

general aviation. The petitioners state the aerial threat may also entail explosives delivered via mortars and other means (e.g., rocket propelled grenades) as deemed appropriate by the NRC. The petitioners assert that if the aerial hazards evaluation determines that all targets within a target set are likely to be disabled, at least three options are available to the plant's owner to remedy the vulnerability:

(1) Other equipment outside of and not affected by the impact zone could be added to the target set. Using the sample target sets, a fifth makeup water supply system could be added if it were outside the impact zone and could adequately cool the reactor core.

(2) Protection in place for at least one of the targets within the existing target set could be provided. Using Target Set 9 from the sample target sets, if an aircraft impact at the location of the low pressure supply system and the alternate low pressure supply system potentially caused collateral damage to the discharge pathway for the emergency high pressure supply system, it might be possible to install a shield wall or screen to protect the exposed pathway.

(3) Affected portions of a system could be relocated to a safe place outside the impact zone. Using Target Set 5 from the sample target sets, if the only part of the Emergency High Pressure Supply System within the impact zone was the power cable for the pump, that power cable could be rerouted.

The petitioners believe that while an aerial hazards analysis established adequate protection, for those that may not be at nuclear power plants, it would also provide the means to ensure that future changes to plant structures and procedures do not compromise that protection.

## Conclusion

The petitioners believe that the proposed changes to 10 CFR 50.59 and 10 CFR 50.54(p) integrate the safety and security evaluations performed for proposed changes to plant safety equipment and procedures, thereby providing better protection against radiological sabotage. Also, the petitioners believe the proposed changes to part 50 provide a formal, structured approach for managing the risk from aerial hazards comparable to the regulatory approach already adopted for managing the risk from fire hazards. The petitioners state that if September 11, 2001, featured one of the hijacked aircraft hitting a U.S. nuclear power plant, the formal, structured approach being sought by this petition would have been undertaken as a necessary step to prevent another event. The petitioners state that if these changes are good measures to prevent recurrence, they represent even better measures to prevent occurrence in the first place.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of June, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Annette Vietti-Cook,**

*Secretary for the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 03-15123 Filed 6-13-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-U

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

#### 12 CFR Chap. I

[Docket No. 03-10]

### BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

#### 12 CFR Chap. II

[Docket No. R-1151]

### FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

#### 12 CFR Chap. III

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Office of Thrift Supervision

#### 12 CFR Chap. V

[No. 2003-20]

### Regulatory Publication and Review Under the Economic Growth and Regulatory Paperwork Reduction Act of 1996

**AGENCIES:** Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), Treasury; Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board); Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC); and Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice of regulatory review; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The OCC, Board, FDIC, and OTS ("we" or "the Agencies") are beginning a review of our regulations to reduce burden imposed on insured depository institutions, as required by section 2222 of the Economic Growth and Regulatory Paperwork Reduction Act of 1996. We have categorized our regulations for the purpose of the review and propose to publish 12 categories of regulations for review between now and 2006. The categories, and the regulations that the Agencies consider to be part of those categories, are detailed below. This review presents a significant opportunity to consider the possibilities for burden reduction among groups of similar regulations. We

welcome comment on the categories, the order of review, and all other aspects of the project in order to maximize its effectiveness.

Today, we are publishing our first in a series of public releases, comprising three of the categories—"Applications and Reporting," "Powers and Activities," and "International Operations"—for public comment so as to identify outdated, unnecessary, or unduly burdensome regulatory requirements imposed on insured depository institutions. Since we will publish a series of releases containing requests for comment on the remaining categories, it is not recommended that burden reduction comments be submitted now for any regulations in other categories.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received no later than September 15, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Due to delays in paper mail delivery in the Washington area, commenters may prefer to submit their comments by alternate means.

Comments should be directed to:

**OCC:** Public Information Room, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 250 E Street, SW., Mailstop 1-5, Washington, DC 20219, Attention: Docket No. 03-10. Comments will be available for public inspection and photocopying at the same location. You can make an appointment to inspect the comments by calling (202) 874-5043. *Facsimiles:* Send facsimile transmissions to FAX Number (202) 874-4448. *E-mail:* Send e-mails to [regs.comments@occ.treas.gov](mailto:regs.comments@occ.treas.gov).

**Board:** Comments should refer to Docket No. R-1151 and should be mailed to Ms. Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20551, or mailed electronically to [regs.comments@federalreserve.gov](mailto:regs.comments@federalreserve.gov). Members of the public may inspect comments in Room MP-500 of the Martin Building between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. on weekdays in accordance with the Board's Rules Regarding Availability of Information, 12 CFR part 261.

**FDIC:** Mail: Written comments should be addressed to Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary, Attention: Comments, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20429. *Delivery:* Comments may be hand delivered to the guard station at the rear of the 550 17th Street Building (located on F Street) on business days between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m. You also may