

example of this product is "GIN5" steel. The third specialty steel has a chemical composition similar to AISI 420 F, with carbon of between 0.37 and 0.43 percent, molybdenum of between 1.15 and 1.35 percent, but lower manganese of between 0.20 and 0.80 percent, phosphorus of no more than 0.025 percent, silicon of between 0.20 and 0.50 percent, and sulfur of no more than 0.020 percent. This product is supplied with a hardness of more than Hv 500 guaranteed after customer processing, and is supplied as, for example, "GIN6."

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from the Republic of Korea" (Decision Memorandum) dated March 10, 2003, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as Appendix I. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the World Wide Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>, under the heading "Federal Register Notices." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with section 705(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Act, we calculated an *ad valorem* subsidy rate for Incheon. For the period January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2000, we determine the net subsidy for Incheon to be 3.79 percent *ad valorem*.

We will instruct the Customs Service ("Customs") to assess countervailing duties as indicated above. The Department will instruct Customs to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the percentage detailed above of the f.o.b. invoice prices on all shipments of the subject merchandise from the producers/exporters under review, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review. As of April 1, 2001, Incheon, changed its name to INI. Thus, for all of Incheon's shipments of the subject merchandise from the

producers/exporters under review, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after April 1, 2001, we will instruct customs to assign Incheon's cash deposit to INI.

Because the URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2) of the Act. The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. See 19 CFR 351.213(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(c), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected, at the rate previously ordered. As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See *Federal Mogul Corporation and The Torrington Company v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 782 (CIT 1993) and *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT 1993). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies except those covered by this review will be unchanged by the results of this review.

We will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. As of April 1, 2002, Sammi changed its name to BNG. Thus, for all of Sammi's shipments of the subject merchandise from the producers/exporters under review, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after April 1, 2002, we will instruct customs to assign Sammi's cash deposit rate to BNG. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order will be the rate for that company established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding conducted under the URAA. If such a review has not been conducted, the rate established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments is applicable. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the Republic of Korea: Amended Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 67 FR 8229 (February 22, 2002). This rate shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned

this rate is requested. In addition, for the period January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2000, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 10, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I—Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Methodology and Background Information
 - A. Rescission of Sammi Steel Co., Ltd. (Sammi)
 - B. Program-wide Change
 - C. Name Changes
 1. Incheon Iron and Steel Co. (Incheon) to INI
 2. Sammi to BNG
- II. Subsidies Valuation Information
 - A. Allocation Period
 - B. Benchmarks for Loans and Discount Rates
 - C. Attribution
 1. Cross-ownership of Incheon and Sammi
 2. Treatment of Subsidies Received by Trading Companies
 - D. Untimely Subsidy Allegation
- III. Analysis of Programs
 - A. Programs Determined to Confer Subsidies
 1. *The GOK's Direction of Credit*
 2. *Article 16 of the Tax Exemption and Reduction Control Act (TERCL): Reserve for Export Loss*
 3. *Article 17 of TERCL: Reserve for Overseas Market Development*
 4. *Technical Development Fund Under Restriction of Special Taxation (RSTA) Article 9, formerly TERCL 8*
 5. *Asset Revaluation TERCL Article 56(2)*
 6. *Electricity Discounts under the Requested Loan Adjustment Program (RLA)*
 7. *POSCO's Provision of Steel Inputs for Less than Adequate Remuneration*