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Because the baseline data documented in this section is historical in nature, original pages have not been altered, but additional pages have been added to reflect the incorporation of new data into the simulators. Page number suffixes have been used to implement this scheme. As an example, page 6-13a was added to reflect changes to the original page $6-13$. In addition, implementation dates accompany all new data.

## FOREWORD

The Guidance System Operation Plan (GSOP) for Program COLOSSUS is published in six sections as separate volumes (sections) as listed below:

| Section 1 | Prelaunch |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section 2 | Data Links |
| Section 3 | Digital Autopilots |
| Section 4 | Operational Modes |
| Section 5 | Guidance Equations |
| Section 6 | Control Data |

This volume (Section 6) is published as a control document governing the data used in the digital and hybrid simulators in support of the verification of the AGC program COLOSSUS. The data presented herein represent the most current data available at the time the simulators underwent configuration control ( 17 May 68). Revision to this document requires NASA approval.

Data represented in the Digital Simulation were gathered together by Sidney S. David who prepared the major portion of the CSM section. Robert D.Wadsworth also contributed to this section. The Saturn and Prelaunch sections were prepared by Lance W. Drane. Frederick K. Glick presented the VHF Range System data. Leonard W. Silver prepared the Entry section.

The several sections corresponding to the Hybrid Simulation were presented by George J. Kossuth.

Editing and copy preparation were supervised by Joseph P. Glendenning


## 6. CONTROL DATA

### 6.1 Introduction and Description of Simulators

### 6.1.1 Introduction

To formulate, code, and verify flight computer programs for an Apollo mission requires accurate, timely information regarding the mission and spacecraft characteristics. In this section (6) the data which were used in the MIT digital and hybrid simulations for the verification of the program COLOSSUS (Manned Block II Apollo Lunar Mission)are summarized. Brief discussions of the most significant mathematical models are included as well as the numerical data. The data are arranged as follows:

Section 6.2 Digital Simulator Prelaunch Environment Data, describing the gravity and swaying booster simulation used to verify the prelaunch operations.

Section 6. 3 Digital Simulator Launch Vehicle Data, describing the key booster parameters used in the simulation from lift-off until separation of the CSM.

Section 6.4 Digital Simulator CSM and LM Spacecraft Data, including reference positions and dimensions, mass properties, propulsion data, and dynamic models for structural bending and propellant slosh.

Section 6.5 Digital Simulator CM Reentry Spacecraft Data, including reference positions and dimensions, mass properties, propulsion data, and reentry aerodynamics.

Section 6.6 Digital Simulation VHF Range System, including range limitation and scale factor.

Section 6.7 Digital Simulator Coordinate Systems and Natural Environment Data, describing models used which influence the spacecraft trajectory.

Section 6.8 Hybrid Simulator Prelaunch Environment Data.
Section 6.9 Hybrid Simulator Launch Vehicle Data.
Section 6.10 Hybrid Simulator CSM and LM Spacecraft Data, including vehicle dimensions, mass properties, and dynamic models for structural bending and propellant slosh.

Section 6.11 Hybrid Simulator CM and Reentry Spacecraft Data, including vehicle dimensions, mass properties and reentry aerodynamics.

Section 6. 12 Hybrid Simulator VHF Range System, describing the data and the interface with the AGC.

Section 6.13 Hybrid Simulator Coordinate Systems and Natural Environment Data, describing models used which influence the spacecraft trajectory.

Section 6.14 References, listing the basic source documents from which the control data and models were derived.

In Section 6.2 through 6. 13 a number in the right hand column opposite a data entry is the number of the source document as given in the Reference Section 6. 14.

### 6.1.2 The Digital Simulator

The MIT Apollo Digital Simulator is a complex, highly flexible tool used to support design, development, and verification of onboard Apollo Guidance Computer programs. The Simulator is entirely digital - no flight hardware of any sort is incorporated in the simulation, and elements of the Simulator are represented by programs on a general purpose digital computer. The Simulator exists in the purely abstract form of a number of computer programs. Its usefulness is closely tied to the precision with which its programs represent the detailed functioning of the real hardware and flight environment. Considerable care was taken to ensure that the simulated AGC and the real AGC function identically, and that all aspects of the Apollo hardware and flight environment that interact significantly with the AGC are simulated.

### 6.1.3 The Hybrid Simulation

The Apollo Hybrid Simulator is a real-time simulation of the vehicle and any environment the AGC or flight crew would experience. Flight hardware such as a real CDU and real DSKY interface with a real AGC with its fixed memory replaced by a core rope simulator. The cockpit mock-up provides discretes for the AGC and man-in-the-loop capability so that procedures and mission programs can be verified. Rapid debugging is possible as AGC registers can be monitored or fixed memory changed easily, and environment and downlink data can be compared using the offline edit. Because vehicle dynamics can be simulated on analog computers and the vehicle's environment and trajectory can be computed on the digital computer, a sophisticated simulator is available to the user for real-time studies.

### 6.2 Digital Simulator Prelaunch Environment Data

6.2.1 To simulate a prelaunch environment, the position, velocity, gravity and time integral of gravity at the spacecraft navigation base are computed as analytic functions of time, and not by the numerical integration method used in the flight mode.

The gravitational acceleration includes perturbations due to earth oblateness, and sun and moon attractions. The centripetal acceleration due to earth rotation is then added to the gravitational acceleration vector.

| Earth rotation rate expressed in the reference coordinate system | WE | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 72921.2 \times 10^{-9} \end{gathered}$ | rad/sec <br> rad/sec <br> rad/sec | 2.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North latitude of Launch Pad 39A | LAT | 28.53186 | deg | 2.2 |
| East longitude of Launch Pad 39A | LONG | 279.43507 | deg | 2.2 |
| Altitude of the spacecraft navigation base above the reference ellipsoid | ALT | 96.83 | m | 2.3 |
| Spacecraft $+Z$ axis measured counterclockwise from east | AZIMUTH | 180 | deg | 2.3 |

6.2.2 Superimposed on the deterministic model of gravity and earth rotation is a stochastic model for the launch vehicle swaying in the wind on the launch pad. The swaying motion is assumed to have no preferred direction, so it is modelled by two independent random processes in the two horizontal directions. The swaying booster is modelled as a damped second-order oscillator so that the displacement, $r$, is related to the normalized force, $f$, by the differential equation

$$
\ddot{r}+2 a w \dot{r}+w^{2} r=f
$$

| Damping ratio of the <br> vehicle for swaying motion | 0.1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Natural frequency of the <br> launch vehicle in sway | 2.70 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 2.5 |

The wind force, $f$, on the side of the launch vehicle is modelled as an exponentially correlated Gaussian process. It is governed by the differential equation

$$
\dot{f}+f / T=W
$$

where $W$ is a piecewise constant random forcing function whose amplitude distribution is Gaussian.

| The correlation time of the <br> wind force |
| :--- |

### 6.3 Digital Simulator Launch Vehicle (AS-503) Data

| 6.3.1 Major Events During Saturn Trajectory 3.1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The following sequence is a chronological ordering of the simulated |  |  |  |  |
| Guidance reference release (ST124 platform) | GRR | $-16.7$ | sec |  |
| SIC lift-off | LIFTOFF | 0.0 | sec |  |
| Lift-off signal | TBASE1 | 0.3 | sec |  |
| Attitude hold constant for staging | ATTHOLD | 153.0 | sec |  |
| SIC outboard engines turned off | OUTOFF | 158.754 | sec |  |
| SII 90\% Thrust | SII IG | 164.994 | sec |  |
| Launch escape tower jettisoned | LES | 195.564 | sec |  |
| Initiate IGM calculations | STARTIGM | 201.0 | sec |  |
| SII mixture ratio change | SIIMR47 | 432.0 | sec |  |
| SII/SIVB separation | SEP | 538.285 | sec |  |
| SIVB 90\% Thrust | SIVBLO | 544.410 | sec |  |
| Approximate time of SIVB cut-off | APPCO | 661.439 | sec |  |

6. 3. 2 Pre-Iterative Guidance Mode Pitch Polynomials ..... 3.5Open loop steering commands are generated during SIC flight throughthe atmosphere by a fourth order polynomial function of time from lift-off.
PITCH =

$$
\underset{\mathrm{J}=0}{\stackrel{4}{\text { SUM }}} \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}} \quad \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{J}} \quad \operatorname{deg}
$$

Time period $0.0<t<13.0$ sec

| PITCH $=$ | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time Period 13.0<t<35.0 | sec |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 3.19840 | deg |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | -0.544236 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 0.0351605 | $\mathrm{deg} / \sec ^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | -0.00116379 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | 0.0000113886 | $\mathrm{deg} / \sec ^{4}$ |
|  | Time Period 35.0<t<80.0 | sec |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | -10.9607 | deg |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 0.946620 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | -0.0294206 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | 0.000207717 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | -0.000000439036 | deg/sec ${ }^{4}$ |
|  | Time Period 80.0<t<15.0 | sec |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 78. 7826 | deg |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | -2.83749 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 0.0289710 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | -0.000178363 | deg/sec ${ }^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | 0.000000463029 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}^{4}$ |

Time Period $13.0<t<35.0$
3.19840
$-0.544236$
0.0351605
$-0.00116379$
0.0000113886
80.0
sec
deg
$\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{deg} / \sec ^{2}$
$\operatorname{deg} / \sec ^{3}$
$\operatorname{deg} / \sec ^{4}$

Time Period 80. $0<\mathrm{t}<115.0$ :
78.7826
$-2.83749$
0.0289710
$-0.000178363$
0.000000463029
$\operatorname{deg} / \sec ^{4}$

|  | Time Period $115.0<t<153.0$ | sec |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 69.9191 | deg |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | -2.007490 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 0.0105367 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | -0.0000233163 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | 0.0000000136702 | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}^{4}$ |

6.3.3 Parking Orbit Insertion Guidance ..... 3.1Steering commands during SIVB burn for parking orbit insertion aregenerated by solving the closed loop Iterative Guidance Mode equations.

The IGM steering terminal conditions are:

| Launch azimuth <br> measured clock- <br> wise from north | 72.0 | deg |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Terminal geocentric <br> radius magnitude | 6563365.1 | m |
| Terminal inertial <br> velocity magnitude | 7749.955 | $\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Terminal flight <br> path angle | 0.0 | deg |
| Inclination of orbit | 32.55754 | deg |
| Descending node of <br> orbit | 123.1935 | deg |

6.3.4 Thrust Simulation3.3
6.3.4.1 To simulate the time thrust of the five F1 engines of the SIC stage, thrust is simulated as a step function of time from lift-off. This reflects the actual change in thrust of the engines and does not include forces caused by atmospheric drag.

| Time | Thrust |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 33938250 | newtons |  |
| 2.5 | 33971990 | newtons |  |
| 7.5 | 34034200 | newtons |  |
| 12.5 | 34132540 | newtons |  |
| 17.5 | 34270180 | newtons |  |
| 22.5 | 34449660 | newtons |  |
| 27.5 | 34672080 | newtons |  |
| 32.5 | 34939320 | newtons |  |
| 37.5 | 35248680 | newtons |  |
| 42.5 | 35596560 | newtons |  |
| 47.5 | 35976510 | newtons |  |
| 52.5 | 36377720 | newtons |  |
| 57.5 | 36789440 | newtons |  |
| 62.5 | 37200670 | newtons |  |
| 67.5 | 37599950 | newtons |  |
| 72.5 | 37989150 | newtons | - |
| 77.5 | 38357830 | newtons |  |
| 83.0 | 38561320 | newtons |  |
| 85.0 | 38688970 | newtons |  |
| 90.0 | 38976740 | newtons |  |
| 95.0 | 39212910 | newtons |  |
| 100.0 | 39403610 | newtons |  |
| 105.0 | 39559480 | newtons |  |
| 110.0 | 39692710 | newtons |  |
| 115.0 | 39812460 | newtons |  |
| 120.0 | 39926380 | newtons |  |
| 125.0 | 40039970 | newtons |  |


| Time | Thrust |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 130.0 | 40158150 | newtons |
| 135.0 | 40285400 | newtons |
| 140.0 | 40426160 | newtons |
| 145.0 | 40585170 | newtons |
| 150.0 | 40768200 | newtons |
| 154.524 | 32768450 | newtons |

### 6.3.4.2 Thrust of the SII stage is simulated as constant.

Average thrust of the 5115450 newtons five J2 engines of the SII stage

| Average thrust after | $4225810 \quad$ newtons |
| :--- | :--- |
| fuel mixture ratio shift |  | fuel mixture ratio shift

6.3.4.3 Thrust of the SIVB stage is simulated as constant.

Average thrust of the J2 889652 newtons engine of the SIVB stage first burn first fuel mixture ratio

Average thrust of the J 2
911885.4
newtons engine of the SIVB stage first burn second fuel mixture ratio
6.3.5 Mass History and Mass Decrement Rates

- 3.3


### 6.3.5.1 The following data describe the mass history of the Saturn V

 trajectory.| Total Mass of SIC and | 2903620 |
| :--- | :--- |
| SII and SIVB and Apollo |  |
| Configurations with frost, |  |
| LES, and all other masses |  |
| at lift off. |  |

Total Mass of SII and $661450 \quad \mathrm{~kg}$
SIVB, Apollo Configuration, LES, ullage cases, and all other masses at SII ignition.

Total Mass of SIVB 164855 kg and Apollo Configuration at SIVB ignition.
6.3.5.2 The following data describe the mass decrement rates of the Saturn V trajectory.

```
Average mass decrement 13185
rate during the SIC burn
caused by fuel consumed,
by frost burned off, and by
all other changes in mass
not submitted as a specific
mass decrement
```

Average mass decrement $1265 \quad \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{sec}$ rate during the SII burn first mixture ratio.

Average mass decrement 1010 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{sec}$ rate during the SII burn second mixture ratio.

Average mass decrement $226 \quad \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{sec}$ rate during the first SIVB burn first mixture ratio.
6.3.6 Flight Control Computer control loop gains during SIC, SII, and SIVB burns.

