the insulation covers and the power supply become available, these considerations will be reviewed, and if necessary, changes recommended.

### 4.1.6.2 Active Heating Source Selection

The advantages of the direct radiation RTG system over the heat pipe system are that the former adds no weight to the ascent stage and also requires no gravity field. The disadvantage is primarily a human factors consideration. Since the astronaut must transfer the RTG from the Shelter to the Taxi, placing the RTG on top of the descent stage would probably require the addition of a mechanical lifting system. For the heat pipe system, a lesser effort is required in placing the RTG in a side bay of the descent stage. Based on this consideration, the heat pipe system was selected as the recommended configuration.

### 4.1.6.3 Transient Analysis

The estimated heating and cooling loads for the ascent stage given in Paragraph 4.1.3 are based on steady state conditions which are valid only if the vehicle has no appreciable heat capacity, and only if the entire vehicle structure located within the insulation blanket is at a uniform temperature. No allowance is made for the stabilizing effect of the vehicle mass absorbing, or releasing, appreciable quantities of heat as the cabin temperature varies within its acceptable limits. The vehicle heat capacity prevents rapid temperature changes of the cabin, and thereby constitutes an element of conservatism in the estimated heating and cooling loads.

The transient response of a simplified model of the Taxi ascent and descent stages has been determined using a digital computer program. The model reduces the descent stage to 21 constant temperature elements, and the ascent stage to 17 elements. The elements chosen for the computer model are those vehicle components having the most significant influence on the vehicle transient response. Among the vehicle elements included in the simple model are: the exterior surfaces, cabin structure, propellant tanks, water tanks, and propulsion engines. The cabin structure was divided into three elements; these are: the cabin pressure vessel forward of the +Z 27 bulkhead, the pressure vessel and structure located between the $+Z 27$ and $-Z 27$ bulkheads, and the structure and equipment located in the aft equipment bay.

The thermal model accounts for heat transfer between the ascent and descent stages, and between the vehicle external skins and the lunar environment. The influence of both the RCS clusters and the docking tunnel on the ascent stage balance is also included in the computer program. For this analysis, the ascent engine radiation coupling with its external environment was reduced by an order of magnitude. This can be achieved by the application of one layer of NRC-2 insulation (0.0002-in. thick) to the exposed portions of the engine. The insulation layer is perforated to vent any leaking gas. The engine was insulated because initial computer runs indicated excessive heat loss from the cabin via the ascent stage engine.

The range of thermal environments the Taxi could encounter during a 14-day lunar surface mission is sufficient to vary component temperatures beyond allowable limits. Therefore, active internal heating and cooling of the ascent stage cabin is required.

It has been assumed that limiting the allowable range of cabin structural temperature between 40 and 900 F will keep the temperature variations of most of the Taxi subsystems and components within allowable limits. Separate active temperature control systems will be provided for the vehicle components and subsystems which the cabin temperature control system cannot stabilize. These special thermal control systems were discussed in Paragraph 4.1.3.3.

Figures 4.1-4 and 4.1-5 show the transient thermal response of the cabin and propellant during the two most severe lunar surface missions. Figure $4.1-4$ represents a $336-\mathrm{hr}$ night mission and Fig. 4.l-5 represents a $336-\mathrm{hr}$ day mission. Both missions. begin at a terminator with the vehicle at a uniform temperature of $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. During the quiescent storage of both missions, no attempt is made to control the temperature of the cabin structure until it reaches its allowable limit. For the night mission analyzed, no heat is internally generated until the temperature of the midcabin structure drops to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. At this temperature, sufficient heat is supplied to the cabin to stabilize its temperature. This mission is shown by the solid lines in Fig. 4.1-4. The dashed lines in Fig. 4.1-4 are the continuations of the initial cooling rate curves which predict the vehicle temperature history for the remainder of the night mission, if no heat is supplied to the cabin.

The vehicle temperature history during a day mission, with a constant internal heat load of 45 w , is shown in Fig. 4.1-5. For this case, no heat is removed from the cabin until the mid-cabin structure reaches $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, at which time the ECS becomes operative and stabilizes the cabin at this temperature. The dashed continuation of the day mission heating curves predict the vehicle temperature history for the remainder of the day mission, if no cooling were provided.

A summary of the pertinent results of the computer analysis for these two missions is presented in Table 4.1-2. Maximum and minimum temperatures of selected elements of the Taxi are included in this table.

The results of the computer analysis for the night storage mission in which the cabin temperature is maintained at 400 F shows that the following elements are below their allowable temperatures:

Night Mission Minimum Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ )

## Element

Ascent Stage Oxidizer Tank
Ascent Stage Water Tank Ascent Stage RCS Propellants Descent Stage Water Tank Descent Stage Batteries

$$
\text { Allowable } \quad \text { Prediction }
$$

| 40 | 37 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 40 | 33 |
| 40 | 31 |
| 40 | -41 |
| 40 | -39 |

The difference between the allowable and the computer predicted minimum temperatures of the ascent stage oxidizer, water, and RCS propellant tanks is not sufficiently large to require special active thermal controls, such as heaters. This tends to confirm the belief that by actively controlling the temperature of the cabin structure, the temperature of the fuel and water tanks located in the side bays of the ascent stage will be passively stabilized. The problem remains to investigate methods of limiting the minimum temperature of these liquids to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ during a night mission. This can be accomplished either by raising the minimum cabin temperature above $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, by further decoupling these tanks from the environment by addition of
insulation, or by some combination of these two approaches. The difference between the allowable minimum and the computer predicted descent stage battery and water tank temperatures are sufficiently large to conclude that these items require special thermal control. This was discussed in Paragraph 4.1.3.

The results of the day mission transient with 45 -w internal heat load shows (Fig. 4.1-5 and Table 4.1-2) that day storage is a much less severe surface mission than the night storage mission. With a uniform temperature distribution of 700 F at the start of a daytime storage mission, little cabin cooling is required for propellant survival. Therefore, additional computer investigation was undertaken to investigate the influence of initial vehicle temperature on stay time cooling water requirement. The initial temperature distribution for the storage mission depends primarily on the vehicle orientation and active thermal control operation during the translunar mission.

Since the LEM can land on the Moon with its allowable propellant temperatures anywhere between 50 and $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, the worst possible case for the Taxi is an initial temperature of $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The cooling water requirements for both the structural and 45-w equipment loads, as determined by both steady state and transient analysis for different initial temperatures, are as follows:

| Analysis | Initial <br> Temperature $\left(O_{r}\right)$ | Cooling Water <br> Required (1b) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | any | 200 |
| Steady State | 70 | 12 |
| Transient | 90 | 64 |

The recommended Taxi design is based on thermal control water requirements determined by the steady state analysis. The transient analysis results indicate a weight reduction of at least 100 lb , and a possible elimination of any water requirement during the storage mission.

Table 4.1-1
SPECIAL HEATER REQUIREMENTS DURING A NIGFTT MISSION

Quiescent Storage Mode - Cabin Temperature $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

| Component | Heater Requirement, watts |
| :---: | :---: |
| RCS Clusters* | 1,000 |
| Rendezvous Radar Antenna | 25 |
| S-Band Steerable Antenna | 6 |
| IMU | 13 |
| ASA | 7 |
| Descent Stage Water Tank** | 5 |
| Descent Stage Batteries | 0 |

*Required for 1 hr during prelaunch checkout to thaw frozen propellant lines.
**Provided by waste heat from RTG.

Table 4.l-2

TEMPERATURE EXTREMES DURING STORAGE PHASE

|  |  |  | Night Storag <br> Minimum Temp | $\text { Mission }_{\text {ature, }}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Day Storage } \\ & \text { Maximum Tempe } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mission } \\ & { }^{\text {ature, }}{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elemen |  | $\frac{\text { Cabin Heated }}{\text { at } 40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}}$ | $\frac{\text { Cabin }}{\text { Inheated }}$ | $\frac{\text { Cabin Cooled }}{\text { at } 90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}}$ | $\frac{\text { No Cooling }}{\text { Provided }}$ |
|  |  | Fwd | 38 | 0 | 92 | 96 |
|  | Cabin | Mid* | 40 | 0 | 90 | 95 |
| Stage |  | Aft | 34 | -3 | 95 | 99 |
|  | Fuel |  | 41 | 26 | 85 | 87 |
|  | Oxidi | Tank | 37 | 12 | 79 | 81 |
|  | Water | nk | 33 | 0 | 93 | 96 |
|  | RCS Pr | ellant Tanks | 31 | 2 | 85 | 87 |
| Descent Stage | Water Tank** |  | -41 | -44 | 93 | 93 |
|  | Batteries** |  | -39 | -41 | 91 | 91 |

*Active thermal control is achieved by adding or removing heat from this element of cabin structure.
**Special thermal control required which was not included in computer analysis.


Fig. 4.1-1 Ascent Stage Steady State Thermal Characteristics


Fig. 4.1-2 Performance of Cabin Pressure Vessel for Night Heating Mission


Fig. 4. 1-3 Insulation/Heat Source Relationship


Fig. 4.1-4 Temperature History of Cabin \& Propellants During Night Mission


Fig. 4.1-5 Temperature History of Cabin \& Propellants During Day Mission

### 4.2 INTEGRATED GUIDANCE \& CONTROL

### 4.2.1 Ground Rules

The following ground rules were applicable to this area:

- Taxi shall have the ability to make a transponder aided landing;
- Anytime abort shall be retained when possible.
4.2.2 Assumptions and Background Data

It is assumed that relationships between the lunar orbit characteristics and the Earth injection parameters will be established by NASA.

Abort from the lunar surface may be conveniently divided into the following categories: anytime, conditional, and partial.

The condition implied by anytime abort is that abort capability is provided during a $12.8-\mathrm{min}$ launch window at least once during each pass of the CSM for the duration of the lunar stay. In addition, the CSM must have the capability to rescue the Taxi from a $50,000-\mathrm{ft}$ orbit. This requires that out-of-plane $\Delta V$ requirements be drawn within the limits of the Taxi propulsion system.

For a conditional abort, the CSM rescue requirement is relaxed, and all the CSM rescue $\Delta V$ is assumed to be available for plane change. Conditional abort precludes the possibility of certain types of ascent propulsion system failure, i.e., it assumes that likelihood of such failure is low enough to be negligible. Wher this assumption is not feasible, the rescue procedure must revert to anytime abort.

Partial abort requires that the capability for conditional abort be provided for some percentage of the lunar stay. If the likelihood of failure of Taxi systems during quiescent storage on the surface is found to be minimal, the inability to abort for a limited time will not seriously degrade the probability of crew safety.

### 4.2.3 Recommended Configuration

The LEM and Taxi requirements differ in terms of location of nominal landing sites, increased stay time, and increased return payload requirements. In addition, the Taxi has the requirement to land within walking distance of the Shelter.

### 4.2.3.1 Transponder Aided Landing

The Taxi descent profile is identical to LEM down to the powered descent phase. During the powered descent phase (Fig. 4.2.1), the Taxi differs from the LEM in that a transponder aided landing is assumed to be the primary landing mode. Since a rendezvous radar search mode for transponder acquisition is not provided in the LEM, the typical non-transponder descent must be modified. Phase II of the transponder descent is initiated at a greater surface range ( $20 \mathrm{vs} 7 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$ ) and at a higher altitude ( $36,000 \mathrm{vs} 10,600 \mathrm{ft}$ ), with a resulting fuel expenditure penalty (i.e., $\Delta v$ penalty) of 238 fps.

The design objective of a rendezvous radar/transponder aided system is to land within 200 ft of the transponder. This objective was met on all computer runs performed at Grumman under assumed rendezvous and landing radar error models.

### 4.2.3.2 Effects of Anytime Abort on Surface Stay-time and Return Payload Capability

The abort criteria were varied from a requirement for Taxi abort at anytime with maximum payload to a requirement in which limited abort capability is specified and interaction with lunar stay and payload capability was irvestigated. The resulting effects can be summarized for a landing at Alphorsus as follow:

- If the mission objective requires the capability for a Taxi abort at anytime, with the added safety of the capability for CSM rescue, and, if provisions must be made for a $250-1 b$ payload return at anytime thrcughout the lunar stay, then a maximum stay time of 7.15 days can be achieved.
- If the mission objective is merely to return a $250-1 \mathrm{~b}$ payload at the nominal time specified for ascent, a lunar stay of up to 10.2 days can be achieved while retaining Taxi anytime abort capabillty.
- If the $\Delta V$ allocated to the $C S M$ for Taxi rescue can be used to increase the plane-change capability of the combined CSM/Taxi system, a lunar stay of up to 13.2 days can be achieved.
- If the mission objective is to retain abort capability only for a short period (critical time) after landing and prior to ascent, lunar stays in excess of 14 days can be achieved.
4.2.3.2.1 General Abort Procedure. The ascent used in the normal abort requires three thrusting maneuvers. The first impulse provides ascent to a $50,000-\mathrm{ft}$ parking orbit, at a heading angle determined by the out-of-plane displacement at launch. The second impulse occurs at the mode (i.e., the intersection of the parking orbit with the plane of the CSM orbit). This simultaneously performs the plane-change and initiates a Hohmann transfer to $80 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. Note that performing both maneuvers concurrently requires an on-time launch (i.e., an instantaneous launch window), since there is no provision made for phasing with the CSM. The third burn circularizes the Taxi orbit at $80 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$ to prepare for the docking maneuver. This type of ascent has many advantages compared with the "direct ascent" which does not utilize a parking orbit. Some advantages are the following:
- The Nominal boost pitch profile will be independent of both the out-ofplane displacement and the deviation between nominal and actual launch time
- As noted above, the heading angle (launch azimuth) depends only on the out-of-plane displacement, whereas in a direct ascent, the exact position of the CSM in its orbit must be considered
- Given equal $\Delta V$ and payload requirements, greater out-of-plane displacements can be tolerated in a parking orbit ascent. Similarly, greater launch windows are available for equal out-of-plane displacements
- The taxi must traverse a downrange central angle of approximately 270 deg to be in position to rendezvous. This enables Earth ground-tracking with MSF'N to monitor the rendezvous maneuver.

The $\Delta V$ requirements associated with the parking orbit technique discussed above, and used in Table 4.2-1 for propellant calculations, were based on the following:

Curves in which $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{3}{ }^{\text {is }}$ fixed, and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{2}$ vary simultaneously show increases of
about:

- 0.6 day/deg for anytume abort
- 0.4 day/deg for conditional abort.

It is possible to further increase the stay time by having the CSM perform a plane change maneuver shortly before point $B$ (Fig. 4.2-2) is reached; that is allowing the CSM to expend some of its $\Delta V$ to change its orbit and reducing $\delta_{3}$ accordingly (Fig. 4.2-5).

It appears at first glance that the time gained by this maneuver is quite substantial. However, it must be remembered that $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, (Fig. 4.2-5) like $\delta_{3}$, has an upper lamit of 7.38 deg. Performing the maxımum plane change at the optimum position (the zenlth of trace I) still leaves trace II well west of point C, rather than between C and D. Nonetheless, increases in stay time of more than a day result in many cases, as is shown in Fig. 4.2-6 and 4.2-7.

Figure 4.2-6 illustrates stay time vs landing site latitude for $\alpha=1.5$, 4.5, and 7.5 deg. It will be noted that a stay time of 12.8 days is possible at Alphonsus ( $13 \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{S}, 2 \mathrm{Deg} \mathrm{W}$ ). When $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=7.5^{\circ}$ Figure $4.2-7$ shows explicitly what is gained as a direct result of the plane change as a function of latitude. A further possibility to be looked into is that of a number of smaller plane changes $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}$, such that $\Sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}$
4.2.3.2.4 Effect of Partial Abort on Stay Time. Figure 4.2-5, with one modification, may also be used to represent the partial abort criteria. The modification is that the requirement $i=\theta+\delta_{2}$ is now relaxed; that is, the inclination of the CSM orbit is increased so that for a limited time, the Tlaxi is too far out of plane for a conditional abort, and $\delta_{3}$ in Fig. 4.2-5 would now lie on either side of the Zenith of $I$. The question as to how large a percentage of the mission can be tolerated in the "no abort" region remains to be explored. However, some representative cases are displayed in Fig. 4.2-8.

In general, the partial abort configuration has a much larger effect on stay time at high latitudes than at low latitudes. Within a few degrees of the equator, in fact, the stay time decreases as the CSM orbit inclination increases. This will also be reflected in the next paragraph in Fig. 4.2-12 and -13, in which the stay time does not seem to increase appreciably in the partial abort case as compared with the conditional abort.
4.2.3.2.5 Correlation of Payload and Stay Time. A knowledge of the plane change required of the Taxi to abort at anytime during the mission is sufficient, using Fig. 4.3-1, to generate curves showing payload capability as a function of stay time. Assume that the target is to provide the capability to launch a payload of 250 lb throughout the lunar stay. As mentioned earlier, preliminary results indicate that the Taxi can do this and still perform a plane change of 1.8 deg . Imposing the restriction that the Taxi always shall be within 1.8 deg of the CSM plane, the maximum stay time for a landing at Alphonsus ( $13 \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{S}, 2 \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{W}$ ) is 7.15 days. Stay time is displayed as a function of latitude for this case in Fig. 4.2-9. If it is sufficient merely to return a $250-1 \mathrm{~b}$ payload at the nominal ascent, it is only necessary to specify $\delta_{1}=1.8 \mathrm{deg}$. The rest of the lunar stay can proceed according to the normal abort modes as previously defined. The stay times are then: anytime abort, abort, 11.7 days with no CSM plane change, and 13.2 days with a CSM plane
change of 7.5 deg; and partial abort, perhaps up to 15 days. Fig. 4.2-10, -11 , and -12 show payload vs stay time for an Alphonsus mission assuming, respectively, each of the abort criteria. In each case, some payload capability is provided for slgnificant portions of the stay, and 250 lb is provided at nominal lift-off. For each case a 2 -deg out-of-plane descent and a maximum plane change ascent stage (i.e., a vehicle weighing $10,465 \mathrm{lb}$ ) is assumed.

At first glance, it appears that payload capability can be increased by allowing the CSM to perform the ascent plane change wherever possible. However, because of transearth trajectory requirements, the CSM must keep its $\Delta V$ in reserve, and thus, Fig. 4.2-10, -11, and -12 were generated by assuming that the Taxi performs the plane change wherever possible.
4.2.3.2.6 Correlation with Transearth Trajectory Requirements. All the results above were generated independent of the requirements of the transearth phase of the mission. The minimum $\Delta V$ required for a transearth injection is a rather complicated function of the stay time. For an Alphonsus mission using the abort techniques described in this report, the $\Delta V$ margin is very small until about 3 days into the mission, since the injection window lies in the northeast quadrant, and the CSM ground trace begins in the southeast and northwest quadrants. The situation again becomes serious about 12 days later. A preliminary study was made of the conditional abort from Alphonsus, with respect to transearth injection. Fig. 4.2-13 shows the $\Delta V$ available for injection and the $\Delta V$ necessary for injection as a function of stay time. The dip in the $\Delta V$ available curve represents the CSM role in Taxi rescue, and the sudden drop is a result of the CSM plane change at 10.5 days. From Fig. 4.2-13, it can be seen that for significant parts of the mission, substantial margins for injection $\Delta V$ exist. Thus, trade-offs between these margins and both the rescue and payload capability are possible and must be studied. These trade-offs may yield considerable increases in stay time and/or maximum launch payload.
4.2.3.2.7 Extended Waiting Time in Parking Orbit. Additional $\Delta V$ may be obtained for Taxi rescue if the $\Delta V$ used during the deboost into lunar parking orbit can be minimized. In some cases a more favorable translunar trajectory may be chosen. However, for an Alphonsus landing, most such trajectories would leave the CSM orbit too far out of the plane of the landing site for a Taxi descent. Waiting in orbit for some additional time, until the landing site is within range, or waiting after ascent for a favorable trans-earth trajection to become accessible may offer a solution. In the case of an Alphonsus landing, the latter appears to be more promising and will be studied further.
4.2.4 Baseline Configuration

The baseline configuration used for the Taxi is the same as the recommended configuration described in Paragraph 4.2.3.
4.2.5 Discussion of Configuration Choices

Performance capabilities of the Taxi are strongly dependent on operational flight plans and decisions. There is a particularly significant relationship between the lunar orbit characteristics and Earth injection parameters.
All the NASA objectives for lunar stay time and payload return capability at the designated landing sites can be met. However, some compromise of stated abort criteria and redistribution of the $\Delta V$ budget for both the Taxi and the CSM must be made (from that for the nominal Apollo/LEM mission). The necessary changes appear reasonable, and appropriate direction from NASA is anticipated during the early portion of the Phase $C$.

- Using a digital simulation of the equations of motion and the PNGCS, a $\triangle V$ of 6014 fps was computed for the powered ascent from lift-off to a $50,000-\mathrm{ft}$ circular parking orbit. The trajectory was computed for a 10,850-1b ascent stage and included a l2-sec vertacal rise prior to initiation of the pitch maneuver.
- Plane change and transfer $\Delta V^{\prime}$ s at the CSM-Taxi orbit node were computed impulsively, assuming a Hohmann transfer.
- 75-fps $\Delta V$ for mid-course was based on the figures quoted in Apollo Spacecraft $\Delta V$ Budget, MSC Internal Note No. 65-FM-56.
- 97-fps $\Delta V$ required for crrcularization and rendezvous at $80 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$ was computed impulsively and assumes a Hohmann transfer.
- $25-\mathrm{fps} \Delta V$ required for docking was based on flgures quoted in the Apollo Spacecraft $\Delta V$ Budget, MSC Internal Note No. 65-FM-56.
4.2.3.2.2 Plane Change Capability. Paragraph 4.3 presents an analysis of the Taxi return payload capability as a function of ascent stage weight, propellant quantity and plane change during the second burn of the parking orbit ascent (Fig. 4.3-1). Based on this analysis, the Taxi is capable of maximum plane change of 4.45 deg with a minimum lunar launch gross weight of $10,464 \mathrm{lb}$ and zero payload. A nominal launch with a 250-lb payload can be accomplished with a 1.8-deg plane change and a Taxi gross weight of 10.710 lb .

In addition, the CSM has enough $\Delta V$ allotted for an additional 7.38-deg plane change per Apollo Spacecraft $\Delta V$ Budget, MSC Internal Note Nol 65-FM-56. Thus, the maximum plane change for anytime abort is 4.45 deg , and for conditional abort is 11.83 deg.
4.2.3.2.3 Effects of Anytime and Conditional Abort on Stay Time. The problem of maximizing the stay time, as is illustrated in Fig. 4.2-2, is the problem of making optimum use of the three out-of-plane capabilities: during ascent ( $\delta_{1}$ ), during descent ( $\delta_{2}$ ), and for emergency abort $\left(\delta_{3}\right)$. The small circle arc $A B,{ }^{1}$ lying on the parallel of latitude $=\theta$ deg, is the path of the landing site relative to the CSM orbit trace as it precesses. In the configuration shown in Fig. 4.2-2, an emergency abort would require the maximum plane change ( $\delta_{3}$ ) at only one point during the entire stay. Similarly the maximum descent and ascent plane changes ( $\delta_{2}$ and $\delta_{1}$ ) are only required at points $A$ and $B$.

The CSM remains in a fixed $80-\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$ circular parking orbit of inclination $\mathrm{i}=\theta+\delta_{3}$, whick precesses from east to west (in selenographic coordinates). The larger part of this precession is due to the Moon's rotation of 13.177 deg/day and is east to west. The lesser part, due to the tri-axiality of the Moon, equals $1.243 \cos i$, and is west to east (e.g., an equatorial orbit processes at $11,937 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{day}$ ). Without the triaxiality term, stay time vs landing site latitude displays a marked symmetry around $\theta=\left(90-\delta_{3}\right) / 2$, for which stay time is a minimum. The regression distorts this symmetry somewhat.

Figures 4.2-3 and 4.2-4 demonstrate the effect on stay time of varying $\delta_{3}$ while $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are fixed at zero for anytime and conditional aborts, respectively. As was already apparent by virtue of the symmetry exhibited by Fig. 4.2-2, the effect on the stay time of changing $\delta_{1}$ is exactly equivalent to the effect of changing $\delta_{2}$ by the same amount. Curves in which $\delta_{3}$ is fixed at its maximum value while either $\delta_{1}$ or $\delta_{2}$ is varied (and the other is fixed) shows an increase in stay time of about:

- 0.3 day/deg for anytime abort
- 0.2 day/deg for conditional abort.

Phase C efforts should be directed to achieving early definition of the operational philosophy which will allow the performance of error analyses and agreement between NASA and the associate contractors upon a "nominal" $\Delta V^{-}$budget.


Fig. 4.2-1 Beacon-Aided Desecnt Trajectory Profile

Table 4.2-1
TAKI $\triangle V$ REQUIREMENTS ( $W_{0}=10,820 \mathrm{lb}$ )

| Plane Change, deg | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mission Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Powered Ascent to |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 -ft Circ. Orbit | 6014 | 6014 | 6014 | 6014 | 6014 | 6014 |
| Plane Change \& Transfer* | 99 | 138 | 217 | 306 | 399 | 473 |
| Midcourse | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Rendezvous | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Docking | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| TOTAL | 6310 | 6349 | 6428 | 6517 | 6610 | 6684 |

*Plane change \& transfer performed with one impluse.


Fig. 4.2-2 Geometric Representation of Typical Taxi Mission


Fig. 4.2-3 Maximum Stay Time-Anytime Abort


Fig. 4.2-4 Maximum Stay Time-Conditional Abort


Fig. 4.2-5 Mercator Projection of Taxi Conditional Abort Geometry


Both Curves:
$\delta_{1}=\delta_{2}=2 \mathrm{deg}$
$\delta_{3}=11.78 \mathrm{deg}$


Time Gained due to 7.5 deg CSM Plane Change, days
Fig. 4.2-7 Effect of CSM Plane Change on Stay Time (Conditional Abort)

Fig. 4.2-8 Maximum Stay Time-Partial Abort

Fig. 4. 2-9 Maximum Stay Time-Anytime Abort with 250 lb Payload

Fig. 4.2-10 Payload Capability (Alphonsus Mission)-Anytime Abort

Fig. 4.2-11 Payload Capability (Alphonsus Mission)-Conditional Abort

Fig. 4. 2-12 Payload Capability (Alphonsus Mission)-Partial Abort

Fig. 4.2-13 CSM Trans-Earth Injection $\Delta V$ Profile (Alphonsus Mission)

### 4.3 REACTION CONTROL AND PROPULSION

### 4.3.1 Ground Rules

The following ground rules were applicable to this area:

- The propulsion capability of either the ascent or descent stage is not to be exceeded
- The Taxi shall have the ability to make a transponder aided landing
- Anytime abort shall be retained when possible
- Taxi payload design goal of 250 lb .
4.3.2 Assumptions and Background Data

The Taxi mission is identical to LEM to the powered descent phase. During the powered descent phase, a transponder aided landing is assumed to be the primary landing mode, with a resulting fuel expenditure $\Delta V$ penalty of 238 fps (see Section 4.2.3).

For the analysis of the RCS and propulsion requirements during the ascent phase of the mission, the following assumptions were used:

- Mission phase $\Delta V$ requirements are as shown in Table 4.2-1
- Main engine specific impulse $=306 \mathrm{sec}$
- RCS engine specific impulse $=230 \mathrm{sec}$ for all RCS $\Delta V$ thrusting periods
- $\frac{\partial(\Delta \mathrm{V})}{\partial(\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{Wo})}=-2350 \frac{\mathrm{ft} \cdot / \mathrm{sec}}{\mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{lbm}}$
- During powered ascent, the maximum moment unbalance $=500 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$
- $\Delta V$ required for propellant ullage settling is 17 fps.
- Taxi inert weight immediately after docking is 5040 lb ; this includes both main and RCS residuals as well as 2 lb of GOX.

The approach taken to propellant loading to compute payload capability is extremely important since one can be traded for the other on almost a one-for-one basis (i.e., l lb of propellant is required for each pound of payload). The philosophy of loading taken here was as follows:

Main propulsion was assumed to provide the total $\Delta V$ necessary for powered ascent, Hohmann transfer, and plane change requirements with no cg moment unbalance. To this was added sufficient reaction control propellants to allow for a maximum cg moment unbalance of $500 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$ during powered ascent, plus propellant for non- $\Delta V$ attitude maneuvers.

Assuming that ullage settling, midcourse corrections, rendezvous, and docking are all accomplished by the RCS, sufficient propellant was loaded to provide a total $\Delta V$ of 214 fps plus 17 fps for ullage settling. RCS propellant for non- $\Delta V$ maneuvers and contingency requirements was also included to complete the RCS tank loading. This philosophy of main engine and RCS propellant loading for Taxi ascent compares very nearly to the LEM. The use of this philosophy for the Taxi has two advantages; first, it insures that sufficient propellant is provided to take care of the two extreme cases of cg moment unbalance, i.e., no unbalance and $500 \mathrm{ft}-1 \mathrm{~b}$ unbalance; and secondly, it provides sufficient main and RCS propellants to meet the LEM $\Delta V$ budget which includes all flexibility and $3 \sigma \Delta V$ allowances.

