

Issued in Washington, DC, on August 12, 2003.

**Donald P. Byrne,**

*Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.*

#### **Dispositions of Petitions**

*Docket No.* FAA–2001–9141.

*Petitioner:* Daedalus, Inc., d.b.a. Business Aviation Services.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Daedalus, Inc., d.b.a. Business Aviation Services to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft. *Grant, 7/30/2003, Exemption No. 7569A.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2001–9862.

*Petitioner:* Bright Star Aviation.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Bright Star Aviation to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft. *Grant, 7/29/2003, Exemption No. 7078B.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2001–10606.

*Petitioner:* IHC Health Service, d.b.a. Life Flight of Salt Lake City, Utah.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit IHC Health Service, d.b.a. Life Flight of Salt Lake City, Utah, to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft. *Grant, 7/29/2003, Exemption No. 7079B.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2001–10790.

*Petitioner:* Air Logistics, LLC.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Air Logistics, LLC, to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft. *Grant, 7/29/2003, Exemption No. 6736C.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2001–11089.

*Petitioner:* The Collings Foundations.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 91.315, 91.319(a), 119.5(g), and 119.21(a).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit the Collings Foundation to operate its Boeing B–17, which is certified in the limited category, its Consolidated B–24, which is certified in the experimental category, and its North American B–25 and its Grumman TBM, both of which are certified in the limited

category, for the purpose of carrying passengers on local flights for compensation or hire. *Grant, 7/17/2003, Exemption No. 6540F.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2001–10356.

*Petitioner:* U.S. Army Aeronautical Service Agency.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 91.177(a)(2) and 91.179(b)(1).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit properly equipped U.S. Army Special Operations Command aircraft to conduct low-level operations without complying with enroute minimum altitudes for flight under instrument flight rules (IFR) or direction of flight requirements for IFR enroute segment in uncontrolled airspace. *Grant, 7/8/2003, Exemption No. 7631B.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2001–10984.

*Petitioner:* Air Tahoma, Inc.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Air Tahoma, Inc., to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in those aircraft. *Grant, 7/2/2003, Exemption No. 7664A.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2003–15512.

*Petitioner:* Security Aviation, Inc.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Security Aviation, Inc., operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in those aircraft. *Grant, 7/3/2003, Exemption No. 8090.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2003–14921.

*Petitioner:* Indianapolis Aviation, Inc.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Indianapolis Aviation, Inc., to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in those aircraft. *Grant, 7/3/2003, Exemption No. 7082B.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2002–13932

*Petitioner:* Polar Air Cargo

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii)

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Polar Air Cargo to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman or aircrew program designee for an FAA inspector to observe a qualifying pilot in command who is completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424 during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing. *Grant, 7/2/2003, Exemption No. 8089.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2002–13163

*Petitioner:* Ryan International Airlines, Inc.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii)

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Ryan International Airlines, Inc., to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman or aircrew program designee for an FAA inspector to observe a qualifying pilot in command who is completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424 during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing. *Grant, 7/2/2003, Exemption No. 8085.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2000–8095

*Petitioner:* Eagle Canyon Airlines, Inc., d.b.a. Scenic Airlines

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii)

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Eagle Canyon Airlines to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman or aircrew program designee for an FAA inspector to observe a qualifying pilot in command who is completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424 during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing. *Grant, 7/2/2003, Exemption No. 8088.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2000–7345

*Petitioner:* Chicago Express Airlines, Inc.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii)

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit Chicago Express Airlines, Inc., to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman or aircrew program designee for an FAA inspector to observe a qualifying pilot in command who is completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424 during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing. *Grant, 7/2/2003, Exemption No. 8086.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2003–14356

*Petitioner:* TransMeridian Airlines

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii)

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit TransMeridian Airlines to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman or aircrew program designee for an FAA inspector to observe a qualifying pilot in command who is completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424 during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing. *Grant, 7/2/2003, Exemption No. 8087.*

*Docket No.:* FAA–2003–15167

*Petitioner:* Michael W. Higgins

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 121.383(c)