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## DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS

Symbol
t time

T time to target
$\tau$
$\chi \quad$ thrust angle

F thrust magnitude
R value to be extremized
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \quad$ exhaust velocity
V velocity
a, b, c,
d, $K_{1}, K_{2}$
$\mathrm{m}, \dot{\mathrm{m}} \quad$ mass and flow rate
SUBSCRIPTS

1: $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ initial or instantaneous
$\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}} \quad$ total or final
$\mathrm{i}: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ inertial
SUPERSCRIPTS
$\sim: \tilde{\chi} \quad$ preliminary value for restricted case
-: $\bar{\chi} \quad$ average

## DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS (Cont'd)

Symbol

## Definition

$\phi \quad$ central angle to point of origin
$\phi * \quad$ average gravity direction
g local gravity magnitude
g* average gravity magnitude
$x-y \quad$ space-fixed coordinate system with origin at launch site
$\xi, \eta \quad$ rotated system through $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}$ with origin at body center
$\Delta \dot{\xi}, \Delta \dot{\eta}$
inertial velocity-to-be gained in $\xi-\eta$ system
$\chi_{\xi} \quad$ thrust angle related to $\xi-\eta$ system
$\chi \quad$ thrust angle related to $x-y$ system
$\delta \mathrm{T}$ time-to-go correction
$T^{\prime} \quad$ estimated time-to-go
$\Delta \xi^{\prime}, \Delta \ddot{\eta}^{\prime} \quad$ inertial velocity-to-be gained in $\xi-\eta$ system in time $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$
$\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime} \quad$ total inertial velocity-to-be gained in time $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$
$\xi-\eta \quad$ rotating system (frozen on each evaluation) with origin at the beginning of the terminal braking phase, the $\xi$-axis passing through the instantaneous vehicle position (M)

D, $\dot{\mathrm{D}} \quad$ slant range and slant range rate
$\theta, \dot{\theta} \quad$ slant range angle with horizontal at lunar landing site, slant range angular rate
$\theta$ p angle formed by $\xi$-axis and local horizontal at terminal braking point
K proportionality constant of thrust and range
predicted distance traversed dur ing remaining flight time with current thrust magnitude

## DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS (Concluded)

Symbol
Definition
F* thrust level producing required distance in remaining flight time
$\bar{\chi} \quad$ an effective average of $\chi$ over remaining flight time
P orbital period
$t_{i g}$
$h_{a} \quad$ altitude of aposelenum
$h_{p} \quad$ altitude of periselenum

# AN ITERATIVE GUIDANCE SCHEME AND ITS 

## APPLICATION TO LUNAR LANDING

SUMMARY

A guidance scheme for vehicle flight from lunar orbit to a prescribed point on a spherical, non-rotating moon is presented. The equations of motion have been simplified only to permit a closed solution for the thrust magnitude and thrust direction. The trajectory computations themselves are made under more realistic and accurate assumptions and are not included.

This scheme is another approach to the problem of adaptive guidance mechanization for vacuum flight. The more salient features of the scheme are the limited number of presettings, the closeness to optimization, the closed form solution, and the "homing" feature; i.e., the guidance information is improved as the end point is approached.

The effectiveness of the scheme and the required thrust variations are displayed in Tables I and II. Two flight profiles have been considered: continuous burn of one RL-10 engine for main braking from a 100 km lunar orbit to the beginning of the terminal braking phase at 300 meters altitude; ignition of three RL-10 engines at the 15 km periselenum of a Hohmann transfer ellipse from a 100 n . mi. circular orbit with burn to 300 meters altitude. A description of a timer ignition scheme is given in the appendix.

## SECTION I. INTRODUCTION

It has been shown by Fried [1] and Lawden [2] that the optimum thrust direction in a vacuum over a flat surface with a constant gravitational field, constant thrust, and constant specific impulse is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \chi=\frac{\partial \mathrm{R} / \partial \dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{t}) \partial \mathrm{R} / \partial \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{R} / \partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{t}) \partial \mathrm{R} / \partial \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}}}=\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bt}}{\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{dt}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$, and d are constants depending on the boundary conditions. For example, if the velocity vector alone is constrained, the direction of this vector can be achieved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \chi=\frac{\partial \mathrm{R} / \partial \dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{R} / \partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{T}}}=\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the magnitude by the burning time t . This relationship can also be seem geometrically in Figure 1.


FIGURE 1. THE RELATIONSHIP OF BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan x=\frac{\dot{y}_{i}}{\dot{x}_{i}}=-\frac{\left(\dot{y}_{1}+g T\right)-\dot{y}_{T}}{\dot{x}_{T}-\dot{x}_{1}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if the altitude is constrained along with the velocity vector, the thrust attitude direction becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \chi=\frac{\partial \mathrm{R} / \partial \dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{t}) \partial \mathrm{R} / \partial \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{R} / \partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{T}}}=\mathrm{a}_{1}+\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{t} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first order expansion of (2) and (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi=a^{\prime} \\
& \chi=a_{1}+b_{1} t
\end{aligned}
$$

which has been justified through experience, is used in developing the scheme. These laws make it possible to generate the function in flight using as information state variables of velocity and displacement, thrust, mass flow, and desired end conditions.

The scheme is modified to approximate a spherical moon assumption by introducing an average gravity direction and magnitude between the instantaneous state and the desired end condition. No longer are $a^{\prime}, a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ constant throughout the flight as in the unperturbed flat moon case but constant over the length of time between evaluations. Indeed, one might think of a series of flat moons between the instantaneous point and the end point, an assumption which becomes more valid as the end point is approached. These modifications to approximate a spherical moon do not destroy the ability to solve for $a^{\prime}, a_{1}, b_{1}$, and $T$ in closed form.

