

Therefore, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment to the Docket Management Facility at the address under **ADDRESSES**. In your comment, explain why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

#### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please consult Dan Andrusiak, Program Management Division, Office of Aids to Navigation, Coast Guard, telephone 202-267-0327 (email: [dandrusiak@comdt.uscg.mil](mailto:dandrusiak@comdt.uscg.mil)).

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247).

#### Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

#### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires

Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

#### Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal government and Indian tribes.

#### Energy Effects

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it

does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(a), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

#### List of Subjects

33 *CFR* Part 62

Navigation (water).

33 *CFR* Part 66

Intergovernmental relations, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

33 *CFR* Part 67

Continental shelf, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

33 *CFR* Part 72

Government publications, Navigation (water).

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 *CFR* parts 62, 66, 67, and 72 as follows:

#### PART 62—UNITED STATES AIDS TO NAVIGATION SYSTEM

1. The authority citation for part 62 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 14 U.S.C. 85; 33 U.S.C. 1233; 43 U.S.C. 1333; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

##### § 62.39 [Removed]

2. Remove § 62.39.

##### §§ 62.55–62.61 (Subpart C) [Removed and Reserved]

3. Remove and reserve subpart C, consisting of §§ 62.55 through 62.61.

##### § 62.65 [Amended]

4. In § 62.65, in paragraph (c)(2), remove the last sentence.

#### PART 66—PRIVATE AIDS TO NAVIGATION

5. The authority citation for part 66 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 14 U.S.C. 83, 85; 43 U.S.C. 1333; Pub. L. 107-296, 116 Stat. 2135; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.