

2. *Units of Trade.* Clearly defined units of trade are necessary for trading to occur. Pollutant specific credits are examples of tradable units for water quality trading. These may be expressed in rates or mass per unit time as appropriate to be consistent with the time periods that are used to determine compliance with NPDES permit limitations or other regulatory requirements.

3. *Creation and Duration of Credits.* Credits should be generated before or during the same period they are used to comply with a monthly, seasonal or annual limitation or requirement specified in an NPDES permit. Credits may be generated as long as the pollution controls or management practices are functioning as expected.

4. *Quantifying Credits and Addressing Uncertainty.* Standardized protocols are necessary to quantify pollutant loads, load reductions, and credits. States and tribes should develop procedures to account for the generation and use of credits in NPDES permits and discharge monitoring reports in order to track the generation and use of credits between sources and assess compliance.

Where trading involves nonpoint sources, states and tribes should adopt methods to account for the greater uncertainty in estimates of nonpoint source loads and reductions. Greater uncertainty in nonpoint source estimates is due to several factors including but not limited to variability in precipitation, variable performance of land management practices, time lag between implementation of some practices and full performance, and the effect of soils, cover and slope on pollutant load delivery to receiving waters.

EPA supports a number of approaches to compensate for nonpoint source uncertainty. These include monitoring to verify load reductions, the use of greater than 1:1 trading ratios between nonpoint and point sources, using demonstrated performance values or conservative assumptions in estimating the effectiveness of nonpoint source management practices, using site- or trade-specific discount factors, and retiring a percentage of nonpoint source reductions for each transaction or a predetermined number of credits. Where appropriate, states and tribes may elect to establish a reserve pool of credits that would be available to compensate for unanticipated shortfalls in the quantity of credits that are actually generated.

The site-specific procedures and protocols used in water quality trading programs that involve agriculture and forestry operations should be developed

by states and tribes in consultation with United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) agencies. Those procedures should estimate nutrient or sediment load delivery to the stream segment, water body or watershed where trading occurs. Numerous methods and procedures to determine nutrient and sediment load reductions associated with conservation practices on agricultural and forest land have been developed or used by the USDA agencies, including the Natural Resources Conservation Service, Forest Service, Agricultural Research Service and the Cooperative State, Research, Education and Extension Service. Some of these methods may be applied to water quality trading.

As an example, the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) may be used in some locations to estimate the sediment yield at the end of a slope in agricultural settings. The sediment yield at the end of a slope coupled with an appropriate method to estimate sediment delivery to the receiving waters can provide a reasonable estimate of sediment load and load reductions. Representative soil sampling to determine the phosphorus content of soils can be used with this approach to estimate non-soluble sediment-bound phosphorus loads and load reductions. Different methods are appropriate to estimate soluble phosphorus and nitrogen loads and load reductions.

EPA and the USDA are working with other agencies to evaluate existing methods and to develop improved methods and procedures for estimating loads from agricultural and forestry lands. More precise estimations will be possible as technologies improve and new technologies are developed. For storm water runoff other than agriculture, EPA recommends monitoring or modeling to estimate pollutant loads and load reductions. EPA believes this may be based on local hydrology and actual data or pollutant loading factors that relate land use patterns, percent imperviousness or percent disturbed land and controls or management practices in a watershed to per acre or per unit pollutant loads, where other methods are not specified in a permit or regulation.

5. *Compliance and Enforcement Provisions.* Mechanisms for determining and ensuring compliance are essential for all trades and trading programs. These may include a combination of record keeping, monitoring, reporting and inspections. Compliance audits should be conducted frequently enough to ensure that a high level of compliance is maintained across the program. States and tribes should establish clear

enforceable mechanisms consistent with NPDES regulations that ensure legal accountability for the generation of credits that are traded. In the event of default by another source generating credits, an NPDES permittee using those credits is responsible for complying with the effluent limitations that would apply if the trade had not occurred. EPA also recommends that states and tribes consider providing periodic accounting and reconciliation periods and establishing appropriate enforcement provisions for failure to generate the quantity of credits that are traded.

EPA recommends that states and tribes consider the role of compliance history in determining source eligibility to participate in trading.

EPA recommends that states and tribes consider including provisions to address situations where nonpoint source controls and management practices that are implemented to generate credits fail due to extreme weather conditions or other circumstances that are beyond the control of the source.

6. *Public Participation And access to Information.* EPA supports public participation at the earliest stages and throughout the development of water quality trading programs to strengthen program effectiveness and credibility.

Easy and timely public access to information is necessary for markets to function efficiently and for the public to monitor trading activity. EPA encourages states and tribes to make electronically available to the public information on the sources that trade, the quantity of credits generated and used on a watershed basis, market prices where available, and delineations of watershed and trading boundaries. This information is necessary to identify potential trading opportunities, allow easy aggregation of credits, reduce transaction costs and establish public credibility.

7. *Program Evaluations.* Periodic assessments of environmental and economic effectiveness should be conducted and program revisions made as needed. Environmental evaluations should include ambient monitoring to ensure impairments of designated uses (including existing uses) do not occur and to document water quality conditions. Studies should be performed to quantify nonpoint source load reductions, validate nonpoint source pollutant removal efficiencies and determine whether the anticipated water quality objectives have been achieved. Economic evaluations should include the number and type of trades, the price paid for pollutant reduction credits, transaction costs, the costs