Range constraint is achieved by the throttling capability of the engines. The required thrust is inversely proportional to the required range. The change in thrust affects, of course, the solution of $\chi$ and $T$. These quantities are updated, however, to be compatible with the new thrust.

## SECTION II. DESCRIPTION

The principles of the scheme can be displayed most clearly by assuming a twodimensional flat surface as a model. In a subsequent section, the extension to a homogeneous spherical body, still in two dimensions, is presented. Finally the specific application to a lunar landing mode is shown.

The equations of motion relative to a flat surface in a constant gravitational field are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{m} \cos \chi \\
& \ddot{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{m} \sin \chi-\mathrm{g}, \tag{2,1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{m}$ represents acceleration due to a constant thrust and a constant specific impulse, and $\chi$ represents the direction of the acceleration vector against the horizontal. Mathematically expressed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{~m}}=\frac{\mathrm{F}}{\dot{\mathrm{~m}}} \cdot \frac{\dot{\mathrm{~m}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{1}+\dot{\mathrm{m} t}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \frac{1}{\tau-\mathrm{t}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since vacuum flight and constant specific impulse are assumed, F and $\dot{\mathrm{m}}$ are constant; $\dot{\mathrm{m}}<0$. The following definitions also prevail:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{F}}{\dot{m}}=-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} ; \frac{\mathrm{m}_{1}}{\dot{\mathrm{~m}}}=-\tau,
$$

where $m_{1}$ represents instantaneous mass.
If only the end velocity vector is specified, it has been shown that a constant thrust attitude direction, $\tilde{\chi}$, will achieve this end condition in a near-optimum fashion. Equations (2.1) can then be integrated over T seconds in closed form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{~T})=\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{1}+(\cos \tilde{\chi}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}} \\
& \tilde{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{~T})=\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{1}+(\sin \tilde{\chi}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{gT} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Solution of equations (2.3) for $\tilde{\chi}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{\tilde{\mathrm{y}}(T)-\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{1}+g T}{\tilde{\dot{x}}(T)-\dot{x}_{1}}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inspection of Figure 1 shows the same result geometrically.
The magnitude of the velocity vector is obtained through the burning time T ; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{i}=\sqrt{\left(\tilde{\dot{x}}(T)-\dot{x}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left[\tilde{\dot{y}}(T)-\dot{y}_{1}+g T\right]^{2}}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be set equal to the characteristic velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6) can be solved for $T$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ for any combination of current state velocities $\dot{x}_{1}$, $\dot{y}_{1}$ and required end velocities $\widetilde{\sim} \underset{x}{x}(T), \widetilde{y}(T)$. Since current state variables are changing, the T-computation and $\tilde{\chi}$-determination proceed stepwise using new $\dot{x}_{1}, \dot{y}_{1}$ values as they are obtained.

So far, only an end velocity vector condition has been obtained. In order to constrain an end altitude also, it is necessary to introduce a linear thrust attitude law,

$$
\chi=\tilde{\chi}-\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{t},
$$

where $\tilde{\chi}, K_{1}$, and $K_{2}$ preserve the velocity condition already obtained, while also enforcing a desired altitude condition. Equations (2.1) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{\mathrm{x}}=F / m \cos \left(\tilde{x}-K_{1}+K_{2} t\right) \\
& \ddot{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{m} \sin \left(\tilde{\chi}-K_{1}+K_{2} t\right)-g . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to preserve the velocity condition, $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ must be chosen so that the $\dot{y}$ obtained from the equations (2.7) and $\tilde{\dot{y}}$ are the same. It is assumed that $\cos \left(-K_{1}+K_{2} t\right) \approx 1$ and $\sin \left(-K_{1}+K_{2} t\right) \approx-K_{1}+K_{2} t$.
$\dot{\mathrm{y}}=\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{1}+\left(\sin \tilde{\chi}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \cos \tilde{\chi}\right) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \cos \tilde{\chi} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ex}}\left[-\mathrm{T}+\tau \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right]-\mathrm{gT}$.
$\dot{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{T})-\dot{\mathrm{y}}=0=-\mathrm{K}_{1} \cos \tilde{\chi} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \cos \tilde{\chi} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ex}}\left[-\mathrm{T}+\tau \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right]$.

The coefficients $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ must be chosen also to satisfy the altitude condition $y(T)$ :
$\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{T})=\mathrm{y}_{1}+\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{1} \mathrm{~T}+\left(\sin \tilde{\chi}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \cos \tilde{\chi}\right) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}}\left[\mathrm{T}-(\tau-\mathrm{T}) \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\mathrm{K}_{2} \cos \tilde{\chi} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ex}}\left\{-\frac{\mathrm{T}^{2}}{2}-\tau\left[(\tau-\mathrm{T}) \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{T}\right]\right\}-\frac{\mathrm{gT}^{2}}{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.9) and (2.10) can be solved simultaneously for $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{~S}} \ln \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{J}=\tau \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{T} \\
& \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{y}_{1}+\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{T}-\sin \tilde{\chi} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}}\left[\mathrm{~J}-\mathrm{T} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right]-\frac{\mathrm{gT}^{2}}{2}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{~T})  \tag{2.12}\\
& \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \cos \tilde{\chi}\left[\mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~J}-\frac{\mathrm{T}^{2}}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