## TIME

ERROR GAIN $A_{0}$
$\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{rad}$

ROLL PITCH \& YAW
SIC Lift-off
SIC Lift-off +100
0.245
0.82
0.245
0.45
0.245
0.15
$0.25 \quad 1.12$
$0.25 \quad 0.65$
0.25
0.44
1.0
0.809
1.0
1.0

RATE GAINS $A_{1}$ $\mathrm{rad} /(\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec})$

ROLL PITCH \& YAW
$0.15 \quad 0.657$
$0.15 \quad 0.438$
$0.15 \quad 0.2$
0.21 .89
0.21 .10
0.2
0.74
$5.0 \quad 0.97$
$5.0 \quad 5.0$

### 6.4 Digital Simulator CSM (CSM-103) and LM (LM-3) Spacecraft Data

### 6.4.1 CSM Reference Positions and Dimensions

The following reference positions and dimensions are expressed in the Apollo coordinate system in the order $X$ component, $Y$ component, $Z$ component unless otherwise noted.

| 6.4.1.1 CM Navigation Base | NB | 1055.715 | in. | 4. 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position |  | 0.0 | in |  |
| Intersection of the three accelerometer input axes. |  | 40.914 | in. |  |
| 6.4.1.2 SPS Gimbal Ring | SP | 833.2 | in. | 4. 2 |
| Position |  | 0.0 | in. |  |
| Instersection of the two gimbal ring axes. |  | 0.0 | in. |  |

6.4.1.3 RCS Quad Location and Jet Orientation

Each of the 4 RCS jet quads are located at the same point on the $X$ axis. The quads are 90 degrees apart in the $Y-Z$ plane. They are offset from alignment with the $Y$ and $Z$ axes by a negative rotation about the $+X$ axis in accordance with the right-hand rule. The engine nozzles are positioned (canted) such that the exhaust gases are directed away from the spacecraft surface. The distance from the $X$ axis to the RCS jet centerline intersection has been averaged and is modelled as a constant for each quad. See Fig. 2. 9 of Reference 4.2.
$X$ axis location of all $\quad$ RCRX 958.97 in RCS Quads

Average distance from
RCRAD 82.68 in X axis to RCS jet centerline intersection

RCS quad offset from $Y$
OFFSET
7.25
deg and $Z$ axes
Nozzle cant angle $\quad$ CANT $10.0 \quad$ deg

Prior to the simulated mission, when the LM mass is selected, its center of gravity and inertia are computed in the LM coordinate system (Section 6.4.4). These parameters are subsequently transformed into the Apollo coordinate system. The relation between the Apollo and LM vehicle coordinates is given below in terms of the displacement of the LM origin in the Apollo coordinate system and a transformation matrix. The transformations are as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { CG }_{\text {APOLLO }}=\text { TEMP }_{\text {CG }}^{\text {LM }} \\
+ \text { DISP } \\
\text { * INERTA }_{\text {APOLLO }}=\text { TEMP INERTIA }_{\text {TMM }} \text { TEMP }^{*}{ }^{*}
\end{gathered}
$$

| Position of LM | $X$ | DISP | 1422.75 | in. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| coordinate origin | $Y$ |  | 0.0 | in. |
| in Apollo |  |  | 0.0 | in. |
| coordinates | $Z$ |  |  |  |

Transformation matrix TEMP -1.0 nd from LM to Apollo Coordinates
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.5
0.86603
0.0
0.86603
$-0.5$

### 6.4.3 CSM Mass Properties

The CSM mass properties are presented for the vehicle components and several propellant loadings. The center of gravity is expressed in the Apollo coordinate system. The inertia is about the center of gravity of each item and is given with respect to the Apollo coordinate directions. Both the diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the inertia tensor are used as positive elements. Hence the numerical values of the off-diagonal elements reported below have signs opposite to those given in the referenced documents.

Prior to the simulation, the masses, center of gravities and inertias of all vehicle components (including propellants and the docked LM if desired) are appropriately combined. During the simulated mission, the vehicle mass is decremented by propellant usage, and vehicle center of gravity and inertia are recalculated.

### 6.4.3.1 Empty Service Module Including Total

Service Module RCS Propellant and SPS System Residuals

Mass MSM 10800 lb

| Center of Gravity | $X$ | CGSM | 918.0 | in. |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | $Y$ |  | -6.0 | in. |
|  | $Z$ |  | 10.3 | in. |


| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | ISM | 7337.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 12222.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 11745.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | 96.7 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | -321. 3 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Y} Z}$ |  | 186.4 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |

6.4.3.2 Spacecraft Lunar Module Adapter Attach Ring

Mass
MSLAR
91.0
lb

| Center of Gravity | X | CGSLAR | 837.1 | in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Y |  | -0.3 | in. |
|  | Z |  | 1.9 | in. |
| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | ISLAR | 113.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 58.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 55.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{X Y}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |

Prior to the simulation, the masses, center of gravities and inertias of all vehicle components (including propellants and the docked LM if desired) are appropriately combined. During the simulated mission, the vehicle mass is decremented by propellant usage, and vehicle center of gravity and inertia are recalculated.
6.4.3.1 Empty Service Module Including Total

Service Module RCS Propellant and SPS System Residuals 4.3

| Mass |  | MSM | 10675 | 1 b | 9/30/68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | CGSM | 918.7 | in. | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | Y |  | -5.7 | in. | 9/30/68 |
|  | Z |  | 11.2 | in. | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | ISM | 7340.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 12250.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 11725.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{X Y}$ |  | 90.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |
|  | ${ }^{\text {I }} \mathrm{XZ}$ |  | -430.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 600.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ | $9 / 30 / 68$ |

6.4.3.2 Spacecraft Lunar Module Adapter Attach Ring
4.3

| Mass |  | MSLAR | 91.0 | 1b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | CGSLAR | 837.1 | in. |
|  | Y |  | -0.3 | in. |
|  | Z |  | 1.9 | in. |
| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | ISLAR | 113.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 58.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{Z Z}}$ |  | 55.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{X Y}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |

$$
6-13 a
$$

6.4.3.3 Nominal Full Command Module, including CM RCS Propellants 4.3

| Mass |  | MCM | 13065.0 | lb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | CGCM | 1042.8 | in. |
|  | Y |  | -0. 5 | in. |
|  | Z |  | 5.3 | in. |
| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | ICM | 6032.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 5575.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {ZZ }}$ |  | 5043.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{X Y}$ |  | -56.4 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{XZ}$ |  | 440.6 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | -13.2 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |

### 6.4.3.4 Service Module RCS Propellant Mass Properties

During the simulated mission, the RCS propellant center of gravity is modelled as a constant. The propellant inertia is found by a linear interpolation between two tabulated points; one of which corresponds to zero mass, zero inertia, and the other which corresponds to an approximate full load.

Usable Service Module RCS propellant loaded for this mission

RCS Propellant Loading $100 \%$

Mass (Usable)

Center of Gravity
X
Y
Z

Inertia

MRCINIT 1317.2

CGRCS
941.8
0.0
0.0
1250.0
750.0
700.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
4. 4
4.4
in.
in.
slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$
4.5
slug ft ${ }^{2}$
slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$
slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$
$I_{X Z}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Y} Z}$

MRCINIT
1317.2
lb
4. 4
6.4.3.3 Nominal Full Command Module, including CM RCS Propellants

| Mass |  | MCM | 12392.0 | lb | 9/30/68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | CGCM | 1042.1 | in. | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | Y |  | -0.2 | in. | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | Z |  | 5.8 | in. | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | ICM | 5800.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 5220.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {ZZ }}$ |  | 4725.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | -40.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 420.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | -15.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $9 / 30 / 68$ |

### 6.4.3.4 Service Module RCS Propellant Mass Properties

During the simulated mission, the RCS propellant center of gravity is modelled as a constant. The propellant inertia is found by a linear interpolation between two tabulated points; one of which corresponds to zero mass, zero inertia, and the other which corresponds to an approximate full load.

| Usable Service Module | MRCINIT | 1317.2 | $1 b$ | 4.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RCS propellant loaded |  |  |  |  |
| for this mission |  |  |  |  |

RCS Propellant Loading $100 \%$

| Mass (Usable) |  | MRCINIT | 1317.2 | lb | 4.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | CGRCS | 941.8 | in. | 4.4 |
|  | Y |  | 0.0 | in. |  |
|  | Z |  | Q. 0 | in. |  |
| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | IR | 1250.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 4.5 |
|  | ${ }^{\text {I }} \mathrm{YY}$ |  | 750.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |
|  | $I_{Z Z}$ |  | 700.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |

Added

### 6.4.3.5 Service Module SPS Propellant Mass Properties

The Service Module SPS Propellant mass properties are presented at three different propellant loadings for each of the four propellant tanks. Prior to the simulation, these mass properties are appropriately combined to produce two sets of mass properties at three different propellant loadings for both the combined storage tanks and the combined sump tanks. During the simulated mission, depending upon the propellant level, the propellant center of gravity is found by a linear interpolation between two calculated points; and the inertia is found by a cubic interpolation between three calculated points.

Since SPS propellant loading is mission dependent and the predicted value frequently varies prior to the mission, the capability to change this parameter within the simulation exists. It is incumbent upon the users of the simulator to keep abreast of mission planning and select the appropriate propellant loading to meet their particular objectives.

| Tanked Service Module <br> SPS propellant mass | MSPINIT | 35268.0 | lb | 4.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sump tank propellant <br> mass capacity | MSUMP | 22300.0 | lb | 4.6 |
| Sump tank propellant <br> residual | MSPRESID | 239.0 | 1 lb | 4.3 |

Oxidizer Storage Tank
4. 2

Propellant Loading No. 1

| Mass |  | $\mathrm{MSO}_{0}$ | 3746.84 | lb |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Center of Gravity | X | $\mathrm{RSO}_{0}$ | 861.8 | in. |
|  | Y |  | 14.8 | in. |
| Inertia | Z |  | 47.8 | in. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | $\mathrm{ISO}_{0}$ | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 244.6 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 244.6 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |

### 6.4.3.5 Service Module SPS Propellant Mass Properties

The Service Module SPS Propellant mass properties are presented at three different propellant loadings for each of the four propellant tanks. Prior to the simulation, these mass properties are appropriately combined to produce two sets of mass properties at three different propellant loadings for both the combined storage tanks and the combined sump tanks. During the simulated mission, depending upon the propellant level, the propellant center of gravity is found by a linear interpolation between two calculated points; and the inertia is found by a cubic interpolation between three calculated points.

Since SPS propellant loading is mission dependent and the predicted value frequently varies prior to the mission, the capability to change this parameter within the simulation exists. It is incumbent upon the users of the simulator to keep abreast of mission planning and select the appropriate propellant loading to meet their particular objectives.

| Tanked Service Module <br> SPS propellant mass | MSPINIT | 40583.0 | $1 \mathrm{lb} 9 / 30 / 68$ | 4.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sump tank propellant <br> mass capacity | MSUMP | 22300.0 | 1 b | 4.6 |
| Sump tank propellant <br> residual | MSPRESID | 239.0 | $1 b$ | 4.3 |
| Oxidizer Storage Tank |  |  |  |  |

Propellant Loading No. 1

| Mass |  | $\mathrm{MSO}_{0}$ | 3746.84 | lb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Center of Gravity | X | $\mathrm{RSO}_{0}$ | 861.8 | in. |
|  | Y |  | 14.8 | in. |
| Inertia | Z |  | 47.8 | in. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | $\mathrm{ISO}_{0}$ | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 244.6 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 244.6 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |

$\boxed{X}$ Added GSOP \#R-577 PCR \#_629_ Rev. 1 Date $12 / 68$

Propellant Loading No. 2

| Mass |  | MSO $_{1}$ | 7579.05 | lb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Center of Gravity | X | $\mathrm{RSO}_{3}$ | 885.1 | in. |
|  | Y |  | 14.8 | in. |
|  | Z |  | 47.8 | in. |
| Inertia |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | $\mathrm{ISO}_{9}$ | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 1362.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 1362.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |

Propellant Loading No. 3

| Mass |  | $\mathrm{MSO}_{2}$ | 11084.90 | lb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Center of Gravity | X | $\mathrm{RSO}_{6}$ | 906.2 | in. |
|  | Y |  | 14.8 | in. |
| Inertia | Z |  | 47.8 | in. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XXX}}$ | $\mathrm{ISO}_{18}$ | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 3891.1 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 3891.1 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |

Fuel Storage Tank
Propellant Loading No. 1

| Mass |  | MSF $_{0}$ | 2343.24 | lb |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | RSF $_{0}$ | 861.8 | in. |
|  | Y |  | -14.8 | in. |
|  | $Z$ |  | -47.8 | in. |


| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | $\mathrm{ISF}_{0}$ | 0.0 |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 153.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 153.0 |
| slug ft $^{2}{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 |
| slug ft |  |  |  |

Propellant Loading No. 2

| Mass |  | $\mathrm{MSF}_{1}$ | 4739.87 | lb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Center of Gravity | X | $\mathrm{RSF}_{3}$ | 885.1 | in. |
|  | Y |  | -14.8 | in. |
| Inertia | Z |  | -47.8 | in. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | $\mathrm{ISF}_{9}$ | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 851.8 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 851.8 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |

Propellant Loading No. 3

Mass

Center of Gravity

Inertia $\begin{array}{ll} & \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}} \\ & \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}\end{array}$
$X$
$Y$
$Z$
$\mathrm{MSF}_{2}$
$\mathrm{RSF}_{6}$


RSF $_{18}$

Oxidizer Sump Tank
Propellant Loading No. 1

| Mass |  | $\mathrm{MSO}_{3}$ | 4684.25 | 1b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | $\mathrm{RSO}_{9}$ | 862.1 | in. |
|  | Y |  | 48.3 | in. |
|  | Z |  | 6.6 | in. |
| Inertia | ${ }^{\text {I }}$ XX | $\mathrm{ISO}_{27}$ | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 331.9 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 331.9 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{X Y}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |

Propellant Loading No. 2

| Mass |  | $\mathrm{MSO}_{4}$ | 0155.85 | lb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Center of Gravity | X | $\mathrm{RSO}_{12}$ | 883.3 | in. |
|  | Y |  | 48.3 | in. |
| Inertia | Z |  | 6.6 | in. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | $\mathrm{ISO}_{3}$ | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 1561.2 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 1561.2 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |

Propellant Loading No. 3

| Mass |  | $\mathrm{MSO}_{5}$ | 13798.30 | lb |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | $\mathrm{RSO}_{15}$ | 905.2 | in. |
|  | Y |  | 48.3 | in. |
|  | Z |  | 6.6 | in. |


| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | $\mathrm{ISO}_{45}$ | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 4694.6 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | slug ft $^{2}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 4694.6 |
| slug ft $^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ | 0.0 | slug ft |

