

conducted by a Federal agency, either when the Government is a party to a judicial proceeding or in order to comply with the issuance of a subpoena.

To disclose pertinent information to the appropriate Federal, State, or local agency responsible for investigation, prosecution, enforcement, or implementation of a statute, rule, regulation, or order, where the Board becomes aware of an indication of a violation or potential violation of civil or criminal law or regulation.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

Records are stored in Lotus Notes Domino Server in the HQ computer room, with standard password access security, connected to a local area network and a wide area network serving all offices of the Board.

RETRIEVABILITY:

These records are retrieved by the names of the individuals on whom they are maintained.

SAFEGUARDS:

Access to these records is limited to persons whose official duties require such access. Records are protected from unauthorized access through password identification procedures and other system-based protection methods.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Records in this system are maintained as long as the individual is an employee of the Board. Expired records will be destroyed by deleting.

SYSTEM MANAGER:

Director, Financial and Administrative Management, Merit Systems Protection Board, 1615 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20419.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:

Individuals wishing to inquire whether this system of records contains information about them should contact the Clerk of the Board and must follow the MSPB Privacy Act regulations at 5 CFR 1205.11 regarding such inquiries.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Individuals requesting access to their records should contact the Clerk of the Board. Such requests should be addressed to the Clerk of the Board, Merit Systems Protection Board, 1615 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20419. Requests for access to records must follow the MSPB Privacy Act regulations at 5 CFR 1205.11.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

MSPB employees may personally amend information in these records at any time. Individuals wishing to request amendment of their records under the provisions of the Privacy Act should contact the system manager. Individuals must furnish the necessary information for their records to be located, identified and updated.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Information is provided by the individual who is the subject of the record.

Merit Systems Protection Board

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

The information provided for the Emergency Contact Data Base System is relevant for the Board to maintain accurate information about its employees which is readily available to managers to conduct human resources management functions, and to locate and inform employees. The information collected will be for internal Board use only, unless a violation of local, State, or Federal law occurs which requires its use by law enforcement agencies, or litigation in a court of law requires release of information.

[FR Doc. 03-20827 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7400-01-U

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. STN 50-528, STN 50-529, and STN 50-530]

Arizona Public Service Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-41, NPF-51, and NPF-74 issued to Arizona Public Service Company (the licensee) for operation of the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, located in Maricopa County, Arizona.

The proposed amendments in the licensee's application dated November 7, 2002, as supplemented by letters dated April 25, July 10, and July 30, 2003, would revise TS 3.2.4, "Departure From Nucleate Boiling Ratio (DNBR)," TS 3.3.1, "Reactor Protective System (RPS) Instrumentation—Operating," TS 3.3.3, "Control Element Assembly Calculators (CEACs)," and TS 5.4.1, "Administrative Controls—Procedures."

The proposed changes are to Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs), LCO Actions, LCO Surveillance Requirements, and the procedures used to modify the core protection calculator addressable constants. The amendments support the replacement of the Core Protection Calculator System (CPCS). The replacement CPCS will perform functionally identical safety-related algorithms as the existing CPCS, although on a newer platform, and the CPCS design function will remain unchanged. Because the replacement CPCS for each unit will be installed in refueling outages for the three units over at least a year, starting with the Unit 2 fall 2003 outage, the licensee has proposed to have the TSs contain both the current requirements and the new requirements with the phrases "(Before CPC Upgrade)" and "(After CPC Upgrade)" on the TSs to show which requirements apply to which case.

The application was noticed in the **Federal Register** on December 10, 2002 (67 FR 75868) for the changes to TSs 3.2.4, 3.3.1, and 3.3.3. Since that notice, the licensee has submitted its supplemental letter dated July 30, 2003, which provided an additional proposed change to TS 5.4.1, "Administrative Controls—Procedures." This additional proposed change is related to the procedures used to modify the CPCS addressable constants.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.