

unbundled local circuit switching to switches operated by a carrier other than the incumbent LEC for any requesting telecommunications carrier in a timely manner, and may require that incumbent LECs comply with an average completion interval metric for provision of high volumes of loops.

(4) A state commission shall adopt rates for the batch cut activities it approves in accordance with the Commission's pricing rules for unbundled network elements. These rates shall reflect the efficiencies associated with batched migration of loops to a requesting telecommunications carrier's switch, either through a reduced per-line rate or through volume discounts as appropriate.

(B) If a state commission concludes that the absence of a batch cut migration process is not impairing requesting telecommunications carriers' ability to serve end users using DS0 loops in the mass market without access to local circuit switching on an unbundled basis, that conclusion will render the creation of such a process unnecessary. In such cases, the state commission shall issue detailed findings regarding the volume of unbundled loop migrations that could be expected if requesting telecommunications carriers were no longer entitled to local circuit switching on an unbundled basis, the ability of the incumbent LEC to meet that demand in a timely and efficient manner using its existing hot cut process, and the non-recurring costs associated with that hot cut process. The state commission further shall explain why these findings indicate that the absence of a batch cut process does not give rise to impairment in the market at issue.

(iii) *State commission analysis.* To determine whether requesting telecommunications carriers are impaired without access to local circuit switching on an unbundled basis, a state commission shall perform the inquiry set forth in paragraphs (d)(2)(iii)(A) through (d)(2)(iii)(C) of this section:

(A) *Local switching triggers.* A state commission shall find that a requesting telecommunications carrier is not impaired without access to local circuit switching on an unbundled basis in a particular market where either the self-provisioning trigger set forth in paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(A)(1) of this section or the competitive wholesale facilities trigger set forth in paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(A)(2) of this section is satisfied.

(1) *Local switching self-provisioning trigger.* To satisfy this trigger, a state commission must find that three or

more competing providers not affiliated with each other or the incumbent LEC, including intermodal providers of service comparable in quality to that of the incumbent LEC, each are serving mass market customers in the particular market with the use of their own local circuit switches.

(2) *Local switching competitive wholesale facilities trigger.* To satisfy this trigger, a state commission must find that two or more competing providers not affiliated with each other or the incumbent LEC, including intermodal providers of service comparable in quality to that of the incumbent LEC, each offer wholesale local circuit switching service to customers serving DS0 capacity loops in that market using their own switches.

(B) *Additional state authority.* If neither of the triggers described in paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(A) of this section has been satisfied, the state commission shall find that requesting telecommunications carriers are not impaired without access to unbundled local circuit switching in a particular market where the state commission determines that self-provisioning of local switching is economic based on the following criteria:

(1) *Evidence of actual deployment.* The state commission shall consider whether switches actually deployed in the market at issue permit competitive entry in the absence of unbundled local circuit switching. Specifically, the state commission shall examine whether, in the market at issue, there are either two wholesale providers or three self-provisioners of local switching not affiliated with each other or the incumbent LEC, serving end users using DS1 or higher capacity loops in the market at issue; or there is any carrier, including any intermodal provider of service comparable in quality to that of the incumbent LEC, using a self-provisioned switch to serve end users using DS0 capacity loops. If so, and if the state commission determines that the switch or switches identified can be used to serve end users using DS0 capacity loops in that market in an economic fashion, this evidence must be given substantial weight.

(2) *Operational barriers.* The state commission also shall examine the role of potential operational barriers in determining whether to find "no impairment" in a given market. Specifically, the state commission shall examine whether the incumbent LEC's performance in provisioning loops, difficulties in obtaining collocation space due to lack of space or delays in provisioning by the incumbent LEC, or difficulties in obtaining cross-connects

in an incumbent LEC's wire center render entry uneconomic for requesting telecommunications carriers in the absence of unbundled access to local circuit switching.

(3) *Economic barriers.* The state commission shall also examine the role of potential economic barriers in determining whether to find "no impairment" in a given market. Specifically, the state commission shall examine whether the costs of migrating incumbent LEC loops to requesting telecommunications carriers' switches or the costs of backhauling voice circuits to requesting telecommunications carriers' switches from the end offices serving their end users render entry uneconomic for requesting telecommunications carriers.

(4) *Multi-line DS0 end users.* As part of the economic analysis set forth in paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(B)(3) of this section, the state commission shall establish a maximum number of DS0 loops for each geographic market that requesting telecommunications carriers can serve through unbundled switching when serving multiline end users at a single location. Specifically, in establishing this "cutoff," the state commission shall take into account the point at which the increased revenue opportunity at a single location is sufficient to overcome impairment and the point at which multiline end users could be served in an economic fashion by higher capacity loops and a carrier's own switching and thus be considered part of the DS1 enterprise market.

(C) *Transitional use of unbundled switching.* If the triggers described in paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(A) of this section have not been satisfied with regard to a particular market and the analysis described in paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(B) of this section has resulted in a finding that requesting telecommunications carriers are impaired without access to local circuit switching on an unbundled basis in that market, the state commission shall consider whether any impairment would be cured by transitional ("rolling") access to local circuit switching on an unbundled basis for a period of 90 days or more. "Rolling" access means the use of unbundled local circuit switching for a limited period of time for each end-user customer to whom a requesting telecommunications carrier seeks to provide service. If the state commission determines that transitional access to unbundled local circuit switching would cure any impairment, it shall require incumbent LECs to make unbundled local circuit switching available to requesting telecommunications carriers for 90 days