## Fuel Sump Tank

Propellant Loading No. 1

Mass

Center of Gravity
X
Y
Z

Inertia
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$
$\mathrm{I}_{Z Z}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$

Propellant Loading No. 2

Mass

Center of Gravity |  | X |
| :---: | :---: |
| Inertia | Y |
|  | Z |
|  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |

$\mathrm{MSF}_{3}$
$\mathrm{RSF}_{9}$

| 862.1 | in. |
| ---: | :--- |
| -48.3 | in. |
| -6.6 | in. |

$\mathrm{ISF}_{27}$
0.0
207.6
207.6
0.0
0.0
0.0
$\mathrm{MSF}_{4}$
$\mathrm{RSF}_{12}$
883.3
-48. 3
$-6.6$
0.0
976.3
976.3
0.0
0.0
0.0

ISF $_{36}$
4.2
lb
in.
in.
in.
slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ slug ft ${ }^{2}$ slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ slug ft ${ }^{2}$ slug ft ${ }^{2}$

1b
in.
in.
in.
slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$
slug ft ${ }^{2}$
slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$
slug ft ${ }^{2}$
slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$

Propellant Loading No. 3

| Mass |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Center of Gravity |  | $\mathrm{MSF}_{5}$ | 8629.30 | lb |
|  | X | $\mathrm{RSF}_{15}$ | 905.2 | in. |
| Inertial | Y |  | -48.3 | in. |
|  | Z |  | -6.6 | in. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | $\mathrm{ISF}_{45}$ | 0.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 2935.9 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 2935.9 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | 0.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |

### 6.4.4 LM Mass Properties

When the CSM is the controlling vehicle, there exists within the simulator the capability of simulating a constant-mass docked LM vehicle in either the ascent-descent or the ascent-only configuration. The LM mass properties are presented at three propellant loadings for both of these configurations. At each propellant loading the initial tanked RCS propellant mass is included. The LM is unmanned. The masses of the LM propellants are assumed constant and located at the CSM end of the tanks. The inertia of each propellant loading is calculated assuming that the liquid propellant is composed of a rigid mass and a sloshing mass and has no moment of inertia about its own axis (the liquid is irrotational, see reference 4.8). As is described in section 6.4.2, the center of gravity of the vehicle at each propellant loading has been transformed to and is expressed in the Apollo coordinate system. The inertia is about this center of gravity and is given with respect to the Apollo coordinate direction. Both the diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the inertia tensor are used as positive elements. Hence, the numerical values of the off-diagonal elements reported below have signs opposite to those given in the referenced documents.

Prior to the simulation, when the LM mass is selected, the center of gravity and inertia are calculated. This is achieved by a parabolic interpolation between the tabulated entries listed below for the appropriate configuration.

Since APS and DPS propellant loadings are mission dependent and their predicted values frequently vary prior to the mission, the capability to change these parameters within the simulator exists. It is incumbent upon the users of the simulator to keep abreast of mission planning and select the appropriate propellant loadings to meet their particular objectives.

### 6.4.4.1 Ascent-Descent Configuration

The landing gear is deployed.

## Descent Stage

DPS propellant loading $100 \%$ of usable

## Ascent Stage

APS propellant loading $100 \%$ of usable

| Mass |  | 32637.0 | lb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Center of Gravity | X | 1235.7 | in. |
|  | Y | 0.02 | in. |
| Inertia | Z | 0.71 | in. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | 21531.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ | 23764.0 | slug ft $^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ | 24221.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ | 387.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ | -247.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ | -395.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |

Descent Stage
DPS propellant loading $50 \%$ of usable

Ascent Stage
APS propellant loading $100 \%$ of usable

| Mass |  | 23869.0 | lb |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | 1225.3 | in. |
|  | Y | 0.07 | in. |
|  | Z | 0.93 | in. |


6.4.4.2 Ascent-Only Configuration

Ascent Stage
APS Propellant loading $100 \%$ of usable

| Mass |  | 10610.0 | lb |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Center of Gravity | X |  |  |
|  | Y | 1178.2 | in. |
|  | Z | 0.62 | in. |
|  |  | -0.56 | in. |



The SM Reaction Control System contains 16 thrust chambers in four separate and independent quads of four thrust chambers each. The individual RCS thruster is modelled as a constant-thrust motor.
6.4.5.1 The buildup and tailoff of thrust are modelled by instantaneous changes in thrust, shifted in time from electrical command. The shift is different for the application and removal of thrust. The amount of the shift is calculated to give a transient impulse typical of a real thruster.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Constant RCS thrust } & \text { RCTHRUST } & 99.8 & 1 b\end{array}$

Step delay in RCS thrust application from receipt of electrical command

| Step delay in RCS thrust <br> removal from removal <br> of electrical command | RCOFFDEL | 0.0105 | sec |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minimum allowable RCS <br> electrical pulse width | TMINPULS | 0.014 | sec |

6.4.5.2 The propellant usage of each RCS thruster is modelled by a constant mass flow rate when the jet is fired, plus a propellant penalty for each jet firing.
Constant RCS propellant RCMFLO $\quad 0.361 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{kec}$
flow rate
Propellant penalty per NFIRTOKG $0.0033 \quad$ lb
jet firing

### 6.4.6 Service Propulsion System

### 6.4.6.1 Steady and Transient Performance

The SPS engine is modelled as a constant-thrust constant-flow rate motor. The buildup and tailoff of thrust are modelled by instantaneous changes in thrust, shifted in time from electrical command. The shift is different for the application and removal of thrust. The amount of the shift is calculated to give a transient impulse typical of the real engine.

To simulate SPS burn durations between 400 milliseconds and one second, nominal SPS minimum impulse test data are used to calculate total impulse (see reference 4.2). For burn durations of less than 400 milliseconds, a zero-impulse model is employed.

Constant SPS thrust SPTHRUST $20500.0 \quad$ lb $\quad 4.9$

Step delay in SPS thrust
SPONDEL
0.52
sec
4. 9 application from receipt of electrical command

Step delay in SPS thrust removal from removal of electrical command

Minimum SPS pulse TSPMINPL 0.40 sec
4.2 duration for non-zero impulse

SPOFFDEL
0.49
sec
4. 9

Actual time from removal SPOFFTRANS
2.2
sec
4.9 of electrical command to 1. 0 percent thrust (during which thrust vector control should be maintained)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Constant SPS propellant } & \text { SPMFLO } & 63.8 & \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{sec} & 4.6\end{array}$ flow rate
6.4.6.2 Actuator Dynamics 4.2

The SPS engine is mounted on a gimbal system which permits angular motion in pitch and yaw. Engine angular position is controlled by closed-loop servomechanisms in both axes. The servo loops include an amplifier (modelled as a constant gain, no lags), a clutch (modelled as a constant gain - torquer/current ratio, no lags), position feedback and rate feedback. For small signal inputs, the operation of the servos is linear. The total torque applied to the SPS engine includes clutch torque, angular velocity dependent torques caused by damping within the actuator and exhaust jet damping, angular position dependent torques caused by hose stiffness and snubber spring stiffness (if the angular deflection exceeds the position limit), and reaction torques caused by the acceleration of the vehicle. The angular acceleration of the engine is the ratio of total torque to total inertia and is integrated twice to obtain angular deflection. Total inertia includes both engine inertia and actuator inertia.

To simulate SPS burn durations between 400 milliseconds and one second, nominal SPS minimum impulse test data are used to calculate total impulse (see reference 4.2). For burn durations of less than 400 milliseconds, a zero-impulse model is employed.

| Constant SPS thrust | SPTHRUST | 21118.0 | lb $9 / 30 / 68$ | 4.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step delay in SPS thrust <br> application from receipt <br> of electrical command | SPONDEL | 0.52 | sec | 4.9 |
| Step delay in SPS thrust <br> removal from removal of <br> electrical command | SPOFFDEL | 0.49 | sec | 4.9 |


| Minimum SPS pulse <br> duration for non-zero <br> impulse | TSPMINPL | 0.40 | sec | 4.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Actual time from removal SPOFFTRANS 2.2 sec 4.9 of electrical command to 1.0 percent thrust (during which thrust vector control should be maintained)

| Constant SPS propellant | SPMFLO | 67.2 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| flow rate | 4.6 |  |  |
|  |  | $9 / 30 / 68$ |  |

6.4.6.2 Actuator Dynamics 4.2

The SPS engine is mounted on a gimbal system which permits angular motion in pitch and yaw. Engine angular position is controlled by closed-loop servomechanisms in both axes. The servo loops include an amplifier (modelled as a constant gain, no lags), a clutch (modelled as a constant gain - torquer/current ratio, no lags), position feedback and rate feedback. For small signal inputs, the operation of the servos is linear. The total torque applied to the SPS engine includes clutch torque, angular velocity dependent torques caused by damping within the actuator and exhaust jet damping, angular position dependent torques caused by hose stiffness and snubber spring stiffness (if the angular deflection exceeds the position limit), and reaction torques caused by the acceleration of the vehicle. The angular acceleration of the engine is the ratio of total torque to total inertia and is integrated twice to obtain angular deflection. Total inertia includes both engine inertia and actuator inertia.

| Servo amplifier gain | KS | 20.0 | $\mathrm{amp} / \mathrm{rad}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuator clutch gain | KT | 3480.0 | ft 1b/amp |
| Position feedback gain | KD | 1.0 | nd |
| Rate feedback gain | KG | 0.089 | sec |
| Actuator damping (reflected to engine) | CAD | 1600.0 | ft lb sec per rad |
| Engine exhaust jet damping | CJD | 171.0 | ft lb sec per rad |
| Hose stiffness | KH | 285.0 | $\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{rad}$ |
| Snubber spring stiffness | KL | 29400.0 | ft lb/deg |
| Engine inertia about gimbal axis (average of pitch and yaw - wet) | IR | 240.5 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
| Actuator inertia (reflected to engine) | IA | 68.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Engine mass | ME | 25.4 | slugs |
| Engine moment arm (distance from center of gravity to gimbal axis) | LE | 0.271 | ft |

6.4.6.3 Actuator and CDU Limit Data
4.2

The operation of the SPS engine gimbal servomechanisms is nonlinear in several respects. The commanded angular position is limited within the digital-to-analog converter which provides the signal. (The D/A converters are located within the optics CDUs.) The torque applied by the actuators is limited by the maximum torque which the clutches can transmit. Angular rate is limited by the actuator motor speed and is modelled as a fixed gimbal rate limit. The engine angular position is limited by snubbers (stiff springs) beyond a limited range of motion. Angular position is measured with respect to the engine mount. The mount is offset in angular position with respect to vehicle axes in both
pitch and yaw. Therefore, the angle between the thrust vector direction and the vehicle axis will be the sum of the engine angular position and the engine mount angular offset. Angular positions are measured as positive rotations of the engine about the vehicle $Y$ and $Z$ axes (e.g. a positive engine angular position in pitch will result in a negative pitch acceleration of the vehicle).

| Optics D/A limit <br> (optics error counter) | LMP | 384 | pulses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scale factor: pulse count <br> to gimbal angle command | RPP | 1.52 | arc min <br> per pulse |
| Pulse train rate | PPS | 3200 | pulses <br> per sec |
| Clutch torque limit | LMT | 1300 | ft lb |
| Gimbal rate limit | LMR | 0.15 | rad/sec |
| Gimbal position limit | LMG | 4.5 | deg |
| Engine gimbal mounting <br> offset-pitch | YBIAS | -2.15 | deg |
| Engine gimbal mounting <br> offset-yaw | ZBIAS | 0.95 | deg |

### 6.4.7 CSM/LM Bending

It is necessary to simulate the structural deflections of the spacecraft caused by external loadings or contact forces such as main propulsion system thrust, RCS thrust, and fuel slosh. These structural deflections influence the spacecraft control systems by deflecting the navigation base. The bending deflection of the spacecraft can be expanded in terms of an infinite number of orthogonal eigenfunctions or modes and their associated eigenvalues or frequencies. The modes corresponding to the three lowest frequencies are simulated. The external excitations or forcing functions driving these modes can also be expanded in terms of the same eigenfunctions. Since all of the excitations are modelled by forces applied at a point, they are all spatial impulses. The expansion of the driving functions thus becomes the vector inner product of the external force and the normalized deflection of the mode at the point of application of the force. The equation for the generalized coordinate for a given bending mode with the driving terms included is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{QB}_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{DT}^{2}= & -2 \mathrm{ZETAB}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{WB}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{QB}_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{DT}-\mathrm{WB}_{\mathrm{J}}^{2} \mathrm{QB}_{\mathrm{J}} \\
& +\sum_{\mathrm{I}} \quad\left(\mathrm{DISP}_{\mathrm{I}} / \mathrm{GM}\right) \cdot \mathrm{FORCE}_{\mathrm{I}}
\end{aligned}
$$

pitch and yaw. Therefore, the angle between the thrust vector direction and the vehicle axis will be the sum of the engine angular position and the engine mount angular offset. Angular positions are measured as positive rotations of the engine about the vehicle $Y$ and $Z$ axes (e.g. a positive engine angular position in pitch will result in a negative pitch acceleration of the vehicle).

Optics D/A limit
(optics error counter)
Scale factor: pulse count to gimbal angle command

Pulse train rate

Clutch torque limit
Gimbal rate limit
Gimbal position limit
Engine gimbal mounting offset-pitch

Engine gimbal mounting offset-yaw

LMP
384

RPP

| RPP | 1.42 | arcmin <br> per pulse |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| PPS | 3200 | pulses <br> per sec |
| LMT | 1300 | ft lb |
| LMR | 0.15 | rad/sec |
| LMG | 4.5 | deg |
| YBIAS | -2.15 | deg |
| ZBIAS | 0.95 | deg |

### 6.4.7 CSM/LM Bending

It is necessary to simulate the structural deflections of the spacecraft caused by external loadings or contact forces such as main propulsion system thrust, RCS thrust, and fuel slosh. These structural deflections influence the spacecraft control systems by deflecting the navigation base. The bending deflection of the spacecraft can be expanded in terms of an infinite number of orthogonal eigenfunctions or modes and their associated eigenvalues or frequencies. The modes corresponding to the three lowest frequencies are simulated. The external excitations or forcing functions driving these modes can also be expanded in terms of the same eigenfunctions. Since all of the excitations are modelled by forces applied at a point, they are all spatial impulses. The expansion of the driving functions thus becomes the vector inner product of the external force and the normalized deflection of the mode at the point of application of the force. The equation for the generalized coordinate for a given bending mode with the driving terms included is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{QB}_{J} / \mathrm{DT}^{2}= & -2 \mathrm{ZETAB}_{J} \mathrm{WB}_{J} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{QB}_{J} / \mathrm{DT}-\mathrm{WB}_{\mathrm{J}}^{2} \mathrm{QB}_{J} \\
& \left.+\sum_{\mathrm{I}} \quad \underline{\mathrm{ISP}}_{\mathrm{I}} / \mathrm{GM}\right) \bullet \mathrm{FORC}_{\mathrm{I}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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where $\mathrm{QB}_{\mathrm{J}} \quad$ is the generalized coordinate associated with the J th mode, ZETAB $_{J}$ is the damping ratio associated with the $J$ th mode, $\mathrm{WB}_{\mathrm{J}} \quad$ is the frequency associated with the $J$ th mode,
FORCE $_{I} \quad$ is one of the contact forces which excite the generalized bending coordinates,

DISP $_{I} \quad$ is the vector displacement of the point of application of FORCE ${ }_{1}$,
GM is the generalized mass associated with the Jth mode.

