Mission Techniques Memo \#30B

TO: Distribution
FROM: Malcolm W. Johnston
DATE: June 20, 1969
SUBJECT: "G" Lunar Surface Phase

1. The Sun, Earth, or Moon option of P57 does not work under some circumstances. See enclosed anomaly LNY \#74 for an explanation and work-a-round procedures. (The procedures described on page 10 of the subject Mission Techniques Document avoid the above problems!).
2. What procedures should be used for sighting on large bodies particularly on a crescent earth?

Ans. The two points at which the terminator intersects the horizon should be used for these sightings -- two marks on each side, in any sequence!
3. In the event of a "comm" loss, the LM must determine liftoff time based on observations of the CM (when overhead etc.). How should this be done? (See page 21).

Ans. When the track light goes out, in P22, call P00, then R04 and mark the time at which range rate reverses sign.
4. A technique for loading a new value of RLS into the CMC onboard is described in the enclosed Colossus Memo \#180.0
5. Enclosed Colossus Memo \#182 by W. Ostanek describes some characteristics of the Surf flag.
6. A shock qualification test for the PGNCS is described in the attached note. Additional tests were conducted early this year to simulate docking shock levels of 50 G to 100 G 's. No system degradation was noted in any of these tests.
7. On page 6, paragraph 3, the V76 procedure to avoid RCS jet firings should be accompanied by a mode control switch to attitude hold. (It should be in that position already).
8. A V89 (X-axis option) can be used by the CSM, when the surf flag is set,for acquiring the LM prior to ascent (to set up for subsequent manual tracking of the LM during ascent). The previously updated CMC post insertion permanent LM state will not be altered. Use of P20 would result in loss of this post insertion LM state.
9. The following comments summarize $\operatorname{MIT} T^{\prime}$ s position on the "Notes" appearing in the Mission Techniques document. In most cases, when a GNCS (PGNCS)/MCC --H tolerance is specified, MIT can only indicate the expected contribution of the GNCS (PGNCS) to the total tolerance.

Notes A, B, E, and F
Comment - All O.K.!


# Massachusetts Institute of Technology Instrumentation La Cambridge, Massachusetts 

COLOSSUS Memo \# 180

| TO: | Distribution |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Steve Copps $5 / 68$ |
| DATE: | May 9, 1969 |
| SUBJECT: | Technique for Loading the Landing Site On Board |

This memo describes two methods for changing the value of the landing site ( $\underline{R}_{L S}$ ) in the CMC. The first method is to directly load the erasable locations and the second is to use P22 in an unorthodox way so as to load latitude, longitude/2, and altitude.

In the first method the astronaut would load locations $2025_{8}$ through $2032{ }_{8}$ (Colossus 2 and 2A) (via V21 NO1) with the desired numbers which may have been called over from the LM. The equivalent locations in the LGC are $2022_{8}$ through $2027_{8}$ (Luminary 1 and 1A).

In the second method the CMP may have gotten the data in engineering units. In this case he may load it as follows:

1. He must first record the contents of three erasable locations which are used in the W-matrix to weight the measurement. After recording them they must be set to zero. These locations are $2004_{8}$ (WORBPOS), $2005_{8}$ (WORBVEL), and $2006_{8}$ (S22WSUBL).
2. Turn on P22 and at V05N70 load 10000 (known landmark whose coordinates are not stored) and PROCEED.
3. Load the new landing site data into V06N89 and PROCEED.
4. At V51 flash press MARK and then PROCEED (it makes no difference where the optics are pointing).
5. PROCEED through V05N71 R2 $=10000$ and V06N89 (containing the new landing site coordinates).
6. PROCEED on V06N49. The $\Delta R$ and $\triangle V$ data will be zero because of step 1.
7. PROCEED on V06N89 (containing the new landing site coordinates). This will have the effect of storing the new landing site in $\underline{R}_{L S}$.
8. Replace the old values back into locations 2004, 2005, and 2006 (recorded in step 1).

To verify that this procedure has had the desired effect he may select option 4 of P52 and observe that the display of the landing site (V06N89) is correct.

```
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
    Instrumentation Laboratory
    Cambridge, Massachusetts
```

COLOSSUS Memo \# ..... 182
TO: Distribution
FROM: W. Ostanek
DATE: May 21, 1969
SUBJECT: Notes on the Lunar Surface Flag (SURFFLAG) in Colossus 2A

1. SURFFLAG is set by keying in V4 4 E and reset by keying in V45E.
2. Any extrapolation, using orbital integration, of the LM state vector when SURFFLAG is set results merely in the conversion of the landing site (RLS) to inertial coordinates of position and velocity at the desired (input) time.
3. When SURFFLAG is set:
a. In $P O O$ and in AVETOMID, the $L M$ state vector is not integrated nor are the "permanent" LM state vector registers changed.
b. In R31, base state vectors are not used for LM extrapolations.
c. In P21, first computation for $N 43$ on $\frac{\text { LM }}{}$ state vector is valid, rewcycles are not meaningful. . and may result in alarm 430.
d. In P2O, selection of P2O and mark processing cause the interial state vector to be written into the permanent LM state vector register.

## LM Qualification Shock Environment

Shock. Shock tests shall be performed as follows using MILL-STD-810, Method 516.1 as a guide. Unless otherwise specified the shock level tolerance shall be +10 per cent and time duration tolerance $\pm 10$ per cent. In the event that the shock test is conducted utilizing a vibration exciter and the tolerance limits specified above cannot be met, these limite may be broadened. to account for the exciter dynamics; however, overshoot shall not exceed 75 per cent of the peak $g$ value. Shock will be performed in the same configuration as vibration, except dummies may be substituted for airborne components for earth landing shock.

LM Lunar Landing and Flight Shock. The LM equipment shall be exposed to one shock along each plus and minus direction of each of three orthogonal axes ( 6 shocks). Shocks shall be:
a. Magnitude: 10 g minimum
b. Duration: 15 to 20 millisecond
c. Shape: Terminal Peak Sawtooth
d. Condition: Operating

The LM equipment is considered qualified based on test results.
LM Qualification Test Information

| Test Item | Test Report | Test Responsibility | Contact | Test Phase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMInertial Subsystem plus $A C / N B, G A E C / N B, A O T$ and CCRD | TR's 1511-1, $-2,-3$, and -4 April 28, 1967 | ACED | A. Wachholz | Vibration and shock |
| LM G\&N Interconnect Harness Group | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TR } 1511-15 \\ \text { March } 31,1967 \end{array}$ | ACED | " | Vibration and shock |
| LM SCA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TR 1511-14 } \\ & 11 \text { Oct. } 1967 \end{aligned}$ | ACED | 11 | Vibration ahd Shock, ground temperature, acceleration, humidity |
| LM AOT and CCRD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KIC-AR-FR- } \\ & 1000 \\ & 15 \text { Feb. } 1967 \end{aligned}$ | KIC | J. Fabroni | All Qualification Environments |
| Block II/LM Computer and DSKY | AQRT-SS-2 | Raytheon | D. Claybrook | All quallifation environments |
| Qual. Test Summary Report | 7 Nov. 1967 |  | - | All test block II and LM |