## SECTION III. EXTENSION OF THE GUIDANCE SCHEME TO A SPHERICAL BODY ASSUMPTION

The theory can be extended to the spherical body assumption by assuming an average value for the gravity direction $\phi^{*}$ and magnitude $g^{*}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi^{*}=\frac{\phi_{\mathrm{T}}-\phi_{1}}{2} ;  \tag{3.1}\\
& \mathrm{g}^{*}=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{1}+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{T}}}{2} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 2 depicts the coordinate system used in the guidance computation. The $\xi-\eta$ system, with origin at the desired injection point, is formed by rotating the $x-y$ system, space-fixed at the launch site through the terminal range angle $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi=\mathrm{x} \cos \phi_{\mathrm{T}}-\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{y}\right) \sin \phi_{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{3.3a}\\
& \eta=\mathrm{x} \sin \phi_{\mathrm{T}}+\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{y}\right) \cos \phi_{\mathrm{T}} .
\end{align*}
$$

A similar relation holds for the velocity components.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\xi}=\dot{\mathrm{x}} \cos \phi_{\mathrm{T}}-\dot{\mathrm{y}} \sin \phi_{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{3.3b}\\
& \dot{\eta}=\dot{\mathrm{x}} \sin \phi_{\mathrm{T}}+\dot{\mathrm{y}} \cos \phi_{\mathrm{T}} .
\end{align*}
$$



FIGURE 2. FLIGHT GEOMETRY

The steps taken in deriving the thrust attitude angle are the same as those displayed in Section II.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \dot{\xi}=\dot{\xi}_{\mathrm{T}}-\dot{\xi}_{1}-\mathrm{g} * \mathrm{~T} \sin \phi^{*} \\
& \Delta \dot{\eta}=\dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{T}}-\dot{\eta}_{1}+\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{~T} \cos \phi^{*} \\
& \chi_{\xi}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\Delta \dot{\eta}}{\Delta \dot{\xi}}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \Delta \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \Delta \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}={\sqrt{\Delta \dot{\xi}^{2}+\Delta \dot{\eta}^{2}}}_{\chi_{\xi}=\tilde{\chi}_{\xi}-\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{t}}^{\chi_{\xi}}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \chi=\tilde{\chi}_{\xi}-\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{t}-\phi_{\mathrm{T}} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations of motion in the $\xi-\eta$ coordinate system then becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{\xi}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{m} \cos \chi_{\xi}+\mathrm{g}^{*} \sin \phi^{*} \\
& \ddot{\eta}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{m} \sin \chi_{\xi}-\mathrm{g}^{*} \cos \phi^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again the coefficients $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ appearing in (3.8) are determined to preserve the velocity condition imposed by equation (3.5) while enforcing a condition on altitude. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\dot{\eta}}(\mathrm{T})=\dot{\eta}_{1}-\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{~T} \cos \phi^{*}+\sin \tilde{\chi}_{\xi} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\eta}(\mathrm{T})=\dot{\eta}_{1}-\mathrm{g} * \mathrm{~T} \cos \phi^{*}+\sin \tilde{\chi}_{\xi} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}} \\
&-\mathrm{K}_{1} \cos \tilde{\chi}_{\xi} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \cos \tilde{\chi}_{\xi}\left[-\mathrm{T}+\tau \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right]  \tag{3.11}\\
& \dot{\eta}(\mathrm{T})-\dot{\dot{\eta}}(\mathrm{T})=0  \tag{3.12}\\
& \eta(\mathrm{~T})=\eta_{\mathrm{T}}=\eta_{1}+\dot{\eta}_{1} \mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{g}^{*} \frac{\mathrm{~T}^{2}}{2} \cos \phi^{*}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \sin \tilde{\chi}_{\xi}\left[\mathrm{T}-(\tau-\mathrm{T}) \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right] \\
&-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \cos \tilde{\chi}_{\xi}\left[\mathrm{T}-(\tau-\mathrm{T}) \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right]  \tag{3.13}\\
&+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \cos \tilde{\chi}_{\xi}\left\{-\frac{\mathrm{T}^{2}}{2}-\tau\left[(\tau-\mathrm{T}) \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{T}\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving equations (3.12) and (3.13) simultaneously for $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~J}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \mathrm{~K}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{~S}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{J}=\tau \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{T} \\
& \mathrm{G}=\eta_{1}+\dot{\eta}_{1} \mathrm{~T}-\sin \tilde{\chi}_{\xi} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}}\left[\mathrm{~J}-\mathrm{T} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right]-\mathrm{g}^{*} \frac{\mathrm{~T}^{2}}{2} \cos \phi^{*}-\eta(\mathrm{T})  \tag{3.16}\\
& \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \cos \tilde{\chi}_{\xi}\left[\mathrm{TJ}-\frac{\mathrm{T}^{2}}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

It may be helpful to go into some detail on the computation of $T$, the time-to-go, which is determined from equations (3.6) and (3.7), since their form would seem to indicate the need for an iterative procedure to solve them. However, an iteration computation in flight is undesirable since there is no guarantee of convergence in the allotted time. Therefore, the following method is employed: Let $T^{\prime}$ be a guess of the time-to-go so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}^{\prime}+\delta \mathrm{T} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \dot{\xi}^{\prime}=\dot{\xi}_{\mathrm{T}}-\dot{\xi}_{1}-\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{~T}^{\prime} \sin \phi *  \tag{3.18}\\
& \Delta \dot{\eta}^{\prime}=\dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{T}}-\dot{\eta}_{1}+\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{~T}^{\prime} \cos \phi^{*},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime 2}= & {\left[\dot{\xi}_{\mathrm{T}}-\dot{\xi}_{1}-\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{~T}^{\prime} \sin \phi^{*}\right]^{2}+}  \tag{3.19}\\
& {\left[\dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}-\dot{\eta}_{1}+\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{~T}^{\prime} \cos \phi^{*}\right]^{2} . }
\end{align*}
$$