It is seen that the bending coordinate for each of the three simulated modes is the solution of a second-order differential equation.

The motion of the navigation base is the product of the modal displacements at the navigation base and the appropriate derivative of the generalized bending coordinate. For example, the translational velocity of the navigation base due to the Jth bending mode is given by

$$
\underline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{J}}=\operatorname{DISPNB}_{\mathrm{J}} \quad \mathrm{D} \mathrm{QB}_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{DT}
$$

where DISPNB $_{J}$ is the modal displacement of the navigation base due to the Jth bending mode.

Similarly, rotational displacement of the navigation base is the product of a modal rotation vector at the navigation base and the generalized bending coordinate

$$
\underline{\theta}=\mathrm{SLOPNB}_{\mathrm{J}} \quad \mathrm{QB}_{\mathrm{J}}
$$

where SLOPNB $_{J}$ is the modal rotation of the navigation base due to the $J$ th bending mode.

The mode shapes, or specifically the displacements and rotations at individual spacecraft stations and the corresponding modal frequencies, depend on the mass distribution of the spacecraft. Data are thus required for representative loading conditions. The data are the displacements and rotations at all points of interest given below, the generalized mass, and the frequency and damping ratio for each mode. Three complete sets of data are given, one for each reference vehicle mass. A linear interpolation based on instantaneous total vehicle mass is used to obtain the bending parameters at points between two adjacent reference masses. Within a set of data for a given reference mass, each parameter has three entries for a scalar parameter, or nine entries for a vector parameter.

The first entry for a scalar parameter, or the first three entries for a vector parameter, pertain to the first mode simulated; the second or second three pertain to the second mode simulated; and similarly for the third mode. The vector parameters are expressed in the Apollo coordinate system.

Bending Data
The following data are expressed in the Apollo coordinate system: SPS 100\%
Reference spacecraft mass $\quad \mathrm{MB}_{0} \quad 91590 \quad \mathrm{lb}$
SPS propellant loading $100 \%$
DPS propellant loading $100 \%$
APS propellant loading $100 \%$
Generalized mass used for $\quad$ GM $\quad 1.0 \quad 1 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{sec}{ }^{2} / \mathrm{in}$.

| Frequency of each mode | RWB1 $_{0}$ | 2.08 | cps |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | RWB2 $_{0}$ | 2.26 | cps |
|  | RWB3 $_{0}$ | 2.44 | cps |
| Damping ratio for generalized <br> coordinate |  |  |  |
|  | ZETA1 | 0.005 | nd |
|  | ZETA2 | 0.005 | nd |
|  | ZETA3 | 0.005 | nd |

Displacement of the SPS trim gimbal station

| RDSPT $10^{0}$ | -0.00353 | in./in. |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 0.03294 | in./in. |
|  | 0.06023 | in./in. |
| RDSPT $2^{0}$ | -0.00093 | in./in. |
|  | 0.05176 | in./in. |
|  | -0.03372 | in./in. |
| RDSPT $3_{0}$ | -0.00205 | in./in. |
|  | 0.02919 | in./in. |
|  | -0.01589 | in./in. |

Rotation of the SPS trim $\quad$ RROTT1 ${ }_{0} \quad 0.0 \quad \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$ gimbal station

| RROTT $_{0}$ | 0.0 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0006605 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |
|  | -0.0003967 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |
| RROTT $^{2} 0$ | 0.0 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |
|  | -0.0003741 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |
|  | -0.0006000 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |


|  | RROTT3 $_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.0001041 \\ & -0.0002658 \end{aligned}$ | rad/in. rad/in. rad/in. | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Displacement of SM RCS Quad A | RDSPR $1_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.05799 \\ & -0.02290 \\ & -0.02407 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |  |
|  | RDSPR2 ${ }_{0}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.02244 \\ -0.04816 \\ 0.02085 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |  |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPR}_{3}{ }_{0}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00478 \\ 0.05992 \\ -0.01277 \end{array}$ | in. /in. in. /in. in./in. |  |
| Displacement of SM RCS Quad B | $\mathrm{RDSPR1}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.01271 \\ -0.01703 \\ -0.03238 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |  |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPR}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.04857 \\ -0.02949 \\ -0.00539 \end{array}$ | in. /in. in. /in. in. /in. |  |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPR}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02106 \\ & 0.00854 \\ & 0.06136 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. | - |
| Displacement of SM RCS Quad C | $\mathrm{RDSPR1}_{6}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.05016 \\ -0.00802 \\ -0.02614 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |  |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPR}^{6} 6$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.02440 \\ -0.00152 \\ 0.01319 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |  |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPR}_{6}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00516 \\ -0.07158 \\ 0.00628 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |  |


| Displacement of SM RCS Quad D | $\mathrm{RDSPR}^{1} 9$ | -0.02032 | in. /in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -0.01388 | in./in. |
|  |  | -0.01775 | in. /in. |
|  | RDSPR2 9 | -0.05100 | in. /in. |
|  |  | -0.01993 | in. /in. |
|  |  | 0.03995 | in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPR}_{3} 9$ | -0.02164 | in. /in. |
|  |  | -0.02109 | in. /in. |
|  |  | -0.06587 | in. /in. |
| Displacement of CSM navigation base | $\mathrm{RDSPA}^{1} 0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.05300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | -0.09059 | in. /in. |
|  | RDSPA2 0 | 0.0 | in. /in. |
|  |  | -0.08607 | in. /in. |
|  |  | 0.05610 | in. /in. |
|  | RDSPA $3_{0}$ | 0.0 | in. /in. |
|  |  | -0.02992 | in. /in. |
|  |  | 0.00766 | in. /in. |
| Rotation of CSM navigation base | $\mathrm{RROTA1}_{0}$ | 0.0000249 | rad/in. |
|  |  | 0.0005974 | rad/in. |
|  |  | -0.0003090 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |
|  | RROTA2 $_{0}$ | 0.0000530 | rad/in. |
|  |  | -0.0003347 | rad/in. |
|  |  | -0.0004559 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |
|  | RROTA3 0 | 0.0000916 | rad/in. |
|  |  | -0.0000598 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |
|  |  | -0.0002428 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |
| Displacement of top of oxidizer storage tank | $\mathrm{RDSPO}_{0}$ | 0.0 | in. /in. |
|  |  | -0.01127 | in. /in. |
|  |  | -0.02700 | in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPO}_{2}{ }_{0}$ | 0.0 | in. /in. |
|  |  | -0.00305 | in. /in. |
|  |  | 0.00218 | in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPO}_{0}$ | 0.0 | in. /in. |
|  |  | -0.05988 | in. /in. |
|  |  | 0.02288 | in. /in. |




Generalized mass used for GM all modes

Frequency of each mode

Damping ratio for generalized coordinate

Displacement of the SPS trim gimbal station

| Rotation of the SPS trim gimbal station | $\mathrm{RROTT}^{1} 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0006589 \\ & -0.0005334 \end{aligned}$ | rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{RROTT}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.0005074 \\ & -0.0005929 \end{aligned}$ | rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RROTT}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.0001947 \\ & -0.0001726 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in} . \\ & \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in} . \\ & \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Displacement of SM RCS Quad A | $\mathrm{RDSPR}^{1} 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.05872 \\ & -0.02396 \\ & -0.01536 \end{aligned}$ | in./in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | RDSPR2 12 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.03226 \\ -0.03864 \\ 0.02238 \end{array}$ | in./in. <br> in./in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | RDSPR 312 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.01223 \\ 0.06315 \\ -0.01714 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in./in. |
| Displacement of SM RCS Quad B | $\mathrm{RDSPR}^{1}{ }_{15}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.02336 \\ -0.01643 \\ -0.02617 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | RDSPR2 $1_{15}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.04867 \\ -0.02193 \\ -0.00085 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | RDSPR ${ }^{15}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02092 \\ & 0.01154 \\ & 0.05702 \end{aligned}$ | in./in. <br> in. /in. <br> in./in. |
| Displacement of SM RCS Quad C | RDSPR1 ${ }_{18}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.05175 \\ -0.00507 \\ -0.01818 \end{array}$ | in./in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | RDSPR2 $1_{18}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.03367 \\ 0.00244 \\ 0.01516 \end{array}$ | in./in. <br> in. /in. <br> in./in. |
|  | RDSPR ${ }_{18}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.01148 \\ -0.06690 \\ 0.00443 \end{array}$ | in./in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |


| Displacement of SM RCS Quad D | $\operatorname{RDSPR1}_{21}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.03039 \\ & -0.01239 \\ & -0.00766 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RDSPR2 21 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.05074 \\ -0.01386 \\ 0.03896 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPR}^{21}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.01968 \\ & -0.01561 \\ & -0.06943 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
| Displacement of CSM navigation base | $\mathrm{RDSPA1}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.06534 \\ & -0.08270 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPA}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.07594 \\ & 0.07016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPA}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ -0.02573 \\ 0.01194 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Rotation of CSM navigation base | RROTA $1_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000106 \\ 0.0006027 \\ -0.0004267 \end{array}$ | rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RROTA}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000347 \\ -0.0004461 \\ -0.0004399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in} . \\ & \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in} . \\ & \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in} . \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{RROTA}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0001466 \\ -0.0001436 \\ -0.0002133 \end{array}$ | rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. |
| Displacement of bottom of oxidizer storage tank | $\mathrm{RDSPO}^{1} 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03585 \\ & 0.04506 \\ & 0.04952 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPO}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.01677 \\ 0.05439 \\ -0.04047 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \end{aligned}$ |


| Displacement of top of oxidizer sump tank | $\mathrm{RDSPO}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00654 \\ & -0.02075 \\ & -0.01019 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPO}_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.01394 \\ & -0.02394 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPO}_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.00302 \\ & -0.02737 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in./in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPO}_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.04017 \\ & 0.11774 \end{aligned}$ | in./in. <br> in./in. <br> in. /in. |
| Displacement of bottom of fuel storage tank | $\mathrm{RDSPF}^{1} 3$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.04272 \\ 0.03277 \\ 0.05352 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPF}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.01573 \\ 0.02748 \\ -0.03098 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in./in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPF}^{3} 3$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00459 \\ 0.06547 \\ -0.04117 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
| Displacement of top of fuel sump tank | $\mathrm{RDSPF}_{1}{ }_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.01943 \\ & -0.00229 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in./in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPF}_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.02241 \\ & 0.03738 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in./in. <br> in./in. |
|  | RDSPF 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.02947 \\ & -0.10876 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \end{aligned}$ |


| RDSPO3 $_{3}$ | -0.00654 | in./in. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -0.02075 | in./in. |
|  | -0.01019 | in./in. |

Displacement of top of
oxidizer sump tank
Displacement of bottom of
fuel storage tank

Displacement of top of fuel sump tank

| RDSPO1 $_{6}$ | 0.0 | in./in. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -0.01394 | in./in. |
|  | -0.02394 | in./in. |
| RDSPO2 $_{6}$ | 0.0 | in./in. |
|  | -0.00302 | in./in. |
|  | -0.02737 | in./in. |
| RDSPO $_{6}$ | 0.0 | in./in. |
|  | -0.04017 | in./in. |
|  | 0.11774 | in./in. |
|  | -0.04272 | in./in. |
|  | 0.03277 | in./in. |
|  | 0.05352 | in./in. |
|  | 0.01573 | in./in. |
|  | 0.02748 | in./in. |
|  | -0.03098 | in./in. |
|  | 0.00459 | in./in. |
|  | 0.06547 | in./in. |
|  | -0.04117 | in./in. |


| RDSPF1 $_{6}$ | 0.0 | in./in. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -0.01943 | in./in. $8 / 15 / 68$ |
|  | -0.00229 | in./in. |
| RDSPF2 $_{6}$ | 0.0 | in./in. |
|  | -0.02241 | in./in. |
|  | 0.03738 | in./in. |
|  | 0.0 | in./in. |
|  | 0.02947 | in./in. |
|  | -0.10876 | in./in. |

## Reference spacecraft mass

 SPS propellant loading $25 \%$DPS propellant loading $100 \%$
APS propellant loading $100 \%$

| Generalized mass used for all modes | GM | 1.0 | $\mathrm{lb} \sec ^{2} / \mathrm{in}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency of each mode | RWB1 2 <br> RWB2 2 <br> RWB3 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.24 \\ & 2.38 \\ & 2.97 \end{aligned}$ | cps <br> cps <br> cps |
| Damping ratio for generalized coordinate | ZETA1 <br> ZETA2 <br> ZETA 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.005 \\ & 0.005 \\ & 0.005 \end{aligned}$ | nd <br> nd <br> nd |
| Displacement of the SPS trim gimbal station | $\operatorname{RDSPT}{ }_{6}$ <br> $\operatorname{RDSPT}_{6}$ <br> $\operatorname{RDSPT}_{6}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -\theta .00266 \\ 0.04405 \\ 0.08190 \\ -0.00176 \\ 0.07629 \\ -0.04505 \\ -0.00051 \\ 0.01436 \\ -0.01101 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in./in. <br> in./in. <br> in./in. <br> in./in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
| Rotation of the SPS trim gimbal station | RROTT1 $_{6}$ <br> RROTT $_{6}{ }_{6}$ <br> RROTT $^{6} 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0007691 \\ & -0.0004293 \\ & 0.0 \\ & -0.0004182 \\ & -0.0007434 \\ & 0.0 \\ & -0.0000629 \\ & -0.0000738 \end{aligned}$ | rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. |

