

not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental regulations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: October 31, 2002.

Alexis Strauss,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 9.

Part 52 of chapter I, title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart D—Arizona

2. Section 52.120 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(108) and (c)(109) to read as follows:

##### § 52.120 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(108) Revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan for the Motor Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Programs, submitted on July 6, 2001.

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Arizona Revised Statutes.

(1) Section 49–551 as amended in Section 27 of Arizona Senate Bill 1427, 43rd Legislature, 2nd Regular Session (1998), approved by the Governor on May 29, 1998.

(2) Section 49–544 as amended in Section 15 of Arizona Senate Bill 1007, 43rd Legislature, 4th Special Session (1998), approved by the Governor on May 20, 1998.

(3) Section 49–541 as amended in Section 44 of Arizona House Bill 2189, 44th Legislature, 1st Regular Session (1999), approved by the Governor on May 18, 1999.

(4) Section 49–542.01 repealed in Section 3 and Section 49–545 as amended in Section 5 of Arizona House Bill 2104, 44th Legislature, 2nd Regular session (2000), approved by the Governor on April 28, 2000.

(5) Section 49–542.05 as added in Section 23 of Arizona Senate Bill 1004, 44th Legislature, 7th Special Session

(2000), approved by the Governor on December 14, 2000.

(B) Arizona Administrative Code.

(1) Title 18, Chapter 2, Article 10 (except for AAC R 18–2–1020) “Motor Vehicles; Inspection and Maintenance” as adopted on December 31, 2000.

(109) Revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan for the Motor Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Programs, submitted on April 10, 2002 by the Governor’s designee.

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Arizona Revised Statutes.

(1) Section 49–542 as amended in Section 9, Section 49–543 as amended in Section 11, and Section 49–541.01 repealed in Section 29 of Arizona House Bill 2538, 45th Legislature, 1st Regular Session (2001), approved by the Governor on May 7, 2001.

(B) Arizona Administrative Code.

(1) Amendments to AAC R 18–2–1006 and 18–2–1019, and the repeal of AAC R 18–2–1014 and R 18–2–1015 effective January 1, 2002.

3. Section 52.123 is amended by adding paragraph (k) to read as follows:

##### § 52.123 Approval status.

\* \* \* \* \*

(k) The Administrator approves the revised Enhanced Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program for the Maricopa County carbon monoxide and ozone nonattainment area submitted by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality on July 6, 2001 and April 10, 2002 as meeting the requirements of Clean Air Act sections 182(c)(3) and 187(a)(6) and the requirements for high enhanced inspection and maintenance programs contained in 40 CFR part 51, subpart S.

[FR Doc. 03–1234 Filed 1–21–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

##### 47 CFR Part 20

[CC Docket No. 94–102; FCC 02–318]

##### Compatibility With Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems; PSAP E911 Service Readiness

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; petitions for reconsideration.

SUMMARY: This document responds to petitions for reconsideration of the Commission’s October 2001 decision which addressed a petition from the city of Richardson, Texas by adopting rules

that clarify what constitutes a valid Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) to trigger a wireless carrier’s obligation to provide E911 service to the PSAP within six months. The document modifies the Commission’s rules to provide additional clarification regarding PSAP readiness. The action is taken to respond to the petitions for reconsideration and to promote rapid E911 implementation.

DATES: Effective February 21, 2003, except for §§ 20.18(j)(4) and (5), which contain information collection requirements that are not effective until approved by the Office of Management and Budget. The Commission is seeking emergency approval from the Office of Management and Budget for these collections. Public comment on the information collections on these PRA burdens are due March 24, 2003. The Commission will publish a document in the **Federal Register** announcing the effective date of these sections.

##### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennifer Salhus, Attorney, 202–418–1310. For further information concerning the information collection contained in this Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, contact Judith Boley Herman, Federal Communications Commission, 202–418–0214, or via the Internet at [jboley@fcc.gov](mailto:jboley@fcc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission’s Order on Reconsideration (Recon) in CC Docket No. 94–102; FCC 02–318, adopted November 21, 2002, and released November 26, 2002. The complete text of the Recon and the Supplemental Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is available on the Commission’s Internet site, at <http://www.fcc.gov>, and is also available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Courtyard Level, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The text may also be purchased from the Commission’s copy contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., CY-B4202, Washington, DC 20554 (telephone 202–863–2893).

##### Synopsis of the Order on Reconsideration

1. The Recon responds to two petitions for reconsideration of the Commission’s Order (60 FR 55618, November 2, 2001) in this proceeding. The Order, in further response to a petition filed by the city of Richardson, Texas, adopted rules clarifying what constitutes a valid PSAP request to trigger a wireless carrier’s obligation to provide E911 service to that PSAP within six months. The Recon modifies