### 4.3.3 Recommended Configuration

The Taxi payload capability is shown in Fig. 4.3-1 as a function of plane change requirements and gross lift-off weight. Two conclusions can be drawn from this figure:

- A Taxi payload capability of 250 lb can be achieved with a 1.8 deg plane change capability by completely filling the main ascent propellant tanks ( 5017 lb usable propellant). This corresponds to a Taxi lunar lift-off weight of $10,720 \mathrm{lb}$.
- The maximum plane change capability of the Taxi is 4.45 deg and corresponds to zero payload capability with full propellant tanks. Taxi liftoff weight is $10,464 \mathrm{lb}$.

An estimate of RCS and main propellant requirements for a Taxi ascent has been calculated and is shown in Tables 4.3-1 and 4-3-2. The assumption and philosophy of propellant loading used in this analysis are as described in Section 4.3.2. In addition, the loadings shown correspond to a 250 lb return payload and a Taxı plane change capability of approximately 1.8 deg.
4.3.4 Baseline Configuration

The baseline configuration used for the Taxi is the same as the recommended configuration described above.
4.3.5 Alternate Configuration

No alternates were considered during this study.
4.3.6 Potential Modifications Per Flight

No per flight modıfications were considered.

Table 4.3-1
MAIN PROPULSION-PROPELIANT LOADIIVG FOR TAXI ASCENT

| Mission Event | $\Delta V$, <br> $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Isp, <br> Sec | Main <br> Propellant, <br> Ib |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lunar Launch to 50,000 ft <br> Hohmann Transfer \& Plane Change <br> 1.8 deg | 6007 | 306 | 4898 |
| Trapped and Residuals | 200 | 306 | 118 |
| Total Propellants |  |  |  |

Table 4.3-2
REACIION CONTROL-PROPELTANT LOADING FOR TAXI ASCENT

| Mission Phase | Propellant Which does Not Contribute $\Delta V$, lb | Propellant Which Contributes $\Delta \mathrm{V}$, lb | Total <br> Propellant <br> Req'd. for Mission Phase, lb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ascent |  |  |  |
| Lunar Launch to End of Insertion to Hohmann | (27.7) | (181.3) | (209.0) |
| Ullage Settling ( $\Delta V$ ) cg Compensation ( $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ ) |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8.1 \\ 173.2 \end{array}$ | 8.1 173.2 |
| Attitude Control-Maneuvers | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| For Contingency Orbit | 23.7 |  | 23.7 |
| Coast Mid-course Corrections Rendezvous Docking | (21.3) | (162.2) | (183.5) |
| Translation ( $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ ) |  | 162.2 | 162.2 |
| Attitude Control-Maneuvers | 21.3 |  | 21.3 |
| Contingency for Single Tank Failure at Rendezvous | (21.0) |  | (21.0) |
| Total RCS Propellants |  |  | 413.5 |


Fig. 4.3-1 Taxi Return Payload Capability

### 4.4 CREW SYSTEMS

### 4.4.1 Ground Rules

There were no NASA ground rules unique to the Crew Systems Analysis.

### 4.4.2 Assumptions and Background Data

It was assumed that the crew life-support and human-engineering requirements for the Taxi are the same as for the LEM.

### 4.4.3 Crew Functions

The mission profile, or sequence of events, from Earth launch to lunar surface touchdown, in the vicinity of the Shelter, is assumed to be identical to the LEM. However, after touchdown the sequence of events are as described in Section 3, Mission Analysis.

During the first day, the Commander and Systems Engineer will coordinate their efforts to:

- Inspect, checkout, and deactivate the Taxi
- Inspect and checkout the Shelter
- Transfer RTG from Shelter to Taxi
- Prepare Shelter for habitability
- Plan mission EVA exploration procedures

During the l4th or final day of the mission, the crew will:

- Deactivate Shelter
- Transport payload to Taxi
- Inspect, checkout, and reactivate the Taxi
- Lift-off, rendezvous, and docking with CSM

Subsequent to the post-landing Taxi checkout by the crew, the Systems Engineer dons a PLSS, leaves the Taxi, walks to and inspects the Shelter. Following the inspection period of approximately l hr and 20 min , a go/no-go decision is made. Assuming a go condition, the commander then secures the Taxi for quiscent storage (Table 4.4-1.). He then dons a PLSS, leaves the Taxi, and walks to the Shelter.

With the assistance of the Systems Engineer, the Local Scientific Survey Module (LSSM) is deployed, checked out, and activated. The RTG is disconnected, removed from the Shelter and loaded onto the LSSM. The LSSM is then driven back to the Taxi where the RTG is unloaded, installed in the Taxi, and checked-out. The Commander again boards the LSSM and returns to the Shelter (Table 4.4-2).

Following completion of the lunar exploration phase, the crew departs the Shelter and traverses to the Taxi, possibly on the LSSM. The Systems Engineer boards the Taxi while the Commander conducts a walk-around inspection. He then boards the Taxi after which both crew members reactivate the Taxi systems (Table 4.4-3). Prelaunch preparation and checkout is then performed prior to ignition of the ascent engine for lift-off.

The one unique task directly associated with the Taxi mission is the transfer of the RTG from the Shelter to the Taxi; therefore, a more detailed discussion of this task is presented in the succeeding paragraph.

### 4.4.3.1 RTG Transfer

In transferring the RTG from the Shelter to the Taxi, the major human factors items of concern are:

- Thermal radiation (heat emission)
- Thermal conductivity (contact burns)
- Nuclear radiation (health physics)
- Mechanical assembly, detachment connections, etc.
- Physical transport of the unit from the Shelter to the Taxi.

The RTG generates more thermal energy (heat) than can be effectively used. To avoid self-destruction and to provide the required $\Delta t$ at the thermoelectric $N-P$ junctions, the unit must radiate considerable excess heat to its surroundings. The astronaut's space suit and backpack cooling system has been sized to dissipate metabolic heat plus solar thermal loads. Excess heat loading to the astronaut, by exposure to the RTG thermal radiation, could overload the thermal balance of the suit. Under ordinary circumstances, however, this can be easily avoided by the astronaut moving away from the unit (l meter) or moving into the heat shadow. The efficiency of proposed thermal radiation garments may also obviate this problem.

Direct contact burns offer the greatest threat to the space suited astronaut. The surface temperature of the RTG exceeds the melting point of the space suit. A direct brief physical contact of the suit against the RTG radiation surface or against a wire-type guard, would melt and/or degrade the fabric to the point where its integrity as a pressure vessel could not be maintained. Prevention of direct contact burns is the prime human factors safety consideration.

The radioactivity of the unit will add to the overall dosage of crew radiation and may require slight upward revision of the NASA allowable Apollo mission dosage. The dosage is not heavy, and, under the most adverse conditions, the astronaut could carry a cold fin assembly unit in his hands for over 30 min before receiving a 1 rad dose. The ground handling of the unit would have to be under strict administrative control. The greatest crew and ground nuclear hazards are not associated with normal operations but with accidents, such as a capsule explosion. The SNAP program has proven RTG units to be apparently safe, dependable, and easily handled. An indirect radiation problem is that of heavy irradiation of the drinking water tank which is located only a few centimeters from the RTG capsule. Minute impurities in the water could become radiactive and cause excessive irradiation of the GI tract and enter the metabolic processes of the cells. Preliminary analyses show that the radiation problem is minimal for the assumed mission profile parameters. However, it is recommended that a more thorough study be made, especially of stored purity of water and shielding interface.

Accurate estimation of the problems and techniques of transporting the RTG from the Shelter to the Taxi is impeded by the lack of knowledge of the exact nature of the lunar surface. At present, the only mode of transport considered is on the LSSM.

In summary, there are no serious human factor obstacles to transporting the RTG and attaching it to the Taxi. Contact burn, melting, and failure of the space suit is
(VI) $4-40$
the greatest hazard, and the major effort should be devoted to minimizing this hazard. The nuclear radiation doses exceed presently specified limits, but are within a tolerable range.

Table 4.4-1
CREW TASKS REQUIRED TO PREPARE TAXI FOR QUIESCENT STORAGE

| Time, hr:mın | Commander | Systems Engineer |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| (After lunar <br> surface touchdown) | -Review checklist for prepara- <br> tion of Taxi for quiescent <br> storage | (In Shelter during <br> this mission phase.) |
| $73: 05$ | - Cover top hatch and windows <br> - Initiate IMU-ASA coolant <br> bypass; check indicator lights. <br> Secure VHF link. <br> - Activate status monitoring <br> communication link. |  |
| $73: 15$ | Shut down Atmosphere Revit- <br> alization Section; activate <br> PLSS, close faceplate, open <br> cabin dump valve |  |
|  | Descend to lunar surface and <br> walk to Shelter. |  |

Table 4.4-2
SEQUENCE OF OPERATIONS FOR RTG TRANSFER

| Operation No. | Commander | Systems Engineer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{I}{2}$ |  | Walk to Shelter. Make Shelter go/no-go go decision. |
| 3 | Place Taxi in quiescent storage. Walk to Shelter. |  |
| 5 | Unload LSSM from Shelter. | Assist with unloading from inside Shelter. |
| 6 | Detach RTG from Shelter with mechanical aid. |  |
| 7 | Load RTG on LSSM. |  |
| 8 | Drive LSSM to Taxi. |  |
| 9 | Unload RTG from LSSM with mechanical aid. |  |
| 10 | Attach RTG to Taxi with mechanical aid. |  |
| 11 | Enter Taxi and check out RTG installation. |  |
| 12 | Drive LSSM to Shelter. |  |
| 13 | Enter Shelter. |  |

Table 4.4-3
CREW TASKS REQUIRED TO REACTIVATE TAXI FOR ASCENT AND RENDEZVOUS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { hr:min } \end{aligned}$ | Commander | System Engineer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 402:32 | - Activate ECS \& Communication System <br> - Connect suit to suit loop system <br> - Uncover windows | - Monitor Cmar |
| 403:00 | - Assist S.E. \& stow return payload <br> - Uncover top hatch <br> - Dispose of jettisonable material | - Load return payload in Taxi <br> - Dispose of all jettisonable material |
| 403:32 | - Activate Rendezvous Radar <br> - Establish radar contact with CSM <br> - Establish voice comm with CSM | - Connect suit-to-suit loop system <br> - Reestablish IMU-ASA active thermal control |
| 403:52 | - Lose voice comm. with CSM <br> - Reactivate VHF <br> - Reactivate Rendezvous Radar <br> - Remove \& stow PLSS <br> - Remove thermal \& meteoroid protective garments | - Secure fwd hatch <br> - Pressurize cabin to 5.0 psia <br> - Remove \& stow PLSS <br> - Remove thermal \& meteoroid protective garments. |
| 404:32 | Prelaunch Prep (Same as LEM) |  |

### 4.5 RELTABILITY

### 4.5.1 Assumptions and Background Data

A time line summary for a design reference LEM Taxi Mission (DRM) is presented in Table 4.5-1. This profile is not intended to represent any particular flight. It has been selected to provide a basis for reliability estimation, configuration analysis, trade-off studies, and mission success predictions. The mission times are divided into boost and non-boost periods. Applicable environmental stress factors ( K factors) are shown for each period depending on operation or non-operation of the equipment during boost and non-boost periods. These factors are used to modify equipment inherent failure rates. In accordance with the mission timelines, $K$ factors, subsystem equipment failure rates, math models, and operating usage times shown in this report, the probability of mission success can be calculated on a subsystem basis.

Mission success is defined as the probability of not aborting the DRM because of a failure of the defined configuration. Although failures of either the booster, CSM, or experiment packages would cancel the scientific and engineering experiments, they could not be judged failures against the Taxi. A mission shall be aborted if the future occurrence of a single functional failure may endanger the general well-being of any crew member, or if the projected probability of catastrophe would be greater than a maximum acceptable level.

Wherever possible, failure rate data were extracted from LEM Report No. LED-550-58, "Failure Rates Used for LEM Reliability Estimate." Data used from other sources are specifically denoted.

### 4.5.2 Recommended Configuration - Reliability Estimates

Subsystem reliability math models, functional block diagrams (Fig. 4.5-1), and equipment utilization times (Table 4.5-2) were developed to determine recommended system reliability estimates.

### 4.5.2.I Subsystem Reliability Estimates

4.5.2.1.1 Navigation, Guidance and Control. The PGNS and AGS are assumed to be in series, i.e., failure of either system would be cause for abort, during the launch through descent and touchdown phases of the mission. During the lunar stay through rendezvous phases of the mission, they are assumed to be in parallel, i.e., ascent and rendezvous can be accomplished with either system. The rendezvous radar is used in the descent phase, both for tracking the CSM and for locking on the transponder which radiates from the Shelter on the lunar surface. The transponder reliability is considered under the Shelter configuration.
4.5.2.1.2 Descent Propulsion Subsystem. The reliability analysis of the descent propulsion subsystem (DPS) involved investigation into the storage, regulation, and thrust capabilities of the main propulsion subsystem. Evaluation of the subsystem was accomplished through the utilization of the existing LEM DPS reliability analysis with some slight modification (two vent valves and a pressure relief valve added on the Taxi subsystem). The mathematical reliability model for the DPS is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\text {DPS }}= & R_{1} \times R_{2} \times R_{3}^{5} \times R_{4}^{2} \times R_{5} \times R_{6} \times R_{7}^{3} \times R_{8}^{60} \times R_{9} \times R_{10}\left(2-R_{10}\right) \times \\
& R_{11} \times\left[2-R_{9} \times R_{10}\left(2-R_{10}\right) R_{11}^{2}\right] \times R_{12} \times\left[R_{13}^{4}+4 R_{13}^{3}\left(1-R_{13}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.2 R_{13}^{2}\left(1-R_{13}\right)^{2}\right] \times\left[R_{14}^{4}+4 R_{14}^{3}\left(1-R_{14}\right)+2 R_{14}^{2}\left(1-R_{14}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\times & {\left[R_{15}^{4}+4 R_{15}^{3}\left(1-R_{15}\right)+4 R_{15}^{2}\left(1-R_{15}\right)^{2}\right] \times\left[R_{16}^{4}+4 R_{16}^{3}\left(1-R_{16}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+4 R_{16}^{4}\left(1-R_{16}\right)^{2}\right] \times R_{19}^{5} \times\left[R_{17} \times R_{18}+R_{19}-R_{17} R_{18} R_{19}\right] 5 \\
& \times R_{20}^{5} \times R_{21}^{2} \times R_{22}^{2} \times R_{23}^{2} \times R_{24}^{4} \times R_{25}^{2} \times R_{26} \times R_{27} \times R_{28}^{4} \times\left[R_{29}+\right. \\
& \left.R_{30}-R_{29} R_{30}\right] 2
\end{aligned}
$$

When the combined reliability degrading factor time ( $t$ ), mechanical stress factor (K), and failure rate ( $\lambda$ ) are introduced into the mathematical model above according to the exponential relationship $R=\exp (-K \lambda t)$, the product yields a descent propulsion subsystem reliability equal to 0.997986 . This value is slightly lower than the LEM DPS reliability estimate and is caused by the modifications over the LEM subsystem.
4.5.2.1.3 Ascent Propulsion Subsystem. The reliability analysis of the ascent propulsion subsystem (APS) involved investigation into the storage, regulation, and thrust capabilities of the main propulsion subsystem. Evaluation of the subsystem was accomplished through the utilization of the existing LसM APS reliability analysis. The mathematical reliability model for the entire APS reliability appears below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{A P S}= & R_{1}^{2} \times R_{2}^{4} \times R_{3}^{3} \times R_{4}^{2} \times R_{5}^{4} \times R_{6}^{60} \times R_{7} \times R_{8}\left(2-R_{8}\right) \times R_{9}^{2}\left[2-R_{7} \times R_{8}\right. \\
& \left.\left(2-R_{8}\right) \times R_{9}^{2}\right] \times R_{10} \times R_{11} \times R_{12} \times R_{13} \times R_{14} \times\left[R_{15} \times R_{16}+R_{17}\right. \\
& \left.-R_{15} R_{16} R_{17}\right]{ }^{2} \times R_{18}^{2} \times R_{19} \times R_{20} \times R_{21}^{2} \times R_{22}^{2} \times R_{23} \times R_{24} \times\left[R_{25}\right. \\
& \left.+R_{26}-R_{25} R_{26}\right] 7
\end{aligned}
$$

The product yields an APS reliability equal to 0.995178 . The result obtained is lower than the LEM subsystem reliability estimate, because the Taxi subsystem has a greater time degradation factor than the LEM subsystem.
4.5.2.1.4 Reaction Control Subsystem. Reliability analysis of the reaction control system (RCS) involved investigation into the attitude and translation capabilities of the vehicle, including helium, oxidizer, and propellant storage, regulation, and
thrust capability. The mathematical modeling for the RCS appears below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {ROS }}=\left\{R_{1} \times R_{2}^{4} \times R_{3} \times R_{4}^{5} \times R_{5}\left(2-R_{5}\right) \times R_{6} \times R_{7} \times R_{8}\left(2-R_{8}\right) \times R_{9}^{2}\right. \\
& \times\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left.2-R_{7} \times R_{8}\left(2-R_{8}\right) \times R_{9}^{2}\right] \times\left[R_{10}^{4}+4 R_{10}^{3}\left(1-R_{10}\right)+2 R_{10}^{2}\left(1-R_{10}\right)^{2}\right] \\
4
\end{array}\right] \\
& x^{[ }\left[R_{11}^{4}+4 R_{11}^{3}\left(1-R_{11}\right)+2 R_{11}^{2}\left(1-R_{11}\right)^{2}\right] \times\left[R_{12}^{4}+4 R_{12}^{3}\left(1-R_{12}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.4 R_{12}^{2}\left(1-R_{12}\right)^{2}\right] \times\left[R_{13}^{4}+4 R_{13}^{3}\left(1-R_{13}\right)+4 R_{13}^{2}\left(1-R_{13}\right)^{2}\right] \times R_{14}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-R_{23} \times R_{24}\right]^{30} \times R_{25}^{4} \times R_{26}^{4} \times R_{27}^{16} \times R_{28}^{16} \times R_{29}^{80} \times R_{30}^{16} \times R_{31}^{16} \times R_{32}^{4} \times R_{33}^{4} \\
& \times R_{34}^{2} \times R_{35} \times R_{36} \times R_{2}^{4} \times R_{37}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When the combined factors are introduced for each reliability block established above, the product yields a reliability of the RCS equal to 0.917422.

The propellant tank bladders are the major contributing factors to the mission unreliability, because of their high failure rate, and because the loss of any one bladder during the post separation period will require CSM rescue. No additional problem areas are pointed out. The reliability of the Taxi RCS is slightly lower than the value available from the LEM mission.
4.5.2.1.5 Electrical Power Subsystem. The EPS consists of three modified LEM descent stage batteries with associated LEM ECA, two LEM ascent batteries with associated ECA, and an RTG (utilized during the quiescent storage period). The failure rates for the reliability assessment were based on IEM ascent battery/ECA failure rates, and vendor estimates for the RTG and $10-\mathrm{Kw}-\mathrm{hr}$ modified descent battery. The EPS critical design reference mission (with contingencies), used for the analysis, is presented below:

| Mission Phase | Phase Time (hr) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Countdown, launch, transposition | 6.80 |
| Translunar | 111.60 |
| Preseparation c/o, descent and post-landing ci/o | 4.45 |
| Go/no-go decision and secure for quiescent storage | 2.90 |
| Quiescent storage | 330.00 |
| Pre-launch activation | 2.60 |
| Powered ascent through crew transfer | 11.73 |
|  |  |
|  | Total Mission Time |
|  | 470.08 |

For the purpose of this analysis, all batteries are considered operational when they are charged and activated, and the ECA's are considered operational during battery discharge time only. The RTG is considered operational during the Taxi
quiescent storage period (the total utilization time includes time accrued on the RTG during the Shelter quiescent storage period). The reliability of the EPS configuration, based on the math model (Fig. 4.5-1) with all equipments required to complete the full mission, is 0.909220 .
4.5.2.1.6 Environmental Control Subsystem. The ECS consists of four integrated sections: atmosphere revitalization, heat transport, oxygen supply and pressure control, and water management. The following ground rules and assumptions were incorporated into the ECS model for reliability assessment. Because of CSM backup potential prior to Taxi separation, the model was analyzed for the preseparation and postseparation independently with the reliability of the mission equal to $\mathrm{R}_{\text {Presep }} \times \mathrm{R}_{\text {Postsep. }}$

- Heat transport system was considered pressurized (operating) for the extent of the mission
- Atmosphere revitalization, oxygen supply, and rate management systems, exclusive of the water and oxygen tanks, are considered depressurized during the quiescent storage phase
- Ascent and descent water tanks are assumed to undergo one operating cycle each during the full mission
- Component failure rates presented in LED-550-58, 18 June 1965, and source data supplied by Hamilton Standard are the basis of the reliability estimates
- Heat pipe has been excluded from this estimate because of insufficient failure rate information.

The ECS reliability assessment based on the above ground rules yields a success probability of 0.992390.
4.5.2.1.7 Communications Subsystem. The reliability analysis of the Taxi communications subsystem consists of an investigation into the $S$-band transmission and receiving capabilities for communications between the crew and Earth, including status data, and the VHF communications mode for EVA.

Several additional assumptions have been made to expedite the reliability modeling analysis. The first involves the failure rate apportionment to the signal processor assembly. Since this part of the system has multiple operational modes, sometimes acting independently of one another and at other times operating in a completely dependent arrangement, it was assumed that for the majority of the mission time the entire failure rate of the unit ( $\lambda=64.549 \times 10^{-6}$ ) shall be applied to the mathematical model during any period of mission time where any one section of the signal processor is used.

Another assumption is the VHF channel B transmitter has the capability to be used as a backup mode for voice communications with the CM (assuming CM capability to receive voice communications on this channel). Consequently, the VHF transmitters $A$ and $B$ are considered as active redundancies in the reliability analysis (as are VHF receivers $A$ and $B$ ). Also configured as redundant items in the modeling analysis are the astronaut's headset receiver and microphone assemblies - under the assumption that headset receiver 1 and microphone 1 operate together in series.

The mathematical representation of the reliability mode for each mission phase appears below:

- Preseparation phase:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R= & {\left[1-\left(1-R_{1}\right)\left(1-R_{2}\right)\left(1-R_{3}\right)^{2}\right] \times R_{4} \times R_{5}\left(2-R_{5}\right) \times R_{6} \times } \\
& {\left[1-\left(1-R_{7}\right)\left(1-R_{8}\right)^{2}\right] \times R_{9} \times R_{10} \times R_{11} \times R_{12}\left(2-R_{11} R_{12}\right) \times } \\
& R_{13}\left(2-R_{13}\right) \times R_{14} \times R_{15 A}\left(2-R_{15 B}\right) \times R_{16 A}\left(2-R_{16 B}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Post separation to lunar liftoff phase:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R= & {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.1-\left(1-R_{1}\right)\left(1-R_{2}\right)\left(1-R_{3}\right)^{2}\right] \times R_{4} \times R_{5}^{2} \times R_{6}^{2} \times \\
\\
\\
{\left[1-\left(1-R_{7}\right)\left(1-R_{8}\right)^{2}\right] \times R_{9} \times R_{10} \times R_{11}^{2} \times R_{12}^{2} \times R_{13}\left(2-R_{13}\right)} \\
\end{array}\right)=R_{14} \times R_{15 A} \times R_{15 B} \times R_{16 A} \times R_{16 B} \times . }
\end{aligned}
$$

When the combined factors are introduced for each of the reliability blocks estabfished above (for each phase depicted), the product yields a reliability for the Taxi communications subsystem equal to 0.983273 . Problem areas arise largely from the fact that the reliability network equations, during the postseparation to liftoff phase, are based upon non-redundant communications equipment. The prime degrading component for this analysis was the signal processor assembly as was mentioned before. The S-band transmit-receive electronic replaceable assembly, S-band power supply-power amplifier, and the timer, are mentioned in order of downgraded effect on the subsystem.
4.5.2.1.8 Instrumentation. The instrumentation configuration is divided in two parts: one for the manned phases of the mission, another for the un-manned phase. In the manned phases, the C\&WEA, status displays, and voice recorder are required. The PCM is considered in parallel with the C\&WEA and displays.

In the unmanned phase, the C\&WEA, status displays, and voice recorder are not overated. During this phase, a time sequencer is required to turn on the equipment at intervals. The sequencer itself operates on a $5.83 \%$ duty cycle; the PCMPEA on a $1.67 \%$ duty cycle; the transducers on a $5.83 \%$ duty cycle, and the SCEA on a $5.83 \%$ duty cycle. Based on these duty cycles the reliability for the instrumentation is 0.994947.
4.5.2.1.9 Controls and Displays Subsystem. The reliability analysis of the C\&D subsystem consisted of an examination of all of the individual displays and controls required by the other subsystems in the Taxi.

The overall C\&D subsystem reliability was obtained from the following mathematical model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{C D}= & R_{1} \times R_{2} \times R_{3} \times R_{6} \times R_{7} \times R_{8}\left(2-R_{8}\right) \times R_{9}\left(2-R_{9}\right) \times \\
& R_{10} \times R_{11}\left(2-R_{11}\right) \times R_{12}\left(2-R_{12}\right) \times R_{13}\left(2-R_{13}\right) \times R_{14} \times \\
& R_{15}\left(2-R_{15}\right) \times R_{16}\left(2-R_{16}\right) \times R_{17} \times R_{18} \times R_{19}\left(R_{20}+R_{21}-R_{20} R_{21}\right) \\
& \times R_{22} \times R_{23}\left(2-R_{23}\right) \times\left[1-\left(1-R_{24}\right)^{2}\left(1-R_{25}\right)^{2}\right] \times R_{26}\left(2-R_{26}\right) \times
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{27}\left(2-R_{27}\right) \times R_{28}\left(2-R_{28}\right) \times R_{29}\left(2-R_{29}\right) \times R_{30}\left(2-R_{30}\right) \times R_{31} \times R_{32} \times \\
& \left(R_{33}+R_{34} R_{35}-R_{33} R_{34} R_{35}\right) \times\left(R_{36}+R_{37}-R_{36} R_{37}\right) \times R_{38} \times R_{39} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying $t, K$, and $\lambda$ data to this equation and solving yields a reliability equal to 0.993328 .

### 4.5.2.2 System Reliability Analysis

A summary of subsystem reliability estimates for the Taxi, together with pertinent LEM data are shown in Table 4.5-3. Approximation of structure, explosive, controls and displays, and instrumentation subsystem reliabilities were obtained by utilizing LEM estimates with exponential degradation allowed for the extended duration.
In general, $R=e^{-k \lambda t} \quad$ and $Q=1.0-R$. For small values of $k \lambda t, Q \approx k \lambda t$ ann k $\lambda \approx Q / t$
Then

$$
Q_{2} \approx \frac{Q_{1} \times t_{2}}{t_{1}}
$$

where subscript 1 represents LEM and subscript 2 represents AES

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{2} \approx \frac{Q_{1}}{115.567} \times 418.074 \approx 3.618 Q_{1} \\
& \text { Subsystem } \\
& \text { Controls \& Displays }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 4.5-2 represents vehicle reliabilities for various mission durations. The Taxi reliability curve shown is based on an exponential degradation of reliability with mission duration, and therefore represents a close approximation to the actual estimate. Also included in Fig. 4.5-2 are a series of curves representing experiment package reliabilities plotted against a scale which indicates the product of vehicle and experiment package reliabilities.

Although the Taxi reliability curve indicates values equal to the LEM estimate, both f'all below the LEM specification goal. The Taxi is dependent on LEM subsystems design, and improvement of the reliability can only be achieved by upgrading the inherent reliability of each component therein.

