

TABLE 5.—8-HOUR OZONE NAAQS IMPLEMENTATION ELEMENTS/OPTIONS GROUPED INTO FRAMEWORKS FOR PROPOSAL
 [This table only summarizes the options and approaches; the full description of the approach or option in the proposed rulemaking should be consulted]

Implementation element	Framework 1	Framework 2
A. Will subpart 1 or subpart 2 govern classifications?	Classify all areas under subpart 2 using 8-hour design values. (Option 1)	Areas with a 1-hour design value \geq 0.121 ppm would be classified under subpart 2 using 8-hour design values. Areas with a 1-hour design value < 0.121 ppm would be covered under subpart 1. (Option 2)
B. Will areas under subpart 1 be classified?	N/A	No classification. (Option 1)
C. When may the State treat measures that applied for purposes of the 1-hour standard as contingency measures, consistent with section 110(l).	When the area attains the 8-hour ozone standard and is designated attainment.	When the area achieves the level of the 1-hour standard.
D.1. How will the 15 percent VOC ROP requirement apply?	All areas classified as moderate or above for the 8-hour NAAQS must achieve a 15 percent reduction in VOC emissions for the first 6 years after the base year (2002). (Option 1)	A moderate area that already achieved a 15 percent VOC reduction for the 1-hour ozone standard would be considered to have met the 15 percent requirement already and may instead implement RFP consistent with section 172(c). An area classified as serious or above that already achieved a 15 percent VOC reduction would be considered to have met the 15 percent requirement so it could choose to achieve an average of three percent per year of VOC or NO _x reductions for the 6-year period. (Option 2)
D.2. What is the baseline year for the emission inventory used for RFP/ROP?	All areas would use a 2002 baseline year for preparation of the emissions inventory.	
D.3. What restrictions on creditable measures for RFP/ROP under the 8-hour standard (subpart 2 areas only) will apply?	All emissions reductions that occur after the baseline emissions inventory year from post-1990 Federal measures and any other measures would be creditable for ROP/RFP, except those specifically prohibited in section 182(b)(1)(D).	
D.4. What will RFP be for areas classified under subpart 1?	N/A	<p>a. <i>Areas with attainment dates 3 years or less after designation.</i> As with marginal areas, those areas would not be subject to a separate RFP requirement.</p> <p>b. <i>Areas with attainment dates between 3 to 6 years after designation.</i> No separate RFP demonstration required except RFP would be met if a State demonstrates emissions reductions needed for attainment would be achieved by the attainment date. (Option 1)</p> <p>c. <i>Areas with attainment dates beyond 6 years after designation.</i> The RFP plan submission would be due with the attainment demonstration within 3 years after designation and would need to provide for certain increments of reductions from the baseline emission year out to the attainment year, proportionate to the time between the base year and the attainment year. (Option 1)</p>
D.5. How would the 8-hour ROP requirement fit with the 1-hour ROP requirement?	The area would develop new baseline and new standard for the entire area and could drop the standard for the entire area and could drop the 1-hour standard target for any periods that overlap with an 8-hour RFP period.	ROP emission reduction targets for the 8-hour standard for the entire area and could drop the 1-hour standard target for any periods that overlap with an 8-hour RFP period.
E. What's the RACT requirement for areas covered under subpart 1?	N/A	If the area is able to demonstrate attainment of the standard as expeditiously as practicable with emission control measures in the SIP, then RACT will be met, and additional measures would not be required as being reasonably available. (Option 2)
F. What will be the NSR requirement?	Status quo approach for all areas—areas subject to NSR obligations for their 8-hour classifications under subpart 2. (Option 1)	<p>Three options which could be implemented in conjunction with each other: Status quo approach for all areas (subpart 1 areas get subpart 1 NSR, subpart 2 areas get subpart 2 NSR) (Option 1);</p> <p>AND</p> <p>A more flexible NSR program (<i>i.e.</i>, allowing a pool of offsets, more flexible technology control requirement) for areas that submit early SIPs ("transitional" NSR program) (Option 2);</p>