

amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these amendments. If the Commission has prepared an environmental assessment under the special circumstances provision in 10 CFR 51.12(b) and has made a determination based on that assessment, it is so indicated.

For further details with respect to the action see (1) the applications for amendment, (2) the amendment, and (3) the Commission's related letter, Safety Evaluation and/or Environmental Assessment as indicated. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by email to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

*Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc.*  
Docket Nos. 50-336 and 50-423,  
Millstone Power Station, Unit Nos. 2  
and 3, New London County, Connecticut

*Date of application for amendment:* February 14, 2002, as supplemented on September 9, 2002.

*Brief description of amendment:* The amendments revised the Millstone Power Station, Unit No. 2 (MP2) and 3 (MP3) Technical Specifications (TSs) by relocating selected MP2 and MP3 TSs related to the Reactor Coolant System and Plant Systems to the respective unit's Technical Requirements Manual.

The amendment does not address changes to MP2 TS 3/4.7.10, "Snubbers," and MP3 TSs 3/4.7.10, "Snubbers," and 3/4.7.14, "Area Temperature Monitoring," as described by the application dated February 14, 2002, because these proposed TSs changes were withdrawn by the supplement dated September 9, 2002.

*Date of issuance:* January 2, 2003.

*Effective date:* As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 90 days from the date of issuance.

*Amendment Nos.:* 272 and 214.

*Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-65 and NPF-49:* This amendment revised the TSs.

*Date of initial notice in Federal Register:* April 16, 2002 (67 FR18645). The September 9, 2002, letter provided clarifying information that did not change the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination or expand the amendment beyond the scope of the initial notice.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated January 2, 2003.

*No significant hazards consideration comments received:* No.

*Duke Energy Corporation, et al., Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414, Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, York County, South Carolina*

*Date of application for amendments:* September 12, 2002, as supplemented by letter dated December 30, 2002.

*Brief description of amendments:* The amendments temporarily revised Technical Specifications (TS) 3.5.2, "Emergency Core Cooling System;" TS 3.6.6, "Containment Spray System;" TS 3.7.5, "Auxiliary Feedwater System;" TS 3.7.7, "Component Cooling Water System;" TS 3.7.8, "Nuclear Service Water System;" and TS 3.8.1, "AC Sources."

*Date of issuance:* January 7, 2003.

*Effective date:* As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

*Amendment Nos.:* 203 & 196.

*Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-35 and NPF-52:* Amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

*Date of initial notice in Federal Register:* October 15, 2002 (67 FR 63692). The supplement dated December 30, 2002, provided clarifying information that did not change the scope of the September 12, 2002, application, nor the initial no significant hazard consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated January 7, 2003.

*No significant hazards consideration comments received:* No.

*Duke Energy Corporation, et al., Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414, Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, York County, South Carolina*

*Date of application for amendments:* August 29, 2002. *Brief description of amendments:* The amendments revise Technical Specification (TS) 3.8.4.7, to modify the note to eliminate the "once per 60 months" restriction on replacing the battery service test by the battery modified performance discharge test.

*Date of issuance:* January 9, 2003.

*Effective date:* As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented

within 30 days from the date of issuance.

*Amendment Nos.:* 204 & 197.

*Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-35 and NPF-52:* Amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

*Date of initial notice in Federal Register:* November 12, 2002 (67 FR 68733). The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated January 9, 2003.

*No significant hazards consideration comments received:* No.

*Energy Northwest, Docket No. 50-397, Columbia Generating Station, Benton County, Washington*

*Date of application for amendment:* October 22, 2002.

*Brief description of amendment:* The amendment deletes a reference to Section 2.E in Section 2.F of Facility Operating License No. NPF-21. Section 2.E requires the licensee to fully implement and maintain in effect all provisions of the Commission-approved physical security, guard training and qualification, and safeguards contingency plans. Section 2.E is redundant because the reporting requirements and criteria for the physical security programs are specified in 10 CFR 73.71 and Appendix G of 10 CFR Part 73.

*Date of issuance:* January 9, 2003.

*Effective date:* January 9, 2003 to be implemented within 60 days from the date of issuance.

*Amendment No.:* 183.

*Facility Operating License No. NPF-21:* The amendment revised the operating license.

*Date of initial notice in Federal Register:* December 10, 2002 (67 FR 75871). The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated January 9, 2003.

*No significant hazards consideration comments received:* No.

*Entergy Gulf States, Inc., and Entergy Operations, Inc., Docket No. 50-458, River Bend Station, Unit 1, West Feliciana Parish, Louisiana*

*Date of amendment request:* August 21, 2002.

*Brief description of amendment:* The amendment revises Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.0.3 to extend the delay period, before entering a Limiting Condition for Operation, following a missed surveillance. The delay period is extended from the current limit of " \* \* up to 24 hours or up to the limit of the specified Frequency, whichever is less" to " \* \* up to 24 hours or up to the limit of the specified Frequency,