Now, referring to equations (3.4), (3.7) and (3.17),

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}= & {\left[\dot{\xi}_{\mathrm{T}}-\dot{\xi}_{1}-\mathrm{g}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{\prime}+\delta \mathrm{T}\right) \sin \phi^{*}\right]^{2}+}  \tag{3.20}\\
& {\left[\dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{T}}-\dot{\eta}_{1}+\mathrm{g}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{\prime}+\delta \mathrm{T}\right) \cos \phi^{*}\right]^{2}, }
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}-\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime 2}=2 \Delta \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \mathrm{g}^{*} \cos \phi^{*}-2 \Delta \dot{\xi}^{\prime} \mathrm{g}^{*} \sin \phi^{*}+\mathrm{g}^{* 2}(\delta \mathrm{~T})^{2} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience of expression, the following relation is introduced:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\left(\Delta \dot{\eta}^{\prime} g^{*} \cos \phi^{*}-\Delta \dot{\xi}^{\prime} g^{*} \sin \phi^{*}\right), \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{i}^{2} \approx g^{* 2}(\delta T)^{2}+2 \lambda \delta \mathrm{~T}+\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime 2} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total final inertial velocity in the guessed time $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing (3.25) as a series approximation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{i} \approx-V_{e x} \ln \left(1-\frac{T^{\prime}}{\tau}\right)-V_{e x}\left[\frac{-\delta T}{\tau-T^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{-\delta T}{\tau-T^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{\tau}{*}}+\ldots\right] \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L} & =\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}^{\prime}} \\
\mathrm{K} & =\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}}}{\tau-\mathrm{T}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

then equation (3.26) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{K} \delta \mathrm{~T}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K} \frac{(\delta \mathrm{~T})^{2}}{\tau-\mathrm{T}^{\prime}} \approx \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{K} \delta \mathrm{~T} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

if second order terms are discarded. Squaring equation: (3.27) and setting it equal to equation (3.23) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}^{* 2}(\delta \mathrm{~T})^{2}+2 \lambda \delta \mathrm{~T}+\Delta \mathrm{V}^{\prime 2}=\mathrm{L}^{2}+2 \mathrm{KL} \delta \mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{K}^{2}(\delta \mathrm{~T})^{2} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting coefficients of like terms results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{a}(\delta \mathrm{~T})^{2}+2 \mathrm{~b} \delta \mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{c}=0 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}=\left(\mathrm{K}^{2}-\mathrm{g} * 2\right) \\
& \mathrm{b}=\lambda-\mathrm{KL}  \tag{3.30}\\
& \mathrm{c}=\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime 2}-\mathrm{L}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Solving the quadratic for $\delta \mathrm{T}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta T=\frac{b+\sqrt{b^{2}+a c}}{a} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The positive root is chosen in equation (3.31), since $b$ is negative, and $\delta T$ should be small. The time to go, T, may now be determined and the correct velocity components become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \dot{\xi}=\Delta \dot{\xi}^{\prime}-\mathrm{g}^{*} \delta \mathrm{~T} \sin \phi^{*} \\
& \Delta \dot{\eta}=\Delta \dot{\eta}^{\prime}+\mathrm{g}^{*} \delta \mathrm{~T} \cos \phi^{*} \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

The spherical Earth assumption assumes a knowledge of the terminal range angle $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}$. Presetting a range angle based on previous experience is one approach; however, an on-board computation of $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}$ is more desirable since it will change accordingly with perturbations which may be encountered. Therefore, the following approach is taken.

The horizontal distance obtained from a linear acceleration on a flat surface in time $T^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}}=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}^{*}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{dt} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{T}^{*}\left[\mathrm{~V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \frac{\tau-\mathrm{T}^{*}}{\mathrm{~T}^{*}} \ln \frac{\tau-\mathrm{T}^{*}}{\tau}\right] \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing by the radius vector $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{T}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{T}}=\phi_{1}+\frac{\mathrm{T}^{*}}{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{T}}}\left[\mathrm{~V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \frac{\tau-\mathrm{T}^{*}}{\mathrm{~T}^{*}} \ln \frac{\tau-\mathrm{T}^{*}}{\tau}\right] . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Referring to equation (3.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{*}=\frac{\mathrm{T}^{*}}{2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{T}}}\left[\mathrm{~V}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \frac{\tau-\mathrm{T}^{*}}{\mathrm{~T}^{*}} \ln \frac{\tau-\mathrm{T}^{*}}{\tau}\right] \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This approximation has proved quite sufficient and has the characteristic of improving in accuracy as the end condition is approached.

Briefly, in retrospect, the values preset are final altitude, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{T}}$; final velocity components, $\dot{\xi}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and physical constants of the central body; values obtained in flight are instantaneous state variables $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \dot{x}_{1}, \dot{y}_{1}$, and some measurement of the specific impulse or fuel flow rate.

> SECTION IV. APPLICATION OF THE GUIDANCE SCHE ME TO LUNAR LANDING

The guidance equations developed in the previous sections for ascent are virtually identical to those needed for lunar descent. The two essential modifications are a change in coordinate system due to the way the instantaneous state information is obtained and the use of range prediction to vary thrust, since it is desired to arrive at a prescribed spot on or above the lunar surface.

