

Washington, five segments of its existing 26-inch Ignacio to Sumas mainline have had a class location change under Department of Transportation's regulations from a Class 2 to a Class 3 location. Accordingly, Northwest proposes to replace these five segments of pipeline totaling approximately 3.3 miles, with thicker walled pipe (from 26-inch 0.281" wall thickness Grade X-52 to 26-inch 0.312" wall thickness Grade X-70) and to install a new 26-inch mainline valve at milepost 1411.32 to meet the spacing requirements for Class 3 areas. Northwest states that approximately 865 feet of the replaced segments of pipeline will be abandoned in place, purged, packed with nitrogen and capped and the remainder will be removed. Northwest states that the new replacement pipeline will be installed within its existing 75-foot permanent right-of-way, but a wider construction right-of-way will be required in certain areas, along with additional temporary construction workspace.

According to Northwest, the proposed like-size replacement of segments of pipeline will not change the existing daily design capacity, daily maximum capacity or operating pressures on its system. Northwest states that the total estimated cost for this proposed pipeline replacement project is approximately \$9.1 million, including the approximately \$380,000 cost of removing replaced segments of pipeline.

Any questions concerning this request may be directed to Gary K. Kotter, Manager, Certificates and Tariffs—3F3, Northwest Pipeline Corporation, PO Box 58900, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158-0900, at (801) 584-7117 or fax (801) 584-7764 or [garold.k.kotter@williams.com](mailto:garold.k.kotter@williams.com).

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the allowed time for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions

on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

**Magalie R. Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03-12821 Filed 5-21-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

**[Docket No. OR03-4-000]**

#### Plantation Pipe Line Company, Complainant, v. Colonial Pipeline Company, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

May 16, 2003.

Take notice that on May 15, 2003, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206) and the Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Procedures (18 CFR 343.1(a)), Plantation Pipe Line Company (Plantation) filed a complaint in the captioned proceeding. Plantation alleges that Colonial Pipeline Company (Colonial) has violated and continues to violate the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C. App.1 *et seq.*, by refusing to permit an interconnection with Plantation's pipeline at Greensboro, North Carolina as more fully set forth in the complaint.

Plantation requests that the Commission: (1) Direct Colonial to cooperate in the installation of the requested interconnection; and (2) establish through routes for volumes received from Plantation through the interconnection to Colonial destinations downstream of Greensboro.

Plantation states that it has served the complaint on Colonial.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. The answer to the complaint and all comments, interventions or protests must be filed on or before the comment date below. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on

the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. The answer to the complaint, comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

*Comment Date:* June 4, 2003.

**Magalie R. Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03-12916 Filed 5-21-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

**[Docket No. CP03-294-000]**

#### Portland Natural Gas Transmission System; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

May 15, 2003.

Take notice that on May 8, 2003, Portland Natural Gas Transmission System (PNGTS) filed a prior notice request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211(a)(2) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act, and PNGTS's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP96-238 *et al.*, for authorization to construct and operate new metering and related facilities in Westbrook, Maine.

PNGTS states that it is proposing to construct the facilities in compliance with Section 4.3 of its rate settlement approved by the Commission in Docket No. RP02-13-000 on January 14, 2003, which requires PNGTS to construct facilities to allow for the bi-directional flow of gas on its system north of its Westbrook interconnect. PNGTS states that the proposed facilities consist of a pipeline meter, meter runs, and various valves, which will enable PNGTS to receive gas from the Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C. (Maritimes) system. PNGTS states that the proposed facilities will be constructed and reside entirely within the existing meter station site where PNGTS and Maritimes interconnect. PNGTS estimates the cost of constructing the proposed facilities is \$539,000.