

written description of the scope of this order remains dispositive.

Final Results of the Review

No changes to our analysis in the preliminary results are warranted for purposes of these final results. Accordingly, the weighted-average dumping margin for Metal Forgings for the period January 1, 2001 through July 31, 2001, is as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin
Metal Forgings Pvt. Ltd	0.00%

Cash Deposit Requirements

Bonding is no longer permitted to fulfill security requirements for shipments from Metal Forgings of certain forged stainless steel flanges from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of new shipper review. The following cash-deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after the publication date as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act:

- For subject merchandise manufactured and exported by Metal Forgings, no cash deposit is required. In accordance with the practice established in *Fresh Garlic From The People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 67 FR 72139 (December 4, 2002) and *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China*, 67 FR 52442 (August 12, 2002), the new shipper cash deposit rate will only apply to the merchandise subject to this new shipper review, *i.e.*, merchandise produced and exported by Metal Forgings.

- For subject merchandise exported by Metal Forgings but not manufactured by Metal Forgings, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the manufacturer.

- If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer in the most recent segment of these proceedings in which that manufacturer participated.

- Finally, if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be 162.14 percent, the all others

rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act, and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: December 18, 2002.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-78 Filed 1-2-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-803]

Heavy Forged Hand Tools from the People's Republic of China: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 3, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Martin or Thomas Futtner, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department

of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3936 and (202) 482-3814, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 1, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of opportunity to request administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China covering the period February 1, 2001 through January 31, 2002 (67 FR 4945). On February 28, 2002, Tianjin Machinery Import & Export Corporation (TMC), Shandong Machinery Import & Export Corporation (SMC), and Liaoning Machinery Import & Export Corporation (LMC) requested administrative reviews in the above-referenced proceedings. Specifically, TMC requested reviews of the hammers/sledges, bars/wedges, picks/matlocks and axes/adzes orders, SMC requested reviews of the hammers/sledges, bars/wedges, picks/matlocks orders, and LMC requested a review of the bars/wedges order. Based on these requests, the Department initiated the current administrative reviews of TMC, SMC and LMC under the requested orders on March 20, 2002. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part*, 67 FR 14696 (March 27, 2002). The petitioner, Ames True Temper, did not submit any requests for reviews of these orders.

On May 3, 2002, LMC withdrew its request for review of the bars/wedges order. On May 10, 2002, TMC withdrew its requests for review of the hammers/sledges and picks/matlocks orders. On June 7, 2002, SMC withdrew its request for review under the picks/matlocks order. Additionally, on September 26, 2002, TMC withdrew its requests for review of the axes/adzes order and bars/wedges order, and SMC withdrew its requests for review of the bars/wedges and hammers/sledges orders.

On October 9, 2002, the petitioner filed comments in opposition to these withdrawal requests made on September 26, 2002.

Rescission of Review

According to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its requests within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of such review, or at a later date if the Department determines that such an extended time is reasonable. TMC's withdrawal requests for the reviews of