

term “ $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ” in the label of the y-axis is corrected to read “ $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ”.

5. On page 48683, in the title of Table VI-2 at the bottom of the page, the term “ $\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$ ” is corrected to read “ $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ”.

6. On page 48684, in the second column, in the first paragraph, in the last sentence, the term “200DPM” is corrected to read “200DPM”.

7. On page 48684, in the third column, in the last sentence of the first paragraph, the term “Tables VI-7 and VI-8” is corrected to read “Tables VI-1 and VI-2”.

8. On page 48688, in Table VI-4 at the top of the page, the term “ $\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$ ” appearing twice the title is corrected to read “ $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ”.

9. On page 48689, in the continuation of Table VI-5, under the column heading “Key results,” the term “exposure 100” in the first sentence is corrected to read “exposure equal to or greater than 100”.

10. On page 48690, in Table VI-7 at the top of the page, in the column labeled “Key Results,” the third entry is corrected to read “After adjustment for other risk factors and potential confounders, using a variety of statistical methods, fine particulate ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$) exposures were significantly associated with cardiopulmonary mortality (and also with lung cancer).”

11. On page 48690, in Table VII-8 beginning in the middle of the page, in the table’s title on this page and in the continuation on page 48691, the term “Table VII-8” is corrected to read “Table VI-8” and the word “Article” is corrected to read “Articles”; in the column labeled “Description,” in the last sentence of the second entry, the term “ NO^2 ” is corrected to read “ NO_2 ”; and in the column labeled “Key results,” in the third entry, the word “cofounders” is corrected to read “confounders” and the term “ $10 \text{ g}/\text{m}^3$ ” is corrected to read “ $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ”.

12. On page 48691, in Table VI-8 beginning in the middle of the page, in the table’s title, the term “Table VI-8” is corrected to read “Table VI-9”; in the column labeled “Key results,” the term “polynuclear aromatic engine compounds” in the second entry is corrected to read “polynuclear aromatic compounds”.

13. On page 48692, in the continuation of Table VI-8, the term “Table VI-8” in the title is corrected to read “Table VI-9”; in the column labeled “Description,” in the third entry, the term “ $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (fine PM) and $\text{PM}_{2.5-10}$ ” is corrected to read “ $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (fine PM) and $\text{PM}_{2.5-10}$ ”, and in the sixth entry, the term “ $100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ or $3 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ ” is corrected to read “ $100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ or $3 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ ”; in the column labeled “Key

results,” in the last entry at the bottom of the page, the term “DMP” is corrected to read “DPM” and the term

“reactivity/” is corrected to read “reactivity/responsiveness”; and in the column labeled “Agent(s) of toxicity,” in the sixth entry, the term “ SO_2 and NO_2 ” is corrected to read “ SO_2 and NO_2 ”.

14. On page 48693, in the continuation of Table VI-8 at the top of the page, the term “Table VI-8” in the title is corrected to read “Table VI-9”; in the entry in the column labeled “Key results”, the word “Thio” is corrected to read “Thiol”; and the key directly beneath the table is deleted.

15. On page 48693, in Table VI-9 in the middle of the page, the term “Table VI-9” in the title is corrected to read “Table VI-10” and the key directly beneath the table is deleted.

16. On page 48694, in the second column, in the first paragraph, in the legal citation after the first full sentence, the term “647 F.2d 1273” is corrected to read “647 F.2d 1189, 1273”, and in the forth full sentence the term “feasible when Aif through” is corrected to read “feasible “if through”.

17. On page 48694, in the second column, in the second paragraph, the term “647 F.2d 1164” is corrected to read “647 F.2d 1189, 1266 (D.C. Cir. 1981)”.

18. On page 48705, in the “Summary of Costs and Benefits” section in the first column, in the second paragraph, the first sentence is corrected to read “The proposed rule would result in a net cost of \$4,539 per year.”; in the third sentence, the term “cost savings of \$86” is corrected to read “cost of \$25”; and the fifth sentence is corrected to read “The cost or cost savings (negative cost) per mine for mines in these three size classes would be –\$34, \$58 and \$58, respectively.”

19. On page 48705, in the second column, under the section-by-section discussion of the proposed rule, in the first paragraph, in the third sentence, the term “ $308_{\text{TC}} \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ” is corrected to read “ $308_{\text{EC}} \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ”.

20. On page 48714, in the third column, the term “AMSHA” in the second sentence of the first paragraph is corrected to read “MSHA”.

21. On page 48719, in the alphabetical list of references, the reference listed as “Holgate, *et al.*, 2002.” in the second column is corrected to read “Holgate, Stephen T., *et al.*, “Health Effects of Acute Exposure to Air Pollution, Part I: Healthy and Asthmatic Subjects Exposed to Diesel Exhaust”, Health Effects Institute Research Report No. 112 (Partial Preprint Version), December 2002.”, and the references listed as

“Patton and Lopez, 2002.” and “Polosa, *et al.*, 2003 (Italian).” are deleted.

Dated: August 26, 2003.

Dave D. Lauriski,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Mine Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 03–22320 Filed 8–29–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–43–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[M183–01–7292b, FRL–7526–8]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Michigan; Definition of Volatile Organic Compound

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve a revision to Michigan’s definition of volatile organic compound (VOC). EPA’s approval will revise Michigan’s State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone. The Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) submitted this SIP revision on April 25, 2003. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state’s SIP revision, as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If we receive no adverse comments in response to that direct final rule, we plan to take no further action on this proposed rule. If we receive significant adverse comments, in writing, which we have not addressed, we will withdraw the direct final rule and address all public comments received in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document.

DATES: EPA must receive written comments on or before October 2, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to:

Carlton Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch, (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/courier, please follow the detailed instructions described in Part(I)(B)(1)(i) through (iii) of the Supplementary Information section.