MIT/IL SOFTWARE ANOMALY REPORT I.1 ORIGINATOR: R. SIMPSON I.2 ORGANIZATION: I.2 ORGANIZATION: FMES/FCI I.3 DATE: 6/4/69 LM LUM 47 PROGRAM REVISION 97

1.5 DESCRIPTION OF ANOMALY:

• .

GE4.4 No. 1 was an early abort from P63 into P70. At the end of P70 the FV37 was responded to with 00. About 18 seconds later a hardware restart occurred. The lights on the PAC indicated only a NIGHTWATCH-MAN alarm. RSBBQ, +1 was 46063 (FBANK 23) and 07134 (DMPSUB1 area of the interpreter). A V74 was executed and the GOLOC area showed 03676 and 44067. This is a restart point in servicer near AVEGEND.

1.6 DESCRIPTION OF RUN:

CONTINUED ON PAGE

- MIT ANALYSIS -

2.1 CAUSE:

CONTINUED ON PAGE

2.2 RECOGNITION:

CONTINUED ON PAGE

	27				الموجوع المراجع المرجوع والمراجع المراجع المرجع والمرجع والمرجع والمرجع والمرجع والمرجع والمرجع والمرجع والمرجع	ومحروقا فيباريهما الكالب يؤملكو الكارد مشكلا إباكا تزار
MISSION EFFECT:						
			•		CONTINUED ON P	AGE
AVOIDANCE PROCEDURE:						
•	•		•	•	CONTINUED ON P	AGE
RECOVERY PROCEDURE:				•		
•	•				CONTINUED ON P	AGE
PROGRAM CORRECTION:	• •		· ·			
			• •		CONTINUED ON P	AGE
RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION MIT analysis should be no	of timing d possibility	uring the of softwa	period are caus	in question ing a NIGHI	WATCHMAN ala	there
RECOMMENDED RE-TESTING:						
	- CONT	- INUED ON PAGE	2.9 MILL SIGN	ATURE: Mer.	2.10 0 7-1	ATE: 69
NASA DIRECTION:	<u>م</u>		41 CLOSING A	CTION TAKEN:		
	•	*				
					•	
	MISSION EFFECT: AVOIDANCE PROCEDURE: RECOVERY PROCEDURE: PROGRAM CORRECTION: RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION MIT analysis should be no RECOMMENDED RE-TESTING: NASA DIRECTION:	MISSION EFFECT: AVOIDANCE PROCEDURE: RECOVERY PROCEDURE: PROGRAM CORRECTION: RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION (Fix, Work-pround, etc): MIT analysis of timing dishould be no possibility RECOMMENDED RE-TESTING: CONTINASA DIRECTION:	MISSION EFFECT: AVOIDANCE PROCEDURE: RECOVERY PROCEDURE: PROGRAM CORRECTION: RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION (Fix, Work-around, etc): MIT analysis of timing during the should be no possibility of softwa RECOMMENDED RE-TESTING: CONTINUED ON PAGE NASA DIRECTION:	MISSION EFFECT: AVOIDANCE PROCEDURE: RECOVERY PROCEDURE: PROGRAM CORRECTION: RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION (Fix, Work-around, etc): MIT analysis of timing during the period should be no possibility of software caus: RECOMMENDED RE-TESTING: CONTINUED ON PAGE NASA DIRECTION: L1 CLOSING A	MISSION EFFECT: AVOIDANCE PROCEDURE: RECOVERY PROCEDURE: PROGRAM CORRECTION: RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION (Fix, Work-ground, etc): MIT analysis of timing during the period in question should be no possibility of software causing a NIGHT RECOMMENDED RE-TESTING: CONTINUED ON PAGE 2.9 MULL SIGNATURE: MASA DIRECTION: A1 CLOSING ACTION TAKEN:	MISSION EFFECT: CONTINUED ON P AVOIDANCE PROCEDURE: CONTINUED ON P RECOVERY PROCEDURE: CONTINUED ON P PROGRAM CORRECTION: CONTINUED ON P RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION (Fix, Work-around, etc): MIT analysis of timing during the period in question shows that should be no possibility of software causing a NIGHTWATCHMAN, ala Should be no possibility of software causing a NIGHTWATCHMAN, ala RECOMMENDED RE-TESTING: CONTINUED ON PACE CONTINUED ON PACE 2.9 MILLA SIGNATURE: CONTINUED ON PACE 2.9 MILLA SIGNATURE: CONTINUED ON PACE 2.10 D MERCENTION TAKEN: CONTINUED ON PACE

