

rule. (See Section VIII.A.2 for the relevant discussion.)

20. EPA is considering reducing the assumed monitoring frequency from daily to weekly for any new limitations and standards promulgated in this rulemaking. EPA incorporated a weekly monitoring frequency into the monitoring costs for this notice. EPA solicits comment on changing the monitoring frequency to weekly. (See Section VIII.B for the relevant discussion.)

21. EPA solicits comment on a no further regulation option for red meat processing facilities and a no regulation option for poultry processing facilities (See Section IX.B for the relevant discussion).

22. For developing the estimates of compliance costs and pollutant loadings presented in today's notice, EPA transferred the target effluent concentration for Total Nitrogen from well-operated facilities at the Option 2.5 level that slaughter poultry (Subcategory K) to red meat facilities in Subcategories A–D. EPA solicits comment on this data transfer from poultry to meat slaughtering for the final rule. (See Section V.D for the relevant discussion.)

23. When establishing the New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) level of control, EPA considers the potential barrier that compliance costs due to the effluent guidelines regulation pose to new facilities entering the

industry. The barrier to entry analysis compares estimated average incremental facility or company capital costs incurred to meet the effluent guidelines to average total assets of existing facilities or companies. The ratio of average capital costs to average total assets is a proxy for potential barriers to entry due to the MPP rule. EPA solicits comment on other measures of “barrier to entry” that would be appropriate for this industry. (See Section X.D for relevant discussion.)

Dated: August 5, 2003.

G. Tracy Mehan, III,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Water.

[FR Doc. 03–20524 Filed 8–12–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P