Table 4.5-1
RELTABILITY - DESIGN REFEERENCE MISSION

|  |  | Boost Time | Non-Boost Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom |  | $\mathrm{K}_{0}=10.0$ | $\mathrm{K}_{0}=1.0$ | Total |
| Phase | Description | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{NO}}=0.01$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{NO}}=0.001$ | Time |
| 1 | Total Preseparation (Includes 10 hr of Pre-Earth Launch Checkout) | 0.39 | 75.83 | 76.22 |
| 2 | AES Checkout to Insertion (Total Checkout 1.85) |  | 2.18 | 2.18 |
| 3 | Insertion and Hohmann Transfer Orbit | 0.01 | 0.968 | 0.978 |
| 4 | Powered Descent from Pericynthion to Hover | 0.14 |  | 0.14 |
| 5 | Hover to Touchdown \& Post-Landing Checkout | 0.019 | 0.25 | 0.034 |
| 6 | Lunar Stay |  | 336.00 | 336.00 |
| 7 | Prelunar Launch Checkout, Powered Ascent and Injection | 0.118 | 1.00 | 1.118 |
| 8 | Transfer Coast |  | 0.781 | 0.781 |
| 9 | Rendezvous ( $5 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. to 500 ft ) |  | 0.138 | 0.138 |
| 10 | Docking (500 ft to contact) |  | 0.25 | 0.25 |
|  | TOTAL | 0.677 | 417.397 | 418.074 |

Table 4.5-2
EQUIPMENT UIILIZATION TIMES

| Ident <br> No. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| A. Navigation, Guidance \& Control $\left(R=R_{D} \cdot R_{A}\right)=.983345$ <br> 1. Launch through Descent \& ID ( $R_{D}=.984743$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | IMU-Inertial Measuring Unit | 137 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 2 | LGC-IEM Guidance Computer | 352 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 3 | CDU-Coupling Data Unit | 675 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 4 | PSA-Power Servo Ass'y | 126 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 5 | PTA-Pulse Torquer Ass'y | 62 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 6 | LR-Landing Radar | 186 | . 159 | . 500 | . 40 | 78.73 |
| 7 | ATCA-Attitude \& Transl. Cntrl Ass'y | 117.2 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 8 | ACA-Attitude Controller Ass'y | 33.4 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 9 | TCA-Translation Controller Ass'y | 30.5 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 10 | RGA-Rate Gyro Ass'y | 99.1 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 11 | DECA-Desc. Engine Cntrl Ass'y | 56.5 | . 159 | . 100 | . 40 | 79.13 |
| 12 | GDA-Gimbal Drive Actuator | 83.9 | . 159 | . 100 | . 40 | 79.13 |
| 13 | ESA-Engine Sequencer Ass'y | 12.6 | . 159 | . 100 | . 40 | 79.13 |
| 24 | AELD-Ascent Engine Latching Device | 4.3 |  |  | . 559 | 79.23 |
| 15 | ASA-Abort Sensor Ass'y | 370.3 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 16 | AEA-Abort Electronics Ass 'y | 1016.0 | . 169 | 3.068 | . 39 | 76.16 |
| 17 | AOT-Alignment Optical Telescope | 43.0 |  | . 300 | . 559 | 78.83 |
| 18 | CES P/S-Cntrl Elect. Syst. Pwr. Supply | 24.8 | . 169 | 3.148 | . 39 | 76.08 |
| 19 | RR-Rendezvous Radar | 278.9 |  | . 300 | . 559 | 78.83 |
| 20 | TL-Tracking Light | 70.0 |  | . 100 | . 559 | 79.13 |
| 2. Lunar Stay Through Rendezvous ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}=.9985803$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | IMU-Inertal Measuring Unit | 137 |  |  |  | 333.71 |
| 2 | LGC-LEM Guidance Computer | 352 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 3 | CDU-Coupling Data Unit | 675 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| Ident <br> No. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| A. Lunar Stay Through Rendezvous ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}=.9985803$ ) (cont) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PSA-Power Servo Assy | 126 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 5 | PTA-Pulse Torque Ass'y | 62 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 6 | ASA-Abort Servo Assy | 370 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 7 | AEA-Abort Electronics Ass'y | 1016 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 8 | ATCA-Att. \& Trans. Cntrl Ass'y | 117.2 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 9 | RGA-Rate Gyro Ass'y | 99.1 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 10 | ACA-Attitude Controller Ass'y | 33.4 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 11 | TCA-Translation Controller Ass'y | 30.5 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 12 | AELD-Ascent Engine Latching Device | 4.3 | . 118 | . 100 |  | 335.78 |
| 13 | CES P/S-Cntrl Elect. Pwr. Supply | 24.8 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 14 | RR-Rendezvous Radar | 278.9 | . 118 | 2.169 |  | 333.71 |
| 15 | TL-Tracking Light | 70.0 |  | 1.169 | . 118 | 334.71 |
| B. Descent Propulsion ( $\mathrm{R}=.9979863$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | He Pressure Vessel | . 04 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Liquid Level Transducer | . 05 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Temperature Transducer (5) | . 05 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Pressure Transducer (2) | . 05 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Helium Initiate Valve-Squib | . 06 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Heat Exchanger | . 60 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Filter (3) | . 31 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Lines, Joints, Fittings (60)* | . 05 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Shutoff Valve | 14.2 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Pressure Regulator - Fail Open | 13.67 | . 559 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Press Regulator - Fail Closed | 13.67 | . 559 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Manifold | . 04 * | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Quad Check Valve - Oxidizer - Fail Closed | 8.7 * | . 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Quad Check Valve - Fuel - Fail Closed | 8.7 * | . 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Quad Check Valve - Oxidizer - Fail Open | 8.7 * | . 40 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Quad Check Valve - Fuel - Fail Open | 8.7 * | . 40 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| Ident No. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fail Rate | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| B. Descent Propulsion ( $\mathrm{R}=.9979863$ ) (cont) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Pressure Relief Valve ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( 5 Redundancies | 5.7 |  | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Test Point $\} \quad 5$ Redundancies | 12.5 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | Burst Disc $\}$ in Series | . 11 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | Burst Disc (5) | . 11 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Oxidizer Storage Tank (2) | . 04 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Fuel Storage Tank (2) | . 04. | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Vent Valve (2) | 12.5 | . 459 | . 1 | 0 | 78.01 |
| 24 | Low Level Sensor (4) | . 05 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | Trim Orifice (2) | . 5 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Descent Engine Assembly - Fire | 226.005 | . 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | Descent Engine Assembly - No-Fire | 226.005 | - 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.01 |
| 28 | Diffuser (4) | . 05 | . 559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Fill Valve ${ }^{\text {a }} 12$ Redundancies in Series |  |  | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | Cap $\} 12$ Redundancies in Series | 80.0 | . .559 | 78.01 | 0 | 0 |
| * Assumed vendor estimate. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Ascent Propulsion ( $\mathrm{R}=.9951784$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Helium Pressure Vessel (2) | . 04 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Temperature Transducer (4) | . 05 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Pressure Transducer (3) | . 05 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Helium Initiate Valve-Squib (2) | . 06 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Filter (4) | . 31 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Lines, Joints, Fittings (60) * | . 05 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Shutoff Valve | 14.2 | . 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Pressure Regulator - Fail Open | 13.67 | . 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Pressure Regulator - Fail Closed | 13.67 | . 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Quad Check Valve - Oxidizer - Fail Closed | 8.7 * | . 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Quad Check Valve - Fuel - Fail Closed | 8.7 * | . 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Quad Check Valve - Oxidizer - Fail Open | 8.7 * | . 559 | 417.257 | . 559 | 0 |
| 13 | Quad Check Valve - Fuel - Fail Open | 8.7* | . 559 | 417.257 | . 559 | 0 |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ident } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| C. Ascent Propulsion ( $\mathrm{R}=.9951784$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Manifold | . 04 * | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Pressure Relief Valve 2 Redundant | 5.7 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Test Point 2 Redundant | 12.5 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Burst Disc (2) Series | . 11 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Burst Disc (2) | . 11 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | Oxidizer Storage Tank | . 04 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | Fuel Storage Tank | . 04 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Low Level Sensor (2) | . 05 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Trim Orifice (2) | . 5 | . 677 | 427.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Ascent Engine Assembly - Fire | 254.930 | . 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | Ascent Engine Assembly - No-Fire | 254.930 | 0 | 0 | . 559 | 417.257 |
| 25 | Fill Valve | 3.66 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Cap | 80.0 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| * Assumed vendor estimate. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. Reaction Control Subsystem ( $\mathrm{R}=.9174221$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Helium Pressure Vessel | . 04 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Pressure Transducer (4) | . 05 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Manifold | . 04 * | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Temperature Transducer (5) | . 05 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Helium Initiate Squib Valve | . 06 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Helium Filder | . 31 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Shutoff Valve - Solenoid | 14.20 | . 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Pressure Regulator - Fail Open | 13.67 | . 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Pressure Regulator - Fail Closed | 13.67 | . 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Quad Check Valve - Oxidizer - Fail Closed | 8.7 * | . 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Quad Check Valve - Fuel - Fail Closed | 8.7* | . 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Quad Check Valve - Oxidizer - Fail Open | 8.7 * | . 40 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Quad Check Valve - Fuel - Fail Open | 8.7 * | . 40 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Burst Disc (2) | .11 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |

## Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| Ident <br> No. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| D. Reaction Control Subsystem ( $\mathrm{R}=.9174221$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Pressure Relief Valve | 5.7 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Test Point | 12.5 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Burst Disc | . 11 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Oxidizer Tank (Bladder) Fajlure Rate | 8400.0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | Fuel Tank (Bladder) $10^{6}$ Cycles | 8400.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | Main Shutoff Valve | 3.09 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Fill Valve | 3.66 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Cap | 80.0 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Fill Valve 30 Redundancies in Series | 3.66 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | Cap 30 Redundancies in Series | 80.0 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | Thrust Chamber Assembly - Fire (4) | 1057.9 | . 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Thrust Chamber Assembly - No-Fire (4) | 1057.9 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 417.257 |
| 27 | Isolation Valve (16) | 3.09 | . 277 | 338.279 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 28 | Propellant Inlet Filter (16) | . 31 | 0 | 3380 | . 677 | 417.257 |
| 29 | Lines, Joints, Fittings (80) | . 05 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | Thrust Chamber Assembly Injector Valve - Fire (lb) | 34.40 | . 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | Thrust Chamber Assembly Injector Valve - No Fire (lb) | ) 34.40 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 417.257 |
| 32 | Heater - 250 Watt (4) | 30.0 * | . 10 | . 90 | . 40 | 417.257 |
| 33 | Ascent Interconnect Valve (4) | 3.09 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Crossfeed Valve (2) | 3.09 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | Oxidizer Filter | . 05 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | Fuel Filter | . 05 | . 677 | 417.257 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | Vent Valve (2) | 3.66 | . 177 | . 10 | . 40 | 417.257 |

* Assumed vendor estimate.
Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| IdentNo. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| E. Electrical Power Subsystem ( $\mathrm{R}=.909220$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Descent Battery (3) ** | 20 |  | * 470.58 |  |  |
| 2 | Ascent Battery (2) | 40 |  | * 420.58 |  |  |
| 3 | RTG *** | 5.1 |  | *2322 |  |  |
| 4 | Descent ECA (3) | 20 |  | *346.75 |  |  |
| 5 | Ascent ECA (2) | 20 |  | *12.73 |  |  |
| * Based on electrical -power profile time-line. <br> * Eagle-Picher estimate on lokw-hr batteries (descent) <br> *** GE estimate on RTG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F. Environmental Control Subsystem <br> 1. Preseparation ( $\mathrm{R}=.99694$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | GOX Tank (3) | . 04 | . 390 | 77.68 |  |  |
| 2 | $0_{2}$ Filter (3) | . 05 | . 390 |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Shutoff Valve (3) | 2.43 | . 390 |  |  |  |
| 4 | Cabin Repress Emergency Valve | 0.0 | . 390 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Flow Limiter | . 05 | . 390 |  |  |  |
| 6 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Demand Valve | 6.48 | . 390 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Descent/Ascent $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Tanks (3) | 29610/c |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Fill Valve (3 Series) | 3.66 | . 390 |  |  |  |
| 9 | Cap (3 Series) | 80.0 | . 390 |  |  |  |
| 10 | Check Valve (3) | . 67 | . 390 |  |  |  |
| 11 | Water Tank Select Valve | . 90 | . 390 |  |  |  |
| 12 | Water Pressure Regulator (3) | 1.46 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Shutoff Valve | . 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Select Valve | . 81 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Check Valve. (3) | .67 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Separator | 1.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Suit Circuit Regen Heat Exch | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| Ident No. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost. | Boost | Non-Boost |
| F. Environmental Control Subsystem <br> 1. Preseparation ( $\mathrm{R}=.99694$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Suit Circuit Assy | 2.95 | - 390 | 77.68 |  |  |
| 19 | Suit Circuit Relief Valve | 2.54 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | LIOH Cannister | . 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Selector Valve | . 09 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Suit CKI Fan | 15.50 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Fan Check Value | . 44 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Pressure Control | 22.17 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Pressure Sensor | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Suit CKT Heat Exchanger | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Water Evaporator | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Pri $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Boiler | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Glycol Accumulator | 1.34 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Pump | 16.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Check Valve | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Bypass Relief Valve | 1.12 |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | Glycol Filter | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Temp. Cnt. Valve | . 05 |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | Cabin Heat Exchanger | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Regen Heat Exchanger | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Water Boiler | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | Cabin Fans | 8.58 |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | Temp Control Valve | . 05 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | Cabin Dump Valve | 3.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Hose | . 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Disconnect | 1.33 |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Assy | .05 3.37 |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Relief Valve | 3.37 |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Shutoff Valve | 2.43 |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Filter | . 05 |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Hose | . 05 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| Ident No. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| F. Environmental Control Subsystem <br> 1. Preseparation ( $\mathrm{R}=.99694$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Disconnect | . 24 | . 390 | 77.68 |  |  |
| 49 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Hose Assembly | . 05 | . 390 | 77.68 |  |  |
| 2. Post-Separation ( $\mathrm{R}=.99544$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | GOX Tank (3) | . 04 | . 287 | 338.467 |  |  |
| 2 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Filter (3) | . 05 | . 287 | 338.467 |  |  |
| 3 | Shutoff Valve (3) | 2.43 | . 287 | 338.467 |  |  |
| 4 | Cabin Repress Emergency Valve | 0.0 | . 287 | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 5 | Flow Limiter | . 05 | . 287 | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Demand Valve | 6.48 | . 287 | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 7 | Descent/Ascent $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Tanks (3) | 296.0/c | . $75 \mathrm{c} /$ |  |  |  |
| 8 | Fill Valve (3) | 3.66 | . 287 | 338.467 |  |  |
| 9 | Cap (3) | 80.0 | .287 | 338.467 |  |  |
| 10 | Check Valve (3) | .67 | .287 | 338.467 |  |  |
| 11 | Water Tank Select Valve | . 90 | . 287 | 338.467 |  |  |
| 12 | Water Pressure Regulator (3) | 1.46 | . 287 | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 13 | Shutoff Valve (3) | . 30 |  | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 14 | Select Valve | . 81 |  | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 15 | Check Valve | . 67 |  | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 16 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Separator | 1.01 |  | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 17 | Suit Circuit Regen Heat Exch | 2.0 |  | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 18 | Suit Circuit Assy | 2.95 |  | 2.467 |  |  |
| 19 | Suit Circuit Relief Valve | 2.54 |  | 2.467 |  |  |
| 20 | LIOH Cannister | . 14 |  | 2.467 |  |  |
| 21 | Selector Valve | . 09 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Suit Circuit Fan | 15.50 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Fan Check Valve | . 44 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Pressure Control | 22.17 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Pressure Sensor | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| Ident <br> No. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| F. Environmental Control Subsystem <br> 2. Post-Separation ( $R=.99544$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Suit CKT Heat Exchanger | 2.0 | . 287 | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 27 | Water Evaporator | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Pri $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Boiler | 2.0 | 338.467 | 338.467 |  |  |
| 29 | Glycol Accumulator | 1.34 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Pump | 16.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Check Valve | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Bypass Relief Valve | 1.12 |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | Glycol Filter | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Temperature Control Valve | . 05 |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | Cabin Heat Exchanger | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Reg. Heat Exch. | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Water Boiler | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | Cabin Frans | 8.58 |  | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 39 | Temperature Control Valve | . 05 |  | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 40 | Cabin Dump Valve | 3.41 |  | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 41 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Hose | . 10 |  | 2.467 |  | 336.00 |
| 42 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Disconnect | 1.33 |  | 2.467 |  |  |
| 43 44 | Hose Assy ${ }^{\text {O }}$ Relief Valve | .05 3.27 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.467 \\ & 2.467 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 44 45 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Relief Valve $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Shutoff Valve | 3.37 2.40 |  | 2.467 2.467 |  |  |
| 46 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Filter | . 05 |  | 2.467 |  |  |
| 47 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Hose | . 05 |  | 2.467 |  |  |
| 48 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Disconnect | . 24 |  | 2.467 |  |  |
| 49 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Hose Assy | . 05 |  | 2.467 |  |  |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| Ident No. | Name (\&Quantity if More Than One | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| G. Communications Subsystem ( $\mathrm{R}=.983273$ ) <br> 1. Preseparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | S-Band Erectable Antenna | .025* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 2 | S-Band Steerable Antenna | 41.0 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 3 | S-Band Omnidirectional Antenna | . 025 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 4 | S-Band Diplexer | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 5 | S-Band Power Supply - Power Amplifier | 36.531 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 6 | S-Band Transmit-Receive Electronic Replaceable Assy | 52.9 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 7 | F.M. Modulator | .162* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 8 | P.M. Modulator | .757* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 9 | Signal Processor Assembly | 64.549 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 10 | Timer | 35.0* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 11 | Headset Audio Receiver | . 30 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 12 | Headset Microphone | . 120 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 13 | VHF Omnidirectional Antenna | . 025 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 14 | VHF Diplexer | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 15A | VFF Transmitter A | 12.067* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 15B | VHF Transmitter B | 12.067* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 16A | VHF Receiver A | 13.252* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 16B | VHF Receiver B | 13.252* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 2. Post-Separation to Lift-Off |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | S-Band Erectable Antenna | . 025 | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 2 | S-Band Steerable Antenna | 41.0 | . 159 | 0 | 0 | 337.25 |
| 3 | S-Band Omnidirectional Antenna | . 025 | 0 | 0 | . 159 | 337.25 |
| 4 | S-Band Diplexer | 1.7 | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 5 | S-Band Power Supply - Power Amplifier | 36.531 | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 6 | S-Band Transmit-Receive Electronic Replaceable Assy | 52.9 | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 7 | F.M. Modulator | . $162 *$ | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 8 | P.M. Modulator | . $757 *$ | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ident } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost. | Boost | Non-Boost |
| G. Communications Subsystem ( $\mathrm{R}=.983273$ ) <br> 2. Post-Separation to Lift-Off |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Signal Processor Assembly | 64.549 | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 10 | Timer | 35.0* | . 159 | 337.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Headset Audio Receiver | . 30 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 12 | Headset Microphone | . 120 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 13 | VHF omnidirectional antenna | . 025 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 14 | VFF diplexer | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 15A | VHF Transmitter A | 12.067* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 15B | VHF Transmitter B | 12.067* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 16A | VHF Receiver A | 13.252* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 16B | VHF Receiver B | 13.252* | 0 | 0 | . 40 | 78.978 |
| 2. Post-Separation to Lift-Off |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | S-band erectable antenna | .025* | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 2 | S-band steerable antenna | 41.0 | . 159 | 0 | 0 | 337.65 |
| 3 | S-band omnidrectional antenna | . 025 | 0 | 0 | . 159 | 337.25 |
| 4 | S-band diplexer | 1.7 | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 5 | S-band powersupply-power amplifier | 36.531 | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 6 | $s$-band transmit-receive electronic replaceable assembly | 52.9 | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 7 | F.M. modulator | . $162 *$ | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 8 | PM modulator | . 757 * | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 9 | Signal processor assembly | 64.549 | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 10 | Timer | 35.0 * | . 159 | 337.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Headset Audio Receiver | . 30 | . 259 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 12 | Headset microphone | . 120 | . 159 | 19.60 | 0 | 317.65 |
| 13 | VHF omnidirectional antenna | .025* | 0 | 1.0 |  | 336.409 |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| Ident No. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fail Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| 14 | VHF Diplexer | 1.7 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 336.409 |
| 15A | Transmitter A | 12.067* | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 336.409 |
| 15B | Transmitter B | 12.067* | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 336.409 |
| 16A | Receiver A | 13.252* | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 336.409 |
| 16B | Receiver B | 13.252* | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 336.409 |
| * Assumed vendor experience valve. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | TRANSDUCERS | 49.1 | . 287 | 4.148 | . 39 | 75.83 |
| 2 | SCEA-Signal Conditioning Elec. Ass'y. | 122.7 | . 287 | 4.148 | . 39 | 75.83 |
| 3 | C \& WEA - Caution + Warning Electrnc Ass'y. | 59.5 | . 287 | 4.148 | . 39 | 75.83 |
| 4 | Status Displays | 121.0 | . 287 | 4.148 | . 39 | 75.83 |
| 5 | PCM - Pulse Code Modulator | 20.0 | . 287 | 4.148 | . 39 | 75.83 |
| 6 | TEA - Timing Electronics Ass'y. | 8.2 | . 287 | 4.148 | . 39 | 75.83 |
| 7 | Voice Recorder | 12.9 | . 287 | 4.148 | . 39 | 75.83 |
| 8 | Sequencer Ass'y. | 4.0 | . 287 | 4.148 | . 39 | 75.83 |
| 2. Unmaneed Phase ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}}=.996365$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | TRANSDUCERS | 49.1 |  | 19.59 |  | 315.41 |
| 2 | SCEA-Signal Conditioning Elec. Ass'y. | 122.7 |  | 19.59 |  | 328.55 |
| 3 | Sequencer Ass'y. | 4.0 |  | 19.59 |  | 315.41 |
| 4 | PCM - Pulse Code Modulator | 20.0 |  | 5.61 |  | 329.39 |
| 5 | TEA - Timing Electronics Ass'y. | 8.2 |  | 5.61 |  | 329.39 |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| Ident No. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fial Rate $f / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boose | Boost | Non-Boost |
| 1 | Engine/Thrust Controls | 5.40 | 0.230 | 7.045 | 0.390 | 283.765 |
| 2 | Explosive Devices | 5.80 | 0.096 | 5.672 | 0.390 | 263.730 |
| 3 | Electrical Power System | 31.20 | 0.236 | 8.125 | 0.360 | 407.421 |
| 4 | Caution \& Warning | *65.80 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 5 | C\&W -Master Alarm | * 1.20 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 6 | Environmental Control System | 38.70 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 7 | Realtion Control Syste | 51.00 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 8 | RCS - System A Regulators | 1.00 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 9 | RCS - System B Regulators | 1.00 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 10 | Flight Control | 206.69 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 11 | Flight Control - FDA 1 | 30.30 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 12 | Flight Control - Rate/RT Err. Monitor | 0.30 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 13 | Flight Control - X Pointer Indicator | 3.20 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 14 | Main Propulsion | 13.70 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 15 | Main Propulsion - ASC Regulator | 1.00 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 16 | Main Propulsion - DES Regulator | 1.00 | 0.169 | 2.818 | 0.390 | 75.830 |
| 17 | Stabilization \& Control | 14.70 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 18 | Navigation \& Guidance | 158.60 | 0.287 | 5.565 | 0.390 | 409.825 |
| 19 | Communications | 9.70 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 20 | Communications - VFF A | 1.11 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 21 | Communications - VFF B | 1.12 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 22 | Communication Antennas | 14.10 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 23 | Audio - S-Band | 0.91 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 24 | Audio - VHF A | 0.91 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 25 | Audio - VHF B | 0.91 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 26 | Audio - ICS | 0.91 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 27 | Audio VOX | 0.91 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 28 | Audio - Audio Select | 0.40 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 29 | Audio - MASTER Control | . 51 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |

Table 4.5-2 (cont)

| Ident No. | Name (\& Quantity if More Than One) | Fial Rate $\mathrm{f} / 10^{6} \mathrm{hr}$ | Equipment Usage Time, hr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operate |  | Non-Operate |  |
|  |  |  | Boost | Non-Boost | Boost | Non-Boost |
| 30 | Audio - Relay Select | 0.30 | 0.287 | 9,737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 31 | Audio - Circuit Breakers | 6.00 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 32 | Lighting Controls | 9.00 | 00287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 33 | Lighting - Anun/Num Control | 0.20 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 34 | Lighting - Anun Override | 0.30 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 35 | Lighting - Num Override | 0.30 | - 287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 36 | Lighting - Integral Control | 0.20 | 0.287 | 9.737 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 37 | Lighting - Integral Override | 0.30 | 0.287 | 9.373 | 0.390 | 406.080 |
| 38 | Radar Controls | 5.70 | 0.279 | 9.251 | 0.390 | 382.904 |
| 39 | Heater Controls | 17.60 | 0.276 | 9.069 | 0.390 | 374.181 |

Table 4.5-3

|  | LEM (See Note) |  |  | AES Taxi |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subsystem | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crew } \\ \text { Safety } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Apportion | Estimate | Equivalent LEM DRM | $\begin{gathered} \text { 300-hr Lunar } \\ \text { Stay Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Taxi DRM |
| Nav/Guidance | . 999651 | . 990700 | . 988205 | . 983375 | . 999969 | . 983345 |
| Descent Propul | \}. 999899 | - 999075 | . 998764 | - 997986 | - | . 997986 |
| Ascent Propul | J.999899 | . 999961 | . 998300 | . 996741 | . 998432 | . 995178 |
| RCS | . 997807 | . 999804 | . 919600 | . 927369 | . 989274 | . 917422 |
| EPS | . 999993 | . 998600 | . 963896 | . 957200 | . 949870 | . 909220 |
| ECS | . 999994 | . 999446 | . 994760 | . 995746 | . 996630 | . 992390 |
| Communications |  | . 999910 | . 997680 | . 998533 | . 984718 | . 983273 |
| Instrumentation |  | \} . 999500 | . $999378 *$ | .999378** | \}.998371** | \}.997750** |
| Structure | . 999999 | . 999950 | . 999978 | .999978** | . $999942 * *$ | .999920** |
| Explosives | . 999954 | . 999980 | . 999924 | . $999924 * *$ | .999801** | . $999725 * *$ |
| Crew Provisions |  | . 999990 | Not Avail | Not Avail | Not Avail | Not Avail |
| System |  | . 987 | . 866 | . 863 | . 919 | . 793 |

* Does not include sensors
** Utilizes LEM estimate with exponential degradation for extended duration
Note: Values obtained from LPR-550-9, Quarterly Reliability Status Report, l Aug. 1965
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Fig. 4.5-1 Reliability Block Diagram

4.6 MASS PROPERTIES

### 4.6.1 Ground Rules

The basic ground rule affecting the mass properties analysis of the Taxi configuration is to stay within the propulsion capability of the ascent and descent stages. In areas where limits have yet to be defined, as with centers of gravity and moments of inertia, the configurations presented maintain present LEM values wherever possible.
4.6.2 Assumptions and Background Data

The basis for all reported mass properties is the 1 August 1965 LEM weight statement, modified by the addition of 77 lb of descent propulsion and 18 lb of ascent stage structure to attain the LEM design separation weight.

Only the more critical day mission is presented in this mass properties report. A night mission would be lighter, since the Taxi does not require 180 lb of water for thermal control during the lunar stay. For an "all-night" mission, the Taxi could land a similar weight in payload.

Specific assumptions affecting the mass properties of the Taxi are:

- No scientific payload carried to the Moon
- Capability to return 250 lb of payload is based on the Propulsion Analysis (Section 4.3) for approximately 1 deg plane change capability and 4962 lb of ascent propellant
- An allowance of 34 lb for additional micrometeorite shielding is provided.
- RTG provisions only; RTG unit will be provided from the Shelter.
- Three $12 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$ descent batteries used for both day and night missions
- ECS expendables reflect approximately $50 \%$ less cabin occupancy than LEM and only two EVAs.


### 4.6.3 Recommended Configuration

The recommended configuration mass properties summary by mission phase and by subsystem are presented in tables $4.6-1$ and $4.6-2$, respectively. These tables describe for each of the mission phases or subsystem the weight and moments of inertia for the ascent and descent stages, separately, and as a total, where applicable.

A detailed weight statement for the recommended configuration is presented in Table 4.6-4. In most cases, the initial weight in each subsystem is a one line entry taken directly from LEM. The subsequent items indicate additions or deletions from the basic LEM subsystem. Where only one or two items from the LEM are used, a "build up" technique is used.

Changes from the baseline configuration to the recommended configuration are detailed in Table 4.6-6.
4.6.4 Baseline Configuration

The mass properties of the baseline configuration are defined in the following tables:

- Mass Properties Summary by Mission Phase (Table 4.6-1)
- Mass Properties Summary by Subsystem (Table 4.6-3)
- Detailed Weight Statement (Table 4.6-5)
- Changes to the Baseline Configuration (Table 4.6-7)


### 4.6.5 Alternate Configuration

Weight estimates have been made of the various alternates considered. The delta effects of these alternates on the mass properties of the recommended configuration at lunar orbit separation are summarized in Table 4.6-8 and detailed in Table 4.6-9.