$\mathrm{MB}_{2} \quad 65915.0$
lb

| Displacement of SM RCS Quad A | $\mathrm{RDSPR1}_{24}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.06537 \\ & -0.01482 \\ & -0.01620 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RDSPR2 24 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.02334 \\ -0.02886 \\ 0.01199 \end{array}$ | in./in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPR}^{24}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00376 \\ 0.13613 \\ -0.02382 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
| Displacement of SM RCS Quad B | $\mathrm{RDSPR}^{1} 27$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.01508 \\ -0.01087 \\ -0.02198 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in./in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | RDSPR2 27 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.05856 \\ -0.01940 \\ -0.00104 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in./in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | RDSPR $3_{27}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00808 \\ & 0.03249 \\ & 0.12585 \end{aligned}$ | in./in. <br> in. /in. <br> in./in. |
| Displacement of SM RCS Quad C | $\mathrm{RDSPR}^{1} 30$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.05987 \\ -0.00471 \\ -0.01743 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in./in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | RDSPR2 30 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.02573 \\ -0.00576 \\ 0.00810 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPR}^{3} 30$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00333 \\ -0.12959 \\ 0.01536 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
| Displacement of SM RCS Quad D | RDSPR1 ${ }_{33}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.02053 \\ & -0.00850 \\ & -0.01199 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | RDSPR2 33 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.06159 \\ -0.01479 \\ 0.02140 \end{array}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPR}^{3} 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00707 \\ & -0.02628 \\ & -0.13280 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |


| Displacement of CSM navigation base | $\mathrm{RDSPA}^{1} 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.05080 \\ & -0.09383 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RDSPA 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.08990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 0.05206 | in./in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPA}_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.03293 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 0.00192 | in./in. |
| Rotation of CSM navigation base | $\mathrm{RROTA}^{1} 6$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000022 \\ 0.0007058 \\ -0.0003570 \end{array}$ | rad/in. <br> rad/in. <br> rad/in. |
|  | RROTA $^{6}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000263 \\ -0.0003618 \end{array}$ | rad/in. <br> rad/in. |
|  |  | -0.0005890 | rad/in. |
|  | RROTA $3_{6}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0008182 \\ -0.0000247 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in} . \\ & \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in} . \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | -0.0001058 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$. |
| Displacement of middle of oxidizer sump tank | $\mathrm{RDSPO}_{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0 . 0} \\ & 0.01115 \\ & 0.02245 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPO}^{2} 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.02324 \\ & -0.02394 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPO}_{3} 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & -0.00777 \\ & 0.09164 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
| Displacement of middle of fuel sump tank | $\mathrm{RDSPF}^{1} 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.01030 \\ & 0.02875 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \\ & \text { in. /in. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{RDSPF}^{2} 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.02036 \\ & -0.00725 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |
|  | RDSPF3 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.02426 \\ & -0.10382 \end{aligned}$ | in. /in. <br> in. /in. <br> in. /in. |

### 6.4.8 Propellant Slosh

Slosh motions of the propellant in the SPS tanks are simulated as a linear spring mass system. Each tank in which sloshing occurs is characterized by a slosh mass, a slosh frequency and a slosh mass attachment point. Slosh mass motion is constrained to the plane defined by the vehicle $Y$ and $Z$ axes. Sloshing occurs either in the two storage tanks (no slosh in the full sump tanks) or in the two sump tanks (no slosh in the empty storage tanks). It is assumed, for each tank, that slosh mass is constant, slosh frequency is inversely proportional to the square root of vehicle mass, and the $X$ coordinate of the slosh attachment point varies linearly with propellant mass. Slosh damping ratios are held constant.

### 6.4.8.1 Slosh dynamics

| Oxidizer storage tank <br> slosh mass | $\mathrm{MO}_{0}$ | 24.95 | slugs |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Fuel storage tank <br> slosh mass | $\mathrm{MF}_{0}$ | 15.61 | slugs |
| Oxidizer sump tank <br> slosh mass | $\mathrm{MO}_{1}$ | 35.85 | slugs |
| Fuel sump tank <br> slosh mass | $\mathrm{MF}_{1}$ | 22.43 | slugs |
| Oxidizer storage tank <br> slosh frequency | $\mathrm{WO}_{0}$ | 3.40 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Fuel storage tank <br> slosh frequency | $\mathrm{WF}_{0}$ | 3.40 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Vehicle mass corres- <br> ponding to storage <br> tank slosh frequencies | $\mathrm{MP}_{0}$ | 59901.0 | lb |
| Oxidizer sump tank <br> slosh frequency | $\mathrm{WO}_{1}$ | 3.88 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Full sump tank <br> slosh frequency | $\mathrm{WF}_{1}$ | 3.88 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Vehicle mass corres- <br> ponding to sump tank <br> slosh frequencies | $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ | 40588.0 | lb |


| Oxidizer slosh <br> damping ratio | ZETAO | 0.0007 | nd . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Fuel slosh damping $\quad$ ZETAF
ratio

| Oxidizer storage tank (full) slosh station ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate) | $\mathrm{XO}_{0}$ | 933.7 | in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fuel storage tank (full) slosh station ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate) | $\mathrm{XF}_{0}$ | 933.0 | in. |
| Propellant mass corresponding to full storage tanks | MX 0 | 38626.0 | 1b |
| ```Oxidizer storage tank (half) slosh station ( }\mp@subsup{\textrm{X}}{\textrm{A}}{}\mathrm{ coordinate)``` | $\mathrm{XO}_{1}$ | 862.0 | in. |
| Fuel storage tank (half) slosh station ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate) | $\mathrm{XF}_{1}$ | 861.7 | in. |
| Propellant mass corresponding to half-full storage tanks | $\mathrm{MX}{ }_{1}$ | 28969.0 | 1 b |
| Oxidizer sump tank (full slosh station ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate) | $\mathrm{XO}_{2}$ | 922.5 | in. |
| Fuel sump tank (full) slosh station ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate) | $\mathrm{XF}_{2}$ | 922.3 | in. |
| Propellant mass corresponding to full sump tanks | MX 2 | 19313.0 | lb |
| Oxidizer sump tank (half) slosh station ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate) | $\mathrm{XO}_{3}$ | 869.1 | in. |

Fuel sump tank
(half) slosh station
$\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ coordinate $)$
$\mathrm{XF}_{3}$
869.0
in.
(half) slosh station
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate)

Propellant mass corresponding to half full sump tanks

Oxidizer storage tank
$\mathrm{YO}_{0}$ Y coordinate
( $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate)

Fuel storage tank
$Y F_{0}$
$-14.8$
in.
Y coordinate
( $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate)
Oxidizer sump tank
Y coordinate $\quad \mathrm{YO}_{1}$
( $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate)

Fuel sump tank
$\mathrm{YF}_{1}$
$-48.3$
in.
( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}$ coordinate)

Oxidizer storage tank $\quad \mathrm{ZO}_{0}$
$Z$ coordinate
(Z $\mathrm{A}^{\text {coordinate }}$ )

Fuel storage tank
$Z$ coordinate
( $Z_{A}$ coordinate)

Oxidizer sump tank
$\mathrm{ZO}_{1}$
6.6
in.
( $Z_{A}$ coordinate)

Fuel sump tank
$\mathrm{ZF}_{1}$
$-6.6$ $Z$ coordinate
( $Z_{A}$ coordinate)

Apollo station of tank
XTB bottoms
$Z F_{0}$
$-47.8$
in.
in.
47.8
in.
48.3

### 6.5 Digital Simulator CM (CM-103) Reentry Spacecraft Data

### 6.5.1 CM Reference Positions and Dimensions

The following reference positions and dimensions are expressed in the CM vehicle coordinate system in the order $X$ component, $Y$ component, $Z$ component, unless otherwise noted.
6.5.1.1 CM Navigation Base
RNB Position

| 55.715 | in. | 5.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.0 | in. |  |
| 40.914 | in. |  |

5. 2
6.5.1.2 CM RCS Thruster Positions

Jet 1

Jet 2

Jet 3

Jet 4

Jet 5

Jet 6

Jet 7

Jet 8
$\begin{array}{rr}27.6750 & \text { in. } \\ 4.4211 & \text { in. } \\ -72.2846 & \text { in. } \\ 85.1200 & \text { in. } \\ 3.929 & \text { in. } \\ -35.5743 & \text { in. } \\ 27.6750 & \text { in. } \\ -4.4211 & \text { in. } \\ -72.2846 & \text { in. }\end{array}$
85.1200 in.
-3.929 in.
-35.5743 in.
27.6750 in.
72.2846 in.
-4.4211 in.
27.6750 in.
-72.2846 in.
-4.4211 in.
27.6750 in.
72.2846 in.
4.4211 in.
27.6750 in.
-72. 2846 in.
4.4211 in.

| Jet 9 | 32.3000 | in. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | 51.9826 | in. |
| Jet 10 | -50.3454 | in. |
|  | 32.3000 | in. |
|  | -51.9826 | in. |
|  | -50.3454 | in. |
|  | 32.3000 | in. |
| Jet 11 | -51.9826 | in. |
|  | -50.3454 | in. |
|  | 32.3000 | in. |
|  | 51.9826 | in. |
|  | -50.3454 | in. |

## 6. 5. 2 CM Mass Properties

5.3

At present, the entry simulation does not account for changes in CM mass properties due to RCS propellant usage or heat shield ablator loss. Hence, the mass, center of gravity, and inertia of the vehicle are assumed constant during the simulated entry at values corresponding to CM/SM separation. The center of gravity is expressed in the CM vehicle coordinate system. The moments and products of inertia are about the vehicle center of gravity and with respect to the $C M$ vehicle axes. Both the diagonal and offdiagonal elements of the inertia tensor are used as positive elements. Hence the numerical values of the off-diagonal elements reported below have signs opposite to those given in the referenced document.

| Mass |  | MASS | 12984 | lb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | $\mathrm{CG}_{0}$ | 41.7 | in. |
|  | Y |  | -0.4 | in. |
|  | Z |  | 5.6 | in. |
| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | INERTIA $_{0}$ | 6068.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{X Y}$ |  | -62.3 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 434.4 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\mathbf{Y X}}$ |  | -62. 3 | slug ft ${ }_{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 5431.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Y} Z}$ |  | -12.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {ZX }}$ |  | 434.4 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZY}}$ |  | -12.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 4883.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |


| Jet 9 | 32.3000 | in. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | 51.9826 | in. |
|  | -50.3454 | in. |
| Jet 10 | 32.3000 | in. |
|  | -51.9826 | in. |
|  | -50.3454 | in. |
|  | 32.3000 | in. |
|  | -51.9826 | in. |
|  | -50.3454 | in. |
|  | 32.3000 | in. |
|  | 51.9826 | in. |
|  | -50.3454 | in. |

### 6.5.2 CM Mass Properties

At present, the entry simulation does not account for changes in CM mass properties due to RCS propellant usage or heat shield ablator loss. Hence, the mass, center of gravity, and inertia of the vehicle are assumed constant during the simulated entry at values corresponding to $\mathrm{CM} / \mathrm{SM}$ separation. The center of gravity is expressed in the CM vehicle coordinate system. The moments and products of inertia are about the vehicle center of gravity and with respect to the CM vehicle axes. Both the diagonal and offdiagonal elements of the inertia tensor are used as positive elements. Hence the numerical values of the off-diagonal elements reported below have signs opposite to those given in the referenced document.

| Mass |  | MASS | 12215.8 | 1 b | 9/30/68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center of Gravity | X | $\mathrm{CG}_{0}$ | 40.7 | in. | 9/30/68 |
|  | Y |  | 0.0 | in. | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | Z |  | 5.9 | in. | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | INERTIA $_{0}$ | 5817.0 | $\text { slug } \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |  | -46.6 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ |  | 403.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YX}}$ |  | -46.6 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ |  | 4985.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ |  | -27.4 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ | $9 / 30 / 68$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {ZX }}$ |  | 403.0 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{Z Y}$ |  | -27.4 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ |  | 4506.0 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 9/30/68 |

$6-43 \mathrm{a}$

### 6.5.3 CM Reaction Control System Data

The individual RCS thruster is modelled as a constant thrust, constant specific impulse motor; values of thrust and specific impulse presented below correspond to the nominal vacuum performance of the basic thruster with no nozzle extension, i.e., prior to installation in the command module. The performance of an engine installed in the spacecraft will vary from location to location, depending upon the geometry of the nozzle extension for that particular location. The average increase in steady-state axial thrust and specific impulse from the addition of various nozzle extensions is also given below. It is noted that the addition of a nozzle extension will have no effect on the steady-state or pulse mode propellant flow rates or mixture ratios.

No provision is made in the entry simulation to account for the falloff of thrust with increasing atmospheric pressure. The buildup and tailoff of thrust are modelled by instantaneous changes in thrust, shifted in time from the electrical command. The shift is different for the application and removal of thrust. The amount of the shift is calculated to give the same total impulse during a transient firing as would be obtained from a real thruster.

| 6.5.3.1 Thrust | TH | 93.6 | lb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specific Impulse | RCISP | 272.1 | sec |
| Step delay in RCS thrust application from receipt of electrical command | RCONDEL | 0.012 | sec |
| Step delay in RCS thrust removal from receipt of electrical command | RCOFFDEL | 0.007 | sec |
| Minimum allowed RCS electrical pulse length | TMINPULS | 0.014 | sec |
| Thrust increase for pitch nozzle extension | A DTHRUST $_{P}$ | 2.1 | 1b |
| Thrust increase for yaw nozzle extension | A DTHRUST $_{Y}$ | 1.8 | lb |
| Thrust increase for long roll nozzle extension | A DTHRUST ${ }_{\text {LR }}$ | 0.6 | 1b |
| Thrust increase for short roll nozzle extension | A DTHRUST $_{\text {SR }}$ | 0.0 | lb |

Increase in specific
ADISP $_{P}$
6.12
sec
impulse for pitch nozzle extention

Increase in specific ADISP $_{Y}$
5.24
sec
impulse for yaw nozzle
extention
Increase in specific
ADISP $_{\text {LR }} \quad 1.75$ sec
impulse for long roll
nozzle extention
Increase in specific $\quad$ ADISP $_{\text {SR }} \quad 0.0 \quad \mathrm{sec}$
inpulse for short roll nozzle extention
6.5.3.2 The direction of the thrust vectors produced by individual RCS thrusters can be obtained from Fig. 4-20 of the cited reference which shows the orientation of the individual thrust chamber center lines.
6.5.3.3 The propellant usage of each RCS thruster is modelled by a constant mass flow rate when the jet is firing plus a propellant penalty for each jet firing. The mass flow rate is calculated as follows:

## RCMFLO $=\mathrm{TH} /($ RCISP GRAV)

where GRAV is acceleration of gravity ( $32.174048 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ).