	CONTI	NUED ON PAGE	·.	CONTINUE	D ON PAGE
3.2 NASA/MSC SIGNATURE:	3.3 ORGANIZATION	3.4 DATE:	4.2 SIGNATURE:	43 ORGANIZATION:	4.4 DATE:

3

LNY 86 MIT/IL SOFTWARE ANOMALY REPORT

1.1 ORIGINATOR:	I.2 ORGANIZATION:	1.3 DATE: 1.4 (CONTROL 10. PROGRAM LUMINARY
R. SIMPS	ON FMES/FCI	6/4/69 L	1-LUM-47 PROGRAM REVISION 97
•			

1.5 Description of Anomaly:

which essentially restarteAVETOMID. Apparently the program restarted successfully and the run continued to past CDH.

2.7 Recommended Disposition:

27

21

Conclusion is that the restart was generated by a hardware failure and not by a software problem. See data below compiled in an MIT re-run of the sequence described.

The following shows the number of times NEWJOB was accessed after selection of POO in response to V37FL for each 0.1 sec. during a repeat of the described run: *

16

31

26

25	21	20		
26	.26	22	30	16
29.	33	27	28	21
. 31	28	· 36·	30	31
33	26	20	· 27	26
22 21 ·	20	25	16	31
21	- 27	27	28	23
10	52 . 21	22	26 [•]	. 20
30	· 31 ·	20	. 29	27
· 21	- 29	29	30	31
30 .	15	24	32	29
27	. 22	. 15	21	26
18	- 31	27	21	21
25	26	30	1/ . 20	22
31	32	30	29	33
27	20	30	28	27
· 26	21	15 .	29	33
19	31	25	28	12
36	22	29	16	25
30	33	32	26 ·	31
33	30	. 26	27	25
14	29	23	31	33
21	14	29	26	19
. 20	<u> ユ</u> ー・ ク ち	31	19	22
50	د ع. ٦١	21	25	20

JT

20

```
21
                  26
  31
                  3ł
  23
  Alarm conditions: .64 \leq \Delta T \leq 1.92
  Total number of times NEWJOB accessed in 12.7 sec AVETOMID period =
                                                                          3,333.
MSC Form 1409A (May 68)
```

32

PAGE _2_ OF _3_

I ORIGINATOR:	1.2 ORGANIZATION:	1.3 DATE: 1.4	ORIGINATOR	PROGRAM
R. SIMPSON	FMES/FCI	6/4/69 I	CONTROL NO. M-LUM-47	PROCENA LEVISION
		•		99
	•			
Conclusion: Ala	arm should not occ	ur unless NE	WJOB has	not been
	84M 641 62130 _884	n !	this ass	P NEWJOB
accessed for at	least .64 seconds	s. Since in	CHIS Case	
accessed for at was accessed no	least .64 seconds less than 12 time	s. Since in es in any of	the 0.1	second
accessed for at was accessed no intervals, there	least .64 seconds less than 12 time e can be no logica	es in any of al way of thi	the 0.1 and the old states alarm of the old states of the	second occurring
accessed for at was accessed no intervals, there during AVETOMID	least .64 seconds less than 12 time e can be no logica	s. Since in es in any of al way of thi	the 0.1 s	second occurring
accessed for at was accessed no intervals, there during AVETOMID	least .64 seconds less than 12 time e can be no logica	s. Since in es in any of al way of thi	the 0.1 and the o.1 and the o.	second occurring
accessed for at was accessed no intervals, there during AVETOMID	least .64 seconds less than 12 time e can be no logica	s. Since in es in any of al way of thi	the 0.1 s alarm	second occurring
accessed for at was accessed no intervals, there during AVETOMID	least .64 seconds less than 12 time e can be no logica	s. Since in es in any of al way of thi	the O.l a s alarm (second
accessed for at was accessed no intervals, there during AVETOMID	least .64 seconds less than 12 time e can be no logica	s. Since in es in any of al way of thi	the 0.1 and a state of the stat	second occurring
accessed for at was accessed no intervals, there during AVETOMID	least .64 seconds less than 12 time e can be no logica	s. Since in es in any of al way of thi	the 0.1 and a state of the stat	second occurring
accessed for at Was accessed no intervals, there during AVETOMID	least .64 seconds less than 12 time e can be no logica	s. Since in es in any of al way of thi	the O.l a s alarm	second occurring

.

MSC Form 1409A (May 68)