

STATE RESPONDENT ANNUAL BURDEN HOUR CHART—Continued

30 CFR section	Reporting requirement	Burden hours per response	Annual number of responses	Annual burden hours
227.601(c)	To perform automated verification or production reports or royalty reports, you must: Maintain all documentation and logging procedures * * *.	2	12	24
Total	946	4,179

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping “Non-hour Cost”

Burden: The non-hour cost burden for one State to assume the four additional functions authorized by RSFA is estimated at \$60,000 for electronic processing and imaging capability. Annualized over 3 years, the cost is \$20,000.

Public Disclosure Statement: The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

Comments: Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA requires each agency “* * * to provide notice * * * and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information * * *.” Agencies must specifically solicit comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

To comply with the public consultation process, we published a notice in the **Federal Register** on April 8, 2003, (68 FR 17073) announcing that we would submit this ICR to OMB for approval. The notice provided the required 60-day comment period. We received no comments in response to this notice.

If you wish to comment in response to this notice, you may send your comments to the offices listed under the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collection but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, to ensure maximum consideration, OMB should receive public comments by September 3, 2003.

Public Comment Policy: We will post all comments in response to this notice on our Web site at http://www.mrm.mms.gov/Laws_R_D/InfoColl/InfoColCom.htm. We will also make copies of the comments available for public review, including names and addresses of respondents, during regular business hours at our offices in Lakewood, Colorado. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the public record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the rulemaking record a respondent’s identity, as allowable by law. If you request that we withhold your name and/or address, state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

MMS Information Collection Clearance Officer: Jo Ann Lauterbach, (202) 208–7744.

Dated: July 21, 2003.

Lucy Querques Denett,

Associate Director for Minerals Revenue Management.

[FR Doc. 03–19651 Filed 8–1–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—DVD Copy Control Association (“DVD CCA”)

Notice is hereby given that, on July 2, 2003, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), DVD CCA has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing

changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, CIS Technology, Inc., Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN; DOCdata Benelux (OMM bv), Tilburg, THE NETHERLANDS; EMI Operations Italy S.p.A., Caronno Pertusella, ITALY; Humax Co., Ltd., Gyeonggi-Do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Lu Kee Electronic Co., Ltd., Hong Kong, HONG KONG-CHINA; ODS Optical Disc Service GmbH, Dassow, GERMANY; Shanghai HongSheng (Norcent) Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; TAKT Kwaitkowski & Miadzel Sp.J., Boleslaw, POLAND; Trident Microsystems, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Videon Central, Inc., State College, PA; and Zhejiang HuaHong Optoelectronics Group Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Afreedy Inc., Taipei, TAIWAN; Alphacast Co. Ltd., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Applied Research Corporation, Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN; Esonic Technology Corporation, Taipei, TAIWAN; FM Com Corp., Kyungki-Do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Friendly CD-Tek Corporation, Taipei, TAIWAN; Goldteck International Inc., Taipei, TAIWAN; Great China Technology Inc., Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN; Gynco Electronics (H.K.) Ltd., Hong Kong, HONG KONG-CHINA; Hanbit System Co., Ltd., Kyonggi-do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Hermosa Cysware Ltd., Taipei, TAIWAN; Highlead Technology, Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN; Iavix Technology Co., Ltd., Taipei, TAIWAN; Iomega Corporation, Roy, UT; Jeu Hang Technology Co., Ltd., Taipei, TAIWAN; Jointeck (HK) Limited, Hong Kong, HONG KONG-CHINA; Linux Technology Ltd., Taipei, TAIWAN; Makidol Electronics Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Maxwell Productions, LLC, Scottsdale, AZ; MbyN Inc., Kyungki-do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Media Dimensions, Inc., Austin, TX; Media Group, Inc., Fremont, CA; Megatron Co.,