

practical and independent advice, consultation and recommendations to the Agency on the activities, functions and policies related to the implementation of the SDWA. The Council consists of fifteen members, including a Chairperson, appointed by the Deputy Administrator. Five members represent the general public; five members represent appropriate State and local agencies concerned with water hygiene and public water supply; and five members represent private organizations or groups demonstrating an active interest in the field of water hygiene and public water supply. The SDWA requires that at least two members of the Council represent small, rural public water systems. On December 15 of each year, five members complete their appointment. Therefore, this notice solicits names to fill the five vacancies, with appointed terms ending on December 15, 2006.

Any interested person or organization may nominate qualified individuals for membership. Nominees should be identified by name, occupation, position, address and telephone number. To be considered, all nominations must include a current resume providing the nominee's background, experience and qualifications.

DATES: All nominations must be received by October 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Please submit nominations to Brenda P. Johnson, Designated Federal Officer, National Drinking Water Advisory Council, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (4601), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda P. Johnson at the address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section, by telephone at 202/564-3791, or by e-mail at Johnson.BrendaP@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Persons selected for membership will receive compensation for travel and a nominal daily compensation while attending meetings. The Council holds two face-to-face meetings each year, generally in the spring and fall. Additionally, members may be asked to serve on one of the Council's workgroups that are formed each year to assist the EPA in addressing specific programmatic issues. These workgroup meetings are held approximately four times a year, typically with two meetings by conference call.

Dated: July 22, 2003.

Cynthia C. Dougherty,
Director, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water.

[FR Doc. 03-19008 Filed 7-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6642-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 4, 2003 (68 FR 16511).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-F65042-WI Rating EC2, Sunken Moose Project, Proposal to Restore and/or Maintain the Red and White Pine Communities, Washburn Ranger District, Chequamegon-Nicolet Forest, Bayfield County, WI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns relating to adverse impacts to interior forest species, habitat fragmentation and non-native invasive species.

ERP No. D-DOA-K36137-HI Rating EC2, Lahaina Watershed Flood Control Project, To Reduce Flooding and Erosion Problems, U.S. Army COE Section 404 and NPDES Permits, County of Maui, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the project's impacts on the nearshore marine environment, waters of the U.S., and water quality. In addition, EPA is concerned about the range of alternatives evaluated in the DEIS, and whether future development on adjacent lands have been incorporated into project design and evaluation of impacts.

ERP No. D-FHW-L40217-AK Rating EC2, South Extension of the Coastal Trail Project, Existing Tony Knowles Coastal Trail Extension from Kincaid Park through the Project Area to the Potter Weigh Station, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance, Municipality of Anchorage, Anchorage, Alaska.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns with the proposed project,

particularly concerning impacts to the aquatic environment. EPA recommends alignment modifications to further avoid and minimize adverse impacts. EPA requests further information regarding the affected environment, stream crossings, design detail for accommodating wildlife passage, siting or location clarifications, and other specifics.

ERP No. D-NOA-E91013-00 Rating EC1, Essential Fish Habitat Components of Amendment 13 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan, Selection of the Best Method of Minimizing Impacts of Groundfish Fishing on Essential Fish Habitats, New England Fishery Management Council, ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT, NY, NJ, DE, MD, VA, and NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern on numbers of traps, wet storage of traps and no tag accountability.

ERP No. D-NOA-G39038-LA Rating LO, Programmatic EIS—The Louisiana Regional Restoration Planning Program, Establishment and Implementation of Natural Resource Trust Mandates, LA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-NOA-L91017-00 Rating EO2, Programmatic EIS—Pacific Salmon Fisheries Management Plan, Off the Coasts of Southeast Alaska, Washington, Oregon and California, and the Columbia River Basin, Implementation, Magnuson-Stevens Act, AK, WA, OR, and CA.

Summary: EPA has environmental objections due to uncertainty about the effects of the alternatives on the 26 listed ESUs of salmon. The draft programmatic EIS does not clearly disclose critical information regarding the effect of the alternatives, does not provide identifiable evidence to support its conclusions that all alternatives would not jeopardize ESUs, and does not identify a preferred alternative. EPA recommends that analysis in the final programmatic EIS demonstrate that alternatives would protect and recover the 26 listed species of salmon affected by proposed activities.

ERP No. D-UAF-K11109-AZ Rating LO, Barry M. Goldwater Range (BMGR) Proposed Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan (INRMP), Implementation, Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999 (Pub. L. 106-65) and Sike Act (16 U.S.C. 670), Yuma, Pima and Maricopa Counties, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections but recommended mitigation to protect water quality by restriction on driving recreational vehicles in desert washes.