Propellant penalty NFIRTOKG 0.003 lb per jet firing

### 6.5.4 CM Entry Aerodynamics

The CM lift-to-drag ratio (L/D) is not an explicit variable in the entry simulation; its value is implied, however, by the specification of a CM center of gravity location. Provision has been made to simulate the CM operating at an off-nominal L/D by varying the position of the $C G$ along the spacecraft $Z$ axis. $C G$ position along the $X$ and $Y$ axes are assumed to remain constant, and the desired $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{D}$ is converted into a corresponding Z coordinate using a set of curves (Fig. 6.2, Ref. 5.3) which are analytically represented in the simulation. It is further assumed that the CG can be varied without causing variations in the nominal mass and inertia properties
of the vehicle. Although this cannot be done in actuality, no method is presently available for determining the variations that would occur and so they have not been accounted for.

The aerodynamic forces and moments acting on the CM during entry are calculated from three aerodynamic coefficients;

## Force coefficient along <br> CA

the command module axis
Force coefficient normal to CN
the command module axis
Pitching moment coefficient CM
about the theoretical command module cone apex

Values of the aerodynamic coefficients are presented at three angles of attack for each of eleven Mach numbers. Values at other angles of attack and Mach numbers are found by a parabolic interpolation between the three tabulated angles and by a linear interpolation between the two nearest reference Mach numbers. The data has been corrected for an effective aft heat shield cant of 0.1365 degrees and centerline shift in the $+Z$ direction.
6.5.4.1

Lift-to-Drag Ratio
LDNOM
0.283
nd
5.3

| Reference Mach | MACHD $_{0}$ | 6.0 | nd | 5.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| numbers for |  | 3.0 | nd |  |
| interpolation | 2.4 | nd |  |  |
|  |  | 2.0 | nd |  |
|  | 1.65 | nd |  |  |
|  | 1.35 | nd |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | nd |  |  |
|  |  | 1.1 | nd |  |
| Reference angles of |  | 0.9 | nd |  |
| attack for interpolation |  | 0.7 | nd |  |
|  |  | 0.4 | nd |  |
|  |  | 140.1365 | deg | 5.3 |

of the vehicle. Although this cannot be done in actuality, no method is presently available for determining the variations that would oceur and so they have not been accounted for.

The aerodynamic forces and moments acting on the CM during entry are calculated from three aerodynamic coefficients;

Force coefficient along
CA
the command module axis
Force coefficient normal to CN
the command module axis
Pitching moment coefficient CM
about the theoretical command
module cone apex

Values of the aerodynamic coefficients are presented at three angles of attack for each of eleven Mach numbers. Values at other angles of attack and Mach numbers are found by a parabolic interpolation between the three tabulated angles and by a linear interpolation between the two nearest reference Mach numbers. The data has been corrected for an effective aft heat shield cant of 0.1365 degrees and centerline shift in the $+Z$ direction.
6.5.4.1

| Lift-to-Drag Ratio | LDNOM | 0.296 | nd $10 / 1 / 68$ | 5.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reference Mach | MACHD $_{0}$ | 6.0 | nd | 5.3 |
| numbers for |  | 3.0 | nd |  |
| interpolation |  | 2.4 | nd |  |
|  |  | 2.0 | nd |  |
|  | 1.65 | nd |  |  |
|  |  | 1.35 | nd |  |
|  |  | 1.2 | nd |  |
|  |  | 0.9 | nd |  |
| Reference angles of |  | 0.7 | nd |  |
| attack for interpolation |  |  | 0.4 | nd |
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| Moment reference center (CM theoretical apex) | $\mathrm{REF}_{0}$ | $\begin{gathered} 141.25 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | in. <br> in. <br> in. | 5.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference area for converting aerodynamic coefficients to forces and moments | AREA | 129.35 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 5.2 |
| Reference length for converting pitching moment coefficient to pitching moment | REFD | 154.0 | in. | 5.2 |
| 6.5.4.2 Tabulated Va | es of Aerod | Coeffici |  | 5.2 |
|  | ic Mach Ra |  |  |  |
| ALPHA $=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | -0.03 |  |  |
|  | CN | 0.16 |  |  |
|  | CA | -0.97 |  |  |
| ALPHA $=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | CN | 0.07 |  |  |
|  | CA | -1. 34 |  |  |
| ALPHA $=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | 0.01 |  |  |
|  | CN | -0.00 |  |  |
|  | CA | -1.4900 |  |  |
|  | Mach 3. |  |  |  |
| ALPHA $=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | -0.05 |  |  |
|  | CN | 0.18 |  |  |
|  | CA | -1.03 |  |  |
| ALPHA $=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | -0.00 |  |  |
|  | CN | 0.06 |  |  |
|  | CA | -1.38 |  |  |
| $A L P H A=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | CN | -0.00 |  |  |
|  | CA | -1.47 |  |  |


|  | Mach | 2.4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{ALPHA}=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | -0.0644 |
|  | CN |  | 0.1974 |
|  | CA |  | -1.0805 |
| ALPHA $=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0026 |
|  | CN |  | 0.0587 |
|  | CA |  | -1.4051 |
| $A L P H A=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0057 |
|  | CN |  | -0.0035 |
|  | CA |  | -1.4700 |
|  | Mach | 2.0 |  |
| $A L P H A=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | -0.0683 |
|  | CN |  | 0.2024 |
|  | CA |  | -1. 1055 |
| $\mathrm{ALPHA}=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0108 |
|  | CN |  | 0.0466 |
|  | CA |  | -1.4361 |
| ALPHA $=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0057 |
|  | CN |  | -0.0035 |
|  | CA |  | -1.4720 |
|  | Mach | 1.65 |  |
| $A L P H A=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | -0.0659 |
|  | CN |  | 0.2042 |
|  | CA |  | -1.1805 |
| $A L P H A=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0132 |
|  | CN |  | 0.0416 |
|  | CA |  | -1.4201 |
| $A L P H A=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0056 |
|  | CN |  | -0.0035 |
|  | CA |  | -1.4500 |
|  | Mach | 1.35 |  |
| ALPHA $=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | -0.0615 |
|  | CN |  | 0.2071 |
|  | CA |  | -1.2205 |


| $\mathrm{ALPHA}=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0177 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CN |  | 0.0367 |
|  | CA |  | -1. 4051 |
| $A L P H A=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0054 |
|  | CN |  | -0.0034 |
|  | CA |  | -1.4200 |
|  | Mach | 1.2 |  |
| $A L P H A=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | -0.0232 |
|  | CN |  | 0. 1542 |
|  | CA |  | -1.1614 |
| $\mathrm{ALPHA}=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0164 |
|  | CN |  | 0.0370 |
|  | CA |  | -1.2801 |
| ALPHA $=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0051 |
|  | CN |  | -0.0032 |
|  | CA |  | -1.3250 |
|  | Mach | 1.1 |  |
| $A L P H A=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | -0.0142 |
|  | CN |  | 0.1462 |
|  | CA |  | -1.1684 |
| ALPHA $=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0189 |
|  | CN |  | 0.0349 |
|  | CA |  | -1.2901 |
| $A L P H A=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0050 |
|  | CN |  | -0.0031 |
|  | CA |  | -1.3050 |
|  | Mach | 0.9 |  |
| $A L P H A=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0313 |
|  | CN |  | 0.0848 |
|  | CA |  | -0.9352 |
| $A L P H A=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0233 |
|  | CN |  | 0.0354 |
|  | CA |  | -1. 1051 |
| $A L P H A=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM |  | 0.0042 |
|  | CN |  | -0.0026 |
|  | CA |  | -1.0900 |


|  | Mach 0.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{ALPHA}=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | 0.0859 |  |
|  | CN | 0.0280 |  |
|  | CA | -0.8601 |  |
| $\mathrm{ALPHA}=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | 0.0304 |  |
|  | CN | 0.0276 |  |
|  | CA | -1.0001 |  |
| ALPHA $=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | 0.0038 |  |
|  | CN | -0.0023 |  |
|  | CA | -0.9800 |  |
|  | Mach 0.4 |  |  |
| $A L P H A=140.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | 0. 1049 |  |
|  | CN | -0.0118 |  |
|  | CA | -0.7400 |  |
| $\mathrm{ALPHA}=160.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | 0.1196 |  |
|  | CN | -0.0921 |  |
|  | CA | -0.8998 |  |
| $A L P H A=180.1365 \mathrm{deg}$ | CM | 0.0034 |  |
|  | CN | -0.0021 | - |
|  | CA | -0.8700 |  |

### 6.6 Digital Simulator VHF Range System

The VHF range unit provides a range measurement to the CMC. Associated with this data interface is a "DATA GOOD" status signal. This status signal is generated by the simulator whenever the range unit is in use and the range to the $L M$ is less than the specified limit given below. The issuance of this discrete by the simulator does not depend on the attitude of the CSM or LM.

| Maximum range at which <br> acquisition and tracking <br> can occur | MAXR | 370650 | m | 6.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Range scale factor RANGESC 0.053959261 bits $/ \mathrm{m}$ | 6.2 |  |  |  |

The VHF range unit provides a range measurement to the CMC. Associated with this data interface is a "DATA GOOD" status signal. This status signal is generated by the simulator whenever the range unit is in use and the range to the LM is less than the specified limit given below. The issuance of this discrete by the simulator does not depend on the attitude of the CSM or LM.

| Maximum range at which <br> acquisition and tracking <br> can occur | MAXR | 370650 | m | 6.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Range scale factor | RANGESC | 0.05399568 | bits $/ \mathrm{m}$ | $6.28 / 30 / 68$ |

### 6.7 Digital Simulator Coordinate Systems and Natural Environment Data

### 6.7.1 Reference Inertial Coordinate System

The reference inertial coordinate system used in verification of Apollo guidance computer programs is defined by the mean equator and mean equinox at the nearest beginning of a Besselian year. The rectangular coordinates are defined so that the $+X$ axis is in the direction of the mean equinox, the $+Z$ axis is along the mean rotational axis of the earth and the $Y$ axis completes the right-handed set.

The origin of the reference system is the center of mass of the earth.

### 6.7.2 Geodetic Coordinate System

7.1

Positions in the earth-fixed system are specified by geodetic latitude, referenced to the Fischer ellipsoid of 1960; longitude, measured positive east from the meridian of Greenwich and altitude, measured above the ellipsoid along the geocentric radius vector.

A cross-section of the Fischer ellipsoid containing the axis of symmetry has the equation

$$
\left(x^{2} / a^{2}\right)+\left(z^{2} / b^{2}\right)=1
$$

The equatorial radius of the earth

The flattening of the ellipsoid

The polar radius of the earth
a
$(a-b) / a$
b
6356784
6378166
-
$1 / 298.3$
m
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$
,
m
m

### 6.7.3 Local Horizontal Coordinate System

The system to which spacecraft attitude is referenced is the local horizontal coordinate system. It is defined in terms of the spacecraft position and velocity expressed in the reference inertial coordinate system such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{u}_{\mathrm{x}}=\underline{\underline{u}}_{\mathrm{y}} \times \underline{u}_{\mathrm{z}} \\
& \underline{u}_{\mathrm{y}}=\underline{v}_{\text {ref }} \times \underline{R}_{\text {ref }} /\left|\underline{\mathrm{V}}_{\text {ref }} \times \underline{R}_{\text {ref }}\right| \\
& \underline{u}_{\mathrm{z}}=-\underline{R}_{\text {ref }} /\left|\underline{R}_{\text {ref }}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Starting with the vehicle coordinate axes initially aligned with the local horizontal system, the application of the Euler rotations pitch, yaw and roll about the $Y, Z$ and $X$ vehicle axes in that order determines the vehicle attitude.

### 6.7.4 Earth Gravitational Model

The gravitational potential of the earth is assumed to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~L})= & (\mathrm{MU} / \mathrm{e})\left[1+(\mathrm{J} / 3)\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{r}\right)^{2}\left(1-3 \sin ^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)\right. \\
& +(\mathrm{H} / 5)\left(\mathrm{re}_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{r}\right)^{3}\left(3-5 \sin ^{2} \mathrm{~L}\right) \sin \mathrm{L} \\
& \left.+(\mathrm{K} / 35)\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{r}\right)^{4}\left(3-30 \sin ^{2} \mathrm{~L}+35 \sin ^{4} \mathrm{~L}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{e}$ is the equatorial earth (gravitational) radius of the Fischer ellipsoid.

The earth's gravitational $\quad \mathrm{MU}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad 3986032 \times 10^{8} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ parameter

Oblateness coefficients

| J | $162345 \times 10^{-8}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | $-575 \times 10^{-8}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| K | $7875 \times 10^{-9}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

The mass distribution of the earth is assumed symmetric about the rotational axis, hence there is no dependence on longitude in the potential equation.
6.7.5 Sun and Moon Gravitational Models
7.1

The acceleration due to the sun and moon is the difference between the gravitational field at the spacecraft and the field at the origin of the coordinate system. The acceleration of the spacecraft due to the earth, sun, and moon relative to an inertial system is

$$
\underline{a}_{\mathrm{v}}=\underline{\mathrm{g}}_{\mathrm{e}}+\left(\mathrm{MU}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{vs}}^{3}\right){\underset{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{vs}}}+\left(\mathrm{MU}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{vm}}^{3}\right) \underline{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{vm}}
$$

The acceleration of the earth is

$$
\underline{a}_{e}=\left(M U_{s} / r_{e s}^{3}\right){\underset{\mathrm{r}}{e s}}+\left(M U_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{em}}^{3}\right) \underline{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{em}}
$$

Thus the acceleration of the vehicle relative to the center of mass of the earth is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{a}_{e v}=g_{e} & +M U_{s}\left[\left(\underline{r}_{v s} / r_{v s}^{3}\right)-\left(\underline{r}_{e s} / r_{e s}^{3}\right)\right] \\
& \left.+M U_{m}\left[\underline{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{vm}} / r_{\mathrm{vm}}^{3}\right)-\left(\underline{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{em}} / \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{em}}^{3}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

| The sun's gravitational <br> parameter | $\mathrm{MU}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | $132715445 \times 10^{12}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The moon's gravitational <br> parameter | $\mathrm{MU}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $4902778 \times 10^{6}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ |

### 6.7.6 Atmospheric Model

The model of the earth's atmosphere is based on the 1962 U.S. Standard atmosphere; atmospheric perturbations due to winds are not included in the model. In the digital simulation, values of molecular scale temperature and density are tabulated for 14 reference altitudes ranging from 0 to 160,000 meters, and the temperature gradient between reference altitudes is also tabulated.