The ascent propellant tanks in the recommended configuration are not full. An additional 55 lb of propellant could be added. If the inert weight of the Taxi was decreased proportionately, an approximate increase of 55 lb return payload capability could be provided.
Table 4.6-1
MASS PROPERTIES SUMMARY-BASEITNE \& RECOMMENDED CONFIGURATIONS - BY MISSION PHASE

| Vehicle | Mass Propert |  | Dry | Burnout | Lunar Launch | Lunar <br> Landing | Separation | Earth Launch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline | Welght (Ib | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4239 \\ & 3966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5311 \\ & 4489 \end{aligned}$ | 10685 | 15182 | 32705 | 32203 |
|  | $\text { CG Inches } \quad \mathrm{X}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257 \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | 243 | 214 | 186 | 185 |
|  | CG Inches <br> From <br> Reference <br> Datum | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | 1 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r}-3 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moments } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Inertia } \\ & \text { Slug } f t 2 \end{aligned}\left\{\begin{array}{l} I_{x x}-C G \\ I_{y y}-C G \\ I_{z z}-C G \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2417 \\ & 4859 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2905 \\ & 5191 \end{aligned}$ | 6117 | 11560 | 23045 | 22743 |
|  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2263 \\ & 2931 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2686 \\ & 3178 \end{aligned}$ | 3353 | 12691 | 25913 | 25165 |
|  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1234 \\ & 3097 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1600 \\ & 3284 \end{aligned}$ | 5330 | 14811 | 25080 | 24532 |
| Recommended | Weight (lb) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4242 \\ & 3891 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5293 \\ & 441.4 \end{aligned}$ | 10703 | 15227 | 32750 | 32248 |
|  | CG-Inches <br> From <br> Reference <br> Datum | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257 \\ & 154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \\ & 151 \end{aligned}$ | 243 | 215 | 86 | 85 |
|  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent } \end{array}\right.$ | 7 | 2 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | -3 3 | 2 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moments } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Inertia } \\ & \text { Slug } f t^{2} \end{aligned}\left\{\begin{array}{l} I_{x x}-C G \\ I_{y y}-C G \\ I_{z z}-C G \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | 2418 4793 | $\begin{aligned} & 2866 \\ & 5120 \end{aligned}$ | 6058 | 11491 | 22968 | 22666 |
|  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2264 \\ & 3100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2683 \\ & 3358 \end{aligned}$ | 3364 | 12779 | 26097 | 25354 |
|  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ascent } \\ \text { Descent }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1234 \\ & 2980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1597 \\ & 3169 \end{aligned}$ | 5323 | 14565 | 24963 | 24420 |

[^0]Table 4.6-2 CONFIGURATION-BY SUBSYSTEM

Table 4.6-2 (Cont)

| Code | Subsystem | Weig | , 1 lb |  | ne Ref |  | Momen | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ts of Iner } \\ & \text { lug-ft } \end{aligned}$ | ia, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ascent | Descent | x | y | z | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{xx}}$ (roll) | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{yy}}$ (pitch) | $\mathrm{I}_{z \mathrm{z}}$ (yaw) |
| 5.0 | Consumed on Ascent <br> Environmental Control | 77 |  | 300 | 0 | - 1 | 18 | 6 | 12 |
| 9.0 | Propulsion | 4962 |  | 227 | 0 | 0 | 3030 | 60 | 2985 |
| 10.0 | Reaction Control | 371 |  | 274 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 17 | 160 |
|  | Total Weight @ Lunar Launch. | 10703 |  | 243 | 1 | 1 | 6058 | 3364 | 5323 |
|  | Expended on Moon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | Structure | 15 |  | 301 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 4.0 | Crew Provisions | 68 |  | 266 | 18 | 18 | 13 | 4 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 155 | -70 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.0 | Environmental Control |  | 261 | 137 | -46 | -47 | 42 | 11 | 52 |
| 10.0 | Reaction Control | 5 |  | 281 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Payload | -250 |  | 274 | 23 | 0 | - 1 | -8 |  |
|  |  | 10542 |  | 243 | 1 |  | 6058 | 3336 |  |
|  | Landing |  | 4685 | 151 | 3 |  | 5428 | 3508 | 3350 |
|  |  | 152 |  | 215 | 1 | 1 | 11491 | 12779 | 14565 |
|  | Consumed on Descent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0 | Environmental Controls |  | 24 | 140 | -49 | -49 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 9.0 | Propulsion |  | 17357 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 11383 | 7309 | 4788 |
| 10.0 | Reaction Controls | 142 |  | 285 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 46 | 59 |
|  |  | 10684 |  |  | 1 |  | 6124 | 3395 |  |
|  | Separation |  | 22066 | 158 | 1 | 0 | 16841 | 11353 | 8226 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 186 | 1 | 0 | 22968 | 26097 | 24963 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Consumed on Trans- } \\ & \hline \text { Lunar Flight } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0 | Crew Provisions | -527 |  | 249 | - 1 |  | -67 |  |  |
| 5.0 | Environmental Controls |  | 20 | 144 | -40 | -48 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 10.0 | Reaction Controls | 5 |  | 264 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Total Weight @ <br> Earth Launch |  | 10162 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3086 | 5280 |
|  |  | 22086 |  | 158 | 1 | 0 | 16862 | 11364 | 8238 |
|  |  | 32248 |  | 185 | 1 | 0 | 22666 | 25354 | 24420 |

Table 4.6-3
MASS PROPERTIES SUMMARY-BASELINE CONFIGURATION-BY SUBSYSTEM

| Code | Subsystem | Welght, lb |  | cg, in.* |  |  | Moments of Inertia, slug-ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ascent | Descent | x | y | $z$ | (roll) | (pitch) | (yaw) |
| 1.0 | Structure | 1,369 | 1,514 | $\begin{aligned} & 259 \\ & 156 \end{aligned}$ | -1 | 9 0 | 712 1,490 | $\begin{aligned} & 724 \\ & 979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 448 \\ & 973 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2.0 | Stab \& Cont | 87 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 273 \\ & 158 \end{aligned}$ | 16 32 | -31 12 | 58 6 | 64 2 | 10 5 |
| 3.0 | Nav \& Guid | 294 | 37 | 275 132 | -3 -60 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ -45 \end{array}$ | 153 | 2015 | 63 5 |
| 4.0 | Crew Provisions | 103 |  | 246 | 9 | 31 | 33 | 23 | 20 |
| 5.0 | Environ Cont | 246 | 93 | 265 176 | 14 5 | 2 -45 | 50 49 | 54 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6.0 | Landing Gear |  | 531 | 121 | 0 | 4 | 2,043 | 1,128 | 1,071 |
| 7.0 | Instrumentation | 199 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 248 \\ & 153 \end{aligned}$ | -11 8 | -48 -15 | 38 1 | 37 1 | 9 0 |
| 8.0 | Elect Power | 767 | 607 | $\begin{aligned} & 255 \\ & 162 \end{aligned}$ | 1 57 | -28 32 | 244 97 | 249 70 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9.0 | Propulsion | 556 | 1,150 | $\begin{aligned} & 230 \\ & 154 \end{aligned}$ | -4 -4 | -10 -5 | 215 597 | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 388 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 185 \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10.0 | Reaction Control | 305 |  | 265 | 0 | - 3 | 277 | 132 | 165 |
| 11.0 | Communications | 100 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 267 \\ & 138 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \\ -40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -35 \\ 46 \end{array}$ | 43 1 | 41 | 27 1 |
| 12.0 | Cont \& Displ | 213 |  | 267 | - 1 | 58 | 54 | 38 | 29 |
|  | TOTAL DRY WT | 4,239 | 3,966 | $\begin{aligned} & 257 \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}-3 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,417 \\ & 4,859 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,263 \\ & 2,931 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,234 \\ & 3,097 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 5.0 \\ 9.0 \\ 10.0 \end{gathered}$ | TRAPPED \& RESIDUAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crew Provisions | 527 |  | 249 | - 1 | 47 | 66 | 49 | 108 |
|  | Environ Cont | 54 |  | 261 | 10 | -10 | 8 | 7 | 6 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 143 | -39 | -48 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  | Propulsion | 139 | 505 | $\begin{aligned} & 209 \\ & 132 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ -2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 300 \end{array}$ | $196^{5}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 124 \end{array}$ |
|  | Reaction Control | 81 |  | 264 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 4 | 35 |
|  | Payload | 271 |  | 274 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 8 |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 9.0 \\ 10.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOT, WT AT BURN-OUT | 5,311 | 4,489 | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 2 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,905 \\ & 5,191 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,686 \\ & 3,178 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,600 \\ & 3,284 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | CONSUMED ON ASCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Envaron Cont | 77 |  | 300 | 0 | - 1 | 18 | 6 | 12 |
|  | Propulsion | 4,990 |  | 277 | 0 | 0 | 3,043 | 60 | 3,000 |
|  | Reaction Control | 307 |  | 273 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 14 | 132 |
|  | TOT WT AT LUNAR LCH | 10,685 |  | 243 | 1 | 1 | 6,117 | 3,353 | 5,330 |

Table 4.6-3 (Cont)

| Code | Subsystem | Wt, lb |  | cg, in.* |  |  | Moments of Inertia, slug-ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Asc | Desc | x | y | $z$ | $\begin{gathered} I_{x-x} \\ (\text { roll }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I_{y-y} \\ \text { (pitch) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{z-z} \\ & \text { (yaw) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.0 | EXPENDED ON MOON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Structure | 15 |  | 301 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 4.0 | Crew Provisions | 68 |  | 266 | 18 | 18 | 13 | 4 | 12 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 155 | -70 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.0 | Environ Control |  | 181 | 143 | -40 | -48 | 29 | 8 | 36 |
| 10.0 | Reaction Control | 5 |  | 281 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Payload | -271 |  | 274 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | TOT WT AT | 10,502 |  | 243 | 1 | 1 | 6,114 | 3,320 | 5,276 |
|  | LUNAR LANDING |  | 4,680 | 149 | 7 | 0 | 5,419 | 3,284 | 3,425 |
|  |  |  |  | 214 | 2 | 1 | 11,560 | 12,691 | 14,811 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 9.0 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | CONSUMED ON DESCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Eviron Control |  | 24 | 140 | -49 | -49 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Propulsion |  | 17,357 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 11,383 | 7,309 | 4,788 |
|  | Reaction Control | 142 |  | 285 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 46 | 59 |
|  | TOTAL WEIGHT \& | 10,644 |  | 243 | 1 | 1 | 6,182 | 3,420 | 5,389 |
|  | SEPARATION |  | 22,061 | 158 | 1 | 0 | 16,860 | 11,152 | 8,351 |
|  |  |  |  | 186 | 1 | 0 | 23,045 | 25,913 | 25,080 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 5.0 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | CONSUMED ON TRANSLUNAR FLIGHT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crew Provisions | -527 |  | 249 | - 1 | 47 | -67 | -51 | -108 |
|  | Eviron Control |  | 20 | 144 | -40 | -48 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  | Reaction Control | 5 |  | 264 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | TOTAL WEIGHT @ | 10,122 |  | 243 | 1 | - 1 | 5,861 | 3,112 | 5,280 |
|  | EARTH LAUNCH |  | 22,081 | 158 | 1 | 0 | 16,880 | 11,164 | 8,363 |
|  |  |  |  | 185 | 1 | 0 | 22,743 | 25,164 | 24,532 |

* From Ref. Datum

| AES TAXI PECOMMENDED CINIF IGUPATION | 12.0 CINTS |
| :---: | :---: |
| ASCEHT DPY WEIGHT | TATAL ASCENT |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { CIDE TITLE WEIGHT } & \text { C.G. } \\ \hline & \text { POUNS } X & Z \end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{llrrrr}1.0001 A S T \\ \text { STRUCT } & 1326 & 259- & 1 & 9 \\ 1.0 & \text { MM SHIELD } & 25 & 270 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ | DESCENT DP |
| 1. CaN WT INC 18-259-1 9 |  |
| 1.0 STRUCTURE 1369 259-1 9 |  |
| 2.0 STAB $\mathrm{COMTPOL} \quad \begin{array}{lllll}87 & 273 & 16 & -31\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0002 D S T S T F \\ & 1.0 \quad M M S H I: \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1. H2才 TK |
| $3.0 \quad \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ ASCEMT 289 275-3 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1111 B A T+E C A \\ & 1.11 \text { BAT+ECA } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3.0 TME PRGMEP $\quad 5 \quad 265$ 0 | 1.1511PTG SUP |
| 3.0 NAV+GUIDANCE 294, 275-3 10 | 1.1510PTG IMS |
|  | 1.0 strue |
| 4.0 CREW PRINVISN 103 246 9-31 | 2.0 STA |
| 5.0 ENVIPN ASC 347 269 12 0 |  |
| 5.8 ECS EXPND 61293 2.- 3 | 3.3 LAMDG |
| 5.43 H2, TK HTP - 1 |  |
| 5. BTY BYPASS - 106000 | 3.0 NAV+GUID |
| 5.7 GLYCNL - $37.25510-10$ |  |
| 5.811 LIAH |  |
| 5.0 HEAT PIPE - 3 - 0 | 5.0 . ENVIP.N |
| 5.O ENVIPSN COHT $24.4665 \quad 14 \ldots 2$ | 5.0 HEAT P |
| 7.0 INSTN ASC 202 249 -11-1.8 | 5. Q ENVIP.UN |
| 7.13 DATA STIPG - 3 - $285-0-35$ |  |
| 7.13 VOICE RECD $302850-35$ | 6.0 LAND ! NG |
| 7. 0 INSTPUMENTN $202 \quad 249-11-48$ | 7.14 SE |
| 8.0 ELECTP.IC PWP. 767 255 | $7 . \cap$ INS TPUME |
| 9.0 PRNPULSINA 695 226-3-8 | 8.0 EPS DE |
| 9.33 HELIUM -13 | 8.112 BAITPY |
| 9.12 TRAPD PPRPP-126-207 $4-2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.112 \text { BATTER } \\ & 8.12 . . \text { BAT C } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9.0 PROPULSISN 556 230-4-10 | $\begin{array}{ll} 8.1 & \text { BAT CVI } \\ 8.1 & \text { PTG ECA } \end{array}$ |
| 10.0 <br> 10.1 <br> 10. | 8.0 ELECTPIC |
| 10.0 PCS $\quad 305 \quad 265 \quad 0-3$ | 9.0 9.34 HELIUL 9.12 TRAPD |
| 11.0 COMMUNICATN 10026737 | 9.36 VENT VF |
|  | 9.0 |
| $4.6-4$ |  |

## gomirincitIA <br> QUTYILIartary

| PL $\quad 213$ 267-1 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.0 \text { cimnunctiv- } 16-138-48_{4}^{4} 44 \\ & 11.0 \text { TV } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TATAL DESCNT T+R 523132 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RY 4242257 1-3 | 11.0 communicatm . 14, 138-40 46 |  |
| *** ****** ****** | TRTAL DESCNT DRY 3891 154 7 | CINSUMED ON ASCENT |
|  | *** ****** ****** ****** |  |
| $\text { WF.IGHT } \bar{x} \text { C.G. } Y$ | ASCENT STAGE TPAPPED + PES IDUAL | 5.83 H2O 76 <br> 5.8 200  <br> 2.0 1 266 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \text { CT } & 1499 & 156 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 & 160 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$ | CODE TITLE WEIGHT $\times$ CNUNDS $\quad \underset{Y}{ }$ | 5.0 ENVIPRN CONT . ... $77 \ldots 300$ |
| ITG6 145 -49 -19 <br> SU-    |  | 9.111 PR.PPELLANT 4962 227 |
| Su     <br> S 40 176 50 50 |  | 9.n PFOPULSION 4962227 |
| $6 \quad 188-50-70$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} 5.7 & \text { GLYCNL } & 37 & 255 & 10-10 \\ 5.811 \text { LNH } & 8 & 261 & 19 & 5 \end{array}$ |  |
| 1517157 1-1 | 5.821 02 <br> 5.83  | 10.1 PCS PP. ${ }^{\text {PP }}-\frac{169}{138} 277$ |
|  | 5.83 H2S _ ASC - . 5300 .. 0.0 | 10.11 RCS PROP 138 269 <br> 10.1 P.CS PRNP 64 |
| SL $\quad 15 \quad 158 \quad 32 \quad 12$ | 5.0 ENVIPAN CNMT .-. 54.261 , $10 \ldots 10$ | $10.0 \text { R.CS } \quad-\quad 371 \ldots 274$ |
| DP. $\quad 37$ 132-60-45 | 9.12 TRAPD PROP 126 207 4 2 <br> 9.33. HELIUM 13 232 $-11-10$  | CINSUMED ON ASC 5410231 |
| CE $\quad 37-132-60-45$ |  | ****** ****** ****** |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { ES } & 302 & 156-19-46 \\ \text { ND- } & 213 & 148-30-47 \end{array}$ | 10.0 R.CS 81  <br> 64 060 |  |
| E - 4 - 190-50-65 | … -- - .... .-. .- | EXPEND OR JETT ISON ON MOON |
| HT $\quad 93-176 \quad 5-45$ | __ PAYLSAD . . $250 \ldots 27423.0$ | CODE TITLE WEIGHT |
| AP. $\begin{array}{llll}531 & 121 \quad 0 \quad 4\end{array}$ | TSTAL ASCENT T+P. $1051 \quad 251 \quad 6 \quad 23$ | $\begin{array}{llll}1 . & \text { WIMDOW CVR } & 2 & 2.55 \\ 1.0 & \text { TUPNEL CVP } & 13 & 305\end{array}$ |
| $153 \quad 8-15$ | ****** ****** ***** | 1.0 STPUCTUPE $\quad 15301$ |
| .-5-153 - $\mathbf{-}^{-15}$ | DESCENT STAGE TPAPPED + RES IDUAL |  |
|  656 162 58 <br> DS -556   <br>  159 63 36 |  | 4.52 FNOD 4.0 CREW PROVISN |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline & 429 & 180 & 50 & 50 \\ \text { LS } & - & 38 & 171 & 14 \end{array}$ |  | 4.0 CP.EW Privish 68 - 266 |
|  |  |  |
| L $\quad 10 \quad 185-50-70$ | 5.822 GOX DESC 2018440 | 10.1 R.CS PP.SP 5 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { W/P. } & 529 & 180 & 45 & 42\end{array}$ | 5.0 ENVIRAN CONT 18 14,3-39-48 | 10.0 P.CS 5281 |
| $\begin{array}{rr} 1640 \\ 947 \\ -50 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | - PAYLSAD - - 250 - 274 |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { ap } 455 & 129 & 0-1 \\ V E & 15 & 180 \\ \text { V } \end{array}$ | 9.0 PRSPULSIEN 505 , 132 --1-2 | EXPEND MANS ASC |
| $50.154 \quad 4=5$ |  |  |
| AnARI <br>  | 다TT․ $4.6-$ |  |

## Table 4.6-4

DETAILED WEIGHP STATEMENT - RECOMMENDED CONFIGURATION


Table 4.6-5 Detailed Weight

tatement Baseline Configuration

| DRY 3966 |  | CINSUMED IN DESCENT - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frisso |  | CIDE TITLF WFIGHT $\quad$ PUNNES $X \underset{Y}{\text { C.G. }} 2$ |
| F WFTGHT POUNDS $\times \quad$ C.G. $Y$ | 10.1 RCS PPAP 169 277 0 | $T 0.1$ RCS PRITP 2.2 282 0 0 <br> 10.1 RCS PRNP 54 284 0 0 <br>       |
| VISN $52.7849-147$ |  | $10.1 \quad$ RCS PRESP |
|  | 10.0 RCS $-307273-0$ | $10.0 \mathrm{RCS}-142.280-0$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}37 & 255 & 10-10 \\ 8 & 261 & 19 & 5 \\ 4 & 265 & 0 & 53\end{array}$ | CONSUMED ON ASC $\quad 5374 \quad 231 \quad 0 \quad 0$ | COMSMD DSC -ASC 142.285 0 |
|  4 4 266 0 <br>  5 300 0 0 |  |  |
| C.ONT $\quad$54 261 10 -10 | E.XPEND OR IFTTISAN ON MAEN - ASC.FNT | CONSUMED ON DESC.FNT -DESCFNT |
|  | $\text { GODE TITLE WEIGHT } \times \underset{\text { PAGNDS }}{\text { C.G. }}$ |  |
| $0 \mathrm{~N} \quad 139 \quad 209 \quad 3$ |  | 5.83 H2ה - 2.4 - $440-49-4.9^{-}$ |
|  | 1.0 STRUCTURE $\quad 15 \quad 30108$ | $140-49-49$ |
|  | 4.0-CRFW PREV-87-261 $13-79$ | 9.0 EXPND PRAP 17357 160 0 0 |
| $271 \quad 274 \quad 23 \quad 0$ |  | 9.0 PRIPPULSION $17357160 \quad 0 \quad 0$ |
| TFR 1072 257-6-23 | 4.110 PLSS CALIB - 12383749 |  |
|  | 4.0 CRFW PROVISN $68 \quad 266 \quad 18 \quad 18$ |  |
| T+RFSD | TO.1- RCS PRETP 5281 O | CENSTMED TIN XLINAR FI.T ASCFNT |
| .E. WFTGHT $\times$ C. ${ }^{\text {CTO }}$ | $\text { Tn.0 pes }-5 \quad 281 \quad 0 \quad \text { 斤 }$ |  |
|  16 138 -49 -49 <br> DFSC 2 184 40 -40 |  | 10.1 RCS PRAP $\quad 5 \quad 264 \quad 0 \quad 0$ |
| CONT ${ }^{-18} 143-39-48$ | EXPEND MOON ASC.-183 275 | $10 . h$ RGS 5 264 0 0 <br> CREW TRANSFR -527 249 1 47 |
|  50 156 $8-8$ <br> 455 129 $0-1$  | EXPEND OR IFTTISISN ON MONN DFSCENT | CONSME XL FLT AS - 52.2 2. 49 - 148 |
| ה- $0^{-1} 505132$ | CODE. TITLE WFIGHT $\quad$ C.G. $\quad$ PQ |  |
| T+P 52.313 | 4.113 PLSS RATtS - 10 155-70-35 | Consumed in xlunar flet descent |
|  | 4.0 CREW PROVISN $10 \quad 155-70 \quad 35$ |  |
| ASC.ENT | $\begin{array}{llll} 5.82 \\ 5.83 & 18 & 184 & 40 \\ H 20 & -40 \\ 163 & 138 & -49 & -49 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 5.0 ENVIREN CONT $181143-40-48$ | 5.0 ENVIREN CONT 20 144-40-48 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 76 \pi \\ 1260-0-5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXPEND MEIN DESC. $191 \quad 143-42-44$ | CONSMD XL FL.T D $\quad 20 \quad 144-40-48$ |
| c.ant $773000-1$ |  |  |

Table 4.6-6
$\triangle W I$ CHANGES FROM BASELINE TO RECOMMENDED CONFIGURATION

| Code | Subsystem | Item | Weight Change | Description of Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.0 | Instrumentation | Voice Recorder | + 3 | Required for Mission |
|  |  | Ascent Dry Weight | + 3 |  |
| 1.0 | Structure | RTG Insulation <br> RTG Supports <br> Battery \& ECA Supports | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 7 \\ -10 \end{array}$ | Better Information $z^{`}$ ailable After Baseline Configuration was Defined |
| 8.0 | Electric Power | Descent Batteries | -78 | Change in Power Profile |
|  |  | Descent Dry Weight | -75 |  |
|  | Payload |  | -21 | Ascent Propellant Offloaded |
|  |  | Ascent Trapped \& Residual | -21 |  |
| 9.0 | Propulsion | Ascent Propellant | -28 | Offloaded to Maintain 32750\# Separation Weight <br> Additional Contingency Requirements |
| 10.0 | Reaction Control | Propellant | +64 |  |
|  |  | Consumed on Ascent , | +36 |  |
| 4.0 | Crew Provisions Payload | PLSS Calib Unit | $+1$ | ```Omitted in Error Payload Reduced from 271 to 250 pounds``` |
|  |  |  | +21 |  |
|  |  | Expended on Moon - Ascent | +22 |  |
| 5.0 | Environmetal Control | Water | +80 | Reestimated Water Requirement for Thermal Control |
|  |  | Expended on Moon Descent | +80 |  |
|  |  | Total Changes | +45 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
Taole 4.6-7
| Code | Subsystem | Item | $\Delta W \mathrm{t}$ | Reason for Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | Structure | Control Weight $\Delta$ | +18 | Transferred from descent to ascent stage. |
| 3.0 | Nav \& Guid | Time Programmer | +5 | Omitted by error from Mid-Term Report. |
| 5.0 | Environ Control | Heat Pipe | -7 | Better information |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Tank Heater Battery Bypass | $\begin{aligned} & +1 \\ & +1 \end{aligned}$ | Omitted by error from Mid-Term Report. |
|  |  | ASCENT DRY WT $\Delta$ s | +18 |  |
| 1.0 | Structure | Window Cover Tunne1 Cover | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \\ & -10 \end{aligned}$ | Transferred to <br> "Expended on Moon - Ascent" |
|  |  | Control Weight $\Delta$ | -18 | Transferred to ascent stage. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \\ & 8.0 \end{aligned}$ | Environ Control Elect Power | Heat Pipe RTG Provisions | $\begin{aligned} & -11 \\ & -25 \end{aligned}$ | Better <br> information. |
|  |  | DESCENT DRY WT $\Delta \mathrm{s}$ | -74 |  |
| 1.0 | Structure | Window Cover Tunnel Cover | $\begin{aligned} & +2 \\ & +13 \end{aligned}$ | Transferred from "Descent Dry Wt" \& reduced by 5 lb. |
| 11.0 | Communications | EVA Antenna \& RF Cable | -4 | Omitted by error from Mid-Term Report. |
|  |  | EXPENDED ON MOON-ASCENT | +11 |  |
|  |  | TOTAL WT CHANGES | -45 |  |

Table 4.6-8
MASS PROPERTIES SUMMARY-SUBSYSTEM AIRERNATES

| Alternates | Wt, lb | cg, in. <br> from Ref Datum |  |  | Moments of Inertia, slug-ft2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | x | y | z | $I_{x x}(\mathrm{roll})$ | $I_{y y}$ (pitch) | $\mathrm{I}_{z z}$ (yaw) |
| Add RCS Cluster Covers | 100 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 105 | 105 |
| Replace Descent Batteries With Zox Batteries | -156 | 179 | 52 | 64 | 43 | 36 | - 6 |
| Direct R.T.G. Radiation | 10 | 237 | -63 | -19 | 0 | - 4 | - 1 |
| Carry Own RTG | 50 | 140 | -50 | -70 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right \& Left Polarization of $S$ Band Antenna. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| More Elaborate Prelaunch Check Out | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NOTE: All inertias are about the Alternate Delta change C.G.

Detailed Weight Statement-Subsystem Alternates


## 5. SUBSYSTEM ENGINEERING

### 5.1 ELECTRICAL POWER

### 5.1.1. Ground Rules

There were no NASA ground rules unique to the Taxi Electrical Power Subsystem (EPS).

### 5.1.2 Assumptions and Background Data

It was assumed for this study that the Taxi EPS must supply power for the same basic mission as the LEM with the following differences:

- Lunar stay is increased to 336 hr (LEM mission is 35 hr )
- No experiment power is furnished by the Taxi.


### 5.1.2.1. Power and Energy Requirements

The design power profile, based on the EPS critical time line (109-hr translunar flight and $336-\mathrm{hr}$ night surface mission), is presented in Fig. 5.1-la through c and Tables 5.1-la through g.

The specific equipment power levels are based on LEM current status and/or the latest information available from vendor and test data. A factor of $20 \%$ has been added for growth to account for unforeseen changes. Essentially, the Taxi power and energy requirements are the same as the LEM from Earth launch through lunar touch-down, and from pre-lunar launch checkout through rendezvous. The main difference between the LEM and Taxi occurs during the lunar stay period. The LEM is used to directly support 35 hr of lunar experiments.

After touch-down and checkout has insured that the Taxi is in good condition, and it has been established that the Shelter can support its intended mission (go/no go decision), the Taxi will be shut down. Only those loads which are required to maintain the Taxi so that it will not be damaged or degraded, along with those required to transmit a status report every 2 hr , will be left on. Loading during secure-forstorage and reactivation has been assumed to change linearly between the quiescent level and the nominal lunar mission level in 12 and 9 min , respectively.

A detailed summary sheet, showing the specific load requirements and assumed duty cycles, has been included for the Taxi quiescent phase. Loading has been broken down between night and day missions, with and without a Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generator (RTG).

### 5.1.2.2. Power Sources Background Data

The following power sources were considered for use on the Taxi: silver-zinc batteries, zinc-oxygen batteries, and radioisotope thermoelectric generator.
5.1.2.2.1 Silver-Zinc Batteries. The LEM ascent and descent batteries differ, each has been designed and optimized for its specific missions and profiles. Although both are high merit factor, silver-zinc, primary-type batteries, there are differences in their design. The ascent battery has been designed to be used with a pre-discharge and discharged at high rates of up to 3000 to 4000 w . The descent battery is designed for cell taps and discharge rates approximately $1 / 3$ of the ascent battery. The significant characteristics of both of these batteries are shown in Table 5.1-2.

The two major differences in design considerations between the Taxi and LEM battery power supplies are: the Taxi has a l3-day longer mission time, and the descent energy requirement is approximately $75 \%$ of the LEM.

Activated wet life of silver-zinc, primary-type, batteries is always a primary design consideration. Batteries initially designed for a 30-day wet stand plus a l50-hr mission (LEM) cannot be extended for use on a 450-hr mission (Taxi) without changing some of the other design requirements and/or the probability for mission success.

The primary design problem of activated wet life rests with the separator design. Time, temperature, and state of charge (potential gradient) are the major factors contributing to separator degradation. By operating at low temperatures and discharge rates, the rate of separator degradation will be appreciably reduced. Since the Taxi battery discharge rates and temperatures for its 330-hr quiescent storage period is low, it was estimated that the LEM batteries could perform over the extended Taxi time line with some slight reduction in reliability.

Figure 5.1-2 shows the estimated loss of capacity as a function of lunar stay temperature, and an estimate of the reliability to be expected with the assigned derating. These curves are based on the normal LEM discharge prior to the quiescent period. The portion of the curves from 96 to 336 hr is conservative, since there is insufficient supporting data to provide confidence that better reliability could be achieved. Little support data is available for this particular cell at temperatures above $125^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$; however, short periods of operation at temperatures up to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ may be encountered without expectation of failures. To realize full rated capacity, with lunar stay time extended to 336 hr , the cell temperatures must be kept below $88^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. At the low discharge rates obtained during quiescent operation, little heat is dissipated in the battery, and the cell temperature will be at about the same temperature as the battery case temperature. The descent battery cases must be maintained below an upper temperature limit of $88^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

During long-term storage, temperatures below $88^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ will result in improved reliability and high capacity retention. At temperatures of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and lower, storage up to 3 months would have little effect on capacity or reliability. In the case of the ascent batteries, which must be capable of supplying immediate power in the event of an abort, the storage temperature must not be less than $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
5.1.2.2.2 Zinc-oxygen Batteries. Zinc-oxygen (ZOX) batteries are a hybird utilizing a consumable metallic zinc anode (similar to that of a silver-zinc battery) and gaseous oxygen. The oxygen is fed to a non-consumable cathode (sintered electrode made of teflon impregnated with platinum black and silver as activation agents). It operates as a primary battery and has some characteristics of a low-temperature fuel
cell, without the degradation problems. The electroyte is $40 \%$ potassium hydroxide, similar to that of silver-zinc batteries. The oxygen pressure requirement is 15 psi for the Leesona Moos design, and 150 psi for the Eagle-Pitcher design. Oxygen is stored externally and could be supplied from the LEM oxygen tank supply with a pressure regulating valve in the feed line. Approximately 0.5 lb . of oxygen is required per kw-hr of energy produced.