The potential presence of a beacon lends itself to the coordinate systems shown in Figure 3. The $\xi-\eta$ system has its origin at the beginning of the terminal braking phase; the $\xi$-axis passes through the instantaneous vehicle position (M). The beacon provides slant range, D , and slant range rate, $\dot{\mathrm{D}}$. It is also assumed that the angle $\theta$ and its angular rate $\dot{\theta}$ are known or can be computed.


FIGURE 3. LUNAR FLIGHT GEOMETRY

The beacon is located at the origin of a space-fixed system with its $y$-axis parallel to the radius vector to that point. The coordinates of the predesignated terminal braking point and the vehicle velocity at that point in the space-fixed system are $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{T}}, \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{T}}, \dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$.

The vector extending from the terminal braking point to the vehicle is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{\xi}=\vec{i}\left(D \cos \theta-x_{T}\right)+\vec{j}\left(D \sin \theta-y_{T}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
& \vec{\xi}=\vec{i} \xi_{1} \cos \theta p+\vec{j} \xi_{1} \sin \theta p \tag{4.1a}
\end{align*}
$$

Using these relationships,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{1}=(\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{\xi})^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{D} \cos \theta-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{D} \sin \theta-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
& \cos \theta \mathrm{p}=\frac{\mathrm{D} \cos \theta-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\xi_{1}}, \\
& \sin \theta \mathrm{p}=\frac{\mathrm{D} \sin \theta-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\xi_{1}}, \\
& \tan \theta \mathrm{p}=\frac{\mathrm{D} \sin \theta-y_{T}}{\mathrm{D} \cos \theta-x_{T}} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The velocity components in the $\mathrm{D}-\theta$ system are transferred into the space-fixed system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{1}=\dot{\mathrm{D}} \cos \theta+\mathrm{D} \dot{\theta} \sin \theta \\
& \mathrm{y}_{1}=-\dot{\mathrm{D}} \sin \theta+\mathrm{D} \dot{\theta} \cos \theta \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The instantaneous values are obtained by transferring to the $\xi-\eta$ coordinate system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\xi}_{1}=\dot{x}_{1} \cos \theta \mathrm{p}-\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{1} \sin \theta \mathrm{p} \\
& \dot{\eta}_{1}=\dot{x}_{1} \sin \theta \mathrm{p}+\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{1} \cos \theta \mathrm{p} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for the terminal velocity components,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\xi}_{\mathrm{T}}=\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{T}} \cos \theta \mathrm{p}-\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{T}} \sin \theta \mathrm{p}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{T}}=\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{T}} \sin \theta \mathrm{p}+\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{T}} \cos \theta \mathrm{p}
\end{align*}
$$

A significant change necesşary is the determination of the average gravity direction $\phi^{*}$, since the $n$-axis is not parallel to the local vertical at the terminal braking point.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{*}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{T}}+\phi_{1}\right)-\theta \mathrm{p} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.8) can be more readily understood by considering first the $\eta$-axis parallel to the local vertical at the terminal braking point which yields equation (3.1) and then subtracting the angle $\left(\theta p+\phi_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ necessary to rotate the local vertical into the $n$-axis.

The guidance equations are identical to those developed in the previous two sections. The form of equations (3.14) and (3.15) is the same; however, the terms $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta(T)$ which appeared in equations (3.16) are dropped, since in the $\xi+\eta$ coordinate system for lunar landing, they are zero. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{J}=\tau \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{T}  \tag{4.9}\\
& \mathrm{G}=\dot{\eta}_{1} \mathrm{~T}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~g}^{*} \cos \phi^{*} \mathrm{~T}^{2}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \sin \tilde{\chi}\left[\mathrm{~J}-\mathrm{T} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right] \\
& \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \cos \tilde{\chi}\left[\mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~J}-\frac{\mathrm{T}^{2}}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.9) becomes the following to relate the thrust altitude angle to the space-fixed $x-y$ coordinate system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\tilde{\chi}-\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{t}+\theta \mathrm{p} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Thrust Control

In the previous sections, no constraint has been placed on range. For lunar landing, however, it is desired to control range since a specific point on the lunar surface should be reached. To accomplish this task, variable thrust is superimposed on the guidance scheme.

The thrust (F) is inversely proportional to the distance $\xi^{*}$, the predicted distance corresponding to the thrust (F).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\frac{\mathrm{K}}{\xi^{*}}, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where K is a proportionality constant. There will exist a desired thrust level ( F *) such that the required distance $(\xi)$ is covered during the remaining time.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}=\frac{K}{\xi_{1}} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{*}=\frac{\xi^{*}}{\xi_{1}} \mathrm{~F} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.13) is the thrust control law for selecting the thrust level. The displacement $\xi^{*}$ is the predicted distance that will be traversed during the remaining flight at the thrust level (F) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{*}=\xi_{1}-\xi(T) . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total average acceleration in the $\xi$-direction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\xi}(t)=\frac{F}{m_{1}+\dot{m} t} \cos \bar{\chi}+g^{*} \sin \phi^{*} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $\cos \bar{\chi}$ is an effective average value of $\cos \chi_{\xi}$ over the time $T$. The positive sign on the gravitation component is due to the negative $\phi *$.

