

in the stakeholder meeting by regular mail. Please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350 (TTY (877) 889-5627) for information about security procedures concerning the delivery of materials by express delivery, hand delivery and messenger service.

All comments and submissions will be available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office at the above address. Comments and submissions will be posted on OSHA's Web site at <http://www.osha.gov>. OSHA cautions you about submitting personal information such as social security numbers, date of birth, etc. Contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350 (TTY (877) 889-5627) for information about materials not available through the OSHA Web site and for assistance in using the Web site to locate docket submissions.

OSHA is providing the public with 60 days to provide comments on the draft retail grocery store ergonomics guidelines. During the development of the nursing home ergonomics guidelines (the final version was published March 13, 2003), the agency provided 30 days for comment, then extended the comment period for an additional 30 days at the request of several stakeholders. The 60-day period provided adequate time for the public to provide comments, so OSHA believes that allowing 60 days for the public to comment on the draft retail grocery guidelines is adequate.

## II. Background

On April 5, 2002, the Department of Labor announced a four-pronged comprehensive approach for addressing musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs), which calls for OSHA to develop industry- or task-specific guidelines. OSHA's second industry-specific guidelines address ergonomic concerns in retail grocery stores and supercenters (combined full-line supermarket and discount merchandisers). While other business operations, such as distribution centers, banks, post offices, or other retail or wholesale operations may also be located in grocery stores, these guidelines are not intended to apply to these other businesses. The guidelines are also not intended to apply to other retail and distribution operations, such as warehouses or convenience stores.

The draft guidelines contain an introduction and two main sections. The introduction provides an overview of MSDs in retail grocery stores. It also explains the role of ergonomics in reducing the incidence of these injuries. A section entitled "A Process for

Protecting Workers" describes a process for developing and implementing a strategy for analyzing the workplace, implementing ergonomic solutions, training, addressing reports of injuries, and evaluating progress.

The Implementing Solutions section describes examples of ergonomic solutions that may be used by grocery stores to control exposure to ergonomic risk factors in grocery stores. The Implementing Solutions section includes general corrective actions, followed by solutions for certain grocery store departments, including:

- Stocking,
- Bakery,
- Produce, and
- Meat department.

The draft guidelines finish with a list of references and sources of additional information grocery store managers can use to help them with their ergonomic efforts.

OSHA encourages interested parties to comment on all aspects of the draft guidelines. The agency is particularly interested in any information about successful ergonomics efforts, and individual ergonomic interventions, that the grocery store industry has used to address ergonomic concerns.

## III. Stakeholder Meeting

Following the close of the comment period, OSHA will hold a stakeholder meeting in the Washington, DC metropolitan area during the week of July 28, 2003. The agency will announce the exact date and location of the stakeholder meeting at a later date.

This notice was prepared under the direction of John L. Henshaw, Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health. It is issued under sections 4 and 8 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 653, 657).

Issued at Washington, DC, this 6th day of May, 2003.

**John L. Henshaw,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 03-11562 Filed 5-8-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-26-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-302]

### Florida Power Corporation; Crystal River Unit 3; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10

CFR) part 55, section 55.59(c)(1) for Facility Operating License No. DPR-72, issued to Florida Power Corporation (the licensee), for operation of Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3), located in Citrus County, Florida. As required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

## Environmental Assessment

### Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would constitute a one-time exemption to allow the current licensed operator requalification program to exceed 24 months. The requested exemption would extend the completion date for the current requalification program from December 31, 2004, to February 28, 2005, and would include an extension to February 28, 2005, for completing the required annual operating tests and comprehensive biennial written examination. The next requalification program period would begin on March 1, 2005, and continue for 24 months to February 28, 2007, with successive periods running for 24 months.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated March 6, 2003.

### The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action would extend the current CR-3 requalification program from December 31, 2004, to February 28, 2005. The next requalification program period would begin on March 1, 2005, and continue for 24 months to February 28, 2007, with successive periods running for 24 months. The proposed action is needed to allow for minimal interruption of the licensed personnel based on scheduling difficulties associated with an end-of-the-year requalification program cycle. Specifically, the licensee has stated that moving operator annual and biennial exams to a January to February timeframe would support the following benefits for the licensee: (1) Minimize the fall refueling outage impact on exam development; (2) minimize the potential impact from any fall refueling outage extensions; (3) minimize the scheduling and resource impact from holidays; (4) minimize the potential scheduling and resource impact of any exam remediation or retesting requirements during the holidays; and (5) minimize the potential impact from future bargaining unit negotiations that occur periodically in the fourth calendar quarter. The licensee deems it prudent to allow the licensed personnel operating the plant to remain fully available to stand watch on operating