

the COS Agreements. Applicants further request that the Commission waive any and all applicable Commission regulations necessary to grant Applicants' requests.

Applicants state that they have provided a copy of this filing, and has also provided courtesy copies to the affected state regulatory authorities, counsel to the NEPOOL Participants Committee, and the NEPOOL Participants identified in their filing.

Comment Date: March 12, 2003.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-5703 Filed 3-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC03-61-000, et al.]

Black Hills Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

March 3, 2003.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Black Hills Corporation

[Docket No. EC03-61-000]

Take notice that on February 26, 2003, Black Hills Corporation (Black Hills) filed an application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act, requesting authorization to implement a plan of corporate restructuring.

Comment Date: March 19, 2003.

2. Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, and Riverside, California and City of Vernon, California v. California Independent System Operator Corporation

[Docket No. EL03-54-000]

Take notice that on February 26, 2003, the Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, and Riverside, California (Southern Cities) and the City of Vernon, California (Vernon) filed a Petition for Review of Arbitrator's Award, pursuant to Rule 207 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.207, and section 13.4 of the California Independent System Operation Corporation's (ISO) Tariff. The petition states that the Southern Cities and Vernon are requesting review of the Arbitrator's "Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law" issued on February 7, 2003, in American Arbitration Association (AAA) Case No. 71 198 00758 00 as well as the original May 1, 2002 award of the arbitrator in that case.

The Southern Cities and Vernon state that their filing has been served upon all parties to the arbitration and the Arbitrator through his designated representative at the AAA.

Comment Date: March 29, 2003.

3. Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., Long Island Lighting Company, New York State Electric & Gas Corporation, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc., Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation and New York Power Pool

[Docket No. ER97-1523-073]

Take notice that on February 26, 2003, the New York Independent System Operator, Inc. (NYISO) supplied information in response to an inquiry from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) staff to assist the Commission in responding to a remand from the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit relating to marginal losses.

The NYISO states that copies of this filing have been served on all parties in the above-referenced docket number.

Comment Date: March 19, 2003.

4. Reliant Energy Seward, LLC

[Docket No. ER01-3035-002]

Take notice that on February 27, 2003, Reliant Energy Seward, LLC (Seward) filed a letter requesting an amendment to the effective date of Seward's FERC Electric Tariff in Docket No. ER01-3035-001. Seward requests an effective date of March 1, 2003.

Comment Date: March 20, 2003.

5. The Clark Fork and Blackfoot, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER02-2569-002]

Take notice that on February 27, 2003, The Clark Fork and Blackfoot, L.L.C. (TCFB) tendered for filing revisions to its application for an order accepting its FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1, granting certain blanket approvals, including the authority to sell electricity, capacity, and ancillary services at market-based rates, and waiving certain regulations of the Commission.

Comment Date: March 20, 2003.

6. Duke Energy Corporation

[Docket No. ER03-359-001]

Take notice that on February 27, 2003, Duke Energy Corporation, on behalf of Duke Electric Transmission, (collectively, Duke) in compliance with the February 12, 2003 Letter Order issued by the Director, Division of Tariffs and Market Development—South, tendered for filing Duke's First Revised Rate Schedule No. 10-A designated consistent with Order No. 614, Designation of Electric Rate Schedule Sheets, FERC Stats. & Regs. Preambles-31,096.

Comment Date: March 20, 2003.