

become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on all aspects of PLUM, but commentators may wish to focus particular attention on: (a) The appropriateness of the indicators for measuring a CDFI's community development impact, financial condition, portfolio quality, and management capacity; (b) other indicators that may better measure CDFI performance; (c) the Fund's use of PLUM to monitor its portfolio of CDFI

awardees; (d) the Fund's use of PLUM in underwriting; (e) the ability of the Fund to access CAMEL ratings for regulated institutions; (f) the number of peer groups needed to have meaningful peer analysis in the diverse community development field; (g) the number of years of data needed for reliable peer group analysis; (h) awardee and external audience's access to PLUM scores (*i.e.*, should the Fund publicly share PLUM scores); and (i) the frequency with

which the Fund should calculate PLUM scores for each CDFI.

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 4703, 4703 note, 4707, 4710, 4714, 4717; 31 U.S.C. 321; and 12 CFR part 1805.

Dated: August 1, 2003.

Tony T. Brown,

Director, Community Development Financial Institutions Fund.

[FR Doc. 03-20337 Filed 8-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-70-P