

Thomas Futtner at (202) 482-3814, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

## Time Limits

### Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

### Background

On August 27, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Silicon Metal from Brazil, covering the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 67 FR 55000, 55001 (August 27, 2002). The preliminary results are currently due no later than April 2, 2003.

### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than July 22, 2003. *See* Decision Memorandum from Holly A. Kuga, Senior Office Director, to Bernard T. Carreau, Deputy Assistant Secretary, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: March 4, 2003.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.*

[FR Doc. 03-5776 Filed 3-10-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**[A-423-808, A-122-830, A-475-822, A-580-831, A-791-805, A-583-830]**

### Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amended antidumping duty orders.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 11, 2003.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Bolling at (202) 482-3434 or Robert James at (202) 482-0649, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

### Background

On May 21, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty orders on certain stainless steel plate in coils (stainless steel plate) from Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan. *See Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 64 FR 27756 (May 21, 1999) (*Antidumping Duty Orders*).

Respondents appealed the affirmative material injury findings of the International Trade Commission (the Commission) with respect to hot-rolled stainless steel plate. The Court of International Trade (the Court) affirmed those findings in *Acciai Speciali Terni v. United States*, 118 F. Supp. 2d 1298 (CIT 2000).

The Commission's negative material injury determination with respect to cold-rolled stainless steel plate was the subject of a separate appeal. The Court upheld the Commission's determination in *Allegheny Ludlum Corp. v. United States*, 116 F. Supp. 2d 1276 (CIT 2000). However, on a subsequent appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the Federal Circuit vacated the Court's

decision and remanded for proceedings not inconsistent with its decision.

On remand the Commission reversed its original negative injury findings with respect to cold-rolled stainless steel plate and "determined that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of certain stainless steel plate from Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa and Taiwan \* \* \*" *Certain Stainless Steel Plate From Belgium, Canada, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan; Notice of Final Court Decision Affirming Remand Determinations*, 68 FR 8925 (February 26, 2003). On December 12, 2002, the Court affirmed the remand redetermination as "being in accordance with the Court's remand order." *Id.* at 8926. The result of this decision is to include both hot-rolled and cold-rolled stainless steel plate in coils within the scope of these orders.

As there was no timely appeal of the Court's order to the Federal Circuit, the judicial proceedings have ended. Therefore, we are amending the scope of the antidumping duty orders to remove the original language which excluded cold-rolled stainless steel plate in coils, in accordance with the Court's final decision. *See Antidumping Duty Orders*. This amendment did not require any changes in the HTS subheadings listed below in the "Scope of the Orders" section.

### Scope of the Orders

The product covered by these orders is certain stainless steel plate in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject plate may also be further processed (e.g., cold-rolled, polished, etc.) provided that it maintains the specified dimensions of plate following such processing. Excluded from the scope of these orders are the following: (1) Plate not in coils, (2) plate that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled, (3) sheet and strip, and (4) flat bars.

The merchandise subject to this review is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) at subheadings: 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.05, 7219.12.00.20, 7219.12.00.25, 7219.12.00.50, 7219.12.00.55, 7219.12.00.65, 7219.12.00.70, 7219.12.00.80,