

water districts, and individuals that use Colorado River water.

Frequency: Annually, or otherwise as determined by the Secretary of the Interior.

Estimated total number of respondents: 54.

Estimated hours per form:

LC-72: 54 hours.

LC72A: 30 hours.

LC72B: 78 hours.

Custom forms: 128 hours.

Estimated total burden hours: 290.

Dated: February 25, 2003.

Jayne Harkins,

Area Manager, Boulder Canyon Operations Office, Lower Colorado Region.

[FR Doc. 03-6457 Filed 3-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—J Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on February 25, 2003, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), J Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Azarkhish, Tehran, IRAN; Stephen Cory (individual member), Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM; Mahaanta, Karnataka, INDIA; and Becca Matthews (individual member), Amarillo, TX have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and J Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On August 6, 1999, J Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 21, 2000 (65 FR 15175).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 28, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal**

Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 6, 2002 (67 FR 67648).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 03-6389 Filed 3-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,824]

Fort Dearborn Company, Coldwater, MI; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter dated July 11, 2002, an employee on behalf of petitioners requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on June 11, 2002, based on the finding that imports of paper labels used in the food and beverage industry did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the Coldwater plant. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 24, 2002 (67 FR 42583).

During the period that the Department was reviewing allegations made in the request for reconsideration, a petition on behalf of the same subject firm workers for NAFTA-Transitional Adjustment Assistance was certified on the basis of increased customer imports (NAFTA-6425) for the same worker group and the same time period as that which was established in the trade adjustment assistance petition. Therefore, workers of Fort Dearborn Company, Coldwater, Michigan meet criterion (3) of section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Fort Dearborn Company, Coldwater, Michigan, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Fort Dearborn Company, Coldwater, Michigan, who became totally or

partially separated from employment on or after January 8, 2001, through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 19th day of February, 2003.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-6403 Filed 3-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,368]

Komtek, Worcester, MA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of December 1, 2002, the United Steelworkers of America, District #4, Local Union No. 2936, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on November 1, 2002 and published in the **Federal Register** on November 22, 2002 (67 FR 70460).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances: (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous; (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Komtek, Worcester, Massachusetts engaged in the production of forged aerospace products (such as fuel combustion swirlers, fuel nozzles, blades, vanes, and fittings) and medical devices, was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's customers. The Department conducted a survey of the subject firm's major customers regarding their purchases of forged aerospace products and medical devices in 2000, 2001 and January through August 2002.