

circulated for comment, or would it be preferable for the participants to continue informally working on resolution of outstanding issues?

(b) If a draft license application is required, should it be required to track the contents of the final license application, or would it be preferable to require it to include only a revised Exhibit E, and/or any other materials?

¶ 190 Should Federal and state agencies be required to provide preliminary recommendations, terms and conditions, or prescriptions following the updated status report if the Commission determines that all necessary information required by the study plan is already in the record?

¶ 191 If Federal and state agencies are required to provide preliminary recommendations, terms and conditions, or prescriptions following the updated status

report, how can the Commission ensure that they have an adequate opportunity to consider public comment on their proposed terms and conditions if such an approach were adopted, and how can such an approach best be accommodated where the resource agencies are cooperating agencies for development of the NEPA document?

¶ 198 (a) Which process steps in the proposed integrated process may require adjustment?

(b) Which time frames, if any, should be specified in the regulations for purposes of guiding the development of a process plan and schedule (including studies), and which may not be appropriate for specification in the regulations, but rather should be developed entirely in the context of case-specific facts?

¶ 207 Are there circumstances under which binding study dispute resolution could be conducted in the ALP in a manner that safeguards the collaborative process?

¶ 211 What approaches to streamlining the licensing process for small projects other than non-consensual waiver of filing requirements may be viable that also protect the interests of stakeholders other than the license applicant?

¶ 212 Should the Commission amend its regulations to permit license applicants to file draft applicant-prepared environmental analyses with license applications prepared using the traditional process, in light of the proposed modifications to that project?

¶ 223 Should project boundaries be required for all licenses and exemptions?

## Appendix C