

margins for the reviewed sales by the total entered value of those reviewed sales for each importer. We will direct the Customs Service to assess the resulting percentage margin against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise on each of that importer's entries during the review period. See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

Cash-Deposit Requirements

To calculate the cash-deposit rate for each respondent (*i.e.*, each exporter and/or manufacturer included in this review), we divided the total dumping margins for each company by the total net value for that company's sales of merchandise during the review period.

In order to derive a single weighted-average margin for each respondent, we weight-averaged the export-price and CEP deposit rates (using the export price and CEP, respectively, as the weighting factors). To accomplish this when we sampled CEP sales, we first calculated the total dumping margins for all CEP sales during the review period by multiplying the sample CEP margins by the ratio of total days in the review period to days in the sample weeks. We then calculated a total net value for all CEP sales during the review period by multiplying the sample CEP total net value by the same ratio. Finally, we divided the combined total dumping margins for both export-price and CEP sales by the combined total value for both export-price and CEP sales to obtain the deposit rate.

Entries of parts incorporated into finished bearings before sales to an unaffiliated customer in the United States will receive the respondent's deposit rate applicable to the order.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of ball bearings from Japan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash-deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates established in the final results of review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash-deposit rate for all other manufacturers or

exporters will continue to be 45.83 percent, the "All Others" rate made effective by the final results of review published on July 26, 1993. See *Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, et al; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Revocation in Part of an Antidumping Duty Order*, 58 FR 39729.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 3, 2003.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-5635 Filed 3-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results and partial rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 10, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Helen Kramer at (202) 482-0405 (Snowdrop Trading, Pvt. Ltd.), Shireen Pasha at (202) 482-0193 (Echjay Forgings Ltd./Pushpaman Exports), or Dena Aliadinov at (202) 482-3362 (Viraj Forgings, Ltd.), Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges from India ("SS flanges") manufactured/exported by Echjay Forgings Pvt. Ltd. ("Echjay") and Viraj Forgings Ltd. ("Viraj"), and exported by Snowdrop Trading Pvt. Ltd. ("Snowdrop"). The period of review (POR) covers the period February 1, 2001, through January 31, 2002. We have preliminarily determined, based in part on adverse facts available, that Echjay sold subject merchandise at less than normal value ("NV") and that Viraj had a *de minimis* margin. Lastly, we have preliminarily determined to apply a facts available ("FA") rate to Snowdrop's sale. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise for which the importer-specific assessment rates are above *de minimis*. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. We request parties who submit argument in these proceedings to submit with the argument: (1) a statement of the issues and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 9, 1994, the Department published the antidumping duty order on SS flanges (59 FR 5994). On February 1, 2002, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review for this order covering the period February 1, 2001, through January 31, 2002 (67 FR 4945). On February 28, 2002, Snowdrop and Metal Forgings Rings & Bearings Pvt. Ltd. ("MF") requested review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), and the petitioners requested review of Bhansali Ferromet Pvt. Ltd. ("Bhansali"), Echjay, Isibars Ltd., Panchmahal Steel Ltd. ("Panchmahal"), Patheja Forgings and Auto Parts, Ltd. ("Patheja"), and Viraj under 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1). The petitioners are the Coalition Against Indian Flanges (Ideal Forging Corporation and Maass Flange Corporation). They have not participated further in this review. The Department initiated these reviews on March 27, 2002 (see Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part, 67 FR 14696). The Department rescinded the review of Isibars on December 6, 2002, after