

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 13, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie Santoboni (AD) or Geoffrey Craig (CVD), Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4194 and (202) 482-5256, respectively.

Postponement of Final Determinations and Extension of Provisional Measures

On May 1, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") issued its affirmative preliminary determinations in the antidumping duty investigations of certain durum wheat and hard red spring wheat from Canada (*see Notice of Preliminary Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Durum Wheat and Hard Red Spring Wheat from Canada*, 68 FR 24707 (May 8, 2003)). This notice stated we would issue our final determinations in these investigations within 75 days of the date of these preliminary determinations.

On May 16, 2003, in accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act") and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), the sole respondent in these investigations, the Canadian Wheat Board ("CWB"), requested a 37-day postponement of the final determinations in the antidumping duty investigations of durum wheat and hard red spring wheat from Canada. The CWB also requested that the Department extend provisional measures from four months for an additional 37 days, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2). Furthermore, the CWB reserved the right to request an additional extension of the final determinations for up to the full 60 days, or 135 days after the publication date of the preliminary determinations, under section 735(a)(2) of the Act. Because the preliminary determinations for Canada were affirmative, the CWB's request serves as an adequate basis upon which the Department may extend the final determinations.

As no compelling reason exists for denying the request for postponement, we are extending these final determinations to not later than 112 days after the date of publication of the preliminary determinations (*i.e.*, not later than August 28, 2003). Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

Further, because the final determinations in the countervailing duty investigations of durum wheat and hard red spring wheat from Canada have been aligned with the final determinations in the antidumping duty investigations of durum wheat and hard

red spring wheat from Canada (*see Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations and Alignment of Final Countervailing Duty Determinations With Final Antidumping Duty Determinations: Certain Durum Wheat and Hard Red Spring Wheat from Canada*, 68 FR 11374 (March 10, 2003)), we are postponing the final determinations in the countervailing duty investigations until August 28, 2003, accordingly.

This notice of postponement is published pursuant to section 735(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: May 29, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-14981 Filed 6-12-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-836]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Final Results of New Shipper Review: Glycine from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the final results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China (PRC) until no later than August 8, 2003. The period of review is March 1, 2001, through February 28, 2002. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 13, 2003

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scot Fullerton or Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1386 or (202) 482-2312, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act requires the Department to issue the final results of a new shipper review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Department determines the issues are extraordinarily

complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the final results to up to 150 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued.

Background

On May 1, 2002, the Department received a properly filed request for a new shipper review from Tianjin Tiancheng Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (TTPC) for the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC. On May 24, 2002, the Department published its initiation of this new shipper review for the period March 1, 2001, through February 28, 2002. *See Glycine from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping New Shipper Review*, 67 FR 36572 (May 24, 2002). The Department completed the preliminary results of this new shipper review on March 11, 2003. *See Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Glycine from the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 13669 (March 20, 2003). Without an extension, the final results of this new shipper review would be due on June 9, 2003.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the final results of a new shipper review if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. The Department has determined that this case is extraordinarily complicated because of the issues that must be addressed, and the final results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 90 days.

Both respondent and petitioner submitted new factual information in their case and rebuttal briefs past established deadlines. After reviewing the briefs and deciding what constituted new factual information, the Department instructed parties to refile their case and rebuttal briefs on May 16, 2003. In addition, the Department became aware of a clerical filing error in petitioners' April 25, 2003 submission. The correction of this error on May 19, 2003, which resulted in new factual information submitted with the agreement of the Department, necessitated the opportunity for respondent to rebut this information within 10 days.

The refile of a number of documents in this review present the Department with limited time in which to evaluate the arguments contained therein and come to a decision by the current