

Edgar A. Domench, Deputy Assistant Director, Field Operations (East).

**Sherry A. Mahoney,**

*Acting Executive Secretary, Senior Executive Resources Board.*

[FR Doc. 03-16997 Filed 7-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AR-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** 60-day notice of information collection under review; extension of a currently approved collection; requirements: data collection application for the Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant (JAIBG) Program.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collected is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until September 5, 2003. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Rodney Albert, Deputy Director, State Relations and Assistance Division, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20531.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information,

including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Requirements: Data Collection Application for the Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant Program.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* New collection; Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: State. Public Law 105-119, November 26, 1997, Making Appropriations for the Departments of Commerce, Justice and State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies for the Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 1998, and for subsequent funded fiscal years.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* Fifty-six (56) respondents will complete a 1-hour follow-up information form for each unit of local government receiving JAIBG funds and on funds retained by the State for program expenditure.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated total burden hours associated with this information collection 4,200.

*For Further Information Contact:* Ms. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 30, 2003.

**Brenda E. Dyer,**

*Deputy Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 03-16998 Filed 7-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,288 & NAFTA-6104]

#### International Truck and Engine Corp., a Subsidiary of Navistar International Corp., Springfield, Ohio; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

On May 9, 2003, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 29, 2003 (68 FR 32124).

The Department initially denied trade adjustment assistance to workers of International Truck and Engine Corporation, a subsidiary of Navistar International Corporation, Springfield, Ohio because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) and section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974 were not met. The TAA investigation revealed that criterion (3) was not met; the company did not import medium, heavy or severe service trucks and aggregate U.S. imports of medium, heavy, and severe service trucks decreased during the relevant period. The NAFTA-TAA petition for the same worker group was denied because criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements in paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. The subject firm did not import medium, heavy, or severe service trucks, nor was production of medium, heavy, or severe service trucks shifted from the workers' firm to Mexico or Canada.

On reconsideration, as requested by the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace & Agricultural Workers of America—UAW, Region 2B, and Local Unions 402 and 658, the Department considered several allegations and supporting documentation provided by the union to determine if an error had been made in the original negative determination.

The first allegation concerns a shift in production of final cab assembly from the Springfield plant to an affiliated plant in Escobedo, Mexico. To support this allegation, the union provided testimony from two employees who were aware of "knockdown cab assemblies" being shipped to Mexico for final welding.

In response to this allegation, a company official confirmed that the company has cab subassemblies shipped to Mexico from Springfield.