

which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g) of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. A final “Environmental Analysis Check List” and a final “Categorical Exclusion Determination” will be available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and Record keeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

■ 2. Add § 165.T17–017 to read as follows:

§ 165.T17–017 Security Zone: Port of Anchorage, Knik Arm, Alaska.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a security zone: All navigable waters within 1000-yards of the Port of Anchorage. Specifically, the zone includes the waters of Knik Arm that are within an area bounded by a line drawn from a point located at 61°15.14' North, 149°52.78' West, then west to a point located at 61°15.14' North, 149°53.84' West, then south to a point located at 61°14.17' North, 149°54.43' West, then east to a point located at 61°13.94' North, 149°53.55' West.

(b) *Effective period.* This section is effective from 1:01 p.m. March 19, 2003 to 12:01 p.m. June 19, 2003.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) For the purpose of this section, the general regulations contained in 33 CFR 165.33 apply to all but the following vessels in the areas described in paragraph (a):

(i) Vessels scheduled to moor and offload or load cargo at the Port of Anchorage that have provided the Coast Guard with an Advance Notice of Arrival.

(ii) Tow vessels contracted, specifically Cook Inlet Tug and Barge, to

assist vessels to the dock at the Port of Anchorage.

(2) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port representative or the designated on-scene patrol personnel. These personnel are comprised of commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard. Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed.

Dated: March 19, 2003.

Ronald J. Morris,

Captain, Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Western Alaska.

[FR Doc. 03–12048 Filed 5–14–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[SIP NO. UT–001–0052a; FRL–7483–4]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; State of Utah; Continuous Emission Monitoring Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking direct final action approving State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Governor of Utah on September 7, 1999 and February 11, 2003. The September 7, 1999 submittal revises Utah's Air Conservation Regulations (UACR) by repealing and re-enacting the Continuous Emission Monitoring Program (CEM) rule in order to clarify the requirements of the rule. The February 11, 2003 submittal makes additional revisions to the CEM rule to make it in agreement with Federal regulations and the Clean Air Act (CAA). The intended effect of this action is to make the CEM rule federally enforceable. This action is being taken under section 110 of the CAA.

DATES: This rule is effective on July 14, 2003 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by June 16, 2003. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite

300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202 and copies of the Incorporation by Reference material are available at the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Room B–108 (Mail Code 6102T), 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Air Quality, 150 North 1950 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurel Dygowski, EPA, Region 8, (303) 312–6144.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, wherever the term “we” or “our” is used means EPA.

I. Summary of SIP Revisions

A. September 7, 1999 Submittal

On September 7, 1999 and February 11, 2003, the Governor of Utah submitted revisions to the SIP. The September 7, 1999 submittal revises Utah's Air Conservation Regulations (UACR) by repealing and re-enacting the Continuous Emission Monitoring Program (CEM) rule, R307–170, in order to clarify the requirements of the rule. R307–170 applies to sources in Utah that use continuous monitoring systems to report their emissions. The changes to the CEM rule clarify points which were vague in the old rule, identify reporting parameters, reduce quarterly reporting for some CEM sources, and require electronic data reporting. The rule is also changed to reflect that when sources are planning on conducting a relative accuracy test audit, they must give notice to the executive secretary forty-five days instead of thirty days before performing a relative accuracy test audit and also submit the pretest protocol. In addition, the new rule separates monitor unavailability into categories which are exempt and non-exempt for reporting purposes and does not require reporting emissions during shutdowns.

B. February 11, 2003 Submittal

On April 2, 2002, EPA Region 8 sent a letter from Richard Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, to Richard Spratt, Director, Utah Division of Air Quality to explain that certain sections in R307–170, as submitted on