Using these reference data, atmospheric temperature T and density RHO at intermediate altitudes are calculated from the following equations:

Reference geopotentials and
altitudes

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\text { BOUND }_{0} & 160000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 150000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 120000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 110000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 100000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 90000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 79000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 61000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 52000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 47000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 32000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 20000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 11000 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 0 \mathrm{~m}
\end{array}
$$

Molecular scale temperatures at the reference altitudes

Temperature gradients between reference altitudes

Densities at the reference altitudes

| BTEMP $_{0}$ | 1110.65 | deg K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 960.65 | deg K |
|  | 360.65 | deg K |
|  | 260.65 | deg K |
|  | 210.65 | deg K |
|  | 180.65 | deg K |
|  | 180.65 | deg K |
|  | 252.65 | deg K |
|  | 270.65 | deg K |
|  | 270.65 | deg K |
|  | 228.65 | deg K |
|  | 216.65 | deg K |
|  | 216.65 | deg K |
|  | 288.15 | deg K |

TEMPGRAD $_{0} \quad 0.015$
0.020
0.010
0.005
0.003
0.0
$-0.004$
-0.002
0.0
0.0028
0.001
0.0
$-0.0065$
$\mathrm{BRHO}_{0}$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
1159 \times 10^{-12} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
1836 \times 10^{-12} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
2436 \times 10^{-11} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
9829 \times 10^{-11} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
4974 \times 10^{-10} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
3170 \times 10^{-9} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
2001 \times 10^{-8} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
25109 \times 10^{-8} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
75943 \times 10^{-8} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
14275 \times 10^{-7} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
13225 \times 10^{-6} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
88035 \times 10^{-6} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
36392 \times 10^{-5} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
12250 \times 10^{-4} & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}
\end{array}
$$

| Empirical constant used in atmospher equations | c EARTHRAD | $63550.00 \times 10^{2}$ | m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $A T M C=M_{\text {AIR }} \times \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{R}$ |  |  |
|  | SOUNDC $=$ GAMMA $\times \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{M}_{\text {AIR }}$ |  |  |
| Molecular weight of air | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {AIR }}$ | 28.9644 | n/a |
| Acceleration of gravity | G | 9.80665 | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ |
| Universal gas constant | R | 8314. 32 | $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{K}$ |
| Ratio of specific heats for air | GAMMA | 1.4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Case 1: $\quad \mathrm{H}<\mathrm{BOUND}_{5}(90,000 \mathrm{~m})$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{BOUND}_{\mathrm{N}}$ |  |  |  |
| If TEMPGRAD $_{\mathrm{N}-1}=0, \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{BTEMP}_{\mathrm{N}}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{RHO}=\mathrm{BRHO}_{\mathrm{N}} \exp \left(-\mathrm{ATMC}^{\left(\Delta H_{N}\right.} / \mathrm{BTEMP}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| If TEMPGRAD $_{\mathrm{N}-1} \neq 0, \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{BTEMP}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{TEMPGRAD}_{\mathrm{N}-1} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{RHO}=\mathrm{BRHO}_{\mathrm{N}} \exp \left\{\left(1+\mathrm{ATMC} /\right.\right.$ TEMPGRAD $\left._{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| $\times \ln \left[\operatorname{BTEMP}_{\mathrm{N}} /\left(\right.\right.$ BTEMP $_{\mathrm{N}}+$ TEMPGRAD $\left.\left.\left._{\mathrm{N}-1} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right]\right\}$ |  |  |  |

Case 2:

$$
\mathrm{H} \geq \mathrm{BOUND}_{5}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BOUND}_{\mathrm{N}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If TEMPGRAD }{ }_{N-1}=0, \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{BTEMP}_{\mathrm{N}} \\
& \qquad \mathrm{RHO}=\mathrm{BRHO}_{\mathrm{N}} \exp \left(-\mathrm{ATMC} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} / \mathrm{BTEMP}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { If TEMPGRAD }{ }_{\mathrm{N}-1} \neq 0, \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{BTEMP}_{\mathrm{N}}+\operatorname{TEMPGRAD}_{\mathrm{N}-1} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}
$$

$$
R_{0}=1 /\left(E A R T H R A D+\text { BOUND }_{N}-\text { BTEMP }_{N} / T E M P G R \wedge D_{N-1}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { BTEMP }_{\mathrm{N}} /\left(\mathrm{BTEMP}_{\mathrm{N}}+\text { TEMPGRAD }_{\mathrm{N}-1} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{R}_{2}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} /\left[(\text { EARTHRAD }+\mathrm{H})\left(\text { EARTHRAD }+ \text { BOUND }_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{RHO}=\mathrm{BRHO}_{\mathrm{N}} \exp \left\{A T M C \times E A R T H R A D{ }^{2} \times \mathrm{R}_{0}\right.
$$

$$
\times\left\{\mathrm{R}_{2}+\mathrm{R}_{0} \ln \left[\mathrm{R}_{1}\left(1+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} / \text { EARTHRAD }\right)\right]\right\} / \text { TEMGRAD }{ }_{\mathrm{N}-1}
$$

$$
\left.+\ell \ln _{1}\right\}
$$

Dynamic pressure $Q$ and Mach number $M$ can now be calculated as follows:

$$
\mathrm{Q}=0.5 \mathrm{RHO}\left|\mathrm{VAT}_{\mathrm{I}}\right|^{2}
$$

where VAT $_{I}$ is the velocity of the vehicle with respect to the atmosphere in the reference inertial coordinate system.

If $\mathrm{RHO} \neq 0$,

$$
\mathrm{M}=\left|\underline{V A T}_{\mathrm{I}}\right| /\left[\operatorname{SOUNDC}\left(\mathrm{BTEMP}_{\mathrm{N}}+\text { TEMPGRAD}_{\mathrm{N}-1} \times \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

If $\mathrm{RHO}=0, \quad \mathrm{M}=0$.
In the case that $H>$ BOUND $_{0}(160,000 \mathrm{~m})$, the simulation considers the vehicle to be "outside" the atmosphere, and $\operatorname{sets} Q$ and $M$ equal to zero.

## 6. 8 Hybrid Simulator Prelaunch Data

## Intentionally Blank

No prelaunch environment is simulated.

### 6.9 Hybrid Simulator Launch Vehicle Data

## Intentionally Blank

The launch vehicle is not simulated.

## 6. 10 Hybrid Simulator CSM and LM Spacecraft Data

### 6.10.1 CSM Reference Positions and Dimensions

The following $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z components describe positions in the Apollo Coordinate System. Inertias are about the center of gravity of each item.

| 6.10 .1 .1 CM Navigation Base | 1055.715 | in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0 | in. |
| 6.10.1.2 SPS Gimbal Ring | 40.914 | in. |
|  | 833.2 | in. |
|  | 0.0 | in. |
|  | 0.0 | in. |

### 6.10.1.3 SM RCS Positions and Orientation

The position vectors of the RCS jet thrust application points were modelled to have the same $X$ component and the quads to be the same distance from the spacecraft $X$ axis. Each quad is offset from the $Y$ and $Z$ axis and the jets are canted to reduce the thermal effects of the exhaust gas on the SM skin.

| X component of RCS position | 958.9 | in. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Distance from jet to X axis | 6.89 | ft |
| Offset | 7.25 | deg |
| Cant | 10 | deg |

6.10. 2 Vehicle Mass Properties

Mass properties are presented for each of three different vehicle configurations: the CSM-only, the CSM docked with the full LM Ascent-Descent Configuration, and the CSM docked with the empty LM Ascent Stage.

The center of gravity and moments of inertial of the vehicle are computed as functions of total vehicle mass using a least-squares curve fit on 82 fuel data points to generate two sets of polynominal coefficients, one for the storage tank and one for the sump tank.

The polynomials fitted to the curves are of the form

$$
P=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \quad a_{K} M^{K}
$$

where M is in slugs. The centers of gravity are measured in feet and the inertias in slugs $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

The principal moments of inertia are defined as
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}=\int\left(\mathrm{Y}^{2}+\mathrm{Z}^{2}\right) d m$
$I_{Y Y}=\int\left(X^{2}+z^{2}\right) d m$
$I_{Z Z}=\int\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right) d m$
and the products of inertia are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{XY}}=+\int \mathrm{XY} \mathrm{dm} \\
& \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{XZ}}=+\int \mathrm{XZ} \mathrm{dm} \\
& \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{YZ}}=+\int \mathrm{YZ} \mathrm{dm}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.10.2.1 The Empty CSM Configuration

The Empty CSM includes the SLA ring and RCS propellant.
Mass
751.88
slugs
10.2

Curve-Fit Coefficients for the Sump Tank
10.3

|  | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | . $974539438{ }^{2}$ | -. $288966331{ }^{-1}$ | . $112181054^{-4}$ |
| $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $-.162673947{ }^{1}$ | . $239141573^{-2}$ | $-.731027577^{-6}$ |
| $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ | . $130175554{ }^{1}$ | -. $110282091^{-2}$ | . $337119334^{-6}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {I }} \mathrm{XX}$ | . $164888331^{4}$ | . $164654595{ }^{2}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ | $-.725881195^{5}$ | . $217161712^{3}$ | $-.860099539^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{Z Z}$ | $-.861562667^{5}$ | $.233884920{ }^{3}$ | -. $865211357^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ | $.143099699{ }^{5}$ | -. $256770983^{2}$ | . $997922616^{-2}$ |
| $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ | $-.699385787^{4}$ | $.118178513^{2}$ | -. $458638456^{-2}$ |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{YZ}$ | $-.125587571{ }^{4}$ | $.111737291{ }^{1}$ | . $299136797^{-3}$ |
| Curve | Fit Coefficients for the | Storage Tank | 10.3 |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | . $101623351{ }^{3}$ | -. $258668285^{-1}$ | . $704619841^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ | . $356847596{ }^{1}$ | -. $426294439^{-4}$ | . $829889876^{-8}$ |
| $\mathrm{CG}_{Z}$ | -. $485198234^{1}$ | . $885097950{ }^{-3}$ | $-.184470384^{-6}$ |




## Curve-Fit Coefficients for the Storage Tank

|  | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | $.10852103^{3}$ | $-.28094546^{-1}$ | $.68026968^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ | .37312096 | $-.47401071^{-4}$ | $.8389768^{-7}$ |
| $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ | -.68268762 | $.9148723^{-3}$ | $-.17297510^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | $.149142449^{4}$ | $.167075445^{2}$ | $------\cdots-{ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ | $-.337703110^{6}$ | $.460629996^{3}$ | $-.110802143^{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ZZ}}$ | $-.306147195^{6}$ | $.447738505^{3}$ | $-.111047773^{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ | $-.356426251^{4}$ | $.153248911^{1}$ | $-.368334660^{-3}$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ | $.255052535^{5}$ | $-.271964172^{2}$ | $.661679522^{-2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ | $-.708545992^{4}$ | $.479540000^{1}$ | $.432501693^{-4}$ |

### 6.10.3 Service Module RCS Performance

6.10.3.1 The thrust from an RCS jet is displaced in time from the CMC on-off signal without thrust shaping. Propellant usage was treated as a constant-mass flow rate while the jet is firing, plus a dribble-volume penalty for each jet firing.

| RCS thrust | 100 | lb | 10.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Propellant flow rate | 0.001122 | $\mathrm{slugs} / \mathrm{sec}$ | 10.1 |
| Dribble penalty | 0.00010256 | slug | 10.4 |
| On delay | 0.012 | sec | 10.4 |
| Off delay | 0.007 | sec | 10.4 |

6.10.4 Service Propulsion System

### 6.10.4.1 SPS Thrust and Time Delays

The SPS engine was modelled as a constant thrust, constant propellant flow rate motor. The build-up and tail-off of thrust are treated as instantaneous changes of force shifted in time from the electrical command.

| Constant thrust 20 | $20,500 \mathrm{lb}$ | 10.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant propellant flow rate | 1.975 slugs/sec | 10.5 |
| SPS usable propellant | 1165.5 slugs | 10.3 |
| On-Off delays |  |  |
| $t$ is duration of electrical on command in seconds |  |  |
| $0<\mathrm{t}<0.40$ | no engine on | 10.9 |
| $0.40<t<1.00$ | $\Delta t_{\text {on }}=0.52$ | 10.9 |
|  | $\Delta t_{\text {off }}=26.475 t_{\text {on }}-6.590$ | 10.9 |
| . $1.00<t$ | $\Delta t_{\text {on }}=0.52$ | 10.8 |
|  | $\Delta t_{\text {off }}=0.49$ | 10.8 |

### 6.10.4.2 SPS Actuator Dynamics

The SPS engine angular position is controlled by closed-loop servomechanisms in pitch and yaw. All applicable dynamics have been included for the amplifier, clutch, motor, and feedback loops.

|  |  | Pitch | Yaw |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amplifier gain | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {A }}$ | 20 | 20 | amps/rad | 10.6 |
| Current limit |  | 士. 343 | $\pm .343$ | amps | 10.6 |
| Clutch gain | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | 3.5 | 3.5 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{mA}$ | 10.6 |
| time constant | $\tau_{1}$ | . 0268 | . 0268 | sec | 10.6 |
| time constant | $\tau_{2}$ | . 0326 | . 0326 | sec | 10.6 |
| time constant | $\tau_{3}$ | . 0038 | . 0038 | sec | 10.6 |
| Inertia | J | 304 | 313 | slugs/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 10,6 |
| Rate damping feedback gain | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{RD}}$ | 1000 | 1000 | 1 b sec | 10.6 |



| Snubber spring constant | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | $6.72 \times 10^{6}$ | $7.02 \times 10^{6}$ | lb/rad | 10.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snubber spring position limit | $\ell_{P}$ | . 0785 | . 0785 | rad | 10.6 |
| Actuator lever arm | R | 1 | 1 | ft | 10.6 |
| Rate feedback gain | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{R}}$ | . 0943 | . 0985 | sec | 10.6 |
| time constant | $\tau_{4}$ | . 00151 | . 00151 | sec | 10.6 |
| Position feedback gain | $K_{P}$ | 1 | 1 | nd | 10.6 |
| time constant | $\tau_{5}$ | . 00794 | . 00794 | sec | 10.6 |
| Null bias | $\delta_{\text {bias }}$ | -2. 15 | 0.95 | deg | 10.1 |
| Motor lag-time constant | $\tau_{6}$ | . 1 | . 1 | sec |  |
| Motor gain | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | $1.02 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.02 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}}{\mathrm{ft} 1 \mathrm{~b}}$ |  |
| Motor no-load speed | ${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{NL}$ | . 212 | . 206 | rad/sec |  |
| Motor rate limit constant | RL | $1.68 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.754 \times 10^{6}$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |

### 6.10.5 Spacecraft Bending with LM attached

With the LM attached, the combined vehicle will have 3 degrees of bending. Bending parameters were determined to have a constant value equal to the average between the full and half-full vehicle; this represents the range of values expected for Mission D.

