

additional comment on the proposed action or any of the possible alternatives so that any revisions or additions to these alternatives may be considered.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review

A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the

public record on this proposal, and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, section 21)

Dated: June 10, 2003.

Nora B. Rasure,

Forest Supervisor, Coconino National Forest.

[FR Doc. 03-15092 Filed 6-13-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

The U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board announces that it will convene a Public Meeting beginning at 10 a.m. local time on June 25, 2003, at the Wyndham City Center Hotel, 1143 New Hampshire Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20037. The Board will consider: a staff update on current investigations, review a bulletin on the dangers of nitrogen asphyxiation; review the status of recommendations made in prior CSB investigations; discuss the possibility of future hazard studies; hear a status report on the CSB redesign of its web site; discuss the reactivities roundtable meeting held on June 10, 2003; and finally, review and possibly vote on the agency's revised five-year Strategic Plan.

Recommendations are issued by a vote of the Board and address an identified safety deficiency uncovered during the investigation, and specify how to correct the situation. Safety recommendations are the primary tool used by the Board to motivate implementation of safety improvements and prevent future incidents. The CSB uses its unique independent accident investigation perspective to identify trends or issues that might otherwise be overlooked. CSB recommendations may be directed to corporations, trade associations, government entities, safety organizations, labor unions and others. For an update on the status of all outstanding recommendations, go to the CSB Web site at <http://www.csb.gov>.

All staff presentations are preliminary and are intended solely to allow the Board to consider in a public forum the relevant issues and factors.

The meeting is open to the public. Please notify the CSB if a translator or interpreter is needed 5 business days prior to the public meeting. For more information, please contact Dan Horowitz at 202-261-7613.

Christopher W. Warner,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 03-15276 Filed 6-12-03; 2:36 pm]

BILLING CODE 6350-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1278]

Grant of Authority for Subzone Status, Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC (Motor Vehicles), Montgomery, AL

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for “* * * the establishment * * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes,” and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

Whereas, the Montgomery Area Chamber of Commerce, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 222, has made application for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the motor vehicle manufacturing plant of Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC, located in Montgomery, Alabama (FTZ Docket 55-2002, filed 11-27-2002);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 72914, 12-9-2003);

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status at the motor vehicle manufacturing plant of Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC, located in Montgomery, Alabama (Subzone 222A), at the location described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including section 400.28.