The electrical characteristics closely follow those obtained with a medium to lowrate silver-zinc battery, except for the specific voltages and the peroxide/ monoxide voltage effect. A comparison of the weights of ZOX batteries and silverzinc batteries as a function of capacity and discharge rate is shown in Fig. 5.1-3.

The reaction product is a zinc compound, which remains within the pores of the fuel electrode so that no problem of disposal exists. Cell voltage as a function of current density and discharge time is plotted in Fig. 5.l-4. Output voltage increases with increasing operating pressure as shown in Fig. 5.1-5. In the Eagle-Picher system, power falls off rapidly below 40 psi, and at pressures above 150 psi , the container package weight becomes excessive for the optimum cylindrical configuration. Pressures between 100 and 150 psi appear to result in minimum weight.

Operation at temperatures down to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ is likely to result in a loss of $20 \%$ of capacity. The upper operating temperature limit of $120^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ is possible for a short time, but side reactions of zinc with potassium hydroxide result in the production of hydrogen, and continuous operation should be limited to temperatures below $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

The Leesona Moos ZOX battery is designed to operate at atmospheric pressure. This battery is in an early development stage with primary effort being directed to singlecell performance. Leesona Moos does not feel that any difficult problems remain to be solved in developing qualified units for the Taxi; however, they have not engineered a complete battery system nor established a program for its development and qualification.

Eagle-Picher is under contract to Lockheed to develop a 20 kw -hr, low-rate, ZOX battery. At present, they are in the initial stages of assembly and testing of a preproduction unit with about 6 months to a year before qualification testing.
5.1.2.2.3 Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generator. The radiolsotope thermoelectric generator (RTG) produces 1300 w of thermal energy and 50 w of electrical energy at 14 v DC. The RTG works with a power conditioning package (PCP) that is used to boost and regulate the RTG voltage to $29 \mathrm{v} \pm 1 \%$ so that it will be compatible with spacecraft equipment

The RTG consists of a fuel component (radioisotope heat source) and a thermoelectric generator. The fuel used is Pu-238. Initially, enough heat is produced to generate 66 w of electrical energy. At the end of 1 yr , the amount of heat produced generates 56 w . The SNAP 27 design characteristics are shown in Table 5.1-3.

Full load efficiency of the PCP is $90 \%$. Output from the PCP is 59 w at $29 \mathrm{v} \pm 1 \%$ at initial conditions and 50 w at $29 \mathrm{v} \pm 1 \%$ after 1 yr , It may be necessary to modify the PCP regulating point from 29 to 32 v so that the RTG may be paralleled with the Taxi silver-zinc batteries and properly share its load requirements. Performance curves for the RTG are shown in Fig. 5.1-6.

### 5.1.3 Recommended Configuration

The recommended EPS configuration (Fig. 5.l-7) consists of three modified descent batteries, two LEM ascent batteries, provisions for interconnecting a RTG power source to support the 14 -day quiescent period, and the controls and modifications required to integrate the difference in logic and operational requirements between the Taxi and LEM. The two LEM $350-V A$ inverters and the present LEM Electracal Control Assemblies are retained with no change.

### 5.1.3.1 System Description

The primary power for the Taxi is DC, except for the prelaunch phase when both the AC and DC power requirements are provided by the GSE power source.

The recommended configuration uses batteries for all manned phases of the mission, CSM-supplied power for the translunar phase, and a RTG and batteries for the quiescent phase. The AC power requirements are supplied by an inverter for all phases except for that portion of the prelaunch phase supplied by GSE AC power.

Three descent stage batteries provide $36 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$ of stored energy during mission phases up to lunar prelaunch. During the lunar quiescent phase, these batteries provide the power in excess of the RTG capability. Two ascent stage batteries provide $18 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$ of stored energy for the lunar launch, beginning with the pre-launch preparation through docking and crew transfer. The two LEM 350-VA inverters, one on each DC bus, can individually supply the complete AC power requirements. The second inverter is a back-up unit, and is only used when the first inverter fails.

The RTG provides quiescent power, supplemented by the three descent stage batteries, as described above. It will be removed from the Shelter and connected into the Taxi electrical distribution section and thermal loop for the cabin night heat requirements.
5.1.3.1.1 Feeder Configuration. Except for the additional feeder interconnection in the descent stage necessitated by the RTG, the feeder configuration is the same as for the LEM.
5.1.3.1.2 Bus Configuration. The bus configuration is the same as used in the LEM. The two DC busses are paralleled through either or both sets of redundant feeders which can be energized from any of the primary DC power sources. The AC bus may be powered from either of two inverters or from GSE AC power when available.
5.1.3.1.3 Protection and Control Equipment. The protection requirements are the same as for the LEM and the same equipment is proposed. Associated with each battery is an Electrical Control Assembly (ECA) which monitors the battery for overcurrent, reverse current, and magnitude of current. A relay contact within the ECA can be opened to disconnect the battery from its feeder. The contact opens automatically in the event of an overcurrent condition; it may be remotely positioned from the control panel in the cabin. An RTG control assembly carried in the Taxi will provide the means for electrically connecting the RTG into the Taxi distribution section.

A relay junction box ( RJB ) and dead face relay ( $D F R$ ) rpovides the means for deadfacing and isolating the power interconnections of the GSE, CSM, descent stage feeders, batteries, and RTG. In addition, the RJB provides relays and control logic for automatic transfer from descent to ascent batteries either on receipt of an abort signal, or on sensing the failure of two or more descent batteries to supply power.

To isolate faults between bus and load, circuit breakers are provided at the bus. The LEM configuration of circuit breakers and power diodes is used for isolating feeder faults from the DC busses.
5.1.3.1.4 Silver-Zinc Batteries. The recommended ascent battery configuration is the same as the LEM. The descent battery configuration recommended is based on using the same battery as used in the Shelter. While the present LEM descent battery could be used for the Taxi, it is preferable to use a modified battery for the following reasons.

- By modifying the battery with extra plate area, two batteries could support a descent mission within voltage regulation. This allows one descent battery to be removed from the LEM complement of four descent batteries and still allow for the completion of the descent mission with a descent battery failure.
- Taxi mission is 13 days longer than the IEM mission, which increases the battery stand life by that length of time. The separator degradation can be minimized by maintaining battery temperature between 40 and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Even so, the reliability is lowered slightly. Since the Shelter battery has to be designed for a long standlife ( $90-$ day mission) and must perform essentially the same descent mission, it would be suitable for the Taxi and would provide increased probability for Taxi mission success.
- A common battery design for Shelter and Taxi would provide interchangeability between the two vehichles.
- Development time for the battery modification is no problem for the time period in which they are required. Development cost for the Taxi battery is not affected since the Shelter battery requires a modification for its long standlife.

The descent batteries are slightly longer and heavier than the LEM batteries, because of the increase in separator thickness and increased plate area required for the higher two-battery profile.
5.1.3.1.5 RTG Power Supply. Provision is made in the Taxi to connect the RTG into the DC distribution section and the cabin thermal loop. A pigtail cable and connector from the Taxi is connected to the RTG once it is mounted to the Taxi. An RTG control assembly (Taxi component) provides the means for connecting and controlling the electrical output of the RTG to the Taxi EPS.

A heat-pipe assembly installed in the Taxi is used to transfer the required amount of radiated waste heat from the RTG to the cabin thermal loop.

### 5.1.3.2 Performance

The EPS performance will be the same as the LEM with respect to the electrical characteristics of $A C$ and $D C$ voltage and $A C$ frequency. The electric power and total
energy requirements will differ from LEM because of mission changes. To accommodate these changes, the batteries are sized according to the mission power profile and the power available from the RTG.

The steady-state voltage limits for the battery are 28 to 32.5 v DC . The minimum operating voltage for the subsystem DC load equipment are specified lower than 28 v DC to allow for voltage drops in circuit breakers, wire, connectors, contacts, and current sensors.

The steady-state voltage limits for the inverter are $117 \pm 1.17 \mathrm{v}$. The subsystem AC load equipment voltage operating range will be $115 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{v}$ to allow for miscellaneous voltage drops. The energy requirements and capacities are summarized below:

| DC POWER | $\begin{gathered} \text { AVAIIABLE } \\ \text { ENERGY } \\ (\mathrm{kw-hr}) \end{gathered}$ | ```MAX. ENERGY USED* (kw-hr)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-descent batteries (12 kw-hr, ea) | 36 | 35.6 |
| 2-ascent batteries (9 kw-hr, ea) | 18 | 15.7 |
| I-RTG (50-w output) | 16.6 | 16.5 |
| CSM (translunar only) | --- | 11.0 |
| AC POWER | POWER RATING (VA) | MAX. POWER USED (VA) |
| 2-AC inverters (115 v, 400 cps , ea) | 350 | 145.8 |
| (one inverter used only as backup) |  |  |

### 5.1.4 Baseline Configuration

The only significant difference between the baseline and recommended configuations are the descent batteries. The three modified descent batteries used for the baseline configuration were rated at $13 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}, 170 \mathrm{lb}$, with one redundant battery. Therefore, with the baseline configuration (Fig. 5.1-7), the total mission could be completed with the loss of one battery. The energy requirements are increased from $26 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$.

### 5.1.5 Alternate Configuration - Zinc-Oxygen Batteries

As a means to reduce weight, zinc-oxygen (ZOX) batteries were considered as an alternate to the silver-zinc descent batteries of the recommended configuration. The ZOX batteries have the capabilities of delivering $50 \%$ higher energy per unit weight than the best silver-zinc batteries. By use of this new type of battery, a potential weight savings of 160 Ib may be achieved. Although the ZOX batteries are in the early state of development, it appears that the Taxi schedule would be

* based on a 14-day "all-night" mission
compatible with the time required for their development and qualification. Thus, it is felt that their use should be further investigated during the Phase $C$ effort, when a decision could be made on whether to develop them as a significant weight saving alternate.

An oxygen-supply/pressure-regulating system and an electrical control assembly would have to be developed for this configuration. All other basic controls, logic power and energy requirements would remain essentially the same as the recommended configuration. A schematic, including the electrical and reactant control systems, is shown in Fig. 5.1-8.

The ZOX battery requires oxygen as a consumable at the approximate rate of 0.5 lb per kw-hr of electrical output power. Eagle-Picher proposes the use of oxygen at pressure of a 100 to 150 psi, whereas Leesona Moos Labs proposes to operate at atmospheric pressures. For this alternate configuration, it was felt that the higher pressure system might result in a more optimum weight. The oxygen is obtained from the 3000 psi descent GOX tanks; a pressure regulator is required to drop and regulate the pressure within the limit for the batteries. There is no tank penalty associated with this oxygen requirement, since 24 lb of capacity are available in excess of the metabolic oxygen requirement.

Since the electrical output characteristics of the ZOX battery closely parallels that of the present LEM batteries, it was felt that similar control and monitoring requirements would exist with the exception of the battery tap control. Also, control of the input oxygen supply is required. The power consumption for this control assembly was estimated at $7.5 \mathrm{w}, 2.5 \mathrm{w}$ higher than the battery ECA. The weight was estimated at 10 lb , the same as battery ECA. Because of the higher estimated power consumption of the ECA, control power energy requirements for the design mission was $0.8 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$ per battery higher than that estimated for the recommended batteries.

Besides the oxygen supply and electrical control requirements described above, these batteries will have to be temperature controlled within a range similar to the sil-ver-zinc batteries. To maintain some interchangeability with the descent batteries and to utilize the LEM system for waste heat removal, a cylindrical shape has been assumed for the battery with longitudinal flanges for heat removal and structural attachment to the cold rail. The battery would be located between the cold rails in the same manner as the LEM descent batteries. It was estimated that excess heat generated would be about $20 \%$ greater than the silver-zinc batteries, requiring about 10 extra 1 b of ECS water.

### 5.1.6 Potential Modification Per Flight

The quiescent energy requirement for the day mission is $20.5 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$. This is $17 \mathrm{kw}-$ hr less than that required for the night mission. Therefore, it is possible to remove one of the three descent batteries. However, this would result in reduced reliability and probability of mission success, since a single battery failure would preclude completion of a descent mission and thus require an abort. Therefore, it is preferable to use three batteries which allows a trade-off of partial day-night missions with increased reliability.

A potential modification for an all-day mission would be the elimination of the use of the RTG and the removal of control and other equipment installed to support the

RTG use on the Taxi. The day mission, without the use of a RTG, requires $35.5 \mathrm{kw}-$ hr of energy from the descent power supply. Since the recommended configuration descent power supply produces $36 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$ of energy, it is capable of supporting an all-day mission without the use of the RTG.

### 5.1.7 Discussion of Configuration Choices

In considering the different EPS configurations to support the Taxi missions, the following approach was taken:

- Only the descent power supply would be considered for revision, since the ascent mission requirements are assumed to be the same as LEM
- Subsystem design optimization is centered about the quiescent phase, where both electrical and thermal energy requirements have to be satisfied.

Table 5.1-4 is an energy and weight trade-off summary of the candidate configurations evaluated.

### 5.1.7.1 RTG

The use of an RTG power source is always attractive where it can be continuously loaded near its full load rating for long periods of time. The quiescent phase time line of 330 hours, and the continuous load requirements of over 50 w for the night missions and 43 w for the day mission, give this type of supply a significant weight advantage over the best silver-zinc batteries.

An additional significant weight advantage of the RTG, is the use of a portion of the excess generated heat to help maintain the cabin above $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ during the lunar night mission. (See Fig. 5.1-9 for the quiescent phase energy requirements.) It is estimated that a total of 148 w of the thermal energy is required to make up the cabin thermal losses. A "heat pipe" (boiler) concept has been investigated which would be designed to convert enough of the RTG excess radiated heat to supply the cabin thermal loop with 110 thermal w. The other 38 w required is obtained from the electrical equipments in the cabin thermal loop. Without the RTG heat, an additional $36 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$ of electrical energy would be required which would double the electrical requirements for this mission phase. It has been assumed that the RTG will be installed on the Shelter and will be available for use on the Taxi after it lands on the lunar surface. Thus, only the weight required to connect it to the Taxi thermal and electrical systems are charged against the Taxi. (Refer to Table 5.1-5 for battery and RTG vs all-battery energy and weight trade-offs).

Because of its significant weight advantages, the RTG has been incluled in the baseline, recommended, and alternate configurations.

### 5.1.7.2 Zinc-Oxygen Batteries

In comparison to silver-zinc batteries, ZOX batteries begin to show significant weight advantages when energy requirements exceed the range of 7 to $10 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$. From its estimated performance, it appears that ZOX batteries could deliver upwards of $50 \%$ more energy per unit weight than the best performing silver-zinc batteries. It was estimated that a ZOX battery configuration would be 160 lb lighter than the recommended configuration. (Table 5.1-4). For this reason, the ZOX batteries have been proposed as an alternate configuration for the descent stage batteries.

### 5.1.7.3 Baseline vs. Recommended Configurations

The main difference between the baseline and recommended configurations is the concept of three $50 \%$ descent batteries for the baseline, three $33-1 / 3 \%$ (long-life) descent batteries for the recommended configuration. All three descent batteries are required for an all-night $330-\mathrm{hr}$ quiescent phase, and for this critical design mission they are considered non-redundant.

However, an all-day $330-\mathrm{hr}$ mission can be supported by two descent batteries, and in this case, the batteries can be considered as three $50 \%$ batteries, i.e., one battery is redundant for the quiescent phase.

Also shown in Fig. 5.1-10 is: the maximum mix of a night-day mission that can be completed with two batteries -- 70 hr of night and 260 hr of day; and the maximum all-night mission ( 6 days) that can be completed with two batteries.

Table 5.1-1a
TAXI ELECTRICAL POWER SUMMARY, TRANSLUNAR PHASE ( 111.6 hr ) (Includes 2.6 hrs for Lunar Insertion \& Coast)


Design Energy ( $111.6 \mathrm{hr} \times 97.7 \mathrm{w}$ ) $=10.9 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$

Table 5.1-1b
ELECTRICAL POWER SUMMARY - GO/NO DECISION PHASE

It has been assumed that the following power will be required while awaiting a go-no/go decision from the results of the Shelter check-out.


Table 5.1-1c
TAXI ELECTRICAL POWER SUMMARY, SECURE FOR QUIESCENT PHASE ( 0.2 hr )
It has been assumed that the following equipments will be on while the Taxi is being secured for quiescent:

| Subsystem | Power, watts | Duty Cycle | Avg. Power, watts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Communications |  |  |  |
| ```S-Band Steerable Ant. Htr. Crew Provisions``` | 6.0 | Cont. | 6.0 |
| Exterior Lights (2)** | 150.0 | Cont. | 150.0 |
| EPS |  |  |  |
| ECAs | 15.0 | Cont. | 15.0 |
| ECS |  |  |  |
| Glycol Pump | 28.0 | Cont. | 28.0 |
| Cabin Fan* | 39.0 | Cont. | 39.0 |
| Suit Fan* | 152.2 | Cont. | 152.2 |
| G N \& C |  |  |  |
| IMU (Standby) | 45.5 | Cont. | 45.5 |
| LGC (Standby)* | 10.0 | Cont. | 10.0 |
| ASA (Standby) | 10.0 | Cont. | 10.0 |
| Taxi Sequencer Assy | 0.5 | Cont. | 0.5 |
| Rend. Radar Htr. | 25.0 | Cont. | 25.0 |
| - | Sub-Total |  | 481.2 |
|  | Dist. Losses (7.5\%) |  | 36.1 |
|  | Current Status Avg. Power |  | 517.3 |
|  | Growth Allow. (20\%) |  | 103.5 |
|  | Design Avg. Power |  | 620.8 |
| $\text { Design-Energy }=(0.2 \mathrm{hr} \times 620.8 \mathrm{w})=0.12 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$ <br> Shut-down at the end of $12-\mathrm{min}$. period. <br> Potential Alternate Adītion |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


NOTE: $\quad 5.83 \%$ Duty-Cycle: $5-\mathrm{mln}$ Warmup \& 2-mın Status Transmıssion every 2 hr ; $1.67 \%$ Duty-Cycle: 2-min Status Transmission every 2 hr .
**Equipment counted in cabin balance: Totel heat required for cabin is 148 w for night massion. Equipt in cabin heat balance contributes 37.8 w without RTG heat, 110.2 w are required from electrical cabin heaters. cabin heat balance (**), and has been based on the use of RCS cluster covers. ****Potential alternate addition to recommended configuration.
(VI) $5-14$

Table 5.1-le
TAXI ELECTRICAL POWER SUMMARY, PRELAUNCH ACTIVATION PHASE (FIRST 9 MIN)
It is assumed that the following power will be required when reactivating the Taxi
from the quiescent phase:

| Subsystem | Power, watts | Duty Cycle | Avg. Power, watts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Communications }}{\text { S-Band Steer }}$. Ant. Htr . | 6.0 | Cont. | 6.0 |
| $\frac{\text { Crew Provisions }}{\text { Floodlighting }}$ | 30.0 | Cont. | 30.0 |
| EPS - ECA's | 15.0 | Cont. | 15.0 |
| ECS |  |  |  |
| Cabin Fan | 78.0 | Cont. | 78.0 |
| Suit Fan | 152.2 | Cont. | 152.2 |
| Glycol Pump | 28.0 | Cont. | 28.0 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-Sensor | 1.0 | Cont. | 1.0 |
| Relay Box | 7.4 | 10\% | 0.7 |
| Instrumentation |  |  |  |
| PCMTEA | 12.9 | Cont. | 12.9 |
| Gaution \& Warn. Assy | 22.0 | Cont. | 22.0 |
| Signal Cond. Elect. Assy | 44.4 | Cont. | 44.4 |
| Sensors | 15.8 | Cont. | 15.8 |
| G N \& C |  |  |  |
| Rend. Radar Htr. | 25.0 | Cont. | 25.0 |
| IMU (Standby) | 45.5 | Cont. | 45.5 |
| ASA (Standby) | 10.0 | Cont. | 10.0 |
| Taxi Sequencer Assy | 0.5 | Cont. | 0.5 |
| RCS Cluster Htrs. | 1000.0 | Cont. | 1000.0 |
|  |  | -Total | 1487.0 |
|  | Dis | sses (7.5\%) | $\underline{111.5}$ |
|  | Current St | Avg. Power | 1598.5 |
|  | Gro | llow. (20\%) | 319.7 |
|  | Design | ge Power | 1918.2 |
| Design Energy $=(0.15 \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{1918.2} \mathrm{w})=0.29 \mathrm{kw-hr}$ |  |  |  |

TAXI ELECTRICAL POWER - PRE-LAUNCH ACTIVATION PHASE (REMAINING 49 MIN.)
It is assumed that the following power will be required after the first 9 min. of
Taxi re-activation

| Subsystem | Power, watts | Duty Cycle | Avg. Power, watts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Communications |  |  |  |
| S-Band Transceiver | 36.0 | Cont. | 36.0 |
| S-Band Pwr. Ampl. | 62.0 | Cont. | 62.0 |
| S-Band Steer. Ant. Htr. \& Elect. | 10.9 | Cont. | 10.9 |
| Signal Processor Assy. | 15.5 | Cont. | 15.5 |
| Crew Provisions |  |  |  |
| Floodlıghting | 30.0 | Cont. | 30.0 |
| EL Lıghting (A.C.) | 6.2 | Cont. | 6.2 |
| Master Alarm Comp. Caut./Ann. Lts. | 1.5 | Cont. | 1.5 |
| EPS |  |  |  |
| ECA's | 15.0 | Cont. | 15.0 |
| Inverter Losses (assume 65\% eff.) | 5.1 | Cont. | 5.1 |
| ECS (same as "First 9 min") | 259.9 | Cont. | 259.9 |
| $\frac{\text { Instrumentation }}{\text { "First } 9 \min ^{1} \text { ) }}$ (same as | 95.1 | Cont. | 95.1 |
| G N \& C |  |  |  |
| IMU (Standby) | 45.5 | Cont. | 45.5 |
| LGC (Standby) | 10.0 | Cont. | 10.0 |
| Taxi Sequencer Assy | 0.5 | Cont. | 0.5 |
| Rend. Radar Htr. | 50.0/25.0 | 20\%/80\% | 30.0 |
| Rend. Radar Elect. | 162.0 | 10\% | 16.2 |
| Rend. Radar Elect. (A.C.) | 14.0 | 10\% | 1.4 |
| RCS Cluster Htrs. | 1000.0 | cont. | 1000.0 |
| Sub-Total 1650.8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Current Status Avg. Pwr. 1774.6 |  |  |  |
| Growth Allow. (20\%) 354.9 |  |  |  |
| Design Avg. Power $\quad \underline{2129.5}$ |  |  |  |

Design Energy $=(0.82 \mathrm{hr} \times 2129.5)=1.75 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$

Table 5.1-lg

TAXI-ENERGY SUMMARY

| MISSION PHASE | PHASE TIME, hr | ENERGY, kw-hr | POWER SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Countdown, Launch Through Transposition | 6.80 | 0.80 | Descent Batt. |
| Translunar | 111.60 | 10.97 | CSM (FCAS) |
| Preseparation c/o | 1.25 | 2.00 | Descent Batt. |
| Preseparation c/o | . 25 | 0.40 | Ascent Batt. |
| Descent | 1.70 | 3.39 | Descent Batt. |
| Post Landing c/o | 1.00 | 1.34 | Descent Batt. |
| Post Landing c/o | . 25 | 0.34 | Ascent Batt. |
| Go-No/Go Decision \& Secure for Quiescent | 2.90 | 3.33 | Descent Batt. |
| Quiescent (Night Mission) | 330.00 | 16.5 | RTG |
|  |  | 21.0 | Descent Batt. |
| Quiescent (Day Mission) | 330.00 | 14.0 | RTG |
|  |  | 6.50 | Descent Batt. |
| Prelaunch Activation | 0.97 | 2.04 | Descent Batt. |
| Prelaunch Preparation | 1.17 | 1.73 | Descent Batt. |
| Prelaunch Preparation | 0.50 | 0.74 | Ascent Batt. |
| Powered ascent, orbitcontingency, coast, rendezvous dock \& crew transfer | 11.73 | 14.2 | Ascent Batt. |


|  |  | ENERGY, kw-hr |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Night Mission | Day Mission |
|  | CSM | 11.0 | 11.0 |
|  | Descent Batt. | 35.6 | 21.1 |
|  | Ascent Batt. | 15.7 | 15.7 |
|  | RTG | 16.5 | 14.0 |

Table 5.1-2

## BATIERY CHARACTERISTICS

| Characterıstics | Ascent (LEM/Taxı) | Descent (LEM) | Mod Desc (Estım.) <br> Taxi/Shelter <br> Recomd Config |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designation | Asc-1C | Desc II-B | Desc. (Mod) |
| No. Cells | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Welght, lb | 130.5 | 139 | 147 |
| Volume, cu in. | 1545 | 1500 | 1630 |
| Dimensions in. ( $\mathrm{h} \times \mathrm{w} \times \mathrm{l}$ ) | $8.0 \times 5.125 \times 37.0$ | $10.0 \times 8.813 \times 17.5$ | $10.0 \times 8.813 \times 190$ |
| Capacity amp-hr | 340/300* | 400 | 400 |
| Energy, kw-hr | 10.2/9.0* | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| watt-hr/lb | 78/69* | 91 | 82 |
| watt-hr/cu in. | 6.6/5.8* | 8.2 | 7.35 |
| Mıssion watt-hr/lb | $62 \text { IEMM } 60$ | 82 | 81 |
| watt-hr/cu 1 n . | $5.25 .0^{\text {Tax }}$ | 7.5 | 7.3 |
| Wt of Terminals \& Other Hdwe, lb | Zero | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Canıster Weight, 1 b | 105 | 10.0 | 10.2 |
| Canister Materıal | Mg | Mg | Mg |
| Reliabılıty Estimate | 0.995 (LEM Massion) | 0.995 (LEM Mission) | 0.998 (Taxı Mıssion) |
| Cyclıng Capabılıty | 3 | 3 (Test only) | 3 (Test only) |
| Cell Welght, lb | 6.0 | 6.28 | 6.63 |
| Silver Welght/Cell, gm | 952 | 956 | 975 |
| Zinc Welght/Cell, gm | 746 | 850 | 865 |
| Cell Dimensions, in. (w x th x h ) |  |  |  |
| with Terminal | $4.9 \times 1.82 \times 7.5$ | $4.3 \times 1.68 \times 9.25$ | $4.3 \times 1.76 \times 9.25$ |
| without Terminal | $4.9 \times 1.82 \times 7.0$ | $4.3 \times 1.68 \times 8.75$ | $4.3 \times 1.76 \times 8.75$ |
| No. Cells, Posıtıve/Negative | 12/13 | 9/10 | 11/12 |
| Total Effectuve Cell Area, sq in. | 620 | 494 | 604 |
| Separator System |  |  |  |
| Electrolyte Qty, cc,wt, gm, Conc, \% | 320/432/35 | 300/420/40 | 330/460/40 |
| Voltage at 10 amp , Inıtial/Plateau/Final | 31.4/30.9/29.5 | $36.9 / 30.5 / 29.2$ | 36.9/30.5/29.2 |
| Voltage at 40 amp , Inıtıal/Plateau/Final | 30.2/30.1/27.4 | 36.3/29.4/27.1 | 36.3/29.4/27.1 |
| Man. Voltage ( 40 amp ) at $30^{\circ} / 80^{\circ} / 160^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | - | 26.2/27.0/29.2 | 26.2/27.0/29.2 |
| Max. Voltage ( 40 amp ) at $30^{\circ} / 80^{\circ} / 160^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | - | 32.2/33.5/35.8 | 32.2/33.5/35.8 |
| Capacıty after 30 days charged |  |  |  |
| Stand at $32^{\circ} / 80^{\circ} 100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $1.1 \mathrm{C} / 1.1 \mathrm{C} / 1.025 \mathrm{C}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{C} / 1.0 \mathrm{C} / 0.9 \mathrm{C}$ | 1.001 .000 .90 |
| Heat Generated Btu | 7,200/3,800** | 16,600/9,800** |  |
| Specific Heat | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Short Circuit Current into $0.01 \Omega$ Load, amp | 750 | 600 |  |
| Mounting Structure Welght, 1b | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| ECA Weaght, Ib | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| * After Predischarge |  |  |  |
| ** Normal LEM Mıssion/Abort (1 Battery) |  |  |  |
| *** 4 Battery/3 Battery LEM Mission |  |  |  |

