

The TAA petition was filed on behalf of workers at Kayser-Roth Corporation, Creedmoor Facility, Creedmoor, North Carolina engaged in activities related to the distribution services of "No Nonsense" leg-wear. The petition was denied because the petitioning workers did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222(3) of the Act.

The workers allege that layoffs at Kayser-Roth Corporation, Creedmoor Facility, Creedmoor, North Carolina, were directly "due to free trade" and supply supplemental information to confirm this.

The worker allegations of trade impact would only be relevant if all other eligibility requirements for trade adjustment assistance were met in this case. However, distribution services do not meet the definition of production of an article as established in Section 222 of the Trade Act, thus the workers in this case do not meet the eligibility requirements of TAA.

Only in very limited instances are service workers certified for TAA, namely the worker separations must be caused by a reduced demand for their services from a parent or controlling firm or subdivision whose workers produce an article and who are currently under certification for TAA.

### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of June, 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance*

[FR Doc. 03-15476 Filed 6-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,340]

#### Lear Corporation, Electrical and Electronics Division (LEED), Plant 074, Peru, IN; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of April 28, 2003, the Paper, Allied-Industrial, Chemical & Energy Workers International Union requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's

negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on March 17, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 2, 2003 (68 FR 16093).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Lear Corporation Electrical and Electronics Division (LEED), Plant 074, Peru, Indiana was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of customers of the workers' firm. The survey revealed that none of the respondents increased their purchases of imported plastic parts for automotive fuse boxes and wire harnesses. The company did not import plastic parts for automotive fuse boxes and wire harnesses in the relevant period nor did it shift production to a foreign source.

The union asserts that the company shifted production to Mexico, and most specifically alleges that a specific part number (#90142) is currently being made at a Mexican facility.

Further investigation, including contact with the company, revealed that the part specified was shifted to another domestic facility. Further, a company official reconfirmed what was established in the original investigation; no production was shifted from the Peru, Indiana facility to a foreign source.

### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of June, 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-15472 Filed 6-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,884]

#### Louisiana Pacific Corporation, Belgrade Studmill, Belgrade, MT; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on May 28, 2003 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Louisiana Pacific Corporation, Belgrade Studmill, Belgrade, Montana.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of June 2003.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-15489 Filed 6-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,648]

#### National Steel Company, Granite City, IL; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 30, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by International Chemical Workers Union Council, Local 50, on behalf of workers at U.S. Steel Company, Granite City, Illinois. Evidence developed in the course of the investigation revealed that workers at this location were employed by National Steel Corporation on the date the petition was filed.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an earlier petition filed on April 24, 2003 (TA-W-51,611) that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would duplicate efforts and serve no purpose; therefore the