

118 analyzed are projected to close under Option 4 and no facility closures are projected under other treatment options.

TABLE X.A-3.—SUMMARY OF PROJECTED FACILITY CLOSURE IMPACTS BY SUBCATEGORY AND OPTION (PRIMARY ANALYSIS)

Option	Number of facilities	Total revenues (\$000)	Employees
<b>Subcategories A through D</b>			
Total Facilities Analyzed <sup>1</sup>	18	\$9,303,506	48,114
Baseline Closures	2	1,000,000–2,500,000	5,000–7,500
Option 2 Closures	0	0	0
Option 2 + P Closures	0	0	0
Option 2.5 Closures	0	0	0
Option 2.5 + P Closures	0	0	0
Option 4 Closures	0	0	0
<b>Subcategory K</b>			
Total Facilities Analyzed <sup>2</sup>	34	\$4,023,230	112,491
Baseline Closures	10	1,584,600	13,260
Option 2 Closures	0	0	0
Option 2 + P Closures	0	0	0
Option 2.5 Closures	0	0	0
Option 2.5 + P Closures	0	0	0
Option 4 Closures	7	250,000–500,000	2,500–5,000

<sup>1</sup>Of the 39 facilities estimated to be in Subcategories A through D, EPA was able to analyze data from surveys representing 18 facilities; the remaining surveys (representing 21 facilities) did not provide sufficient data to be analyzed, and therefore, the number of closures among these facilities is not reflected in the table and is unknown.

<sup>2</sup>Of the 118 facilities estimated to be in Subcategory K, EPA was able to analyze data from surveys representing 34 facilities; the remaining surveys (representing 84 facilities) did not provide sufficient data to be analyzed, and therefore, the number of closures among these facilities is not reflected in the table and is unknown.

In the primary company level closure analysis, one poultry company is projected to close under Option 2 + P, Option 2.5 + P, and Option 4. This company employs between 2,500 and 5,000 workers. The poultry company that is projected to close did not provide facility level financial information, therefore the facilities owned by this company could not be analyzed. Under the sensitivity analysis, the same poultry company (under the same options) is projected to close as well as one red meat company under all treatment options and one mixed meat (*i.e.*, company owns both poultry and red meat facilities) company under Options 2 + P, 2.5 + P, and Option 4.

TABLE X.A-4.—SUMMARY OF PROJECTED COMPANY CLOSURE IMPACTS BY SUBCATEGORY AND OPTION (PRIMARY ANALYSIS)

Option	Baseline conditions and projected incremental closure impacts <sup>1</sup>		
	Number of companies	Total revenues (\$millions)	Employees
<b>Red Meat (Predominantly Own Facilities in Subcategories A through I)</b>			
Total Companies Analyzed	9	\$29,949	80,755
Baseline Closures	1	250–500	1,000–4,000
Option 2 Closures	0	0	0
Option 2 + P Closures	0	0	0
Option 2.5 Closures	0	0	0
Option 2.5 + P Closures	0	0	0
Option 4 Closures	0	0	0
<b>Poultry (Predominantly Own Facilities in Subcategories K and L)</b>			
Total Companies Analyzed	13	\$15,455	136,000
Baseline Closures	6	3,400	31,190
Option 2 Closures	0	0	0
Option 2 + P Closures	1	100–150	2,500–5,000
Option 2.5 Closures	0	0	0
Option 2.5 + P Closures	1	100–150	2,500–5,000
Option 4 Closures	1	100–150	2,500–5,000
<b>Mixed (Own Facilities in Both Red Meat and Poultry Subcategories)</b>			
Total Companies Analyzed	4	89,439	184,834