Table 5.1-3
SNAP 27 (RTG) CHARACTERISTICS

| Fuel | Pu 233 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mission Life, yr | 1 |
| Reliability | 0.9 |
| Net Power Output | 50 watts 29 DVC $\pm 1 \%$ |
| RTG Output | 56 watts minimum 14V |
| Thermal Power Input, watts | 1400 |
| Overall Efficiency, \% | 3.6 |
| Thermoelectric Efficiency, \% | 5.5 |
| Converter/Regulator Efficiency, \% | 90 |
| Radiator Efficiency, \% | - |
| RTG Configuration | Finned Cylinder |
| Overall Weight, lb | 50 (nominal) |
| Converter/Regulator Weight, lb | 4 |
| RTG Weight, lb | 30.4 |
| RTG Dimensions, in. | 18.1 long x 16.5 dia. |
| Thermoelectric Materials | Pb Te (N) |
| No. Couples | PB Sn Te (p) |
| Hot Junction Temp. | 392 |
| Cold Junction Temp. | $11000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |


| Power Source | Config No. | Desc Batt <br> Energy <br> Reqmt, kw-hr | Main Batteries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. | Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rat'g } \\ & \text { kw-hr } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Wt}, \\ \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ba} \end{gathered}$ |
| One <br> RRC <br>  <br> AgZn <br> Batts | A-I | 35.6 (10) | 3 | Mod <br> Desc <br> AgZn | 12.0 | 147 |
|  | A-2 | $37.3{ }^{(11)}$ | 4 |  | 9.4 | 121 |
|  | A-3 | 26.8 | 3 |  | 13.0 | 170 |
| One <br> RTG <br>  <br> $\mathrm{ZnO}_{2}$ <br> Bat ${ }^{2}$ | B-1 | 38.1 (10) | 3 | $\mathrm{ZnO}_{2}$ | 12.7 | 87 |
|  | B-2 | 40.6 | 4 |  | 10.2 | 71 |
| Two RTGs \& AgZn Batts | C-1 | 22.5 | 3 | Mod <br> Desc <br> AgZn | 7.5 | 104 |
|  | C-2 | 21.0 | 4 |  | 5.25 | 78 |
| Two RTGs \& $\mathrm{ZnO}_{2}$ Batts | D-1 | 22.5 | 3 | $\mathrm{ZnO}_{2}$ | 7.5 | 56 |
|  | D-2 | 24.1 | 4 |  | 6.0 | 47 55 |
| AgZn <br> No RTG | E-1 | 98.5 | 6 | Mod <br> Desc <br> AgZn |  | 183 |

Table 5.1-4

## AND WEIGHT TRADE-OFF SUMMARY

|  | Acc <br> Wt, <br> lb | Total <br> Batt Wt, lb | RIG |  |  | ECA |  | Total Wt, 1b | Major Advantage | Disadvs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rema } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Cap } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tt |  |  | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wt}, \\ & \mathrm{ln} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Energy, } \\ & \text { kw-hr } \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wt}, \\ & \mathrm{lb} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 441 | 1 | 13 | 16.5 | 4 | 34 | 488 | Note 3 | Weight | $3 / 33$ Reco |
|  |  | 484 |  |  |  | 5 | 44 | 541 | Note 4 |  | 4/25 |
|  |  | 510 |  |  |  | 4 | 34 | 557 | Note 11 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 / 50 \\ & \text { Base } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 9 | $261{ }^{(8)}$ |  |  |  | 4 | 34 | 317 | Note $6 \& 12$ | Sched <br> \& Cost <br> to Devel <br>  <br> Reliab <br> of <br> $\mathrm{ZnO}_{2}$ <br> Batts | $\begin{aligned} & 3 / 33 \\ & \text { Batt } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 10.5 | 284 |  |  |  | 5 | 44 | 351.5 | Note 13 |  | 4/25 <br> Batt |
|  |  | 312 | 2 | 76 | 31.3 | 5 | 38 | 426 | Note 5 | RTG <br>  <br> Taxi <br> Mod | $3 / 33$ |
|  |  | 312 |  |  | 32.8 | 6 | 48 | 436 | Note 14 |  | 4/29 |
|  | 9 | $168^{8}$ |  |  | 33.0 | 5 | 38 | 291 | Notes $5 \& 6$ | Same <br>  <br> Costs to Devel \& Relıab. | 3/3 |
|  | 10.5 | $188^{8}$ |  |  | 33.0 | 6 | 48 | 323 | Notes $6 \& 15$ |  | 4/2 |
|  |  | 1100 |  |  |  | 6 | 60 | 1160 | Note 7 | Weight |  |


| ks |
| :--- |
| Bat |
| b |
| mended |
| 6 |
| Line |
| bries |
| rite |
| cries |

NOTES:

1. RTG Supplies All Loads To Full Load Rating, The Batt Top Off RTG.
2. First RTG On Shelter; Taxi Penalty Is For Mount. \& Inst.
3. Complete Day Mission Or 6.3 Days Of Night Mission With One Batt Failure At Begin Of Mission.
4. Complete Day Mission Or 9 Days Of Night Mission With One Batt Failure At Begin Of Mission.
5. Complete Day Mission With One Batt Fail At Begin Of Mission.
6. Light Weight.
7. No RTG Or Prob In Moving To Taxi.
8. $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Batt And $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ React Wis Without Fluid Syst Hardware (Acc. Wt).
9. Baseline Was Based On The Prelim Energy Reqmts Of 26 $\mathrm{Kw}-\mathrm{H}$; Latest Reqmts Are $35.6 \mathrm{Kw}-\mathrm{H}$.
10. Bel And A-1 Differ By $2.5 \mathrm{Kw}-\mathrm{Hr}$ Because Of Extra Control Power Reqmts For $2 \mathrm{ZnO}_{2}$ Batt.
11. Complete Day Mission Or 7.6 Days Of Night Mission With One Batt Failure At Begin Of Mission.
12. Complete Day Mission Or 7.2 Days Of Night Mission With One Batt Failure At Begin Of Mission.
13. Complete Day Mission Or 10.6 Days Of Night Mission With One Batt Failure At Begin Of Mission.
14. Complete Day Mission With One Batt Failure At Begin Of Mission.
15. Complete Day Mission Or 2.25 Days Of Night Mission With One Batt Failure At Begin Of Mission.

Assumed
ECA-TYPE Reqmts. wt, lb watts
AgZn Battery 105
$\mathrm{ZnO}_{2}$ Battery $10 \quad 7.5$
RIG 45


Table 5.1-5
ALL BATTERY vs BATTERY/RTG-NIGHT/DAY TRADE-OFF

| NIGHTMISSION | All Battery |  | Battery \& RTG |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RTG |  | Battery |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg. Pwr, } \\ & \text { watts } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Energy, } \\ & \text { Kw-hr } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg Pwr, } \\ & \text { watts } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Energy, } \\ & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{hr}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg Pwr, } \\ & \text { watts } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Energy, } \\ & \text { Kw-hr } \end{aligned}$ |
| Quiesc Phase ( 330 hr ) Thermal (Elect) | $\begin{gathered} 68.14 \\ 148.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.3 \\ & 49.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (110) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.5 \\ & 36.3 \end{aligned}$ | 63.5 - | 21.0 - |
| Subtotal | 216.14 | 71.3 | 160 | 52.8 | 63.5 | 21.0 |
| Energy, Other Phases |  | 14.6 |  |  |  | 14.6 |
| Total (Note 1) |  | 85.9 |  |  |  | 88.4 |
| DAY MISSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Quiesc Phase } \\ \\ (330 \mathrm{hr}) \\ \text { Thermal/Elect } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 62.12 | 20.5 | 43.2 - | 14.0 | 18.9 | 6.5 |
| Subtotal | 62.12 | 20.5 | 43.2 | 14.0 | 18.9 | 6.5 |
| Energy, Other Phases |  | 14.6 |  |  |  | 14.6 |
| Total |  | 35.1 |  |  |  | 35.1 |
|  | B. WEIGHT, 1 lb |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Night Mission |  |  | Day Mission |  |  |
|  | All-Battery |  | Battery \& RTG | All Batt | ery ${ }^{\text {bat }}$ | tery \& RTG |
| Batteries | (6) 954 |  | 441 | 441 |  | 270 |
| Battery ECAs | (6) 60 |  | 30 | 30 |  | 20 |
| RTG InstI | - |  | 13 | - |  | 13 |
| RTG-ECA | - |  | 4 | - |  | 4 |
| Total Wt | 1014 |  | 498 | 471 |  | 307 |

Notes: 1. Difference of $2.5 \mathrm{kw}-\mathrm{hr}$ lies in growth allowance for the 37.8 -watt load in cabin thermal balance. The RTG configuration contributes $20 \%$ growth whereas the all-battery configuration already includes its growth in the electrical heater.



Fig. 5. 1-1a Electric Power Profile Complete Mission

Fig. 5.1-1b Electric Power Profile-Quiescent Storage (Day.)




Fig. 5.1-2 Estimate Ascent/Descent Battery Capacity Retention


Fig. 5. 1-3 Estimated Merit Factors For Silver Zinc \& Zinc-Oxygen Batteries

Fig. 5.1-4 Zox Battery-Cell Volts vs Current Density

Fig. 5.1-5 Zox Battery-Cell Volts vs Pressure


Fig. 5.1-6 SNAP 27 (RTG) Performance




Fig. 5.1-10 Day/Night Battery Energy Requirements

### 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL CONIROL

### 5.2.1 Ground Rules

The following ground rule was applicable to this subsystem:

- For manned operations the cabin atmosphere shall be maintained at $75 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and 40 to 70 percent relative humidity.


### 5.2.2 Assumption and Background Data

In establishing the functional requirements of the Taxi Environmental Control Subsystem (ECS) configurations, the following assumptions were used:

- Taxi flight phases prior to lunar touchdown and subsequent to lift-off are identical to LEM
- Surface phases of the Taxi mission conform to the generalized timeline in Table 5.2-1
- Electrical heat loads are those associated with the power profiles defined in Paragraph 5.1
- Heat loads imposed on the ECS by the external thermal environment are as described in Paragraph 4.1
- The Taxi ECS must be capable of completely automatic operation during quiescence
- The ECS must be capable of supporting 14-day quiescence in either a lunar day or night external thermal environment.


### 5.2.3 Recommended Configuration

The recomended ECS configuration is capable of meeting the demands of Taxi missions in either a day or night external lunar surface thermal environment. Minor modifications are necessary to the LEM ECS to provide this capability.

Since the functional and operational requirements of the atmosphere revitalization, and oxygen supply and pressurization control sections of the LEM ECS remain unchanged for Taxi missions, no changes to existing LFM hardware or to the manner in which it is configured are contemplated. Modifications and additions are, however, necessary in the heat transport and water management sections to:

- Minimize electrical heating requirements for night missions
- Minimize water requirements for heat rejection during lunar day
- Provide for thermal requirements of batteries and thermally sensitive electronic equipments during the quiescent mission phase.

The following paragraphs describe the recommended Taxi ECS sections with emphasis on the manner in which they differ from their LEM counterparts.

### 5.2.3.1 Atmosphere Revitalization Section

The functional and operational requirements of the Taxi Atmosphere Revitalization Section (ACS) are identical to those of the LEM (Fig. 5.2-1). No configuration
or hardware changes are contemplated. However, since the Taxi mission is less demanding with regard to crew support durations, a reduction in the quantities of LiOH required for the removal of metabolic carbon dioxide in the ARS and PLSS can be realized. The LEM cabin is occupied for 75 man-hrs (ARS Support) while the cumulative EVA duration is 24 man-hr (PLSS Support). For the Taxi mission, the corresponding cabin occupancy is 39 man-hr, while the cumulative Taxi supported EVA duration is 4.3 man- hr . Table 5.2-2 compares the LiOH requirements for the LFM and Taxi missions.

### 5.2.3.2 Heat Transport Section

The requirement for a 14-day quiescent lunar surface storage capability requires that modifications be made to the IEM Heat Transport Section (HTS). The modified HTS configuration is shown schematically in Fig. 5.2-2. A manual diverter valve has been added upstream of the IMU and ASA coldplates, such that during nighttime quiescent storage, coolant may bypass these components to reduce their electrical heating requirements from 118.5 to 18 w average.

Since heat must be provided to maintain the Taxi ascent stage within acceptable temperature limits during nighttime quiescence, Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generator (RTG) waste heat utilization equipment has been added (Fig. 5.2-3). It is capable of transporting 500 to the ascent stage structure of the Taxi. The system is designed such that the vehicle will reach and maintain thermal equilibrium (at approximately $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) with the external environment. Distilled water is used as the heat transport fluid and operates at a temperature and pressure of $250^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and 30 psi , respectively.

The RTG heat utilization sub-section operates in the following manner. Prior to system activation, water is stored on the condenser side of the control valve. The control valve electrically opens when sensors mounted on the ascent stage signal that structural temperatures have reached a predetermined lower Limit. Water is gravity fed to the boiler, where it evaporates and rises to condensers which provide a thermal path into the ascent stage pressure shell. The steam condenses and returns to the boiler by gravity feed, beginning another cycle. The vehicle temperature rises and eventually reaches equilibrum. If the external environment changes and the maximum temperature limit of the ascent stage structure is exceeded, the valve closes. When this occurs, condensate collects above the control valve, and the temperature and pressure of steam remaining in the boiler rises. The control valve, having relief capability, eventually cracks and equalizes overall system pressure and then closes again. The system remains in a non-operating state with residue steam at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ on the boiler side of the control valve and the remaining fluid in condensate form on the condenser side of the valve. In the non-operating mode, the heat leak across the control valve is 7.5 which has a negligible effect on ascent stage temperature.

The weight summary for the RTG heat utilization equipment is as follows:

| Component | Weight (1b) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Condenser | 1.30 |
| Boiler | 1.12 |
| Control valve | 1.25 |


| Component | Weight (lb) |
| :--- | :--- |
| GSE connection | 0.15 |
| Interstage fitting | 1.13 |
| Sensors | 0.50 |
| Controlier | 1.50 |
| Insulation | 1.30 |
| Water | $\underline{0.15}$ |
|  |  |
|  | TOTAL |
|  | 8.70 |

Electrical power has been allocated to the HTS on a $25 \%$ duty cycle, to support distribution of the heat supplied to the ascent stage by the RTG heat utilization equipment. A thermal path is provided between the pressure shell and the coolant loop to transfer the RTG heat to the HTS. The pump will be energized by signals originating from thermal sensors mounted on temperature sensitive equipment when their temperature reaches pre-determined lower temperature limits and will be de-energized when a nominal temperature ( $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) is reached.

During the $330-\mathrm{hr}$ day quiescence, the primary HTS will operate approximately $25 \%$ of the time. Sensors will monitor those equipments requiring periodic cooling and energize the coolant pump and the water evaporator water feed valve (Paragraph 5.2.3.3) when cooling is required. Approximately 200 lb of water must be stored on the Taxi for waste heat rejection by water evaporation for the $330-\mathrm{hr}$ period. Thermal water requirements for a day quiescent storage mission are itemized in Table 5.2-3 for each Taxi mission phase.

An automatic pump control, recently deleted frm the LEM ECS will be added to the Taxi HTS. It will receive differential pressure signals from the glycol pump differential pressure sensor presently incorporated on the LFM. If the glycol pump differential pressure drops below a preselected valve during the time the primary glycol pump is energized, the automatic pump control will de-energize the primary pump and energize the secondary pump.

### 5.2.3.3 Water Management Section

To meet the demands of the quiescent storage period, the Taxi Water Management Section (WMS) must provide for the following.

- Positive shutoff of water feed to HTS water evaporators during lunar night to prevent the continuous sublimination of water
- Automatic opening of water feed to HTS water evaporators to provide heat rejection during lunar day
- Addition of small quantities of heat to the descent stage water tank to prevent freezing
- Provision of a sufficient quantity of water to reject all HTS heat loads associated with day quiescence.

To control water feed to the HIS water evaporator, a solenoid actuated valve has been added to the WMS as shown schematically in Fig. 5.2-4. This valve will be closed during lunar night and during those portions of lunar day when active heat rejection is not required.

Since the descent stage water tank is located in the same quadrant as the RTG, tubing associated with waste heat utilization equipment will be routed such that a sufficient quantity of heat will be provided to prevent freezing.

Table 5.2-3 presents an itemized tabulation of Taxi water requirements for the critical all-day mission. The following were assumed for this analysis:

- Taxi flight per LEM ECS Critical Design Mission
- Electrical loads during flight per LEM EPS Sizing Load Analysis
- Surface mission per Taxi Timeline Analysis (Section 3)
- Surface electrical loads per Taxi Load Analysis (Paragraph 5.1)
- Maximum Ascent stage temperature $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
- Thermal protection as described in Paragraph 4.1.

Storage provisions for the required water are summarized in Table 5.2-4. The two LEM ascent stage tanks filled to capacity and the one LFM descent stage tank offloaded approximately 40 lb are sufficient to satisfy these requirements.

### 5.2.3.4 0xygen Supply and Pressurization Control Section

The storage, pressure regulating, and delivery equipment associated with the Oxygen Supply and Pressurization Control Section (OSPCS) are unmodified for the Taxi.

LEM ECS oxygen is stored at ambient temperatures and high pressure. It is contained in two small ( $2.2-1 b$ capacity each) tanks located in the ascent stage aft equipment bay and one large tank (45.2-1b capacity) in the second quadrant of the descent stage. Characteristics of these tanks are summarized in Table 5.2-7. The ascent stage tanks are filled to capacity to satisfy the ascent ECS oxygen requirements for the Taxi flight phases subsequent to lift-off since these phases are assumed identical to LFM. Table 5.2-5 shows a detained breakdown of ascent oxygen requirements.

The Taxi descent oxygen tank requirements were determined using the Taxi ECS surface mission profile and the flight plan of the LEM ECS Critical Design Mission. The Taxi mission profile is identical to the LEM Critical Design Mission from lunar orbit checkout to post-landing check. For these mission phases, the oxygen requirements are assumed identical. Taxi ECS Oxygen Requirements (Table 5.2-6) were developed based on the surface mission profile and the following oxygen consumption rates and vehicle parameters:

- Cabin leakage at 5 psia: $0.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{hr}$
- Oxygen supply system leakage:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { high-pressure components: } & \begin{array}{l}
0.005 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{hr} \\
\\
\text { low-pressure components:* } \\
\\
\text { - Metabolic consumption rate }
\end{array} \\
\text { - Oxygen per cabin repressurization } & 0.005 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{hr} \\
\text { - Number of cabin repressurizations } & 6.9 \mathrm{lb} \\
\text { - } & 2
\end{array}
$$

* Since these components are in the Taxi cabin, this leakage is applied only when the cabin is unpressurized.

PLSS oxygen tanks are charged prior to Earth launch and are not refilled prior to lunar liftoff. This is consistent with current LEM guidelines.

As may be observed in Table 5.2-7, Taxi oxygen requirements are approximately 23.4 lb less than the storage capability of the LEM descent stage tanks and will be offloaded accordingly.

The OSPCS is illustrated schematically in Figure 5.2-5.

### 5.2.4 Baseline Configuration

The recommended Taxi ECS configuration differs from the baseline in the following areas:

- The LEM Heat Transport Section has been reconfigured because of battery cool considerations.
- Refinement of vehicle thermal balance for quiescent storage increased the water requirements for day missions from 120 to 200.5 lb
- A solenoid water shutoff valve was added to the evaporator water feed line to prevent water sublimation during night quiescence.

The recommended configuration reflects the latest LEM HTS design which arranges the coolant network such that battery heat loads may be removed by the addition of a small ( $2800 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) water evaporator.

The addition of a solenoid water shutoff valve for the primary HIS water evaporator (Paragraph 5.2.3.2) is required to prevent water sublimation during night quiescence This valve is opened in response to cabin temperature sensors to provide heat rejection during lunar day and represents a refinement to the baseline configuration.

### 5.2.5 Alternate Configuration - Zinc-Oxygen Batteries

An alternate configuration, utilizing three Zinc-Oxygen (ZOX) batteries in place of the LFM descent stage batteries is discussed in Paragraph 5.1. These batteries would impose the following additional requirements on the Taxi ECS:

- 23 lb of additional oxygen in the descent stage tank
- 3.5 lb additional water for evaporative cooling (10 to $20 \%$ increase in heat dissipation from ZOX batteries).

The additional oxygen requirement can be met, since the recommended ECS offloads 23.4 lb of oxygen from the descent stage tank. Although 22 lb of useable oxygen are required for the ZOX batteries, a slightly larger quantity must be carried to meet the minimum ( 100 psi ) oxygen supply pressure required throughout the ZOX battery operating life. During the mission phases requiring continuous coolant pump operation, the additional battery heat load can be accommodated by the coolant loop. The descent stage water tank can accommodate the additional 3.5 lb of water required to dissipate the added battery heat load.

### 5.2.6 Alternate Configuration - Passive Utilization of RTG Waste Heat

The RTG waste heat utilization equipment described in paragraph 5.2.3.2 may be eliminated by mounting the RTG on top of the Taxi descent stage and incorporating provisions for controlling the thermal coupling between the RTG heat source and the ascent stage pressure vessel. The thermodynamics of this alternate con-
figurations are discussed in Paragraph 4.1. In this configuration, the RTG is installed in an insulated enclosure which exposes the generator to both space and a selected portion of the ascent stage structure. Radiation to the ascent stage is controlled by a hinged panel which is actuated in response to an ascent stage temperature sensor.

### 5.2.7 Potential Modifications Per Flight

Water requirements have been sized for the most severe mission, all day. Offloading of water for other mission times, approaching the all-night mission case, may be considered a potential per flight modification. The payload capability made available by this off-loaded water could be used for items such as film for the Shelter scientific mission.

### 5.2.8 Discussion of Configuration Choices

Paragraph 5.1 discusses in more detail the possible use of ZOX batteries as an alternate to the recommended RTG-LFM battery configuration. The only requirement that would be imposed on the Taxi ESC by the selection of ZOX batteries would be the storage of an additional 23 lb of oxygen. Because of the reduced metabolic requirements for the Taxi mission, this quantity could be stored with no tankage penalty.

The alternate location for the RTG, on top of the descent stage, was not selected because of the potential difficulties in transferring the RTG from the Shelter to this position. The location selected in the descent stage scientific equipment bay is the same as for the LEM.

Table 5.2-1
TAXI SURFACE MISSION TIMELINE

| Duration, hr | 1.22 | 2.89 | 329 | 1. |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | PostLanding Checkout | Taxi Shutdown | Quiescent Stay | Tax Act tio | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { i } \\ & \text { iva }- \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | Taxi Activation | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Taxi } \\ & \text { Prel } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{e}$ |
| Cabin Pressure <br> Status, psia | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Suit Pressure Status, psia | 0 | 3.7 | - | 3.7 |  | 3.7 |  |  |
| No. Men on ECS | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |
| Touc Down | Cabin Dump |  | Reactivate <br> 2nd Man Entry |  |  | Re | epress. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lift } \\ & \text { off } \end{aligned}$ |

Table 5.2-2
IIOH REQUIREMENIS

|  | Paramet\&r |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Cartridge <br> Location | Capacity <br> per <br> Cartridges <br> Cartridge <br> man-hr | Total <br> Capacity, <br> man-hr | Actual <br> Reqmt, <br> man-hr |  |
| LEM |  |  |  |  |
| Cabin ARS | 2 | 40 | 80 | 75 |
| PLSS | 8 | 4 | 32 | 24 |
| Taxi |  |  |  |  |
| Cabin ARS | 1 | 40 | 40 | 39 |
| PLSS | 2 | 4 | 8 | 2 |


|  | Wt, 1b | Dia. <br> in. | Length <br> in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cabin Cartridge | 7.6 lb | 7.9 | 12 |
| PLSS Cartridge | 4.4 lb | 5.5 | 12 |

Table 5.2-3
WATER REQUIREMENTS

| Phase Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ | Thermal Control, lb | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLSS, } \\ & \text { lb } \end{aligned}$ | Net <br> Metabolic Makeup, lb | Produced in LiOH Reaction, lb | Net Storage, $1 b$ | Cumul Total, lb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Checkout | 1.5 | 10.72 | 6.80 | . 33 | . 12 | 17.17 | 17.73 |
| Separation | . 002 | . 22 | - | - | - | . 22 | 17.95 |
| Prepare for | . 478 | 4.64 | - | . 05 | . 02 | 4.67 | 22.62 |
| Orbit Insertion | . 010 | . 08 | - | - | - | . 08 | $22.75{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Coast to Pericyn. | 1.0 | 10.07 | 6.80 | . 22 | . 11 | 16.98 | 39.73 |
| Initial Powered Descent | . 10 | 1.04 | - | . 02 | . 01 | 1.05 | 40.78 |
| Final Powered Descent | . 003 | . 36 | - | . 01 | - | . 37 | 41.15 |
| Hover to Touchdown | . 05 | . 51 | - | . 01 | - | . 52 | 41.67 |
| Post Landing Check | 1.22 | 10.52 | - | . 28 | . 11 | 10.69 | 52.36 |
| LEM Taxi Shutdown | 2.89 | 13.75 | - | . 30 | . 13 | 13.92 | 66.28 |
| Quiescent Stay | 329.00 | 200.5 | - | - | - | 200.5 | 266.78 |
| ECS Activation | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ .10 \end{gathered}$ | 2.10 | - | $\begin{aligned} & .10 \\ & .01 \end{aligned}$ | . 05 | 2.16 | 268.94 |
| Taxi Activation Pre-Launch Check | 1.59 | 13.48 | - | . 36 | . 13 | 13.71 | 282.65 |
|  |  |  |  | Residual Water 16.40 lb |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Descent Stage Total 299.05 lb |  |  |  |

TABLE 5.2-3 (Cont.)

| Phase Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \mathrm{hr} \end{gathered}$ | Thermal <br> Control, lb | $\begin{gathered} \text { PLSS, } \\ \text { Ib } \end{gathered}$ | Net <br> Meta- <br> bolic <br> up, lb | Produced <br> in LiOH <br> Reaction, lb | Net Storage, lb | Cumul <br> Total, lb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASCENT STAGE STORAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Final Pre-Launch Prep | . 50 | 4.68 |  | .11 | . 04 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| Powered Ascent | . 125 | 1.17 |  | . 03 | . 01 | 1.19 | 5.94 |
| Orbital Contingency | . 50 | 4.86 |  | . 11 | . 04 | 4.93 | 10.87 |
| Orbital Contingency | 7.0 | 30.90 |  | 1.54 | . 55 | 31.90 | 42.77 |
| Orbital Contingency | 1.5 | 13.42 |  | . 33 | . 12 | 13.63 | 56.40 |
| Insert into Trans. Orbit | . 002 | . 02 |  | - | - | . 02 | 56.42 |
| Coast in Trans. Orbit | 1.5 | 16.50 |  | . 33 | . 13 | 16.70 | 73.12 |
| Rendezvous | 0.4 | 4.38 |  | . 09 | . 04 | 4.43 | 77.55 |
| Docking | . 25 | 2.42 |  | . 06 | . 02 | 2.46 | 80.01 |
| Crew Transfer | . 33 | 1.14 |  | . 07 | . 04 | 1.17 | 81.18 |
|  |  |  |  | Residual Water 5.05 lb |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Ascent Stage Total 86.23 lb |  |  |  |

Table 5.2-4
WATER STORAGE TANK CHARACIERISTICS

| Parameter | Ascent <br> Stage | Descent <br> Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. Tanks | 2 | 1 |
| Storage Pressure, psia <br> Inıtıal (80 <br> Final (35 <br> (3) | 43 | 44.5 |
| Tank Diameter, In. | 10 | 21.7 |
| Tank Volume, (usable, internal) cu ft | 14.7 | 28.3 |
| Tank Weight, lb | 5.915 | 7.1 |
| Usable Fluid, lb | 40.6 | 23.7 |
| Total Fluid, lb | 43.1 | 282.65 |

Table 5.2-5
OXYGEN REQUIREMENIS-ASCENT STAGE STORAGE
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Phase Description } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Time } \\ \text { hr }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Metabolic, } \\ \text { lb/hr }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Met }+ \\ \text { Leak, } \\ \text { lb/hr }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Met }+ \\ \text { Leak, } \\ \text { lb }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Cabin } \\ \text { Re- } \\ \text { press, } \\ \text { lb }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Cumul } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { lb }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Final Pre-Launch Prep. } & .50 & .164 & .364 & .182 & - & .182 \\ \text { Powered Ascent } & .125 & .234 & .434 & .054 & - & .236 \\ \text { Orbital Contingency } & .50 \\ \text { Orbital Contingency } & 7.0 \\ \text { Orbital Contingency } & 1.5\end{array}\right\}$

Table 5.2-6
OXYGEN REQUIREMENTS-DESCENT STAGE STORAGE

| Phase Description | Time, hr | Metabolic, lb/hr | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Met + Le } \\ & \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Met + Leak, } \\ \text { Ib } \end{gathered}$ | Cabin <br> Re- <br> press, lb | Cumul <br> Total, 1b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GOX Fill to checkout | 143.73 | - | . 010 | 1.437 | - | 1.437 |
| Checkout | . 167 | . 164 | . 369 | . 062 | - | 1.499 |
| Separation | . 022 | . 226 | . 431 | . 009 | - | 1.508 |
| Prepare for Descent | . 478 | . 226 | . 431 | . 206 | - | 1.714 |
| Orbit Insertion | . 010 | . 226 | . 431 | . 004 | - | 1.718 |
| Coast to Pericyn. | 1.0 | . 226 | . 431 | . 431 | - | 2.149 |
| Initial Powered Descent | . 10 | . 226 | . 431 | . 043 | - | 2.192 |
| Final Powered Descent | . 033 | . 248 | . 453 | . 015 | - | 2.207 |
| Hover to TouchDown | . 050 | . 248 | . 453 | . 023 | - | 2.230 |
| Post Landing Check | 1.22 | . 164 | . 369 | . 450 | 6.90 | 9.580 |
| Taxi Shutdown | 2.89 | . 130 | . 135 | . 390 | - | 9.970 |
| Quiescent Stay | 329.00 | - | . 010 | 3.29 | - | 13.26 |
| ECS Activation | 1.20 | . 130 | . 135 | 1.62 | - | 14.88 |
|  | . 10 | . 260 | . 265 | . 032 | 6.90 | 21.812 |
| Taxi Activation Pre-Launch Check | 1.59 | . 164 | . 369 | . 587 | - | 22.399 |
| Residual Oxygen 1.680 l.b |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Descent Stage Total 24.079 lb |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2-7
OXYGEN STORAGE TANK CHARACTERISTICS

| ParameterNo. Tanks | Ascent Stage | DescentStageTaxi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Storage Pressure PSIA <br> (Filled, $95^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, 160^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{S}$ ) | 1000 | 2740 | 1320 |
| Tank Diameter, in. | 11.76 | 21.67 | 21.67 |
| Tank Volume-cu ft/tank | 0.494 | 3.089 | 3.089 |
| Tank Weight, lb/tank | 2.97 | 46.5 | 46.5 |
| Usable Fluid, lb/tank | 2.17 | 45.175 | 21.812 |
| Total Fluid, lb/tank | 2.41 | 46.675 | 24.079 |



Fig. 5.2-1 Atmosphere Revitalization Section
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Fig. 5.2-2 Heat Transport Section


## Component Design



All dimensions in inches.


