

42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given of a proposed partial consent decree, which the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia on March 21, 2003, in a lawsuit filed by the Sierra Club under section 304(a) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7604(a), *Sierra Club v. Whitman*, No. 01-01537 (consolidated with cases 01548, 01558, 01569, 01582, and 01597) (D.D.C.).

DATES: Written comments on the proposed consent decree must be received by April 28, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Apple Chapman, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. A copy of the proposed consent decree is available from Phyllis Cochran, (202) 564-7606.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Apple Chapman at (202) 564-5666.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This lawsuit concerns EPA's alleged failure to meet certain deadlines in the Clean Air Act ("CAA"). The proposed partial consent decree would fully settle four of the above-listed consolidated cases and partially settle two others.

Specifically, the consent decree provides that EPA shall: (1) Promulgate emission standards under CAA section 112(d), 42 U.S.C. 7412(d), for any twelve (12) of the remaining listed categories subject to CAA section 112(e)(1)(E), 42 U.S.C. 7412(e)(1)(E), on or before August 29, 2003 and for the remaining four (4) categories on or before February 27, 2004; (2) promulgate emission standards under CAA section 112(d), 42 U.S.C. 7412(d), for hazardous waste burning industrial boilers on or before June 15, 2005; (3) pursuant to CAA section 129(a)(5), 42 U.S.C. 7429(a)(5), promulgate revisions of the new source performance standards and emission guidelines for large municipal waste combustion units by April 28, 2006; (4) promulgate specified regulations under CAA section 112(d), 42 U.S.C. 7412 (d), pursuant to CAA sections 112(c)(3), 112(k), and 112(c)(6), 42 U.S.C. 7412 (c)(3), (k) and (c)(6) for certain categories of area sources by specified deadlines; (5) promulgate emission standards for "other categories of solid waste incineration units" under CAA section 129(a)(1)(E), 42 U.S.C. 7419(a)(1)(E), by November 30, 2005. Lastly, the consent decree provides that the parties stipulate to a dismissal of the claims in Case No. 01-1582 which alleged EPA's failure to submit the Report to Congress

under CAA section 112(s), 42 U.S.C. 7412(s).

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the proposed consent decree from persons who are not named as parties or intervenors to the litigation in question. The EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed consent decree if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determines, following the comment period, that consent is inappropriate, the consent decree will be final.

Dated: March 21, 2003.

Lisa K. Friedman,

Associate General Counsel, Air and Radiation Law Office.

[FR Doc. 03-7370 Filed 3-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7473-7]

Notice of Request for Initial Proposals (IP) for Projects To Be Funded From the Water Quality Cooperative Agreement Allocation (CFDA 66.463—Water Quality Cooperative Agreements); Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: EPA Region 6 published in the *Federal Register* of March 19, 2003, a notice soliciting Initial Proposals funded from the Regional Water Quality Cooperative Agreement allocation. Inadvertently, the minus was deleted from the points listed under applicant's past performance of the evaluation criteria. Applicant's past performance should be listed as a minus 3 points (-3).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terry Mendiola by telephone at 214-665-7144 or by e-mail at mendiola.teresita@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA Region 6 published a notice in the *Federal Register* of March 19, 2003, (53 FR 13303) soliciting Initial Proposals for projects to be funded from the Regional Water Quality Cooperative Agreement Allocation. Inadvertently, the minus was deleted from the points listed under applicant's past performance of the

evaluation criteria. The evaluation criteria states that points will be taken away for poor past performance if knowledge of applicant's past performance is available to EPA. Therefore, applicant's past performance should be listed as a minus 3 points (-3). This correction adds the minus to indicate points will be taken away. In notice FR Doc. 03-6576 published on March 19, 2003, (53 FR 13303) make the following correction. On page 13305, in the third column, add a minus to (3 points) to read (-3 points) under applicant's past performance of the EPA IP Evaluation Criteria.

Dated: March 20, 2003.

Miguel I. Flores,

Director, Water Quality Protection Division, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 03-7371 Filed 3-26-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

March 19, 2003.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before April 28, 2003. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it