Using the fundamental laws of calculus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~d} \xi(\mathrm{t})=\xi(\mathrm{T})-\xi_{1}=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \dot{\xi}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \\
& \xi(\mathrm{~T})=\xi_{1}+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \dot{\xi}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \\
& \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~d} \dot{\xi}(\mathrm{t})=\dot{\xi}(\mathrm{t})-\dot{\xi}_{1}=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \dot{\xi}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}  \tag{4.17}\\
& \dot{\xi}(\mathrm{~T})=\dot{\xi}_{1}+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \ddot{\xi}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining equations (4.15), (4.16), and (4.17) and placing into (4.14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{*}=-\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\{\left[\dot{\xi}_{1}+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{~m}_{1}+\dot{\mathrm{m} t}} \cos \bar{\chi}+\mathrm{g}^{*} \sin \phi^{*}\right] \mathrm{dt}\right\} \mathrm{dt}\right. \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Performing the designated integration yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{*}=-\dot{\xi}_{1} \mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \cos \bar{\chi}\left[\mathrm{~T}-(\tau-\mathrm{T}) \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}}\right]-\mathrm{g}^{*} \sin \phi^{*} \frac{\mathrm{~T}^{2}}{2} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the defining equation (3.5) for $\tilde{\chi}=\bar{\chi}$ and the defining equation (3.6) for $\Delta V$, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{V}=\frac{\Delta \dot{\xi}}{\cos \bar{\chi}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau-\mathrm{T}} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained.

Placing equation (4.20) into equation (4.19) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{*} \cdot=-\dot{\xi}_{1} \mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \cos \bar{\chi} \mathrm{~T}+(\tau-\mathrm{T}) \Delta \dot{\xi}-\mathrm{g}^{*} \sin \phi^{*} \frac{\mathrm{~T}^{2}}{2} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \dot{\xi}=\dot{\xi}_{\mathrm{T}}-\dot{\xi}_{1}-\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{~T} \sin \phi^{*} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{*}=\tau \Delta \dot{\xi}-\mathrm{T}\left(\dot{\xi}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{~T} \sin \phi^{*}\right)-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \cos \bar{\chi} \mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{g}^{*} \sin \phi^{*} \frac{\mathrm{~T}^{2}}{2} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting equation (2.6) and substituting in equation (4.20) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\tau\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Delta \dot{\xi}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \cos \bar{\chi}}}\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}=\frac{\Delta \dot{\xi}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ex}} \cos \bar{\chi}} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For computational purposes it is worthwhile not to have the exponential in (4.24); therefore, equation (4.24) is expressed in a Maclaurin series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\tau-\tau\left(1-\mathrm{Z}+\frac{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}{2!}-\frac{\mathrm{Z}^{3}}{3!}+\frac{\mathrm{Z}^{4}}{4!}-\frac{\mathrm{Z}^{5}}{5!}+\ldots\right) \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\tau Z-\tau \frac{Z}{2}\left\{1-\frac{Z}{3}\left[1-\frac{Z}{4}\left(1-\frac{Z}{5}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Placing (4.27) into (4.23), and using (4.25), gives
$\xi^{*}=-\mathrm{T}\left(\dot{\xi}_{\mathrm{T}}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~g}^{*} \sin \phi^{*} \mathrm{~T}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tau(\Delta \dot{\xi}) \mathrm{Z}\left\{1-\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{3}\left[1-\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{4}\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{5}\right)\right]\right\}$.

Hence, the value of $\xi^{*}$ needed to select the proper thrust magnitude using equations (4.13) is obtained.

The task remains to determine $\cos \bar{\chi}$ so that equation (4.25) may be evaluated. Let $\cos \bar{\chi}$ be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \bar{\chi}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~T}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \cos \chi_{\xi} \mathrm{dt}^{2} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{\xi}=\tilde{\chi}_{\xi}-K_{1}+K_{2}$ t. Performing the designated integration,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos \bar{\chi}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~T}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}^{2}}\left[\sin \chi-\sin \left(\tilde{\chi}-\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)\right] \mathrm{dt}  \tag{4.30}\\
& \cos \bar{\chi}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}}\left\{-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{2}}\left[\cos \chi-\cos \left(\chi-\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)\right]-\mathrm{T} \sin \left(\tilde{\chi}-\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)\right\} . \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding the $\cos \chi$ term and rearranging,
$\cos \bar{\chi}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~K}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}}\left\{\cos \left(\tilde{\chi}-\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)\left[1-\cos \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right]-\sin \left(\tilde{\chi}-\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)\left[\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}-\sin \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right]\right\}$.

From the computer viewpoint it is most desirable to eliminate as many trigonometric functions as possible. Although cos $\bar{\chi}$ can immediately be obtained from equation (4.32) the following steps are taken to obtain a more suitable form for the computer. Using the trigonometric identity,
$\cos \chi=A \cos \left(\tilde{\chi}-K_{1}+\omega\right)=A \cos \left(\tilde{\chi}-K_{1}\right) \cos \omega-A \sin \left(\tilde{\chi}-K_{1}\right) \sin \omega$.

Equating terms with 4.32 ,
$A \cos \omega=\frac{2}{K_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}}\left[1-\cos \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right]$.
A $\sin \omega=\frac{2}{K_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}}\left[\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}-\sin \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right]$.

Squaring (4.34), adding, and expanding into series, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{2}=\frac{4}{K_{2}{ }^{4} \mathrm{~T}^{4}}\left\{\left[\frac{\left(\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right)^{2}}{2}-\frac{\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right)^{4}}{24}+\ldots\right]^{2}+\left[\frac{\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right)^{3}}{6}-\ldots\right]^{2}\right\} \\
& A^{2}=\frac{4}{\mathrm{~K}_{2}{ }^{4} \mathrm{~T}^{4}}\left\{\left[\frac{\left(\mathrm{~K}_{2} T\right)^{4}}{4}-\frac{2\left(\mathrm{~K}_{2} T\right)^{6}}{48}+\ldots\right]^{2}+\left[\frac{\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right)^{6}}{36}-\ldots\right]^{2}\right\}  \tag{4.35}\\
& A=\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{9}\right)\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} T\right)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the binomial expansion gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=1-\frac{\left(K_{2} T\right)^{2}}{36} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and expanding (4.34) in a series gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sin ^{-1} \frac{2}{\mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right)^{3}}{6}-\ldots\right] \approx \frac{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T}}{3} . \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

A further simplification can be made if it is recalled that the enforcement of equation (3.12) implies approximately that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{\chi} \mathrm{dt} \approx \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\tilde{\chi}-\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{t}\right) \mathrm{dt} \\
& \tilde{\chi} \mathrm{~T} \approx\left(\tilde{\chi}-\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{T} . \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T} \approx 2 \mathrm{~K}_{1} \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 4 shows this approximate relationship.


