

country in all its past antidumping investigations. *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Folding Gift Boxes from the People's Republic of China*, 66 FR 58115 (November 20, 2001); *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the People's Republic of China*, 67 FR 62107 (October 3, 2002). A designation as an NME country remains in effect until it is revoked by the Department. *See* section 771(18)(C) of the Act. The respondents in this investigation have not requested a revocation of the PRC's NME status. Therefore, we have continued to treat the PRC as a NME in this investigation. For further details, see the *Preliminary Determination*.

Separate Rates

In our *Preliminary Determination*, we found that forty-five companies met the criteria for the application of separate, company-specific antidumping duty rates. We have not received any other information since the *Preliminary Determination* which would warrant reconsideration of our separate rates determination with respect to these companies. For a complete discussion of the Department's determination that the respondents are entitled to a separate rate, see the *Preliminary Determination*.

The PRC-Wide Rate

In the *Preliminary Determination*, we found that the use of adverse facts available for the PRC-wide rate was appropriate for other exporters in the PRC based on our presumption that those respondents who failed to demonstrate entitlement to a separate rate constitute a single enterprise under common control by the Chinese government. The PRC-wide rate applies to all entries of the merchandise under investigation except for entries from the three mandatory respondents and the respondents that are entitled to a separate rate.

When analyzing the petition for purposes of the initiation, the Department reviewed all of the data upon which the petitioner relied in calculating the estimated dumping margin and determined that the margin in the petition was appropriately calculated and supported by adequate evidence in accordance with the statutory requirements for initiation. In order to corroborate the petition margin for purposes of using it as adverse facts available, we examined the price and cost information provided in the petition in the context of our preliminary determination. For further details, see Memorandum from David Salkeld, Case Analyst, to Melissa G. Skinner, Office Director, "Corroboration of Secondary Information," dated October 1, 2002.

Since the *Preliminary Determination*, we have received comments from the petitioner, which are discussed in the accompanying Decision Memorandum at Comment 5. The Department has continued to use the PRC-wide rate calculation methodology it employed for the *Preliminary Determination*, and the PRC-wide rate is, for the final determination, 59.30 percent.

Surrogate Country

For purposes of the final determination, we continue to find that India remains the appropriate surrogate country for the PRC. For further discussion and analysis regarding the surrogate country selection for the PRC, see the *Preliminary Determination*.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we verified the information submitted by the respondent for use in our final determination. We used standard verification procedures including examination of relevant accounting and production records, and original source documents provided by the respondents. For changes from the *Preliminary Determination* as a result of verification, see the "Changes Since the

Preliminary Determination" section below.

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our findings at verification and on our analysis of the comments received, we have made adjustments to the calculation methodologies used in the preliminary determination. These adjustments are discussed in detail in the (1) Decision Memorandum, (2) Memorandum from the Team to the File, "Final Factors of Production Valuation Memorandum," dated February 27, 2003, and (3) Memorandum from the Team to the File, "Calculation Memorandum for the Final Determination," dated February 27, 2003.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act, we are directing Customs to continue suspension liquidation of entries of subject merchandise from the PRC, except for merchandise produced and exported by Cixing, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after October 15, 2002 (the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**). We will instruct Customs to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price, as indicated in the chart below. Merchandise produced and exported by Cixing will be excluded from any antidumping duty order, if issued. These suspension-of-liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

Final Determination of Investigation

We determine that the following weighted-average percentage margins exist for the period July 1, 2001, through December 31, 2001:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Zhejiang Xinchang Peer Bearing Company Ltd	8.33
Wanxiang Group Corporation	7.22
Ningbo Cixing Group Corp	10.59
B&R Bearing Co	7.80
Changshan Import & Export Company, Ltd	7.80
Changzhou Daya Import and Export Corporation Limited	7.80
China Huanchi Bearing Group Corp. and Ningbo Huanchi Import & Export Co. Ltd	7.80
China National Automobile Industry Guizhou Import & Export Corp	7.80
China National Machinery & Equipment Import & Export Wuxi Co., Ltd	7.80
Chongqing Changjiang Bearing Industrial Corporation	7.80
CSC Bearing Company Limited	7.80
Dongguan TR Bearing Corporation, Ltd	7.80