

requirement to reinitiate consultation for ongoing projects will not affect a substantial number of small entities on Kahoolawe.

In addition, on Maui, nearly all of the land within the critical habitat units is unsuitable for development, land uses, and activities. This is due to their remote locations, lack of access, and rugged terrain. Approximately 86 percent of this land, and all of the land on Kahoolawe, is within the State Conservation District where State land-use controls severely limit development and most activities, and approximately 14 percent of this land is within the State Agricultural District.

Even where the requirements of section 7 might apply due to critical habitat, based on our experience with section 7 consultations for all listed species, virtually all projects—including those that, in their initial proposed form, would result in jeopardy or adverse modification determinations under section 7—can be implemented successfully with, at most, the adoption of reasonable and prudent alternatives. These measures by definition must be economically feasible and within the scope of authority of the Federal agency involved in the consultation.

For these reasons, we are certifying that the designation of critical habitat for *Alectryon macrococcus*, *Argyroxiphium sandwicense* ssp. *macrocephalum*, *Asplenium fragile* var. *insulare*, *Bidens micrantha* ssp. *kalealaha*, *Bonamia menziesii*, *Brighamia rockii*, *Cenchrus agrimonoides*, *Centaurium sebaeoides*, *Clermontia lindseyana*, *Clermontia oblongifolia* ssp. *mauiensis*, *Clermontia samuelii*, *Colubrina oppositifolia*, *Ctenitis squamigera*, *Cyanea copelandii* ssp. *haleakalaensis*, *Cyanea glabra*, *Cyanea grimesiana* ssp. *grimesiana*, *Cyanea hamatiflora* ssp. *hamatiflora*, *Cyanea lobata*, *Cyanea mceldowneyi*, *Cyrtandra munroi*, *Diellia erecta*, *Diplazium molokaiense*, *Dubautia plantaginea* ssp. *humilis*, *Flueggea neowawraea*, *Geranium arboreum*, *Geranium multiflorum*, *Gouania vitifolia*, *Hedyotis coriacea*, *Hedyotis mannii*, *Hesperomannia arbuscula*, *Hibiscus brackenridgei*, *Ischaemum byrnone*, *Isodendron pyrifolium*, *Kanaloa kahoolawensis*, *Lipochaeta kamolensis*, *Lysimachia lydgatei*, *Mariscus pennatifolius*, *Melicope adscendens*, *Melicope balloui*, *Melicope knudsenii*, *Melicope mucronulata*, *Melicope ovalis*, *Neraudia sericea*, *Nototrichum humile*, *Peucedanum sandwicense*, *Phlegmariurus mannii*, *Phyllostegia mannii*, *Phyllostegia mollis*, *Plantago princeps*, *Platanthera holochila*, *Pteris lidgatei*, *Remya*

mauiensis, *Sanicula purpurea*, *Schiedea haleakalensis*, *Sesbania tomentosa*, *Spermolepis hawaiiensis*, *Tetramolopium capillare*, *Tetramolopium remyi*, *Vigna owahuensis*, and *Zanthoxylum hawaiiense* will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (5 U.S.C. 804(2))

Under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), this rule is not a major rule. Our detailed assessment of the economic effects of this designation are described in the draft economic analysis, the final addendum and the supplement to the economic analysis. Based on the effects identified in these documents, we believe that this rule will not have an effect on the economy of \$100 million or more per year, will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, and will not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises. Refer to the final addendum to the economic analysis for a discussion of the effects of this determination.

Executive Order 13211

On May 18, 2001, the President issued Executive Order 13211, on regulations that significantly affect energy supply, distribution, and use. Executive Order 13211 requires agencies to prepare Statements of Energy Effects when undertaking certain actions. According to OMB, this rule is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, and we do not expect to significantly affect energy production supply and distribution facilities because no significant energy production, supply, and distribution facilities are included within designated critical habitat. Further, for the reasons described in the economic analysis, we do not believe that designation of critical habitat for the 60 species on Maui and Kahoolawe will affect future energy production. Therefore, this action is not a significant energy action, and no Statement of Energy Effects is required.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.)

In accordance with the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*):

(a) This rule will not “significantly or uniquely” affect small governments. A small Government Agency Plan is not required. Small governments will not be affected unless they propose an action requiring Federal funds, permits, or other authorizations. Any such activities will require that the Federal agency ensure that the action will not adversely modify or destroy designated critical habitat.

(b) This rule will not produce a Federal mandate on State or local governments or the private sector of \$100 million or greater in any year, that is, it is not a “significant regulatory action” under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act. The designation of critical habitat imposes no obligations on State or local governments.

Takings

In accordance with Executive Order 12630 (“Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Private Property Rights”), we have analyzed the potential takings implications of designating critical habitat for the 60 species from Maui and Kahoolawe in a takings implications assessment. The takings implications assessment concludes that this final rule does not pose significant takings implications.

Federalism

In accordance with Executive Order 13132, this final rule does not have significant Federalism effects. A Federalism assessment is not required. In keeping with Department of Interior policy, we requested information from appropriate State agencies in Hawaii. This rule imposes no regulatory requirements unless an agency is seeking Federal funding or authorization, so it does not have Federal implications. In addition, this rule will not have substantial direct compliance costs because many of the planned projects that could affect critical habitat have no Federal involvement.

The designations may have some benefit to these governments, in that the areas essential to the conservation of these species are more clearly defined and the primary constituent elements of the habitat necessary to the survival of the species are specifically identified. While this definition and identification does not alter where and what federally sponsored activities may occur, it may assist these local governments in long-range planning, rather than waiting for case-by-case section 7 consultation to occur.