Values given are the $X, Y, Z$ components of the indicated mode at a particular station. Because of the coupling of the Modes, the damping rations were made the same.

| $\omega_{1}=13.5 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\zeta=$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\phi_{1}(\mathrm{XA})$ | -.058 | -.0234 | -.020 | nd |
| $\phi_{1}(\mathrm{XB})$ | .018 | -.017 | -.029 | nd |
| $\phi_{1}(\mathrm{XC})$ | .050 | -.007 | -.022 | nd |
| $\phi_{1}(\mathrm{XD})$ | -.025 | -.013 | -.013 | nd |



### 6.10.6 Propellant Slosh

Slosh motion of the propellant in the SPS tanks is simulated during SPS thrusting as a linear spring mass system that can be characterized by a sloshing mass (constant for each tank), a slosh frequency (a function of mass for each tank), and an attachement point (a function of propellant mass). Sloshing can occur in the two storage tanks (full sump tanks) or in the two sump tanks (empty storage tanks).

In addition, when the LM is attached, the LM propellant will slosh when the SPS engine is on. The LM slosh parameters are held fixed.

| 6.10.6.1 CSM Slosh Data |  |  |  |  | 10.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The total vehicle mass in slugs is represented by M. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Storage | Sump |  |  |
| Slosh frequency | squared | $21500 / \mathrm{M}$ | 19000/M | $\mathrm{rad}^{2} / \mathrm{slugs}{ }^{2}$ |  |
| Oxidizer slosh | mass | 24.0 | 35.0 | slugs |  |
| Fuel slosh mas |  | 15.0 | 22.0 | slugs |  |
| Fuel tank | Y coordinate | -1.233 | -4.025 | ft |  |
| Fuel tank | Z. coordinate | -3.983 | -0.55 | ft |  |
| Oxidizer $\operatorname{tank}$ | Y coordinate | 1. 233 | 4.025 | ft |  |
| Oxidizer tank | Z coordinate | 3.983 | 0.55 | ft |  |
| Fuel spring con | stant | $323000 / \mathrm{M}$ | 418000/M | lb/ft |  |
| Oxidizer spring | constant | $516000 / \mathrm{M}$ | 665000/M | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ |  |
| Damping ratio |  | 0.0007 | 0.0007 | nd |  |
| 6.10.6.2 LM | losh Data |  |  |  | 10.7 |
| Slosh frequency |  | 3.7 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |  |  |
| Damping ratio |  | 0.005 | nd |  |  |
| Fuel slosh mas |  | 11.4 | slugs |  |  |


| Oxidizer slosh mass | 19.0 | slugs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fuel spring constant | 156.0 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Oxidizer spring constant | 260.0 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Slosh moment arm | 13.0 | ft |

Oxidizer slosh mass

Slosh moment arm
13.0
t

## 6. 11 Hybrid Simulator CM Spacecraft Data

| 6.11.1 CM Mass Properties <br> The mass properties are assumed to be consta |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| separation prior to reentry and mass is not decremented d or ablative heat shield loss. |  |  |  |
| Mass |  | 409.12 | slugs |
| Center of Gravity | $\mathrm{X}_{\text {CG }}$ | 1045.1 | in. |
|  | $Y_{C G}$ | -0. 4 | in. |
|  | $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {CG }}$ | 6.0 | in. |
| Inertia | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{XX}}$ | 6427 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{YY}}$ | 5866 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {ZZ }}$ | 5149 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ | 59.5 | slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{XZ}}$ | -461.1 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | ${ }^{\text {J }}$ YZ | 21.7 | slug ft ${ }^{2}$ |

### 6.11.2 CM RCS Data

11.2

The thrust from a RCS jet is displaced in time from the AGC on-off command without thrust shaping or atmospheric effects. The acceleration of the spacecraft due to jet firing is neglected.

Thrust
93.6
lb

| Propellant flow rate | 0.001122 slug/sec |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dribble penalty | 0.00010256 slug |

The $X, Y$, and $Z$ components of the moment arm of each jet are given in feet.

| Jet | X | Y | Z |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | -.27161 | 4.77114 | .35428 |
| 2 | .36075 | -3.33500 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 5.75962 | -.30553 |
| 4 | -.29408 | -3.33500 | 0 |


| Jet | X | Y | Z |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 5 | -.33897 | .69558 | 5.41832 |
| 6 | .34175 | .69558 | -5.36956 |
| 7 | -.34175 | 0 | 5.41832 |
| 8 | .33898 | 0 | -5.36956 |
| 9 | 5.14500 | 1.04895 | -.19362 |
| 10 | -5.07944 | 1.04895 | .19362 |
| 11 | 4.51783 | 0 | -1.06579 |
| 12 | -4.86809 | 0 | 1.06579 |

### 6.11.3 CM Entry Aerodynamics

11.2

The aerodynamic forces and moments acting on the spacecraft during reentry are calculated from three aerodynamic coefficients: CA ( $\alpha, \mathrm{M}$ ), the axial-force coefficient; $\mathrm{CN}(\alpha, \mathrm{M})$, the normal-force coefficient; and $\mathrm{CM}(\alpha, \mathrm{M})$, the pitching-moment coefficient about the theoretical $C M$ cone apex. These are computed using a linear bivariate interpolation scheme as a function of angle of attack $\alpha$ and Mach number M.

| Moment reference center | 1141.25 | in. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0 | in. |
|  | 0.0 | in. |
| Reference area | 129.35 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Reference length | 154.0 | in. |



|  |  | CN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{M}\langle\alpha$ | 145.1365 | 150.1365 | 155.1365 | 160.1365 | 165.1365 | 170.1365 | 175.1365 | 180.1365 |
|  | . 7 | . 0178 | . 0227 | . 0327 | . 0276 | . 0076 | -. 0224 | -. 0274 | -. 0023 |
|  | . 9 | . 0756 | . 0675 | . 0524 | . 0354 | . 0173 | -. 0027 | -. 0126 | -. 0026 |
|  | 1.1 | . 0871 | . 0650 | . 0490 | . 0349 | . 0219 | . 0019 | -. 0131 | -. 0031 |
|  | 1.2 | . 0871 | . 0621 | . 0520 | . 0370 | . 0219 | . 0069 | -. 0011 | -. 0032 |
|  | 1.35 | . 1319 | . 0717 | . 0517 | . 0367 | . 0216 | . 0096 | . 0066 | $-.0034$ |
| $\stackrel{\square}{ \pm}$ | 1.65 | . 1440 | . 1018 | . 0667 | . 0416 | . 0246 | . 0126 | . 0026 | -. 0035 |
|  | 2.0 | . 1591 | . 1218 | . 0847 | . 0466 | . 0185 | . 0065 | -. 0015 | -. 0035 |
|  | 2.4 | . 1592 | . 1220 | . 0868 | . 0587 | . 0366 | . 0185 | . 0065 | -. 0035 |
|  | 3.0 | . 1573 | . 1221 | . 0949 | . 0697 | . 0476 | . 0315 | . 0145 | -. 0035 |
|  | 4.0 | . 1464 | . 1202 | . 0930 | . 0693 | . 0479 | . 0291 | . 0116 | -. 0035 |
|  | $6.0+$ | . 1424 | . 1202 | . 0960 | . 0748 | . 0526 | . 0305 | . 0115 | -. 0035 |


| CM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 145.1365 | 150.1365 | 155.1365 | 160.1365 | 165.1365 | 170.1365 | 175.1365 | 180.1365 |
|  | . 7 | . 0834 | . 0603 | . 0385 | . 0304 | . 0333 | . 0419 | . 0327 | . 0038 |
|  | . 9 | . 0245 | . 0210 | . 0212 | . 0233 | . 0246 | . 0271 | . 0171 | . 0042 |
|  | 1.1 | . 0160 | . 0161 | . 0170 | . 0189 | . 0178 | . 0212 | . 0155 | . 0050 |
| os | 1.2 | . 0149 | . 0185 | . 0147 | . 0164 | . 0175 | . 0184 | . 0154 | . 0051 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { c) }}$ | 1. 35 | -. 0177 | . 0149 | . 0181 | . 0177 | . 0184 | . 0170 | . 0094 | . 0054 |
|  | 1.65 | -. 0294 | -. 0075 | . 0061 | . 0132 | . 0146 | . 0135 | . 0110 | . 0056 |
|  | 2.0 | -. 0424 | -. 0223 | -. 0050 | . 0108 | . 0197 | . 0188 | . 0135 | . 0057 |
|  | 2.4 | -. 0433 | -. 0237 | -. 0067 | . 0026 | . 0079 | . 0088 | . 0072 | . 0057 |
|  | 3.0 | -. 0416 | -. 0243 | -. 0126 | -. 0036 | . 0006 | . 0010 | . 0025 | . 0057 |
|  | 4.0 | -. 0319 | -0. 209 | -. 0090 | . 0023 | . 0013 | . 0037 | . 0033 | . 0057 |
|  | $6.0+$ | -. 0194 | -. 0103 | -. 0016 | . 0033 | . 0003 | . 0114 | . 0129 | . 0137 |

6. 12 Hybrid Simulation Coordinate Systems and Natural Environment Data

### 6.12.1 Reference Coordinate System

The reference inertial coordinate system is defined in Section 6.7.
All spacecraft state vectors and attitudes are referenced to this coordinate system.
6.12.2 Earth Gravitation Model

Accelerations due to the gravitational attraction of the earth were computed from

$$
\underline{a}_{E}=\frac{\mu_{E}}{r^{2}} \quad \sum_{i=2}^{4} J_{i E}\left(\frac{r_{E}}{r}\right)^{i}\left[P_{i+1}^{\prime}(\cos \phi) \underline{u}_{R}-P_{i}^{\prime}(\cos \phi) \underline{u}_{Z}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r_{E}=6378165 \mathrm{~m} & \text { equatorial radius of the earth } \\
\mu_{E}=0.3986032 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{3} / \mathrm{S}^{2} & \text { gravitational parameter } \\
J_{2 \mathrm{E}}=0.10823 \times 10^{-2} & \text { oblateness coefficients } \\
J_{3 E}=-0.23 \times 10^{-5} & \\
J_{4 E}=-0.18 \times 10^{-5} & \\
r_{\text {is length of position vector }} & \\
\underline{u}_{R} \text { is the unit position vector } \\
\underline{u}_{Z} \text { is unit vector along the polar axis } \\
P_{2}^{\prime}(\cos \phi)=3 \cos \phi \\
P_{3}^{\prime}(\cos \phi)=1 / 2\left(15 \cos { }^{2} \phi-3\right) & \\
P_{4}^{\prime}(\cos \phi)=1 / 3\left(7 \cos \phi P_{3}^{\prime}-4 P_{2}^{\prime}\right) & \\
P_{5}^{\prime}(\cos \phi)=1 / 4\left(9 \cos \phi P_{4}^{\prime}-5 P_{3}^{\prime}\right) \\
\cos \phi=\underline{u} \underline{R}_{Z}
\end{array}
$$

### 6.12.3 Moon Gravitation Model

When the moon is the primary body, no influence of a secondary body is considered. The gravitational accelerations due to the primary body are computed from:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{a}_{M}=\frac{\mu_{M}}{r^{2}}\left\{J_{2 M}\left(\frac{r_{M}}{r}\right)^{2}\left[P_{3}^{\prime}(\cos \phi) \underline{u}_{r}-P_{2}^{\prime}(\cos \phi) \underline{u}_{z}\right]\right. \\
& +3 J_{22 M}\left(\frac{r_{M}}{r}\right)^{2}\left[4 \frac{X_{M} Y_{M}}{X_{M}^{2}+Y_{M}^{2}} \quad\left(\underline{u}_{r} \times \underline{u}_{z}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{X_{M}^{2}-Y_{M}^{2}}{X_{M}^{2}+Y_{M}^{2}}\left(\left(5 \cos ^{2} \phi-3\right) \underline{u}_{r}-2 \cos \phi \underline{u}_{z}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r_{M}=1738090 \mathrm{~m} & \text { equatorial radius of the moon } \\
\mu_{\mathrm{M}}=0.4902778 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{M}^{3} / \mathrm{S}^{2} & \text { gravitational parameter } \\
\mathrm{J}_{2 \mathrm{M}}=0.207108 \times 10^{-3} & \text { oblateness coefficient } \\
\mathrm{J}_{22 \mathrm{M}}=0.207160 \times 10^{-4} & \\
\mathrm{r} \text { is length of position vector }\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{M}}\right\} \\
\underline{u}_{\mathrm{r}}, \underline{u}_{\mathrm{z}}, P_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}, \cos \phi \text { defined analogous to the earth. }
\end{array}
$$

6.12.4 Atmospheric Model

The atmospheric model is the 1962 U. S. Standard atmosphere; atmospheric perturbations due to winds are not included in the model. The model is described in Section 6.7.

When the LM is present and within 327 miles of the CSM, range data is transmitted from a VHF simulator to the CMC.

The simulator is a 15 -bit shift register, preset during the simulator statevector update calculation once-a-second, asynchronous to the CMC.

Each bit represents 0.01 mile.
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