

scenarios modeled are the same and the conditions at the time of trip, as modeled in the various safety analyses are the same. Therefore, the consequences of an accident will be the same as those previously analyzed.

Therefore, since the actual plant configuration, performance of systems, and initiating event mechanisms are not being changed, TVA has concluded that the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

No. There are no changes in operation of the plant that could introduce a new failure mode. No new accident scenarios have been identified. Operation of the plant will be consistent with that previously modeled, *i.e.*, the time of reactor trip in the various safety analyses is the same, thus plant response will be the same and will not introduce any different accident scenarios that have not been evaluated.

Therefore, TVA concludes that this proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

No. The proposed change reflects changes due to the method used to verify RCS flow at the beginning of each cycle. However, no changes to the Safety Analysis assumptions were required; therefore, the margin of safety will remain the same. Therefore, TVA concludes that the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Attorney for licensee: General Counsel, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, ET 11A, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902.

NRC Section Chief: Allen G. Howe.

Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

During the period since publication of the last biweekly notice, the Commission has issued the following amendments. The Commission has determined for each of these amendments that the application complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in

10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for A Hearing in connection with these actions was published in the **Federal Register** as indicated.

Unless otherwise indicated, the Commission has determined that these amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these amendments. If the Commission has prepared an environmental assessment under the special circumstances provision in 10 CFR 51.12(b) and has made a determination based on that assessment, it is so indicated.

For further details with respect to the action *see* (1) the applications for amendment, (2) the amendment, and (3) the Commission's related letter, Safety Evaluation and/or Environmental Assessment as indicated. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area 01F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, (301) 415-4737 or by email to pdr@nrc.gov.

AmerGen Energy Company, LLC, et al., Docket No. 50-219, Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Ocean County, New Jersey

Date of application for amendment: December 16, 2002, as supplemented on April 1, 2003.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised the Technical Specifications, changing the safety limit minimum critical power ratio (SLMCPR) from 1.11 to 1.09 for both four- or five-recirculation-loop operation, and from 1.12 to 1.10 for three-recirculation-loop operation. It also added a paragraph to explain that the lower SLMCPR values are due primarily to an improved treatment of the power distribution uncertainty.

Date of issuance: June 5, 2003.

Effective date: June 5, 2003 and shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

Amendment No.: 238.

Facility Operating License No. DPR-16: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: January 21, 2003 (68 FR 2799).

The April 1, 2003, letter provided clarifying information within the scope of the original application and did not change the staff's initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of this amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated June 5, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Duke Energy Corporation, Docket Nos. 50-369 and 50-370, McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, Mecklenburg County, North Carolina

Date of application for amendments: December 2, 2002, as supplemented by letter dated April 14, 2003.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments revise the Technical Specifications for Administrative Controls in Section 5.0 concerning Responsibility, Unit Staff, Unit Staff Qualifications, and controls for the High Radiation Area.

Date of issuance: June 6, 2003.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: 213 and 194.

Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-9 and NPF-17: Amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: January 7, 2003 (68 FR 800).

The supplement dated April 14, 2003, provided clarifying information that did not change the scope of the December 2, 2002, application nor the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated June 6, 2003.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Energy Northwest, Docket No. 50-397, Columbia Generating Station, Benton County, Washington

Date of application for amendment: December 30, 2002, and its supplement dated April 28, 2003.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revises Technical Specification (TS) 2.1.1.2, "Minimum Critical Power Ratio Safety Limit