

effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before June 10, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, DOC Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Marna Dove, BIS ICB Liaison, (202) 482-5211, Department of Commerce, Room 6622, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This form is used by BIS seminar instructors at seminar programs throughout the year. Seminar participants are asked to fill out the evaluation form during the program and turn it in at the end of the program. The responses to these questions provide useful and practical information that BIS can use to determine that it is providing a quality program and gives BIS information useful to making recommended improvements. It also shows attendees that BIS cares about their training experience and values their viewpoint. The gathering of performance measures is also essential in meeting the agency's responsibilities specified in the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA).

II. Method of Collection

Survey

III. Data

OMB Number: 0694-0125.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission for extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 3,900.

Estimated Time Per Response: 10 minutes per response.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 650.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: No capital expenditures are required.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 7, 2003.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-8865 Filed 4-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-412-803]

Industrial Nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 11, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michele Mire or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4711 and (202) 482-5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom

covering the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002 (67 FR 44172, 44173).

On August 19, 2002, pursuant to requests by petitioner, Green Tree Chemical Technologies, Inc. (Green Tree), and respondent, Imperial Chemical Industries PLC (ICI), the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom for the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002 (67 FR 55000, August 27, 2002). On October 1, 2002, Green Tree withdrew its request for an administrative review. On March 20, 2003, ICI withdrew its request for an administrative review.

Rescission of Review

Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations provides that a party that requests an administrative review may withdraw the request within 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested administrative review. Section 351.213(d)(1) also provides that the Department may extend the 90-day time limit for parties to withdraw their requests that the Department conduct administrative reviews. On October 1, 2002, and March 20, 2003, Green Tree and ICI, respectively, submitted letters withdrawing their requests that the Department conduct an administrative review of the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002. Although ICI withdrew its request for the review after the 90-day period had expired, the Department is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom for the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002, because both parties who requested administrative reviews have withdrawn their requests, and it is otherwise reasonable to rescind the review. This action is consistent with the Department's practice. *See e.g., Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice From Brazil; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 67 FR 40913 (June 14, 2002) where, pursuant to a request filed after the 90-day deadline, the Department rescinded the review with respect to one respondent because the review of that respondent had not progressed beyond a point where it would have been unreasonable to grant the request for rescission.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).