

developed in accordance with (1) the 1989 edition of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, section XI, appendix G, (2) 10 CFR part 50, appendix G, and (3) ASME Code Case N-640, "Alternative Reference Fracture Toughness for Development of P-T Limit Curves."

Date of issuance: February 24, 2003.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days.

Amendment No.: 133.

Facility Operating License No. DPR-22. Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: September 3, 2002 (67 FR 56323).

The September 16, 2002, supplemental letter provided additional clarifying information that was within the scope of the original application, did not change the NRC staff's initial no significant hazards consideration determination, and did not expand the scope of the original **Federal Register** notice.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated February 24, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Nuclear Management Company, LLC, Docket No. 50-255, Palisades Plant, Van Buren County, Michigan

Date of application for amendment: March 1, 2002, as supplemented November 7, 2002.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revises the testing frequency for the containment spray nozzles specified in Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 3.6.6.9. The testing frequency for the containment spray nozzles is changed from 10 years to "following maintenance which could result in nozzle blockage."

Date of issuance: February 24, 2003.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days.

Amendment No.: 211.

Facility Operating License No. DPR-20. Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: October 15, 2002 (67 FR 63696).

The November 7, 2002, supplemental letter provided additional clarifying information that was within the scope of the original application, did not change the NRC staff's initial no significant hazards consideration determination, and did not expand the scope of the original **Federal Register** notice.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated February 24, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Omaha Public Power District, Docket No. 50-285, Fort Calhoun Station, Unit No. 1, Washington County, Nebraska

Date of amendment request: October 8, 2002.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment relocates the requirements of TS 3.5(5) for testing prestressed concrete containment tendons to the Fort Calhoun Station, Unit No. 1 Updated Safety Analysis Report. The amendment adds the requirement for a Containment Tendon Testing Program (TS 5.21) consistent with that presented in Section 5.5 of NUREG-1432, "Improved Standard Technical Specification (ITS) for Combustion Engineering Plants."

Date of issuance: February 26, 2003.

Effective date: February 26, 2003, and shall be implemented within 120 days from the date of issuance, including the incorporation of the containment tendons testing requirements into the Updated Safety Analysis Report.

Amendment No.: 216.

Facility Operating License No. DPR-40: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: November 12, 2002 (67 FR 68741).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated February 26, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

PPL Susquehanna, LLC, Docket Nos. 50-387 and 50-388, Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania

Date of application for amendments: October 16, 2001, as supplemented August 23, 2002, November 8, 2002, and January 20, 2003.

Brief description of amendments: These amendments revised the technical specifications (TSs) to incorporate seven industry-proposed Technical Specification Task Force changes (TSTFs) made to NUREG-1433, Revision 1, "Standard Technical Specifications for General Electric Plants (BWR/4)," that have been approved by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Date of issuance: February 25, 2003.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days.

Amendment Nos.: 209 and 183.

Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-14 and NPF-22: The amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: December 12, 2001 (66 FR 64300). The supplements dated August 23, 2002, November 8, 2002, and January 20, 2003 provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated February 25, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

PPL Susquehanna, LLC, Docket No. 50-388, Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 2, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania

Date of application for amendments: July 17, 2002, as supplemented by letters dated October 30, 2002, December 18, 2002, and January 28, 2003.

Brief description of amendments: The amendment revised the values of the Safety Limit for Minimum Critical Power Ratio in the Unit 2 Technical Specifications (TSs) 2.1.1.2, clarified fuel design features in TS 4.2.1, and updated the references used to determine the core operating limits in TS 5.6.5.b.

Date of issuance: March 4, 2003.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented upon startup following the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Unit 2 eleventh refueling and inspection outage.

Amendment Nos.: 184.

Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-14 and NPF-22: The amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: August 20, 2002 (67 FR 53988).

The supplements dated October 30, 2002, December 18, 2002, and January 28, 2003, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 4, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.