

prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before July 7, 2003.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Randy Terry at the EPA, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

Copies of the State submittal(s) are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency,
Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61
Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia
30303-8960. Randy Terry, 404/562-
9032.

North Carolina Department of
Environment and Natural Resources,
512 North Salisbury Street, Raleigh,
North Carolina 27604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Randy B. Terry at 404/562-9032, or by
electronic mail at terry.randy@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For
additional information see the direct
final rule which is published in the
Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 30, 2003.

A. Stanley Meiburg,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.
[FR Doc. 03-12023 Filed 6-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 140-0396; FRL-7509-4]

Disapproval of State Implementation Plan Revisions, Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District, Butte County Air Quality Management District, Kern County Air Pollution Control District, Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, and Shasta County Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to
disapprove revisions to the Antelope
Valley Air Quality Management District
(AVAQMD), Butte County Air Quality
Management District (BCAQMD), Kern
County Air Pollution Control District
(KCAPCD), Mojave Desert Air Quality
Management District (MDAQMD), and
Shasta County Air Quality Management
District (SHAQMD) portions of the
California State Implementation Plan
(SIP) concerning excess emissions. We
are proposing action on local rules that
regulate these emissions under the
Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA
or the Act). We are taking comments on
this proposal and plan to follow with a
final action.

DATES: Any comments must arrive by
July 7, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andrew
Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-
4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental
Protection Agency, Region IX, 75
Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA
94105 or e-mail to
steckel.andrew@epa.gov.

You can inspect copies of the
submitted rule revisions and EPA's
technical support documents (TSDs) at
our Region IX office during normal
business hours. You may also see copies

of the submitted rule revisions at the
following locations:

California Air Resources Board,
Stationary Source Division, Rule
Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street,
Sacramento, CA 95814.
Antelope Valley AQMD, 43301 Division
St., Ste. 206, Lancaster, CA 93535-
4649.
Butte County AQMD, 2525 Dominic
Drive, Suite J, Chico, CA 95928-7184.
Kern County APCD, 2700 "M" Street,
Suite 302, Bakersfield, CA 93301-
2370.
Mojave Desert AQMD, 14306 Park
Avenue, Victorville, CA 92392-2310.
Shasta County AQMD, 1855 Placer
Street, Ste. 101, Redding, CA 96001-
1759.

Copies of the rules may also be
available via the Internet at [http://
www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdb.txt.htm](http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdb.txt.htm).
Please be advised that this is not an EPA
Web site and may not contain the same
version of the rule that was submitted
to EPA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Thomas C. Canaday, EPA Region IX,
(415) 947-4121.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Throughout this document, "we," "us,"
and "our" refer to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. The State's Submittal
 - A. What rules did the State submit?
 - B. Are there other versions of these rules?
 - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rules?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action
 - A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?
 - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?
 - C. Proposed action and public comment
- III. Administrative Requirements

I. The State's Submittal

A. What Rules Did the State Submit?

Table 1 lists the rules proposed for
disapproval with the date that they were
adopted and submitted by the California
Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1.—SUBMITTED RULES

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
AVAQMD	430	Breakdown Provisions	03/17/98	02/16/99
BCAQMD	275	Reporting Procedures for Excess Emissions	02/15/96	05/10/96
KCAPCD	111	Equipment Breakdown	05/02/96	07/23/96
MDAQMD	430	Breakdown Provisions	12/21/94	01/24/95
SHAQMD	3:10	Excess Emissions	12/05/95	05/10/96

On April 23, 1999, we determined
that the AVAQMD Rule 430 submittal
met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR
part 51 Appendix V, which must be met

before formal EPA review. On July 19,
1996, we determined that the BCAQMD
Rule 275 submittal and the SHAQMD
Rule 3:10 submittal met the

completeness criteria. On October 30,
1996, we determined that the KCAPCD
Rule 111 submittal met the
completeness criteria and on February