Fig. 5. 2-3 RTG Heat Pipe


Fig. 5. 2-4 Water Management Section


Fig. 5.2-5 Oxygen Supply \& Pressurization Control Section

### 5.3 GUIDANCE, NAVIGATION \& CONIROL

### 5.3.1 Ground Rules

The Taxi shall have the ability to land with the aid of a transponder.
5.3.2 Assumptions and Background Data

In addition to the NASA ground rules, the Taxi Guidance, Navigation and Control
(GN\&C) Subsystem was configured based on the following assumptions:

- Astronauts will accomplish post-landing checkout and place Taxi into storage mode
- Thermal limits of $135 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and $105-\mathrm{to}-120^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ will be maintained on the Inertial Measuring Unit (IMU) and Abort Sensor Assembly (ASA), respectively
- Periodic status checks of critical systems, including IMU and ASA gyro temperatures, will be performed during the quiescent phase and results telemetered back to Earth via S-band communications
- Astronauts will perform pre-launch checkout and warm-up of all systems
- Taxi will land within walking distance of the Shelter.


### 5.3.3 Recommended Configuration

The recommended Taxi GN\&C Subsystem (Fig. 5.3-1) is the same as the LEM except for the addition of a time sequencer to periodically activate the equipment necessary to perform status checks during quiescent storage. The recommended configuration is to use a self-contained timer to initiate the checkout procedure, with sequencing performed by either the timer or by time-delay relays. The timer can be either electrically operated, spring driven with an electric rewind, or completely spring driven for 14 days.
4
To land the Taxi within walking distance (approximately 1000 ft ) of the Shelter, the CSM transponder electronic and antenna assemblies are incorporated into the Shelter for use in conjunction with the Taxi rendezvous radar. The LEM Primary GN\&C Subsystem has an overall design objective of achieving a lunar landing circular error probability (CEP) of 3000 ft for landing sites not marked by a surface transponder. The use of the rendezvous radar/transponder as a landing aid will reduce the CEP to 200 ft .

The angular coverage provided by the CSM transponder, at angles close to the horizon, varies in radiation level between -1 and -15 db . The proposed mission trajectories will be incident to a landing site within these angles. Because of the holes in the coverage pattern, the rendezvous radar transponder maximum range will be reduced from 400 to $70 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. Horizon coverage may be improved by minor modifications to the present system, such as coupling the transponders on opposite sides of the Shelter, or a new antenna configuration.

### 5.3.4 Baseline Configuration

There is no difference between the recommended and baseline configurations.

### 5.3.5 Alternate Configuration - LGC for Initiation of Status Check

One of the alternate configurations for a programmer for status data transmission would use the LGC standard frequency outputs. The times between checkouts would be limited to specific time segments, such as $1.5,2.9$, and 5.8 hr . However, there are a variety of frequencies available, such that many combinations of times between checkouts and sequencing times are possible. A disadvantage to this approach is that the computer must be on standby for these outputs to be available.

### 5.3.6 Alternate Configuration - Earth Uplink for Initiation of Status Check

Use of an Earth uplink to initiate the status checks during storage was also considered as an alternate configuration. This approach would require the addition of a decoder, relay drivers, and a modified Program Coupler Assembly similar to that used on the Shelter. This approach could be interchangeable with the Shelter, 'since this equipment would not be needed on the Shelter once the Taxi has landed.

### 5.3.7 Discussion of Configuration Choices

The selection was made of a time sequencer to initiate the periodic status checks during quiescent storage, since this approach has the advantage of simplicity, light weight, and low-power consumption. It also does not depend upon any other system other than electrical power for its operation.



Fig. 5.3-1 Guidance, Navigation \& Control Subsystem

### 5.4 RCS \& PROPULSION

### 5.4.1 Ground Fules

There were no NASA ground rules unique to the Reaction Control and Propulsion Subsystems.

### 5.4.2 Assumptions and Background Data

The recommended RCS and Propulsion Subsystems configuration were developed based on the vehicle-level ground rules and the following assumptions:

- Performance and propellant requirements for the Taxi are assumed to be essentially the same as the present LEM with the exception of the extended lunar surface stay time.
- The ascent and descent engines are assumed to be capable of meeting the Taxi mission duty cycle without major modification.
- The descent helium pressurant will warm up to the level of the relief valve pressure setting within 24 hours after landing. Concern over the resultant uncontrolled venting has led to study of alternate methods of venting as discussed in Paragraphs 5.4.3.3 and 5.4.6.


### 5.4.3 Recommended Configuration

### 5.4.3.1 RCS

The recommended configuration is the basic LEM RCS utilizing sixteen thrusters and a dual helium and propellant feed system with the addition of cluster heaters (250 watts per cluster) to be turned on during pre-launch checkout. The system schematic is shown in Fig. 5.4-1.

During the quiescent lunar stay period, it is not practical to maintain controlled cluster temperature by means of the LEM cluster heaters because of the large energy requirement. Therefore, the present LEM cluster heaters will be turned off after landing and the thrusters will be allowed to cool down to equilibrium temperature (approximately 0 to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ). During pre-launch checkout, the larger 250 watt heaters will be turned on at each cluster to thaw propellant feed lines and bring the thrusters up to operational temperatures (approximately one hour will be required for this operation). Freezing of the fuel is expected during this period and some separation will occur. Discussions with the engine manufacturer (Marquardt) have indicated that the thrusters should operate satisfactorily under these conditions. This will be further verified during the Phase $C$ study.

The Taxi will stay on the lunar surface for 14 days, and thus the RCS components will be exposed to pressure, propellants and propellant vapors longer than in the LEM mission. It is believed that components which satisfactorily meet the present, LEM compatibility requirement of 30 days will be adequate for the Taxi mission. Continuous monitoring of the LEM development program will be necessary to insure that potential trouble areas are recognized if they should arise.

### 5.4.3.2 Ascent Propulsion

The Taxi ascent propulsion subsystem (Fig. 5.4-2) will be exactly the same as the LEM. The performance requirements are the same with the exception of the extended lunar stay time.

The ability of the propellants, especially $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, to diffuse throughout the ascent propulsion helium section has generated a stringent propellant compatibility requirement for the LEM. This is of greater concern on the Taxi because of the extended mission duration. At present, two methods of meeting this requirement are under consideration. These are the use of squib actuated valves to isolate the helium section from the propellant vapors (see Fig. 5.4-2), or the use of components which are constructed of materials which are capable of withstanding the attack of the propellant for the mission duration. If the final LEM subsystem incorporates the isolation valves, it will be used on the Taxi "as is". However, if components with revised materials are used, it is expected that the capability of these components to meet the Taxi extended mission will require verification testing.

The LEM 45-day propellant loaded condition also imposes a stringent compatibility requirement on the ascent engine valves. A 45-day test with pressurized propellants is planned for these valves by the LEM Project. The result of this test will be reviewed for applicability to the Taxi. The post-test disassembly and inspection will determine the course of action. If little or no deterioration is found, it is expected that further testing will be unnecessary. Evidence of more serious problems will require testing to verify the valves capability to meet the Taxi requirements. The same philosophy will be applied to the helium components discussed above.

The extended mission increases the requirement of the ascent engine ablative liner to resist the thermal-vaccum environment on the lunar surface. At present, it is expected that the engine will be capable of meeting this requirement. It is assumed that a test beyond that planned for LFM to verify this capability is required. However, further study of this in Phase " $C$ " is planned and the verification test may be deleted.

### 5.4.3.3 Descent Propulsion

The Taxi descent propulsion will be the same as the LEM except for the three added vent valves which will be used to depressurize the helium and propellant tanks following lunar landing (see Fig. 5.4-3). As shown, two low pressure relief valves will be used in series with the propellant tank vent valves to limit the quantity of propellant vapors which are vented to the lunar atmosphere.

The use of vent valves to depressurize the descent helium and propellant tanks is dictated by safety considerations. The use of three vent valves is necessitated by the low temperatures of the supercritical helium. Venting the supercritical helium through the propellant tank vent valves would freeze the propellant vapors and eventually would clog the vent line. The sequence of operations for the recommended configuration will be venting of the supercritical helium tanks, followed by venting of one set of propellant tanks and then the other.

The primary safety consideration is a catastrophic failure of the helium or propellant tanks. Tank failures can occur at least two ways. The first is an increase in tank pressure combined with a failed-closed relief valve. It is estimated that the tank pressure will increase to the level of the relief valve pressure setting within 24 hours. The increase in pressure is the result of the rise in temperature
of the cold pressurizing helium gas. The second means of catastrophic failure is micrometeoroid penetration of a tank with an internal pressure greater than 40 psi. Based on the tank geometries and material, 40 psi was established as a conservative estimate of the pressure level at which no crack propagation. will occur if the tank is punctured.

The secondary safety consideration is the possible contamination of the astronaut's garments with propellants as a result of uncontrolled (random) venting of pressure when the astronaut is on the lunar surface and in close proximity to the vehicle. During the lunar day, the oxidizer vapors will react with the garment material possibly causing injury, while the fuel vapors may cling to the material subsequently contaminating the breathing oxygen with toxic fumes when the astronaut reenters the vehicle. The conditions associated with the lunar night are discussed below.

This latter consideration, combined with the desire to prevent catastrophic failure of a tank which is punctured, generated the need for a low pressure relief valve in series with each of the propellant tank vent valves. As originally conceived, the tank pressure was to be reduced to lunar atmospheric (vacuum). The reduction in pressure causes propellant boiling and a corresponding reduction in temperature. Eventually, the propellant bulk will freeze. Heat flow from the vehicle structure will then slowly vaporize the frozen propellant, with the vapors flowing overboard through the vent valves, thus presenting the same contamination problem discussed above. As a result, a low pressure relief valve has been added to limit the quantity of propellant vapors which are vented to the atmosphere. The relief valves will be set at 40 psia (maximum), the estimated "safe" pressure level for the tanks. The nominal relief valve reseat pressure will be 30 psia.

The phenomenon of liquid/vapor forming "snow" when vented into a vacuum (Ref. l) has led to the final design consideration for the vent system. This phenomenon presents a contamination problem similar to that discussed above. The low lunar night temperature prevents the "snow" from vaporizing and dispersing. Thus, the lunar surface can be covered with a layer of propellant "snow" which can be tracked into the vehicle on the astronaut's shoes. It is therefore desirable to vent the propellant into a confined area or at least into an area which the astronaut will not traverse. The area directly below the descent stage is under consideration. Further studies are required to be certain that the vented propellants will not disperse beyond the perimeter of the descent stage. If it is found that they will disperse beyond the perimeter, a means of preventing this will be determined. The possibility of venting the propellants into their respective tank bays is also being explored. The available volume in the bays is sufficient to hold the vented propellant. Problems of sealing adjacent oxidizer and fuel tank bays and compatibility of materials within the bays have not been explored to date. Investigations into these problem areas will be conducted during Phase "C". In addition, trade-off studies of the two solutions will be conducted to determine the best course of action.
5.4.4 Baseline Configuration

There is no difference between the recommended and baseline configurations.

### 5.4.5 Alternate Configuration-RCS Cluster Covers

The use of cluster covers during the lunar stay period was studied as an alternate to cluster heaters to prevent the freezing of propellants. Details of these studies are discussed in Section 6. Deployment of the covers by hand was considered as well as remote actuation.

### 5.4.6 Alternate Configuration - Methods of Venting Descent Propellant Tanks

Alternate methods of preventing the contamination problems discussed above were investigated.

- The possibility of decomposing the propellant into non-toxic, low freezingpoint gases was explored. Other than burning with a fuel, no means of decomposing the oxidizer was found. The use of Shell Catalyst No. 405 to decompose the fuel was investigated. The manufacturer provided information that the 50-50 fuel combination has been satisfactorily decomposed using the catalyst. However, no attempt to analyze the decomposition products has been made. Investigation into this possibility will be continued in Phase "C".
- A brief investigation into the possible use of a fused quartz helium diffusion cell to separate the helium and propellant vapors was made. The fused quartz, being permeable to helium, will allow it to vent overboard while retaining the propellant vapors. These brief investigations indicate possible problems in regard to the size and weight of the diffusion cells. Further investigations into the size, weight, flow capacity and material compatibility of the diffusion cell are required.


### 5.4.7 Potential Modifications Per Flight

No per flight modifications were considered for the Taxi.

### 5.4.8 Discussion of Configuration Choices

The RCS cluster covers were not selected, since in the manned mode, inaccessibility of the clusters raised serious questions as to whether the astronaut could successfully place the covers properly. Also, if the astronaut were incapacitated, removal of the covers might be impossible and prevent a successful abort. In the remote actuation case, adequate thermal sealing of the covers appears to be a major problem. Also, storage space is marginal and the weight is high when compared to the additional batte $y$ weight for the recomended cluster heaters ( 120 lb vs 13 lb ).

The combination of vent and low pressure relief valves was chosen to depressurize the descent helium and propellant tanks, because of the lack of adequate information on the alternates. Further investigations during Phase " $C$ " may show the catalyst bed or helium diffusion cells to be more desirable.

Reference: 1) Simmons, J.A. and Gift, R.D., "Phenomena Associated with the Exposure, Leakage and Discharge of Propellants in a Vacuum", Paper presented at 56th Air Force-Industry Conference, February 1965. This paper was based on Contract No. NAS 8-11044, Report No. X65-12159, dated 12/18/64.
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Fig. 5.4-2 Ascent Propulsion System

Legend


| 8 | Heat Exchanger |
| ---: | :--- |
| 9 | Filter |
| 10 | Regulator Shutoff Valve (2) |
| 11 | Regulator Assy (2) |
| 12 | Test Disconnect (9) |
| 13 | Quad Check Valve (2) |
| 14 | Vent Valve (3) |

15 Diffuser (4)
16 Propellant Tank (4)
17 Temp Transducer (5)
18 Low Level Sensor (4)
19 Trim Orifice (2)
20 Propellant Inlet Filter (2)
21 Descent Engine Assy
22 Low Pressure Relief Valve (2)
23 Isolation Valve (4)


Fig. 5.4-3 Descent Propulsion System

### 5.5 COMMUNICATIONS

5.5.1 Ground Rules

There were no NASA ground rules unique to the Taxi Communications Subsystem.

### 5.5.2 Assumptions and Background Data

The Taxi Communication Subsystem requirements are the same as the present Apollo LEM except for the mission period between lunar touchdown and powered ascent from the lunar surface. The communication requirements during the surface mission are based on the following assumptions and background data:

- During the quiescent storage, Taxi status and warning data will be transmitted to Earth for relay to the Shelter
- There is to be no interference in S-band Earth transmissions between the Taxi, Shelter, CSM and experiment payloads
- There are no experiments carried on the Taxi; therefore, there will be no requirement for television or experiment data transmissions
- EVA communications will use the in-flight VFF antenna
- Taxi will land within $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$ of the Shelter.

In addition, it has been assumed that the ground stations will provide real time voice communication with the three astronauts in the interval during which one astronaut is in each of the spacecrafts, ie., Taxi, Shelter and CSM. This voice link is independent of any relay capability between the spacecrafts. Ground capabilities will exist for the simultaneous reception, real time processing and/or recording of data transmitted from the CSM, Shelter, experiment payloads, and Taxi.

### 5.5.3 Recommended Configuration

The Taxi will use the present LEM Communications Subsystem with minor modifications as indicated in the subsystem block diagram, Fig. 5•5-1. The modifications are as follows:

- The erectable EVA sleeve dipole antenna is deleted. Communications between the EVA astronaut and the Taxi will be through the Taxi VHF in-flight antennas. The circuit performance margins will be equal to or greater than LEM because of the reduced range of operation ( $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$ as compared to 3 nmi on LEM)
- A time sequencer has been added to the Taxi to control transmission of status data during the unmanned quiescent storage period. A transmission will be made every two hours for a period of seven minutes.
- The Taxi communication links are shown in Fig. 5.5.-2. The Taxi and Shelter will have different S -band frequencies allocated to prevent interference.

The S-band communication operational modes for the Taxi mission are shown in Figure 5.5-3. Circuit performance margins were determined for the Taxi during lunar storage. The analysis employed is that presently used on the LEM (LIS 38015006). Bit rate is not yet finalized for status data and therefore margins for both high and low rates are presented. These margins are for the PM operational mode (Fig. 5.5-3). Transmission is via the S-band erectable antenna on the lunar surface and reception via the 210 ft diameter dish at the ground station.

The Circuit performance margins are listed in Table $5 \cdot 5-1$, as db above the signal-to-noise system requirements.

### 5.5.4 Baseline Configuration

The baseline configuration is essentially identical to the recommended configuration.

### 5.5.5 Alternate Configuration

Since the Taxi Communication Subsystem (including antennas) is identical to the LEM, the only alternate studied was directed towards removing the requirement for new S-band frequencies. Two alternate configurations were considered:

- Time sharing transmissions on the same frequency
- Right and left circular polarization of Taxi and Shelter antennas.

Time sharing transmissions is not an attractive selection, since the possibility of interference exists because of the automatic operation of status transmissions from the Taxi, and the operation is not as versatile as independent frequency allocations for each spacecraft.

The technique of utilizing right and left circular polarization of the Taxi and Shelter S-band antennas is based on the fundamental electromagnetic wave property that no radiation interference (pattern distortion) or crosstalk occurs when two perfectly circular polarized space waves, with opposite rotation senses, interact. The waves are essentially invisible to each other. The approach intended is to assign different polarization senses to the Shelter and Taxi which already utilize circularly polarized antennas.

A preliminary analysis of available data and research along with some basic calculations indicated that the polarization allocation technique is definitely feasible Further study, and possibly experimentation, is required in areas, such as described , below:

- Ground Station Receiving Antenna - The feed for this antenna must be capable of receiving both circulary polarized waves of opposite rotation sense simultaneously with a minimum of cross talk. The development of the required antenna feed is well within the state of the art. Actually, such a feed has already been developed (Ref. 1) and is assumed to be in operation at the present time. This feed was developed for JPL and intended for use on their 85 foot diameter dish antenna for certain radio-astronomy appli-- cations. This feed receives both polarized waves and separates them into two outputs. The feed exhibited -50 db coupling between the output parts and -40 db ellipticity.
- Shelter/Taxi Transmitting Antennas - These must have good circularity on, and a little about, their axes (Earth subtends an angle of $1.7^{\circ} \mathrm{deg}$ at … . lunar distance). Ellipticity will contribute to crosstalk. The S-band erectable antenna intended for use on the lunar surface, and the S-band steerable antennas appear to satisfy this on-axis requirement (actual data is not available at this time).
- Faraday Rotation - Circularity of the Earth incident wave may be affected by Faraday rotation which occurs because of the inhomogeneity of the Earth's atmosphere and the wave interaction with the Earth's magnetic field. This problem is difficult to define because available data based on lunar reflected signals is sparse. For frequencies above 100 mc , a magnetic-ionic theory (Ref. 2) has shown that polarization shift is inversely proportional to frequency and consequently at $S$-band is expected to be small. Other earlier work (Refs. $3,4,5$ ) tends to verify that the Faraday rotation effect would be negligible at S-band.


### 5.5.6 Potential Modifications per Flight

There were no per flight modifications considered for the Taxi communications subsystem.

REFERENCES:

1) "Feed System for Clockwise and Counter-clockwise Circular Polarization" PGAP Communication Section, November 1961.
2) "Lunar Radio Echoes and the Faraday Effect in the Ionosphere" Nature, May 15, 1954.
3) "Scattering Behavior of the Moon at Wavelength of 3.6, 68, and 784 Centimeters", Journal of Geophysical Research, January 1963
4) "Radio Measurements of the Lunar Surface", The Man, IAG Symposium 14, 1962
5) "Propagation and Communication Problems in Space" Proceedings of the IRE, April 1960.

Table 5.5-1
PCM S-BAND CIRCUIT PERFORMANCE MARGINS

| Data Rate, <br> k-bits/sec | Power Output |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 watts |  |  | 0.75 watt |  |
|  | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{db}$ | Margin, db | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{db}$ | Margin, db |  |
| 51.2 | +49 | +28 | +32 | $+11-$ |  |
| 1600 | +52 | +41 | +35 | +24 |  |

VHF In-Flight
Antennas
To CSM/EVA/Shelter


Code
Change from LEM


Voice \& Biomed from Cmdr
Voice to Sys Engr
Voice \& Biomed from Sys Engr
Fig. 5.5-1 Taxi Communications Subsystem


Fig. 5.5-2 Taxi Communications Links.


Fig. 5.5-3 S-Band Operational Modes

### 5.6 INSTRUMENTATION

### 5.6.1 Ground Rules

There were no NASA ground 'rules unique to the Taxi Instrumentation Subsystem.

### 1.6.2 Assumptions and Background Data

The primary function of the operational spacecraft instrumentation section is to acquire and present spacecraft housekeeping data to the astronauts and ground monitoring personnel. In reviewing the measurement requirements of the Taxi, it was concluded that the existing LEM equipment would continue to fulfill this task. The following assumptions were used. in standardizing an approach for the operational spacecraft data acquisition section of the Taxi:,

- The Taxi will continue to utilize the existing LEM PCM for operational data.
- The Taxi will adapt the existing LEM vehicle measurements for operational data and any additions due to changes in configuratión. :
- Any changes in the operational measurements will not exceed the present LEM measurements for the lunar landing mission.
- Maximum utilization of the crew for redundancy monitoring and failure mode corrections/operations, will be used.
- Safety data will also be carried as vehicle operational data.


### 5.6.3 Recommended Configuration

The recommended operational instrumentation section for the Taxi (Fig. 5.6-1) consists mainly of existing LEM assemblies or components. The existing LEM assemblies have certain built-in flexibility which allows for some minor configuration changes. This flexibility lies primarily with the plug-in modular concept of the Signal Conditioning Electronics Assemblies (SCEA) and the input channel'interchangeability to the Pulse Code Nodulation (PCM) and the Caution and Warning Electronics Assembly (C\&WEA). The measurement. list prepared for the Taxi (Table 5.6-5) was reviewed and analyzed to assure that the changed support requirements would still be adequately covered using the existing LEM system. A power and weight summary of the recommended configuration appears in Table 5.6-1.

### 5.6.3.1 Transducers

The transducers convert the physical and electrical phenomena of interest into a usable form for presentation to the astronauts or ground station personnel. These measurements from the various subsystems provide the majority of the input sources to the operational acquisition system.

If new spacecraft subsystem measurement requirements demand additional transducers, consideration will be given first to LEM proven units. To date, it is felt that the transducers available from the LEM program are capable of making the new measurements identified for the Taxi. These are associated with the RTG heat'pipe and the time sequencer used during the quiescent storage.

A summary of the parameters to be measured on the Text appears in Table 5.6-2. Allocated transducer weight and power consumption is listed on the enclosed measurements list (Table 5.6-5).

### 5.6.3.2 Signal Conditioning Equipment

The Signal Conditioning Electronics Assembly (SCEA), as presently designed for LEM, is a unit which conditions the signals from transducers and numerous signal monitoring points throughout the spacecraft and properly routes them to the Pulse Code Modulation and Timing Electronics Assembly (PCMTEA), Caution and Warning System (C\&WEA), or on-board displays. The SCEAs fulfill the following basic functions:

- Act as a junction and routing assembly for all analog measurements and signals being monitored.
- Structurally supports the signal conditioning sub-assemblies which condition the measurement input signals.

The SCEA consists of two separate chassis assemblies. Each assembly can accommodate up to twenty-four separate sub-assembly modules. The Taxi measurements list (Table 5.6-5) reflects the existing LEM measurements and the addition of new measurements required for the Taxi. A review of this listing indicates that the changing measurement requirements can still be accommodated within the existing SCEA using adaption techniques presently employed by LEM. Based on the measurements summarized in Table 5.6-2, it is anticipated that there will be no modification to the assembly, as now used by LEM, or its sub-assemblies. The units, however, will require rewiring of the input-output routings and a new configuration of sub-assemblies to place the quiescent storage status measurements on the same SCEA.

### 5.6.3.3 Caution and Warning Equipment

This assembly advises the astronauts of the spacecraft subsyster status by continually monitoring critical parameters. During the mission, the C\&WEA performs two basic functions:

- The caution function advises the astronaut of a malfunction requiring his corrective action
- The warning function advises the astronaut of crew safety items requiring immediate action.

The C\&WEA accepts inputs from the SCEA or pre-conditioned signal sources from the subsystems. These inputs are compared to preset reference signals within the C\&WEA to detect out-of-tolerance conditions. When an out-of-tolerance condition exists, an appropriate indication is initiated. The C\&WEA, as now used for the lunar landing LEM, operates on discrete voltage changes or switch closures. The analog input channels have preset limits. This unit will continue to support the Taxi requirements in its present configuration.

### 5.6.3.4 Pulse Code Modulation and Timing Equipment

The PCMTEA consists of an unmodified lunar landing LEM assembly. The data acquisition capability of this unit includes the multiplexing, encoding, and timing of high-level analog, parallel digital, and serial digital data. The number of channels, sampling rates, and word length for each of the three data forms are presented in Table 5.6.4. The unit operates at a normal data rate of 51,200 bits per
second and a reduced data rate, commanded remotely, of l,600 bits per second. The PCMTEA will operate as it does in the lunar landing LEM, including accepting a time reference from the LEM Guidance Computer and providing various timing signals to interfacing subsystems. The $\mathrm{NRZ}(\mathrm{C})$ data output is routed to the communications system for real time transmission.

### 5.6.3.5 Voice Storage Recorder

This magnetic tape recorder, originally referred to as the "Data Storage Equipment Assembly", provides a time correlated voice recording of comments and conversation between the astronauts during EVAs. This assembly employs automatic sequential record head switching with four tracks to provide up to a total of ten hours of recording time. The compact recorder is generally used with voice actuation circuits to run only for a required recording time. The recorder is used to:

- Support egress from CM to Taxi
- Support EVA's
- Record comments of vehicle status when off station coverage
- Record proprietary information.


### 5.6.3.6 Status Bus

During the quiescent storage period of the Taxi, limited data will be transmitted to monitor critical spacecraft data and to advise ground personnel of active readiness of the vehicle. During this period, the ground personnel will monitor the Taxi and advise the astronauts (now in the Shelter) of the status of their return vehicle. The "status system" uses reduced power and gathers only chosen information as indicated on the measurements list (Table 5.6-5). The limited portion of the operational system which will be activated is as follows:

- Transducers associated with the status monitoring requirements will receive their power through a separate feed.
- Only one signal conditioning electronics assembly will receive power for status monitoring.
- Pulse Code Modulation and Timing Electronics Assembly will be used during the transmission periods only.

A configuration of the status bus power distribution is shown in Fig. 5.6-2, and an electrical power usage summary is shown in Table 5.6-1. It should be noted that the caution and warning system, the voice storage recorder and approximately half the transducers and signal conditioners are off during the storage period.

### 5.6.3.7 Sequencer Commanded Items

During the lunar quiescent storage period, there will be a manually selected sequence started by the astronauts. This sequence will allow for periodic power-on cycles to energize the instrumentation status bus described above. The sequencer assembly will be energized prior to egress along with the de-activation of the Caution and Warning System and the voice recorder. The sequencer controlled items appear in Fig. 5.6-3, and the reduced power status system is described in Fig. 5.6-2. Upon the return of the astronauts to the Taxi, this sequencer will be deactivated, the C\&WEA and voice recorder activated, and normal Taxi functions resumed.

### 5.6.3.8 Operational Measurements

The measurement requirements for the Taxi are shown in Table 5.6-5. A summary of these measurements is found in Table 5.6-2, which reflects the various types of instrumentation required and the quantities requested for each of the Taxi subsystems. These measurements support the Taxi performance and management plus provide sufficient information to:

- Enable normal spacecraft operations to be performed
- Provide the capability for decision making by the astronaut
- Monitor crew safety functions
- Provide status of expendable items
- Provide status of operational events.

