

CAS No.	Substance	Effective date	Reporting date
12083-48-6	Vanadium, dichlorobis (.eta.5-2,4-cyclopentadien-1-yl)-.	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
12166-27-7	Vanadium sulfide (VS)	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
12439-96-2	Vanadium, oxo[sulfato(2-)-.kappa.O]-, pentahydrate [Vanadyl sulfate (VOSO4), pentahydrate].	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
12604-58-9	Vanadium alloy, base, V,C,Fe (Ferrovanadium) ...	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
13470-26-3	Vanadium bromide (VBr3)	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
13476-99-8	Vanadium, tris(2,4-pentanedionato-.kappa.O,.kappa.O')-, (OC-6-11)- [Vanadium tris(acetylacetonate)].	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
13497-94-4	Silver vanadium oxide (AgVO3)	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
13517-26-5	Sodium vanadium oxide (Na4V2O7) [Sodium pyrovanadate].	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
13718-26-8	Vanadate (VO31-), sodium [Sodium metavanadate].	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
13721-39-6	Sodium vanadium oxide (Na3VO4) [Sodium orthovanadate].	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
13769-43-2	Vanadate (VO31-), potassium [Potassium metavanadate].	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
13930-88-6	Vanadium, oxo[29H,31H-phthalocyaninato(2-)-*COM001*.kappa.N29,.kappa.N30,.kappa.N31,.kappa.N32]-, (SP-5-12)-.	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
14059-33-7	Bismuth vanadium oxide (BiVO4)	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
19120-62-8	Vanadium, tris(2-methyl-1-propanolato)oxo-, (T-4)- [Isobutyl orthovanadate].	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
24646-85-3	Vanadium nitride (VN)	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
27774-13-6	Vanadium, oxo[sulfato(2-)-.kappa.O]- [Vanadyl sulfate].	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
30486-37-4	Vanadium hydroxide oxide (V(OH)2O)	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
39455-80-6	Ammonium sodium vanadium oxide	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
53801-77-7	Bismuth vanadium oxide	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
65232-89-5	Vanadium hydroxide oxide phosphate	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
68130-18-7	Vanadium hydroxide oxide phosphate (V6(OH)3O3(PO4)7).	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
68815-09-8	Naphthenic acids, vanadium salts	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003
68990-29-4	Balsams, copaiba, sulfurized, vanadium salts	July 11, 2003	September 9, 2003

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 03-14749 Filed 6-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**National Highway Traffic Safety Administration****49 CFR Part 571****[Docket No. NHTSA-03-15343]****RIN 2127-AJ09****Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Occupant Crash Protection**

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA); Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This rule corrects errors in Figures 6a, 6b, 7, 8, and 9 of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 208, *Occupant crash protection* (FMVSS No. 208). These figures were added to the standard in two separate and unrelated rulemakings. They provide instructions

regarding format that are inconsistent with the requirements in the regulatory text of the standard. This document resolves the problem by removing the inconsistent instructions from the figures.

DATES: This final rule is effective June 11, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For non-legal issues, you may contact Lou Molino, Office of Crashworthiness Standards, Light Duty Vehicle Division, NVS-112. Telephone: (202) 366-2264. Fax: (202) 493-2739.

For legal issues, you may contact Rebecca MacPherson, Office of Chief Counsel, NCC-20. Telephone: (202) 366-2992. Fax: (202) 366-3820.

You may send mail to these officials at the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 19, 2001, NHTSA provided Mr. Todd Mitchell of ITW Meyercord with a letter of legal interpretation stating that three of four warning labels specified in FMVSS No. 208 were required to be outlined with black horizontal and

vertical lines. This interpretation was based on the figures in the standard for those labels. The figures depict labels with an outline and contain an instruction specifying the color of the outline ("Label Outline, Vertical and Horizontal Line Black"). Based on the depiction of an outline and on the specification of a color for the outline, the agency concluded that an outline is required. NHTSA determined that the fourth label is not required to have an outline because the instructions in the figure for that label do not include a color specification explicitly referring to an "outline."¹

On May 2, 2001, the Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers submitted a letter to NHTSA asking the agency to reconsider the interpretation. It argued that the regulatory text of the standard neither requires conformity with the format of the label shown in the figures

¹ NHTSA determined that the labels depicted in Figures 6a, 6b, and 8 required an outline. The label depicted in Figure 6c did not. Two other labels, depicted in the regulation in Figures 7 and 9, were not addressed. However, the response would have been the same as the agency response regarding Figures 6a, 6b, and 8.