

Denver Hub:

Denver	2,488,179	37
Total	2,488,179	37

San Francisco Hub:

Honolulu (Guam)	1,711,728	10
Phoenix	1,844,180	27
Sacramento	1,949,590	22
San Francisco	4,942,696	47
Total	10,448,194	106

Los Angeles Hub:

Los Angeles	7,620,592	85
Total	7,620,592	85

Seattle Hub:

Anchorage	1,711,728	10
Portland	1,990,603	26
Seattle	2,416,797	28
Total	6,119,128	64
National Total	\$116,760,434	1,466

NOTE: In the event that an applicant submits an application requesting more units than allocated for the applicable Multifamily Program Center in the amended Allocation Chart, HUD will reduce the number of units requested to the revised number of units allocated to that Multifamily Program Center, provided the number requested does not exceed the original number of units allocated to that Multifamily Program Center in accordance with the requirements in Section IV(B) of this program section of the SuperNOFA.

BILLING CODE 4210-27-C

On page 21947, in the middle column, under section V. "Application Selection Process," in the list of Exhibits, after (4)(d)(viii) "Seek alternate site," the list is corrected by inserting the following: "(4)(d)(ix) Exception to project size limit."

On page 21949, in the middle column, paragraph (a)(i) under Rating Factor 3 is amended to read as follows: "(a)(i) (10 points) Site Approvability—The proximity or accessibility of the site to shopping, medical facilities, transportation, places of worship, recreational facilities, places of employment, and other necessary services to the intended tenants; adequacy of utilities and streets, and freedom of the site from adverse environmental conditions (based on site visit for site control projects only); and compliance with site and neighborhood standards in 24 CFR 891.125(a), (d) and (e) and 24 CFR 891.320. Sites where amenities are accessible other than by project residence or private vehicle will be rated more favorably."

On page 21968, the description of what is required for a section 202 or 811

application to earn two bonus points is amended to read as follows:

Bonus Points (2 Bonus Pts)

(Exhibit References: Exhibits 1 and 8(h))

"Location of proposed site in a Federally designated RC/EZ/EC community that will serve residents of the RC/EZ/EC and is consistent with the strategic plan of the RC/EZ/EC."

2. Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program Notice of Funding Availability, which begins at 68 FR 21921:

On page 21924, in the first column, HUD amends the first full paragraph to read as follows: "The allocation formula used for section 202 reflects the 'relevant characteristics of prospective program participants,' as specified in 24 CFR 791.402(a). The FY 2003 formula consists of two data elements from the 2000 Census: (1) number of elderly renter households of all sizes (householder age 65 and older) paying more than 30 percent of their incomes for gross rent and (2) number of elderly households (householder age 60 and older) living alone with incomes below the poverty level."

Dated: May 16, 2003.

Sean G. Cassidy,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

[FR Doc. 03-13196 Filed 5-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-27-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4513-N-12]

Credit Watch Termination Initiative

AGENCY: Office of Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice advises of the cause and effect of termination of Origination Approval Agreements taken by HUD's Federal Housing Administration (FHA) against HUD-approved mortgagees through its Credit Watch Termination Initiative. This notice includes a list of mortgagees which have had their Origination Approval Agreements (Agreements) terminated.