FIGURE 4. THE APPROXIMATE RELATIONSHIP OF $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~T} \approx 2 \mathrm{~K}_{1}$

Evaluating (4.33), using (4.36), (4.37), and (4.39), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \bar{\chi}=\left(1-\frac{K_{1}^{2}}{9}\right) \cos \left(\tilde{\chi}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}}{3}\right) . \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these relationships, the required thrust can be computed to fulfill the range constraint. It should be noted that changing the thrust magnitude affects the solution of $\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \tilde{\chi}$, and T ; however, these quantities are updated with the new thrust evaluation. The following block diagram may be helpful in showing the input and the mechanization of the scheme itself.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \tilde{\chi}, \mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \mathrm{~T} \\
\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \mathrm{F}^{*} \text { which affects control quantities above }
$$



FIGURE 5. BLOCK DIAGRAM SHOWING MECHANIZATION OF SCHE ME

## SECTION V. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Two rather typical flight profiles for lunar landing to exemplify the effectiveness of the guidance scheme are (1) continuous burn of one RL-10 engine, producing a nominal thrust of $15,000 \mathrm{lbs}$, from a 100 kilometer circular lunar orbit to a terminal braking point of 300 meters altitude, and (2) main braking by three RL-10 engines, producing a nominal thrust of $42,000 \mathrm{lbs}$, from the 15 kilometer periselenum of a Hohmann transfer ellipse to a terminal braking point of 300 meters altitude.

Tables I and II show the absolute value of the thrust variation and the displacement and velocity dispersions evaluated at the 300 meter terminal braking point for various initial dispersions in state variables and engine performance, and for radar errors emanating from the beacon. The guidance parameters were determined every ten seconds. The trajectories generated by the scheme adhere closely to those generated by variational calculus procedures. The maximum payload difference between the two methods is approximately 50 lbs .

Since it is believed that the choice of initial errors is conservative, it can be seen that the scheme itself has virtually no error and is as accurate as the source of information it requires. Indeed, the scheme can be made as accurate as desired by increasing the number of evaluations limited, however, as the desired end point is reached, by the possibility of control parameters becoming indeterminate.

A crude analysis has been made of computer requirements to implement the scheme. These requirements are listed in detail in Table III. It should be borne in mind that the computer time estimates are based only on the program used in checking out the scheme. It may be of interest to note that the guidance evaluation takes ten seconds for one step on the RPC 4000, which means, for the cases in Tables I and II, that the coefficients would be obtained in real time. On the GE- 225 digital computer the evaluation can be made in 0.5 seconds. The scheme requires 1300 words of storage; however, it is estimated that with optimum programming, this requirement can be reduced by approximately 50 percent.

The single RL-10 case is somewhat unrealistic in that $15,000 \mathrm{lb}$ nominal thrust has been assumed, and thrust increases for some perturbations cannot be realized with the existing engine. The case does show, when compared with the 3 -engine case, that the percent thrust variation required of the single engine is considerably higher than that required for the 3 -engine case for nearly identical disturbances. In view of this trend, and because of long powered flight times and ranges required of the single engine flight profile, the single engine descent cannot be highly recommended.

TABLE I
SCHEME AND RADAR ERROR ANALYSIS
Continuous Burn of One Engine from 100 km Orbit

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{NOM}}=15,000 \mathrm{lb} & \mathrm{~W}_{1}=71,000 \mathrm{lb} \\
& \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{T}}=43,655 \mathrm{lb}
\end{array}
$$

| Perturbation | $\begin{aligned} & \|\Delta \mathrm{F}\| \\ & \mathrm{lb} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{x} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{h} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \Delta \dot{x} \\ \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \dot{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{Wgt} \\ & -\mathrm{lb} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 736 | . 05 | -. 04 | -. 001 | -. 001 | 0 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{x}=25 \mathrm{~km}$ | 709 | . 03 | -. 02 | -. 002 | -. 002 | -287 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{y}=25 \mathrm{~km}$ | 1064 | . 07 | -. 03 | . 000 | -. 010 | -606 |
| $\Delta \dot{x}=-50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | 730 | . 07 | -. 05 | . 001 | -. 013 | -194 |
| $\Delta \dot{y}=50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | 791 | . 06 | -. 03 | . 001 | -. 011 | -277 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\text {Sp }}=-4.25$ | 1826 | 2.57 | 2.54 | . 254 | . 566 | -144 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Wgt}=500 \mathrm{lb}$ | 1704 | 3.21 | 2.74 | . 285 | . 640 | -155 |
| Radar Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1\% Slant Range | 1288 | -2. 86 | -5.37 | -. 001 | -. 015 | 184 |
| $1 \%$ Range Rate + 1\% Slant Range | 502 | -. 29 | -2. 22 | . 101 | . 259 | 6 |
| 10\% Angular Rate of Slant Range | 1185 | 10.81 | -5. 51 | 1.036 | -1. 253 | 265 |
| $1^{\circ} \Delta$ Slant Range Angle | 1372 | -1.08 | -3.93 | . 414 | -. 595 | 233 |
| RSS of Scheme Error + Radar Error | 3850 | 12 | 10 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 875 |

