

instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

*Protest Date:* July 21, 2003.

**Magalie R. Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03-18027 Filed 7-16-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. AC03-46-000, et al.]

#### First Energy, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

July 9, 2003.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

##### 1. First Energy

[Docket No. AC03-46-000]

Take notice that on June 18, 2003, the Lake County, Ohio Auditor and the Lake County, Ohio Board of Revision (Auditor and Board of Revision) submitted a request for a ruling on the appropriate accounting for the reactor building complex at First Energy's Perry Plant. The Auditor and Board of Revision contend that the majority of the costs of the reactor building complex should have been classified to 18 CFR part 101, Account 321, Structures and Improvements, and not to 18 CFR part 101, Account 322, Reactor Plant Equipment. The Auditor and the Board of Revision explain that classification to the former account would result in the costs being taxed as real property by Lake County, while classification to the latter account would result in the costs being taxed as personal property by the State of Ohio.

*Comment Date:* July 31, 2003.

##### 2. PDI Stoneman, Inc. Mid-American Power, LLC

[Docket No. EC03-100-000]

Take notice that PDI Stoneman, Inc. (PDI Stoneman) and Mid-American Power, LLC (Mid-American) (collectively, the Applicants), on June 30, 2003, submitted an application, pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act and part 33 of the Commission's regulations, requesting authorization for PDI Stoneman to complete its acquisition of Mid-American. Mid-American was initially owned by three other companies and is the owner of a single public utility facility, the EJ Stoneman Power Generation Station, a 53 MW generating

plant located in Cassville, Wisconsin, which Mid-American acquired in 1996 from Dairyland Power Cooperative. Applicants request Commission action within the 60-day time period contemplated in Order No. 642 for applications that do not require competitive analysis.

PDI Stoneman states that copies of the filing were served on the Public Service Commissions of Wisconsin and Michigan where PDI Stoneman's regulated utility affiliates provide service.

*Comment Date:* July 21, 2003.

##### 3. West Texas Utilities Company

[Docket No. EL00-79-000]

Take notice that on June 27, 2003, West Texas Utilities Company tendered for filing a refund report in compliance with the Commission's Order, dated December 16, 2001, in *Mid-Tex G&T Electric Cooperative, Inc., et al. v. West Texas Utilities Company*, 97 FERC ¶ 61,376.

*Comment Date:* July 28, 2003.

##### 4. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket Nos. ER97-2355-010 and ER98-2322-005]

Take notice that on July 3, 2003, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) tendered for filing its refund report in compliance with Opinion Nos. 458 and 458-A.

SCE states that copies of this filing were served upon the official service list compiled for these dockets.

*Comment Date:* July 24, 2003.

##### 5. California Independent System Operator Corporation

[Docket No. ER02-2489-002]

Take notice that on July 2, 2003, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) submitted a filing in compliance with the letter order issued by the Commission in Docket No. ER02-2489-001 on June 2, 2003. The ISO states it has served copies of this filing upon all entities that are on the official service list for the docket.

*Comment Date:* July 23, 2003.

##### 6. Empire District Electric Company

[Docket No. ER03-626-002]

Take notice that on July 2, 2003, The Empire District Electric Company (Empire) submitted revisions to its FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, originally submitted on May 14, 2003, in order to comply with FERC Order No. 614. Empire states that copies of this filing were served on parties to this proceeding and on all affected state commissions.

*Comment Date:* July 23, 2003.

##### 7. California Independent System Operator Corporation

[Docket No. ER03-746-001]

Take notice that on July 3, 2003, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) submitted a filing in compliance with the Commission's June 13, 2003 Order issued in Docket No. ER03-746-000, 103 FERC ¶ 61,331. The ISO states that copies of this filing were served upon all entities that are on the official service list for the docket.

*Comment Date:* July 24, 2003.

##### 8. California Power Exchange Corporation

[Docket No. ER03-791-001]

Take notice that on July 2, 2003, the California Power Exchange Corporation made a filing to comply with the Commission's July 1, 2003 Order in Docket No. ER03-791-000, 104 FERC ¶ 61,005.

*Comment Date:* July 23, 2003.

##### 9. American Electric Power Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER03-801-001]

Take notice that on July 3, 2003, American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC) tendered for filing a tariff sheet canceling Ohio Power Company's FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 71, in compliance with the Commission's Letter Order of June 6, 2003 in Docket ER03-801-000.

AEPSC requests an effective date of June 30, 2003 for the cancellation. AEPSC states that it has served copies of the filing upon The Ohio Edison Company, and upon the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

*Comment Date:* July 24, 2003.

##### 10. American Electric Power Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER03-805-001]

Take notice that on July 3, 2003, American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC) tendered for filing a tariff sheet canceling Ohio Power Company's FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 70 and Columbus Southern Power Company's FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 17, in compliance with the Commission's Letter Order of June 6, 2003 in Docket No. ER03-805-000.

AEPSC requests an effective date of June 30, 2003 for the cancellation. AEPSC states that it has served copies of the filing upon Buckeye Power, Inc., the Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company, the Dayton Power & Light Company, Monongahela Power Company and the Toledo Edison Company, and upon the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

*Comment Date:* July 24, 2003.