

producing specific accomplishments to be successful. The more recent the experience and the more experience your own staff members who work on the project have in successfully conducting and completing similar activities, the greater the number of points you will receive for this rating factor.

(10 Points) The applicant has adequately described the roles/responsibilities and the knowledge/experience of its overall project director and staff, including the day-to-day program manager, consultants and contractors in planning, managing and implementing projects for which funding is being requested. Staff experience as described in the application is recent (within 5 years), relevant (pertains to the specific activities being proposed) and successful (has produced specific accomplishments).

(5 Points) The applicant has adequately described the roles/responsibilities and the knowledge/experience of its overall project director and staff, including the day-to-day program manager, consultants and contractors in planning, managing and implementing projects for which funding is being requested. However, one of the following applies: staff experience as described in the application is not recent (not within 5 years), is not relevant (does not pertain to the specific activities being proposed), or is not successful (did not produce specific accomplishments).

(0 Points) The applicant has not adequately described the roles/responsibilities and the knowledge/experience of its overall project director and staff, including the day-to-day program manager, consultants and contractors in planning, managing and implementing projects for which funding is being requested or more than one of the following applies: staff experience as described in the application is not recent (not within 5 years), is not relevant (does not pertain to the specific activity being proposed), or is not successful (did not produce specific accomplishments).

(b) (5 points for current ICDBG grantees) (7 points for new applicants) *Project Implementation Plan and Program Evaluation.*

The extent to which your project implementation plan identifies the specific tasks and timelines that you and your partner contractors and/or sub grantees will undertake to complete your proposed project on time and within budget. The Project Implementation Schedule, HUD Form 4125, may serve, as this required

schedule provided that it is sufficiently detailed to demonstrate that you have clearly thought out your project implementation. The extent, to which your project identifies, measures and evaluates the specific benchmarks, outcomes and/or goals of your project. The Logic Model, HUD Form 96010, may serve as the format to address this information.

(5 points for current ICDBG grantees) (7 points for new applicants) The applicant submitted a project implementation plan that clearly specifies project tasks and timelines. The documentation identifies the steps in place to make adjustments to the work plan if tasks are not completed within established timeframes. The applicant submitted clear project benchmarks, outcomes and/or goals and identified objectively quantifiable program measures and/or evaluation process.

(3 points for current ICDBG grantees) (4 points for new applicants) The applicant submitted a project implementation plan that specifies project tasks and timelines. The applicant submitted project benchmarks, outcomes and/or goals, however, did not clearly identify objectively quantifiable program measures and/or the evaluation process.

(0 points for current ICDBG grantees or new applicants) The applicant submitted a project implementation schedule that does not address all project tasks and timelines associated with the project. Project benchmarks, outcomes and/or goals were not submitted, or if submitted, did not address either the quantifiable program measures and/or the evaluation process.

(c) (3 points for current ICDBG grantees) (8 points for new applicants) *Financial Management.*

This subfactor evaluates the extent to which your application describes how your financial management systems will facilitate effective fiscal control over your proposed project and meet the requirements of 24 CFR part 85 and 24 CFR part 1003. You must also describe how you will apply your financial management systems to the specific project for which you are applying. The application must include a tribal resolution or other written document signed by the appropriate entity according to tribal practices that adopts your financial management and/or internal control policies and procedures. The application will also be rated on the seriousness/significance of the findings related to your financial management system identified in your most recent audit. If you are required to have an audit but do not have a recent

audit, you must submit a letter from your Independent Public Accountant stating that your financial management system complies with all applicable regulatory requirements. If you are not required to have an audit, you will automatically receive points for this portion of the subfactor.

(3 points for current ICDBG grantees) (8 points for new applicants) The applicant clearly described how it will apply its financial management systems to the proposed project. A tribal resolution or other written document signed by the appropriate entity according to tribal practices adopting financial management or internal control policies and procedures was included with the application. The applicant's most recent audit does not contain any serious or significant findings related to its financial management system, or if there is no recent audit, the applicant submitted a letter from its Independent Public Accountant stating that its financial management system complies with all applicable regulatory requirements.

(2 points for current ICDBG grantees) (4 points for new applicants) The applicant's most recent audit does not contain any serious or significant findings related to its financial management system, or if there is no recent audit, the applicant submitted a letter from its Independent Public Accountant stating that its financial management system complies with all applicable regulatory requirements. The applicant did not describe how it would apply its financial management systems to the proposed project, or it did not submit a tribal resolution or other written document adopting financial management or internal control policies and procedures.

(1 point for current ICDBG grantees) (2 points for new applicants) The applicant's most recent audit does not contain any serious or significant findings related to its financial management system, or if there is no recent audit, the applicant submitted a letter from its Independent Public Accountant stating that its financial management system complies with all applicable regulatory requirements. The applicant did not describe how it would apply its financial management systems to the proposed project, and it did not submit a tribal resolution or other written document adopting financial management or internal control policies and procedures.

(0 points for current ICDBG grantees or new applicants) The applicant's most recent audit included serious or significant findings related to its financial management systems. No tribal