

carried out as intended, and to improve the Agency's ability to assure the continued availability of the facilities financed under the Agency's multiple housing programs to eligible users.

Without the provisions of this regulation, the Agency would be unable to provide the necessary guidance to the Agency's field staff to assist borrowers in processing servicing actions affecting their projects. The Agency also would not be able to quickly respond to servicing requests from borrowers, initiate servicing actions, or establish a uniform procedure for processing such requests from borrowers. The Agency must be able to assure Congress and the general public that all projects financed with Multiple Family Housing funds will be maintained for the purposes for which they are intended and used for the benefit of those they are mandated to serve.

Public Law (Pub. L.) 95-375 provides administrative powers for the Secretary of Agriculture to carry out the provisions of title V of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended. This law provides for making rules and regulations necessary to carry out the purposes of title V. The purpose of the applicable sections 514, 515, 516, and 521 of the Housing Act as stated above is to provide rental housing to eligible low- (including very low-) and moderate-income tenants at affordable rental rates. The Agency has been charged with the responsibility of protecting the interest of the taxpayer's funds and to assure that the objectives of the loans and grants are carried out as intended. In an effort to carry out the responsibilities of assuring that the objectives of the law are met, it is required that information be collected to assure program objectives and integrity is maintained.

Pub. L. 88-352, "Civil Rights Act of 1965," as amended, title VI, Pub. L. 90-284 and 93-383, Pub. L. 93-383, "Sex Discrimination, Executive Order 11246, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act of 1974, and the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 are also applicable to the 514, 515, 516, and 521 programs. Civil Rights compliance reviews are conducted to assure nondiscrimination in these Federally assisted programs. The owners are, therefore, required to keep certain information, such as a list of applicants, list of tenants, verifications of income of the tenants, and records or rejected applicants, and make such information available to the compliance review officer upon request.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1.7 hours per response.

*Respondents:* The primary respondents are small business organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 930.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 930.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 1,583 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Tracy Givlekian, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, at (202) 692-0039.

#### Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to Tracy Givlekian, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, STOP 0742, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington DC 20250. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 7, 2003.

**James E. Selmon, III,**

*Acting Administrator, Rural Housing Service.*

[FR Doc. 03-10158 Filed 4-23-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-122-814]

#### Pure Magnesium From Canada; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results of 2001-2002 administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. The period of review is August 1, 2001, through July 31, 2002. This review covers imports of pure magnesium from one producer/exporter.

We have preliminarily found that sales of subject merchandise have not been made below normal value. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct the Customs Service not to assess antidumping duties.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. We will issue the final results not later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 24, 2003.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jarrod Goldfeder or Scott Holland, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0189 or (202) 482-1279, respectively.

#### Background

On August 31, 1992, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (57 FR 39390) an antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. On August 6, 2002, the Department published a notice in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 50856) of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of this order. On August 28, 2002, U.S. Magnesium, LLC ("the petitioner") requested an administrative review of imports of the subject merchandise produced by Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc. ("NHCI") and Magnola Metallurgy Inc. ("Magnola"). On August 30, 2002, NCHI made a request for review and also requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to NHCI. On September 25, 2002, the Department published a notice in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 60210) initiating the review for the period August 1, 2001, through July 31, 2002.

On September 6, 2002, Magnola reported that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the August 1, 2001, through July 31, 2002, period of review ("POR"). See "*Partial Rescission*" section, below.

On September 17, 2002, the petitioner submitted comments objecting to NHCI's August 30, 2002, request for revocation. According to the petitioner, NHCI failed to meet the Department's requirements for revocation, as described in 19 CFR 351.222. On