

Effective date: As of the date of issuance to be implemented within 60 days.

Amendment No.: 146.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-57: This amendment revised the TSs.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: February 18, 2003 (68 FR 7818).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated April 15, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

PSEG Nuclear LLC, Docket No. 50-354, Hope Creek Generating Station, Salem County, New Jersey

Date of application for amendment: October 9, 2002, as supplemented November 22, 2002, and December 6, 2002.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment grants, on a one-time basis, an extension of the Type A Integrated Leak Rate Test interval from 10 years to 15 years.

Date of issuance: April 16, 2003.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance, and shall be implemented within 30 days.

Amendment No.: 147.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-57: This amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: February 18, 2003 (68 FR 7819).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated April 16, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

PSEG Nuclear LLC, Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311, Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Salem County, New Jersey

Date of application for amendments: October 23, 2002.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments revise the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Technical Specification (TS) 6.12, "High Radiation Area" to be consistent with the Standard TSs for Westinghouse Plants (NUREG-1431, Revision 2) by updating the current reference to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 20.203 with the corresponding reference to 10 CFR 20.1601.

Date of issuance: April 10, 2003.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance, and shall be implemented within 30 days.

Amendment Nos.: 255 and 236.

Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75: The amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: February 4, 2002 (68 FR 5681).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated April 10, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

PSEG Nuclear LLC, Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311, Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Salem County, New Jersey

Date of application for amendments: July 25, 2002, as supplemented October 21, 2002.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments revise Technical Specifications (TSs) Surveillance Requirement (SR) 4.0.3 to extend the delay period, before entering a Limiting Condition for Operation, following a missed surveillance. The delay period is extended from the current limit of up to 24 hours to " * * up to 24 hours or up to the limit of the specified frequency, whichever is greater." In addition, the following requirement is added to SR 4.0.3: "A risk evaluation shall be performed for any surveillance delayed greater than 24 hours and the risk impact shall be managed." The amendments also add a requirement for a TS Bases Control Program to the administrative controls section of TSs and makes administrative changes to SRs 4.0.1 and 4.0.3 to be consistent with NUREG-1431, Revision 2, "Standard Technical Specifications Westinghouse Plants."

Date of issuance: April 16, 2003.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance, and shall be implemented within 60 days.

Amendment Nos.: 256 and 237.

Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75: The amendments revised the TSs.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: February 18, 2003 (68 FR 7820).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated April 16, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Tennessee Valley Authority, Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260, and 50-296, Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Units 1, 2, and 3, Limestone County, Alabama

Date of application for amendments: August 1, 2002.

Description of amendments request: The amendments revised the Updated Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) to eliminate consideration of a pressure regulator downscale failure as an abnormal operational transient.

Date of issuance: April 4, 2003.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance, to be incorporated into the UFSAR at the time of its next update.

Amendment Nos.: 244, 281 and 239.

Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-33, DPR-52, and DPR-68: Amendments revised the UFSAR.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: October 15, 2002 (67 FR 63697).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated April 4, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Final Determination of no Significant Hazards Consideration and Opportunity for a Hearing (Exigent Public Announcement or Emergency Circumstances)

During the period since publication of the last biweekly notice, the Commission has issued the following amendments. The Commission has determined for each of these amendments that the application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

Because of exigent or emergency circumstances associated with the date the amendment was needed, there was not time for the Commission to publish, for public comment before issuance, its usual 30-day Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing.

For exigent circumstances, the Commission has either issued a Federal Register notice providing opportunity for public comment or has used local media to provide notice to the public in the area surrounding a licensee's facility of the licensee's application and of the Commission's proposed determination of no significant hazards consideration. The Commission has provided a reasonable opportunity for the public to comment, using its best efforts to make available to the public means of communication for the public to respond quickly, and in the case of telephone comments, the comments have been recorded or transcribed as appropriate and the licensee has been informed of the public comments.

In circumstances where failure to act in a timely way would have resulted, for example, in derating or shutdown of a