TABLE II

DESCENT FROM 15 km PERISELENUM OF HOHMANN TRANSFER ELLIPSE WITH 3 ENGINES

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{NOM}}=42,000 \mathrm{lb} \quad \mathrm{~W}_{1}=71,000 \mathrm{lb}, ~ \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{T}}=46,543 \mathrm{lb}
$$

| Perturbation | $\begin{gathered} \|\Delta \mathrm{F}\| \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{x} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{h} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \dot{\mathrm{x}} \\ & \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \dot{\mathrm{y}} \\ & \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{Wgt} \\ -\mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 64 | -. 01 | . 01 | -. 013 | -. 005 | 0 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{x}=-25 \mathrm{~km}$ | 193 | . 00 | . 00 | -. 020 | -. 009 | 52 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{y}=10 \mathrm{~km}$ | 196 | -. 02 | . 03 | -. 028 | -. 013 | -391 |
| $\Delta \dot{\mathrm{x}}=-50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | 59 | . 00 | -. 01 | -. 018 | -. 012 | -523 |
| $\Delta \dot{y}=50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | 135 | -. 02 | . 03 | -. 020 | -. 005 | -194 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{sp}}=-4.25$ | 2383 | 13.66 | 5.43 | 1.481 | . 666 | -31 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Wgt}=500 \mathrm{lb}$ | 2194 | 13.84 | 5.48 | 1.530 | . 690 | -31 |
| Radar Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1\% Slant Range | 3139 | -7. 76 | -4.91 | -. 016 | . 002 | 12 |
| $1 \%$ Range Rate + 1\% Slant Range | 1895 | 10.51 | 4.38 | 1.317 | . 603 | -28 |
| 10\% Angular Rate of Slant Range | 689 | 18.78 | -43. 76 | 1.105 | -2.726 | -6 |
| $1^{\circ} \Delta$ Slant Range Angle | 1457 | -1.30 | -17.60 | . 311 | -2. 320 | 9 |
| RSS Scheme <br> + Radar | 5200 | 30 | 48 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 690 |

## TABLE III

## COMPUTER REQUIREMENTS FOR GUIDANCE SCHEME

I. Built in addition, subtraction, multiplication and division.
II. Subroutines
A. $\sin$
B. $\cos$
C. $\sqrt{ }$
D. $\log$
E. $\tan ^{-1}$
F. integration for gravity computer, if required
III. Storage Requirements
A. integration 16 (erasable)
B. storage and program 910
C. subroutines 250 TOTAL $\approx 1300$
IV. Time Requirements per Step, no printing
A. GE 225 , internal floating point
0.5 sec
B. RPC 4000 , programmed floating point 10.0 sec

## APPENDIX

IGNITION CRITERION FOR MAIN BRAKING

It is possible that some cases of main braking may require ignition before the beacon has been acquired. For this reason, the timer approach is attractive both for simplicity and accuracy. The following assumptions are made:

1. The period of the actual lunar orbit in which the vehicle flies prior to braking is known - measured from the point at which the slant range rate, $\dot{\mathrm{D}}$, is zero.
2. The ignition time for the nominal case, $\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{ig}}$, is known and is available aboard the vehicle.
3. The thrust attitude program and the thrust magnitude for the nominal main braking case are precalculated and are available aboard the vehicle.

The time of ignition for any case, $t_{i g}$, is then defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ig}}=\mathrm{P}-\overline{\mathrm{P}}+\overline{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{ig}} \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this time the vehicle begins to fly, with the nominal thrust attitude program and the nominal thrust magnitude, until the beacon is acquired, when the guidance scheme produces the necessary thrust vector to accomplish the mission.

The following table displays a typical example, based on a nominal main braking phase from the 20 kilometer periselenum of a Hohmann transfer ellipse, the aposelenum of which is at 100 kilometers. It is assumed that three $\mathrm{RL}-10$ engines with a nominal thrust of 40,000 pounds are available and that acquisition of the beacon occurs sixty seconds after ignition.

The table shows the change in thrust magnitude and direction called for when the beacon is acquired due to errors in the original ellipse, and errors resulting from flying the nominal thrust magnitude and direction in the region where the beacon is not available. The errors in state variables at this point can easily be handled by the guidance scheme.

ERROR ANALYSIS OF PERTURBED ORBITS FOR TIMED IGNITION

Nominal Thrust $=40,000 \mathrm{lb}$
Guidance Scheme Becomes Effective 60 Seconds After Ignition

| $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> km | hp <br> km |  | $\Delta \mathrm{F} 60$ <br> lb | $\Delta \mathrm{x}$ <br> km | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ <br> km | $\Delta \dot{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ | $\Delta \dot{\mathrm{y}}$ <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ | .$\Delta \chi 60$ | $\Delta \mathrm{Wgt}$ <br> lb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 20 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 | 10 |  | 135 | -.98 | -10.23 | 6.79 | 3.11 | 13.02 | -59 |
| 100 | 30 |  | 642 | 1.41 | 10.26 | -6.73 | -3.47 | -13.51 | -66 |
| 90 | 20 |  | -91 | .28 | .04 | -2.20 | -.55 | .10 | 0 |
| 110 | 20 |  | 73 | -.29 | -.04 | 2.18 | .56 | -.11 | 0 |
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