An Apollo biomedical system supports EVAs by monitoring such items as electrocardiograms and impedance pneumograms (respiration) with associated power converters, vest, harness and electrodes. This information is transmitted via the VHF link to the CM or Taxi for re-transmission to Earth. During the on-board stay, however, only the electrocardiogram is monitored for transmission to Earth (hardwired).

### 5.6.4 Baseline Configuration

The baseline configuration used for the Taxi is the same as the recommended configuration as described above.

### 5.6.5 Alternate Configuration

There were no alternate configurations studied, because the existing LEM system can satisfactorily perform all the described tasks.

### 5.6.6 Potential Modifications Per Flight

No per flight modifications are envisioned for the Taxi based on a review of existing measurement requirements and the capabilities of the existing system.

## Table 5.6-1

INSTRUNENTATION WEIGFIT \& POWER SUMMARY

| Assembly | Trans- <br> ducers | SCEA.I | SCEA II | C\& WEA | PCMTEA | VSR | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operat'l <br> Pwr, watts | 15.8 | 22.9 | 21.5 | 22.0 | 12.9 | 2.3 | 97.4 |
| Status <br> Pwr, watts | 3.9 | N/A | 21.5 | N/A | 12.9 | N/A | 38.3 |
| Weight, <br> lb | 19.0 | 42.6 | 43.1 | 25.0 | 37.0 | 2.5 | 179.2 |



Time

Status Mode ,During Quiescent Storage


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subsystem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | SCEA Subassembly |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 502-2 | 502-3 | 503-2 | 503-3 | 504-1 | 5 |
| Total Measurement Carcui.ts | 23 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 26 |  |
| Curcuits/Subassy | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Quantity of Subassys Reqd | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 |  |
| No. of Spare Circuits | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| ERA NO. 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | 5 |  |
| ERA No. 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 2 |  |

Table 5.6-3
CEEA SUMMARY

| Type LSP-360- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $504-3$ | $504-4$ | $504-5$ | $505-1$ | $506-2$ | $507-1$ | $509-1$ | TOTAL |
| 0 | 30 | 51 | 43 | 1 | 41 | 8 | 4 | 254 |
| 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | N/A |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 47 |
| - | 6 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 38 |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 1 | 23 |
| - | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 | - | - | 24 |

Table 5.6-4
PCMIEA INPUT DATA CAPABILITY
Data Format: 51,200 bits/sec Output Rate

| Data Form | No. <br> Channels | samples/sec | bits/word | words/sec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analog - High Level | 5 | 200 | 8 | 1000 |
|  | 17 | 100 | 8 | 1700 |
|  | 35 | 50 | 8 | 300 |
|  | 137 | 10 | 8 | 350 |
| Digital - Parallel | 4 | 1 | 100 | 8 |
|  | 1 | 50 | 8 | 137 |
|  | 37 | 10 | 8 | 300 |
|  | 1 | 50 | 40 | 10 |
| Digital - Serial | 1 | 50 | 24 | 37 |
|  | 248 |  |  | 250 |
| Total |  |  | 8 | 150 |

Partial Format: 1,600 bits/sec Output Rate

| Anaolg - High Level | 59 | 1 | 8 | 59 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Digital Parallel | 15 | 1 | 8 | 15 |

Note: The low bit rate format of the LEM PCMTEA is presently undergoing design changes. The input capability presented here is incomplete and will be expanded when the design is finalized.

* Normalized to 8 bit words.
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Fig. 5.6-1 Instrumentation Subsystem



Note: The astronauts will perform all functions normally, as in LEM. When the Taxi is placed into a storage mode, the Sequencer Assembly will control the limited status system.

Fig. 5:6-3 Status Data-Time Sequencer Command Control

### 5.7 CONIROLS AND DISPLAYS

### 5.7.1 Ground Rules

There are no NASA ground rules unique to the Taxi Controls and Displays Subsystem.
5.7.2 Assumptions

It is assumed that the preseparation, post-landing, and prelaunch checkouts of the Taxi do not require any addıtıonal controls and displays.

### 5.7.3 Recommended Confıguration

In general, the Taxi controls and displays are identical to those of the LEM. There are a few exceptions, such as the deletion or addition of a switch position on a rotary select switch. These modifications do not require deletion of the component, just the elimination of that position. Specifically, these changes are as follows:

- Four descent batteries have been deleted and replaced with three l2-kw-hr batteries; therefore, one set of high and low switches and status flag can be deleted.
- Since the EVA antenna functions are to be performed only by the VHF inflight antenna, the erectable VHF antenna mode has been removed from the VHF Select Switch.
- TV camera has been deleted; therefore the IV Switch and connectors have been removed.
- Cırcuit breakers will have the appropriate addıtions and deletions of breakers to satisfy the subsystem and safety requirements.

The Taxl will make use of the Shelter Radioistope Thermal Generator (RTG), and therefore it will be necessary to provide controls and displays for it. If the RTG is connected to the Taxi without proper checkout it could malfunction, and thus cause the descent batteries to supply power instead of the RTG. This would drain all the power from the descent batteries and cause a mission abort. Therefore, it is necessary for one of the astronauts to enter the vehicle after the RTG is in place and check the voltage, amperage, and temperature. This requires a slight modification to the EPS display to accommodate these functions.

Figures 5.7-1 through 5.7-9 illustrate the Taxi Control and Display Panels. The complete panel layout is shown in Section 6.2.

### 5.7.4 Baseline Configuration

The baseline configuration is the same as the recommended, except that it does not include the controls and displays required for checkout of the RTG.

### 5.7.5 Alternate Configuration

No alternate configurations were considered for the Taxi.
5.7.6 Potential Modıfication Per Flight

No per flight modifacations were considered for the Taxi.



Fig. 5.7-1 Controls \& Displays Panel I



Fig. 5.7-2 Controls \& Displays Panel II
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Fig. 5.7-3 Controls \& Displays Panel III



Fig. 5.7-4 Controls \& Displays - Panel IV
(45)



Fig. 5. 7-5 Controls \& Displays Panel VI



Fig. 5.7-6 Controls \& Displays Panel VII



5.7-7
(2)


Fig. 5.7-7 Controls \& Displays Panel VIII and XII
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COMMUNILATION ANTENNAS


Fig. 5.7-8 Controls \& Displays



Fig. 5.7-9 Controls \& Displays Panel XI

## 6. VEHICLE DESIGN AND INTEGRATION

### 6.1 SPACECRAFT DESIGN

### 6.1.1 Ground Rules

There were no NASA ground rules unique to this portion of the study.
6.1.2 Assumptions and Background Data

The philosophy guiding the development of the vehicle design is to keep the present LEM intact to the maximum extent possible, satisfying increased subsystem requirements by additions, rather than by modifications. Wherever possible, these additions have been designed as packages that could be incorporated into the vehicle in minimum time.

The following ground rules have been used during the Phase B study:

- No holes in the pressure shell.
- No modification to ascent and descent primary structure
- Retention of existing piping and wiring
- Maintain cormonality of subsystems between all AES vehicles
- No change to the Spacecraft LEM Adapter (SLA)
- Location of subsystem additions to retain many of the GSE servicing requirements in the SLA
- Where possible, subsystems are to be located in the descent stage to reduce the complexity that modifications to the ascent stage would require
- Experiments will be mounted to the vehicle at existing hardpoints.

Figures 6.1-1 through 6.1-6 are LEM drawings and are provided for comparison with the AES vehicles. For details not shown on the AES configuration drawings, these figures clearly define the structure.

### 6.1.3 Recommended Configuration

The recomended configuration of the Taxi is shown in Fig. 6.1-7. The modifications required to convert from the LEM to the Taxi are in the micrometeoroid shielding, thermal, and electrical power supply areas. Thermal modicications include support changes, increased insulation, and heat input to the ascent stage cabin during a lunar night mission. The electrical power supply utilizes three modified descent batteries and one radioisotope thermoelectric generator (RTG) mounted in the descent stage.

The RTG is assumed launched on the Shelter and brought over to the Taxi prior to shutdown for quiescent storage. The RTG mounting provisions are the same on the Taxi and the Shelter. The RTG is mounted to a shelf which is inserted into truss supported rails in the scientific equipment bay ( $-\mathrm{Y},-\mathrm{Z}$ quadrant) of the descent stage (Fig. 6.1-8). The RTG is insulated on five sides with approximately 1 in . of insulation. The sixth side is open allowing the RTG to radiate to space. The RTG unit used in this study is the SNAP 27 Unit, being developed by for the LEM Scientific Emplaced Package.

The batteries are located in the $+\mathrm{Y},+\mathrm{Z}$ quadrant of the descent stage, as on the LEM (Fig. 6.1-9). This quadrant permits access for battery checkout in the same manner as on the LEM and LEM battery mounting hardpoints are used.

Thermal coupling of the descent stage structure to the descent stage batteries and water tank wes reduced. The batteries are mounted to a rack which, in turn, is truss mounted to the descent stage structure. The truss supports offer a low thermal conductivity which is a result of a high-length to cross-sectional-area ratio. A lower thermal conductivity material was substituted for the existing LEM water tank truss supports. In addition, a heater and insulation was added to the water tank to prevent freezing during a night mission.

A thermal insulating cover is added to the ascent stage to cover the docking tunnel (at the top hatch) during quiescent stay (Fig. 6.1-10). Hinge fittings for the cover are added to the top of the -227 bulkhead. The cover is held open by a latch during launch and translunar flight. After lunar landing, redundant pyrotechnic devices sever the latch, and torsion springs close the cover over the docking tunnel. The top hatch hinge pins are pyrotechnically removed before ascent from the lunar surface. Thermal covers, common to the Taxi and Shelter, are added to each window prior to Taxi shutdown. The astronaut installs each window cover with three simple clip devices (Fig. 6.l-11). The top hatch is jettisoned and the window covers are manually removed before ascent into lunar orbit.

A thermostatically controlled steam heating loop, utilizing RTG waste heat, is installed between the RTG and the ascent stage cabin structure. This installation is the same on the Taxi and Shelter. The boiler located behind the RTG in the scientific equipment bay sends steam up through an umbilical in the descent stage to the ascent stage cabin. The five condenser pipes (one on each cabin wall) are attached by small brackets or clips to the cabin structure with no structural modifications. This "heat pipe" is shown in more detail in Fig. 6.1-12.

The increased mission time for the Taxi would impose additional micrometeoroid protection requirements to avoid penetration of critical areas of the vehicle and subsystems. The simplest method of providing additional micrometeoroid protection would be to make the spacecraft outer skin as thick as necessary. The attachment of these skins through thermal insulators to the basic vehicle structure would follow similar installation techniques as presently used for LEM.

### 6.1.4 Baseline Configuration

The Taxi baseline configuration was essentially the same as the recormended configuration described above.

### 6.1.5 Alternate Configuration - Top Hatch Thermal Cover

An alternate thermal cover, Fig. 6.1-13, for the top hatch would be the same as descrbied in the recommended configuration, except without a pyrotechnic device to pull the hinge pins for jettison capability. The disadvantage of this design is the weight penalty incurred by having to carry the cover back into lunar orbit.

### 6.1.6 Alternate Configuration - RTG Location

An alternate RTG location, common to the Taxi and Shelter, shown in Fig. 6.1-14, mounts the RTG unit on a sheet metal structure spanning the descent stage main beams over quadrant $+Y,+Z$ of the descent stage. The RTG is shrouded within an insulated box with a hinged door facing the ascent stage cabin structure. A thermal sensor is mounted to the ascent stage structure, remote from the radiant heat field of the RTG. Ascent stage temperature causes either expansion or contraction of a fluid in the sensor, which, in turn, operates the actuator and door. The disadvantage of this installation, for the Taxi, is that the crewman must lift the RTG up to the top of the descent stage. This would probably require some form of mechanical lifting aid.

### 6.1.7 Alternate Configuration - RCS Cluster Covers

RCS cluster covers may be used to improve the thermal characteristics of the vehicle. An automated system would impose a severe weight penalty, Manual covering is considered feasible; however, the operation is hampered somewhat by inaccessibility. A ladder and/or work platform may be required to provide this capability. The covers themselves could be simple insulating bags with draw strings so that they could be securely fastened. Another possibility involves the use of an insulating bag of the end of a long stick. This scheme, "a butterfly net with draw strings", would eliminate the requirement to climb up a ladder. These concepts would require additional study to arrive at a suitable method.
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Fig. 6.1-3 LEM Structural Arrangement Ascent Stage-Aft Cabin

Fig. 6. 1-4 LEM Structural Arrangement Ascent Stage-Aft Cabin
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Fig. 6.1-5 LEM Structural Arrangement Ascent Stage-Aft Equipment Bay
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Fig. 6.1-6 LEM Structural Arrangement Descent Stage
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Antennas

| 1 | Landing Radar* |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | S-Band Erectable* |
| 3 | S-Band in Flight* |
| 4 | VHF In-Flight* |
| 5 | S-Band Steerable* |
| 6 | Rendezvous Radar* |

Tanks


Miscellaneous

| 25 | Ingress/Egress Platform* |
| :--- | :--- |
| 26 | Ladder* |
| 27 | Ldg Radar Electronic Ass* |
| 28 | He Press Module* |
| 29 | Heat Exchanger* |
| 30 | Descent Engine* |
| 31 | Ascent Engine* |
| 32 | RCS |
| 33 | RCS He Press Unit* |
| 34 | Heat Pipe Int'stage Disconnect |
| 35 | Pyro Batt's* |
| 36 | Pyro Relay* |
| 37 | GOX Press Module* |
| 38 | S-Band Cable* |
| 39 | Ext Pwr Connect (Outlet)* |
| 40 | Int'stage Umbilical \& Guillotine* |
| 41 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ \& Glycol Int'stage Disconnect* |
| $*$ | Existing LEM Hardware |
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## Landed Configuration

Fig. 6.1-7 Recommended Taxi General Arrangement
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View Looking Down
Fig. 6. 1-8 Recommended RTG Installation

Fig. 6.1-9 Descent Stage Battery Installation
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## Fig. 6.1-10 Recommended Top Tunnel Thermal Cover
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Fig. 6.1-11 Window Thermal Covers
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Fig. 6.1-12 RTG Heat Pipe Installation
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Fig. 6.1-13 Alternate Top Tunnel (3) Thermal Cover


### 6.2 CREW PROVISIONS

### 6.2.1 Ground Rules

The Taxi will support the Shelter by providing a design goal return payload capability of approximately 250 lb .

### 6.2.2 Assumptions and Background Data

Based on mission requirements, it is assumed that the crew provisions efforts relating to the design of the Taxi will reflect a minimum modification to the existing LEM. The proposed changes are to reflect minor subtractions and modifications primarily based on differences in consummable requirements. The locations of existing controls, displays, and crew provisions are retained where possible; new equipment is treated as additions to the vehicle.

In addition, it is assumed that the Taxi is to support the over-all Taxi-Shelter mission by providing astronaut support items, such as: soft space suits, liquid cooled garments, and PLSS.

On the basis of there being no separate Taxi scientific mission considerations without the associated Shelter, the LEM scientific equipment is removed.

### 6.2.3 Recommended Confiǵgration

The recommended configuration for the Taxi is shown in Fig. 6.2-1. This inboard profile highlights those items which reflect a change from the LEM. Provisions are incorporated which allow for the addition of 250 lb of specimen return containers. Food, LiOF cartridges, and PLSS batteries are reduced as a function of decreased Taxi crew stay time. A Time Sequencer is provided for programming of the vehicle status data during the quiescent stay.

Further details with regard to the above modifications are as follows:

- PLSS Batteries: Two PLSS batteries are removed. Of the remaining four, two are stored on the left side of the mid section and one each in the two backpacks.
- PLSS LiOH Cartridges: Two PISS LiOH cartridges are removed. Of the remaining four, two are stored in the existing LEM storage facilities on the left side of the mid section and one each in the two backpacks.
- Food Packages: Approximately four lb of food are removed. The 5.2 lb re quired for the Taxi mission are stored in a portion of the existing LEM food lockers located in the left side of mid section.
- Specimen Return Containers: An allocation of space has been made to provide for a design goal of 250 lb of return payload. This represents an increase of 170 lb over the IEM. The filled containers are to be transferred from the Shelter for the Taxi return trip, and will be located on the left side of the mid section, af't of the engine housing in the mid section, and on the right side of the cabin underneath the side consoles. Provisions for securing these containers in their respective locations are included.

The items listed below have been removed from the LEM consistent with the Taxi mission requirements.

- Scientific equipment
- TV camera and portable cable.

In addition, the voice storage recorder has been retained and is located on the left side of the cabin on the forward side of the $+Z 27$ bulkhead.

The following items are transferred from the Taxi to the Shelter to avoid redundancy and allow for an efficient utilization of available hardware consistent with Shelter-Taxi mission requirements:

- Two soft space suits
- Two liquid cooled garments
- Emergency oxygen system
- Two PLSS units
- Two thermal/antimeteoroid protective garments
- Two pairs of lunar boots
- Two suit repair kits and spare parts
- One water probe.

The above items are to be returned to the Taxi at the conclusion of the mission for support of the crew during the return Taxi mission.

Fig. 6.2-2 shows the Taxi control and display panel layouts. Minor modifications are indicated primarily in the battery display area (Panel X). Controls for one descent battery have been removed, reflecting the differences in LEM and Taxi battery configurations. In addition, the Power/Temperature Monitor Switch on Panel X has been modified by the deletion of the No. 6 Battery Position. A RTG notation replaces this nomenclature. Panel VI has also been modified by the removal of the IV plug-in and its associated control.

### 6.2.4 Baseline Configuration

The PLSS calibration unit was removed from the LEM, in addition to the previously mentioned modifications. This unit represents the only difference between the the baseline and recommended configuration.

### 6.2.5 Alternate Configuration - Exterior Lighting

One alternate to the recommended configuration was considered; providing exterior lighting on the Taxi to illuminate the local area during the night portion of the mission. It may be possible to provide any necessary lighting from the shelter payload mobility aid. However, if this is not possible and lighting is required, exterior flood lights could be provided at two corners of the descent stage, 180 deg apart, to illuminate under the descent stage, along the sides of the vehicle, and the terrain away from the vehicle. One light would provide illumination of the alighting ladder area. The lights could be portable self-contained battery types or fixed installations serviced by vehicle power with exterior and interior switching capability.

### 6.2.6 Potential Modifications Per Flight

No per flight madifications were considered for the Taxi.


Key

1 Sequence Assy
2 Specimen Return Container Space ( 1400 cu in .)
3 Food Container (358 cu in.)
4 PLSS Batteries

5 Same as 2, Except $1750 \mathrm{cu} \mathrm{in}$.
6 Same as 2, Except 2500.cu in.
7 Same as 2, Except 2500 cu in.
8 PLSS LiOH Cartridges

Fig. 6. 2-1 Inboard Profile-Taxi Recommended Configuration
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Fig. 6. 2-2 Controls and Displays

### 6.3 STRUCIURAL ANALYSIS

### 6.3.1 Ground Rules

The following ground rule is unique to this portion of the study:

- Structural and dynamic interface between spacecraft and launch vehicles for all AES missions shall be compatible with the basic Apollo Program.
6.3.2 Assumptions and Background Data

The design criteria and environments established for the LEM have been used to establish subsystem design concepts and feasibility for this study. It is anticipated that these conditions will not be exceeded when the final configurations, including payloads, have been determined.

Inasmuch as the total LEM launch, separation, and landing gross weights will not be exceeded by the Taxi, it may be assumed that the basic structure will not require modification.

Tables 6.3-1 through 6.3-7 summarize the pertinent LFM design requirements which have been used to establish the integrity of the basic vehicle and subsystems. A safety factor of 1.50 is applied to the limit conditions to obtain ultimate loads. Fig. 6.3-1 shows the Taxi reference axes.

An estimate of docking loads for the CSM and LEM with the S-IVB Stage is shown below for the indicated masses, moments of inertia, and criteria.

## - Probe Contact

> Axial velocity 0.1 to 1.0 fps Radial velocity 0.0 to $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{fps}$ Angular misalignment $\pm 10 \mathrm{deg}$ Angular velocity $\pm 1.0 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ Miss distance at probe +1 ft

- Loads
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}=2235 \mathrm{lb}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}=3118 \mathrm{lb}$
$M=8134 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$
- Mass Properties

| Active Vehicle | $M=2000$ slugs |
| :--- | :--- |
| (CSM) | $I=74000$ slug-ft ${ }^{2}$ |
| Target Vehicle | $M=2200$ slugs |
| $(S-I V B+L E M)$ | $I=1,150,000$ slug-ft ${ }^{2}$ |

## - Final Hard Surface Contact

(Max. permissible for single-pulut sontact on non-parallel surfaces)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Axial velocity }=0.15 \mathrm{fps} \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{X}}=5000 \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.3.3 Recommended Configuration

The basic LEM structure will not require modification. However, because of the longer mission time ( 14 days on the lunar surface), the micrometeoroid protection requirements may necessitate an increase in material thickness. The shielding design and support structure will be the same configuration as presently used on LEM.

While micrometeoroid penetration considerations will determine the minimum average gage and skin spacing from the protected structure, the shielding must be designed for its ability to withstand sonic fatigue during the launch and boost condition.

For LEM, the micrometeoroid shielding, which also acts as thermal shielding, is a 0.006 -aluminum-alloy skin held away from the main structure by nylon standoffs. The standoffs offer point support and are spaced approximately 12 in. apart.

While the basic structure will not require modification, there may be particular materials used by LEM which will not satisfy the longer mission time and revised environment.

Non-metallic materials are now evaluated for a total of 3 days in contrast to the l4-day Taxi mission time. Since the quantity of outgassed products per material may be unacceptable for the increased mission time and increased degradation may also take place, retesting may be necessary. Other areas apart from a toxicity standpoint where testing may be necessary are: compatibility effect of extended exposure of both metallic and non-metallic materials to propellants, oxygen, and hydrogen; effect of prolonged UV radiation on visibility through window material; extended mission times, combining high- and low-temperature cycling and hard vacuum, could have detrimental effects on sealants and non-metallics; and stable thermal control coatings. The extent to which these potential problem areas will alter the original materials chosen will be studied further in Phase C.

### 6.3.4 Baseline Configuration

The Taxi structure for the baseline configuration is the same as for the recommended configuration.

### 6.3.5 Alternate Configuration - Micrometeoroid Shielding

Alternate methods of construction for the micrometeoroid shielding were investigated using the techniques of ref. 6.3-2. A general comparison was made of the following types of construction which are listed in order of preference from a weight standpoint:

- Honeycomb panels
- Skin with bonded doublers and ribs
- Skin and rib
- Corrugated panels
- Beaded panels.

Since the design reference data used were developed for aircraft applications, the lowest number of design cycles considered were a factor of 10 to 100 times greater than those anticipated during the launch and boost conditions. The gages required were, therefore, 5 to 30 times greater than that used for LFM. An exception was the honeycomb construction where the sum of the two face gages was only 1.5 to 2 times as great.

While these alternate methods of shielding are more efficient fatigue-wise, it is not planned to apply them to the Taxi. The total mission time for the Taxi is 14 days as compared to 7 days for LEM; it is felt that a slight increase in skin gage will provide a sufficiently high probability of no penetration.

### 6.3.6 Potential Modifications Per Flight

No per flight modifications were considered for the Taxi in this area.

### 6.3.7 Discussion of Configuration Choices

For micrometeoroid shielding, the LEM-type construction was selected for the Taxi with an increase of skin gage as required for the 14-day mission period. Although the increase in gage is usually beneficial with regard to fatigue, a change in dynamic characteristics, especially of the substructure, will occur. Acoustic testing of the new configuration would therefore be required later in the program.

### 6.3.8 References

6.3-1 ITR-905-11001: "Results of Vibration Tests, LEM Structural Elements", C. Birn, Grumman, April 1965.
6.3-2 ASD-TDR-63-820: $\begin{aligned} & \text { "Structural Design for Acoustic Fatigue," Air Force Flight } \\ & \text { Dynamic Laboratory, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, } \\ & \text { October 1963. }\end{aligned}$

Table 6.3-1
LAUNCH \& BOOST ACCELERATIONS

| Condition | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{g})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lift-off | 1.6 | 0.65 |
| Max q $\alpha$ | 2.07 | $\pm 0.30$ |
| End boost | 4.9 | $\pm 0.10$ |
| lst-stage cut-off | 1.7 | $\pm 0.10$ |
| End 2nd-stage boost | 2.15 | $\pm 0.40$ |

Table 6.3-2
VIBRATION INPUT TO EQUIPT SUPPORTS FROM EXTERIOR PRIMARY STRUCIURE

| Random | Sinusoidal |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10-23 \mathrm{cps}$ $12 \mathrm{db} /$ oct rise <br> $23-80 \mathrm{cps}$ $0.0148 \mathrm{~g}^{2} / \mathrm{cps}$ <br> $80-105 \mathrm{cps}$ $12 \mathrm{db} / \mathrm{oct}^{\mathrm{r}}$ rise <br> $105-950 \mathrm{cps}$ $0.0444 \mathrm{~g}^{2} / \mathrm{cps}$ <br> $950-1250 \mathrm{cps}$ $12 \mathrm{db} / \mathrm{oct}^{\mathrm{dec}} \mathrm{dease}$ <br> $1250-2000 \mathrm{cps}$ $0.0148 \mathrm{~g}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ | $\begin{array}{rl} 5-18.5 \mathrm{cps} & 0.154 \mathrm{in.} \mathrm{D.} \mathrm{A.} \\ 18.5-100 \mathrm{cps} & 2.69 \mathrm{~g} \text { peak } \end{array}$ |

Table 6.3-3
VIBRATION INPUT TO EQUIPT SUPPORTS FROM INTERIOR PRIMARY STRUCTURE

|  | Random |  | Sinusoidal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | - 23 cps | $12 \mathrm{db} /$ oct rise | 5-16 cps | 0.154 in. D. A. |
| 23 | - 80 cps | $0.0148 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cps}$ | $16-100 \mathrm{cps}$ | 1.92 g peak |
| 80 | - 100 cps | $12 \mathrm{db} /$ oct rise |  |  |
| 100 | - 1000 cps | $0.0355 \mathrm{~g}^{2} / \mathrm{cps}$ |  |  |
| 1000 | - 1200 cps | $12 \mathrm{db} / \mathrm{ct}$ decrease |  |  |
| 1200 | - 2000 cps | $0.0148 \mathrm{~g} 2 / \mathrm{cps}$ |  |  |

Table 6.3-4
ACOUSTIC ENVIRONMENT

| Octave Band, cps | C-5 at Max q Level, $d b$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $9-18.8$ | 136 |
| $18.8-37.5$ | 142 |
| $37.5-75$ | 146 |
| $75-150$ | 143 |
| $150-300$ | 139 |
| $300-600$ | 135 |
| $600-1200$ | 130 |
| $1200-2400$ | 125 |
| $2400-4800$ | 119 |
| $4800-9600$ | 113 |
| overall | 150 |

(Sound pressure levels in db external
to LEMM, Re: 0.0002 dynes $/ \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{cm}$ )

Table 6.3-5
SPACE FLIGHT ACCELERARION

| Axis | X |  | Y |  | Z |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | g | $\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{sec}^{2}}$ | g | $\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{sec}^{2}}$ | g | $\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{sec}^{2}}$ |
| SM Propul <br> Acceleration <br> Not Operating | -0.36 <br> 0 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\pm 0.062$ <br> 0 | $\pm 1.99$ <br> 0 | $\pm 0.062$ <br> 0 | $\pm 1.99$ <br> 0 |  |  |

Table 6.3-6
DESCENT ACCELERATIONS

| Phase | Vertical Accel, Earth g $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | Lateral Accel, Earth g $Y \& Z$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}^{2} \\ \mathrm{about} \\ \mathrm{Y} \& \mathrm{Z} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}^{2} \\ \text { about } \\ \overline{\mathrm{X}} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Separation | +0.368 | $\pm 0.0378$ | $\pm 0.192$ | $\pm 0.091$ |
| Elliptic Orbit | +0.372 | $\pm 0.0383$ | +0.193 | $\pm 0.092$ |
| Start of Hover | +0.707 | $\pm 0.0728$ | $\pm 0.448$ | $\pm 0.166$ |
| End of Hover | +0.815 | $\pm 0.084$ | $\pm 0.645$ | $\pm 0.189$ |
| Transfer Orbit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 6.3-7
LANDING ACCELERATIONS

| Landing Axis <br> Accelerations <br> at LEM cg | X |  | Y |  | Z |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | g | $\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{sec}^{2}}$ | g | $\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{sec}^{2}}$ | g | $\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{sec}^{2}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Case 1 | .798 | $\pm .036$ | $\pm 1.778$ | -0.16 | 0 | $\pm 14.56$ |
| Case 2 | .798 | 0 | 0 | 17.60 | 1.778 | 0 |
| Case 3 | .857 | $\pm 15.82$ | $\pm .095$ | 9.05 | -.421 | $\pm .573$ |
| Case 4 | 2.74 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 28.1$ | $\pm .514$ | 0 |
| Case 5 | 2.74 | .01 | $\pm .514$ | -.055 | 0 | $\pm 23.3$ |



Fig. 6.3-1 Taxi Reference Axes

Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation - Bethpage, New York
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