

plume but analysis relied heavily on lab experimentation given lack of actual field data. Field verification of modeled results should be included in the final EIS as well as more detail on the placement of monitoring wells.

ERP No. D-COE-E39061-MS Rating LO, Royal D'Iberville Hotel and Casino Development Project, Construction and Operation, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 and NPDES Permits Issuance, City of D'Iberville on the Back Bay, Mississippi, Gulf Coast, Harrison County, MS.

*Summary:* EPA has no significant environmental concerns regarding construction of this casino/hotel complex.

ERP No. D-COE-G35022-TX Rating LO, Gulf Intracoastal Waterway in the Laguna Madre, Maintenance Dredging from the JFK Causeway to the Old Queen Isabella Causeway, Nueces, Kleberg, Kennedy, Willacy and Cameron County, TX.

*Summary:* EPA has no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative with implementation of the mitigation measures as described in the DEIS.

ERP No. D-COE-K39078-CA Rating LO, Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration Project, Salinity Reduction and Habitat Restoration in the Napa River Unit, San Pablo Bay, Napa and Solano Counties, CA.

*Summary:* EPA supports the objectives of the proposed project to restore salt marsh and managed pond habitat analyzed in the Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration DEIS. EPA has no objections to the proposed project, but requested clarification on the need for a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit.

ERP No. D-FHWJ40160 UT Rating EC2, Southern Corridor Construction, I-15 at Reference Post 2 in St. George to UT-9 near Hurricane, Funding, Right-of-Way Grant and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, St. George, Washington and Hurricane, Washington County, UT.

*Summary:* EPA has environmental concerns about the lack of appropriate analysis for a BLM right-of-way, mitigation for habitat fragmentation, the lack of a comparative analysis for potential interchanges on the highway, and no indirect and cumulative impacts they may have. EPA also recommends additional mitigation for air quality impacts from construction activities and additional mitigation for water quality impacts.

ERP No. D-FHW-L40218-WA Rating EC2, I-90 Two-Way Transit and HOV Operation Project, Provision of Reliable Transportation between Seattle and Bellevue, Sound Transit Regional

Express, U.S. Coast Guard and U.S. Army COE Nationwide Permits Issuance, King County, WA.

*Summary:* While supporting the goals of the project, EPA has environmental concerns about the potential risk of cumulative impacts and induced growth in eastern King County, resulting from the proposed project. EPA also has concerns about user safety, and recommends more of an emphasis on transportation demand and safety management measures.

ERP No. D-NPS-D61056-DC Rating LO, Rock Creek Park and the Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway Project, General Management Plan, Implementation, Washington, DC.

*Summary:* EPA expressed no objection to the proposed action.

However, we requested clarifying information on motorist and visitor safety related to road improvements, including Beach Drive weekday closing and mid-afternoon reopening to motorists and more detail on the implementation plans to protect federally protected species and historic resources in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-NPS-K65253-CA Rating LO, Whiskeytown Fire Management Plan, Implementation, Whiskeytown National Recreation Area, Klamath Mountains, Shasta County, CA.

*Summary:* EPA believes that the mitigation measures proposed for smoke impacts are adequate, therefore EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D-NPS-L61225-AK Rating EC2, Denali National Park and Preserve Backcountry Management Plan and General Management Plan Amendment, Implementation, AK.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential impacts of expanded snowmobile use under the revised plan to aquatic resources, streams, wetlands, soil, vegetation and wildlife. The final EIS should address concerns with recreational snowmobile use and Plan consistency with the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act and the Wilderness Act.

ERP No. D-NRS-E36181-TN Rating LO, Cane Creek Watershed Remedial Plan, Widening and Degradation of the Cane Creek Channel, Lauderdale County, TN.

*Summary:* EPA has no significant environmental concerns regarding construction of the remedial structural and non-structural features as documented.

ERP No. D-NSA-G81012-NM Rating LO, Chemistry and Metallurgy Research Building Replacement Project, Consolidation and Relocation, Los

Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos County, NM.

*Summary:* EPA has no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative and mitigation measures as proposed in the DEIS.

ERP No. DS-AFS-L65357-ID Rating EC2, East Beaver and Miner's Creek Timber Sale and Prescribed Burning Project, Timber Harvesting to Provide Forest Products, Implementation, Dubois Ranger District, Caribou-Targhee National Forest, Clark County, ID.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns related to cumulative impacts from grazing on water quality and adverse impacts to fish and their habitat. The final EIS should further address how the purpose and need and management direction is tied to achieving the desired future condition, the status of road decommissioning in the project area and impacts to tribes, as well as information on tribal consultation.

ERP No. DS-BLM-J65325-WY Rating EC2, Jack Morrow Hills Coordinated Activity Plan/Draft Green River Resource Management Plan Amendment, Updated Information, Rock Springs, Portion of Sweetwater, Fremont and Sublette Counties, WY.

*Summary:* EPA continued to express environmental concerns that the preferred alternative may cause adverse impacts to wildlife and their habitat for the Greater Sage-Grouse, and deer and elk herds. More detail is needed in the adaptive management plan.

ERP No. D1-FHW-L40184-WA Rating EO2, WA-167 Freeway Project, WA-161 (Meridian Street North) in the City of Puyallup to the WA-509 Freeway in the City of Tacoma, Funding, U.S. Coast Guard, NPDES and U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance, Cities of Puyallup, Fife, Edgewood, Milton, and Tacoma, Pierce County, WA.

*Summary:* EPA has environmental objections to the proposed project's potential direct, secondary, and cumulative impacts to aquatic resources (wetlands, groundwater, surface water, and overall hydrological function and connectivity). The quality, quantity and overall effectiveness of mitigative actions should be improved with more analysis and application of the information to target actions. EPA has further objections regarding effects to threatened fish species, the Puyallup Tribe, air toxins, prime farmland, noise impacts, and lack of transportation demand management measures and facilities.